Re-visiting Direct J/ψ Production at the Fermilab Tevatron

K. Hagiwara
KEK Theory Division and Sokendai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan
W. Qi and J.-X. Wang
IHEP, CAS, YuQuan Road 19B, Beijing 100049, China
C.-F. Qiao
GUCAS, CAS, YuQuan Road 19A, Beijing 100049, China

arXiv:0705.0803v3 [hep-ph] 20 Oct 2008

We re-analyze the direct J/ψ production processes at the Fermilab Tevatron in view of the recent observation at the B-factories, where both J/ψ inclusive and exclusive production rates are found to be about an order of magnitude larger than the leading order estimates of non-relativistic QCD. The charm quark fragmentation to J/ψ, which is the dominant color-singlet process at high p_T, is normalized by the B-factory measurements. The process receives further enhancement due to the charm sea contribution which has so far been ignored in most analyses. After summing up all sub-process contributions, we find that the color-singlet mechanism alone can account for 20% to 90% of the observed direct J/ψ high p_T production. The polarization rate of the directly produced J/ψ is sensitive to the fraction of the color-octet contribution, which is employed to fill the gap between the color-singlet prediction and experimental data. With a bigger K-factor for the charm quark fragmentation probability, we envisage a smaller matrix element for the color-octet ${}^3S_1^{(8)}$ state, and this can be examined at the LHC in near future.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the history of quarkonium physics, the so-called color-singlet model (CSM) was widely employed in the study of heavy quarkonium production and decays [1]. Although CSM made a great success in the description of quarkonium production and decay in the past, the Tevatron data [2, 3] on large-p_T J/ψ production collected in the 1992-1993 run severely challenged it, as the data differ very much from the leading order (LO) CSM predictions in both normalization and p_T distribution.

One of the developments in past decade is the so-called nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD) [4], which provides a plausible explanation for the CDF measurements on direct J/ψ and ψ' production at the Fermilab Tevatron [3]. Within the framework of NRQCD, the surplus charmonia production in excess of the CSM prediction attributes to the color-octet contribution. Since the magnitudes of the universal color-octet matrix elements are determined by fitting to the experimental data, to find an independent color-octet signature is necessary to further confirm the applicability of NRQCD to charmonium production. The color-octet scenario encounters some difficulties while it is confronted with the new experimental data [5]. The most striking puzzle probably is the absence of transversely polarized J/ψ and ψ' in experiment [6, 7].

Recently, BaBar and Belle data indicate that the experimental measurement [8, 9, 10] of the J/ψ inclusive and exclusive production rates at the B-factories greatly overshoot the leading-order theoretical calculations in CSM [11]. Because the J/ψs are found to be produced in accompany with charmed mesons, very large higher-order corrections to the charm quark fragmentation process should be inevitable, though fragmentation mechanism may not work well at or beyond the next-to-leading order(NLO). According to the analyses in Refs. [12, 13], $e^+ + e^- \to J/\psi + c + \bar{c}$ process requires a K-factor of $2.6^{+2.4}_{-1.1}$ to 7.2 ± 2.9 to mimic the higher order corrections. It was found that with a constant K-factor of around 4 one can reproduce both the inclusive J/ψ production momentum distribution and the large branching ratio of $J/\psi + c + \bar{c}$ production observed at the B factories [9, 10, 14]. Indeed, very recently large NLO corrections to the exclusive double charmonia production [15] and the $J/\psi + c + \bar{c}$ production processes [16] are obtained. Note that the employed K-factor in this work represents all corrections, rather than merely the NLO QCD one.

In the following, by taking into account the charm-sea induced processes and a K-factor obtained in the B-factory in representing various higher-order corrections to the charm quark fragmentation mechanism, we re-visit J/ψ surplus production issue at the Fermilab Tevatron.




Figure 1: Direct J/ψ production differential cross-sections for various color-singlet processes versus the Run-I experimental data from the Fermilab Tevatron.

2. CALCULATION AND RESULTS OF THE J/ψ HIGH p_T PRODUCTION

Schematically, the quarkonium hadroproduction process, $A + B \to \psi + X$, can be formulated in a factorized form,

$$d\sigma(A + B \to H(p_T) + X) = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_a \int_0^1 dx_b \; f_{a/A}(x_a, Q) \times f_{b/B}(x_b, Q) \; d\hat{\sigma}(a + b \to H(p_T) + X) \; , \tag{1}$$

where a and b are the incident partons within the colliding hadrons A and B, respectively; $f_{a/A}$ and $f_{b/B}$ are parton distribution functions at the scale of Q^2. In large transverse momentum, the charmonium hadroproduction are dominated by the fragmentation sub-processes [17], which can be expressed as

$$d\hat{\sigma}(a + b \to H(p_T) + X) = \sum_c \int_0^1 dz \; d\hat{\sigma}(a + b \to c(p_T/z) + X) \; D_{c\to H}(z, Q) \; , \tag{2}$$

where c denotes the fragmenting parton, $D_{c\to H}(z, Q)$ is the parton fragmentation function. The evolution of the fragmentation function $D_{c\to H}(z,\ Q)$ in regard of scale Q in Eq. (2) is realized by solving the Altarelli-Parisi (AP).

In our numerical calculation, J/ψ radial wave function at the origin $R(0)$ is obtained from its leptonic decay width $\Gamma(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) = 5.55 \times 10^{-6}\,\mathrm{GeV}$ [18], and the CTEQ6L parton distribution functions are employed [19]. To avoid the large logarithms from high order corrections, the fragmentation, factorization and renormalization scales are set to be the J/ψ transverse mass, i.e. $m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_{J/\psi}^2}$.

Figure 1 shows the transverse momentum distribution of the directly produced J/ψ at the Fermilab Tevatron, where eight main color-singlet sub-processes and their sum are presented separately. In the literature, the analytic results for most of the CS processes exist, and we find numerically agreements between our results and those in previous studies. Different from Refs.[20, 21], here the gluon fragmentation process overshoots the charm quark fragmentation process, due to the reason that the induced charm quark fragmentation in the gluon fragmentation evolution equation is taken into account in our calculation. From the figure, one can easily find that two charm-sea induced sub-processes contribute dominantly to the direct J/ψ production, which in fact partly represent the next-to-next-to-leading(NNLO) order $g + g \to J/\psi + X$ process. Although the importance of the second process at very high transverse momentum was mentioned in Ref. [22], the inclusion of the first one is necessary at moderate p_T region.

Enforcing a factor of 4 to the charm quark fragmentation function and an overall factor of 2 to the hard scattering processes, we obtain the re-normalized differential cross sections, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Here, the difference between experimental data and theoretical calculation in CSM reduces to about a factor of 4. If we assume that the remaining




Figure 2: NRQCD prediction for the direct J/ψ production at the Tevatron Run I energy, where the color-octet matrix element is adjusted in order to fill the gap between CS prediction and experimental data. (a) The normalization factor for the charm fragmentation process is 4×2, 4 for K-factor and 2 for hard-scattering cross-section; (b) the normalization factor is taken to be 8×2. (c) The J/ψ polarization variable α versus transverse momentum.

gap attributes to the color-octet contribution, the matrix element of $< 0|^3S_1^{[8]}|0 >$ may diminish 1/4 from the initial fitted value [23]. In the case of $K = 4$ one cannot find a consistent explanation for both CDF and Belle results. Therefore, the larger K-factor of 7.2 ± 2.9, which is the upper limit accommodated by the Belle data is envisaged. In this case, the color-singlet mechanism alone explain the data in large transverse momentum region, as shown in the Fig. 2(b).

Figure 2(c) exhibits our calculation result on J/ψ polarization distribution versus transverse momentum. Here, the higher excited state feed down effects are neglected. Of this figure, the vertical axis denotes the conventional measure of polarization, defined as: $\alpha = (\sigma_T - 2\sigma_L)/(\sigma_T + 2\sigma_L)$; the horizontal axis denotes the J/ψ transverse momentum. The upper and the lower limits, $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = -1$, correspond to the solely transverse and longitudinal polarization cases respectively, while $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to the unpolarized situation. In our result, because the quark fragmentation process gives unpolarized J/ψ in the relativistic limit [24], the total polarization of directly produced J/ψ in high p_T region tends to be unpolarized. This is completely different from the predictions in color-octet model and in previous calculations in CSM [20].

3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have recalculated the direct J/ψ high-p_T production rate in color singlet mechanism and find that the charm sea induced processes contribute dominantly in the direct J/ψ production while $p_T > 4$ GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron. In recently the next-to-leading order QCD correction to process (5) is realized [25, 26]. However, as it is well-known that in J/ψ high p_T hadroproduction the dominant processes are those beyond the NLO ones. So, only the full NLO calculation is not enough for the issue discussed in this work.

Based on the K-factor scenario we find that the Tevatron data can be almost accommodated by the color-singlet production mechanism. We assign K-factors of 4 and 8 respectively to the charm quark fragmentation process, and a factor of 2 to the parton-parton hard interaction processes. Quantitatively these enhancement factors may vary from process to process, but for a qualitative analysis we think a constant number is still meaningful. In the case of K-factor equals to 8, the CDF data can be simply understood in the color-singlet mechanism.

We have also evaluated the polarization rate of directly produced charmonium in color-singlet mechanism. We find that at large transverse momentum, the J/ψs will be statistically unpolarized. If this is confirmed by future experiment, then we may conclude that the gap between our calculation result and experimental data should be eliminated by giving a bigger K-factor to CS processes, but rather filled by the color-octet component. This is in fact somewhat favored by the Tevatron II preliminary measurement [27].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the core university program of JSPS. KH was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 17540281) from MEXT, Japan. We thank Z.H. Lin for his initial effort and contribution to this work. CFQ and JXW were supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. CFQ is grateful for the hospitalities of KEK theory division and ICTP high energy group for visits while this work was initiated and proceeded.

References

[1] T.A. DeGrand and D. Toussiant, Phys. Lett. B **89**, 256 (1980);
M. Wise Phys. Lett. B **89**, 229 (1980);
J.H. Kühn, S. Nussinov, and R.Z. Rückle, Z. Phys. C **5**, 117 (1980);
C.H. Chang, Nucl. Phys. **B172**, 425 (1980);
E. L. Berger and D. L. Jones, Phys. Lett. B **121**, 61 (1983); ibid, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1521 (1981).
[2] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3704 (1992);
CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 572 (1997).
[3] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 578 (1997).
[4] G.T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1125 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. D **55**, 5853 (1997)].
[5] For a recent review, see, for example, N. Brambilla, *et al.*, hep-ph/0412158.
[6] P. Cho and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **346**, 129 (1995).
[7] E. Braaten, B. Kniehl and J. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 094005 (2000).
[8] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 162002 (2001).
[9] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 052001 (2002).
[10] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 142001 (2002).
[11] P. Cho and A.K. Leibovich, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 6690 (1996);
F. Yuan, C.-F. Qiao, and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 321 (1997);
S. Baek, J. Lee, H.S. Song, and P. Ko, J. Korean Phys.i Soc. **33**, 97 (1998).
[12] K. Hagiwara, E. Kou, Z.-H. Lin, C.-F. Qiao and G.-H. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 034013.
[13] K. Hagiwara, E. Kou, and C.-F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. B **570**, 39 (2003).
[14] K. Abe [Belle Collaboration], hep-ex/0306015.
[15] Y.J. Zhang, Y.J. Gao and K.T Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 092001(2006).
[16] Y.J. Zhang and K.T Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 092003(2007).
[17] E. Braaten and T.C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1673 (1993).
[18] W.-M. Yao, *et al.*(Particle Data Group), Journal of Phys. G, **33** (2006)1.
[19] S. Kretzer, H.L. Lai, F.I. Olness, and W.-K. Tung, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 11400 (2004).
[20] E. Braaten, M.A. Doncheski, S. Fleming, and M.L. Mangano, Phys. Lett. B **333**, 548 (1994).
[21] M. Cacciari and M. Greco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1586 (1994).
[22] C.-F. Qiao, J. Phys. G 29, 1075 (2003); hep-ph/0202227.
[23] E. Braaten and S. Fleming, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3327 (1995).
[24] B.L. Ioffe and D.E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 014016 (2004).
[25] J. Campbell, F. Maltoni, F. Tramontano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 252002 (2007).
[26] P. Artoisenet, J.P. Lansberg, and F. Maltoni, Phys. Lett. **B653**, 60(2007); hep-ph/0703129.
[27] CDF Collaboration, A. Abulencia *et al.*, FERMILAB-PUB-07-072-E, Apr 2007; arXiv:0704.0638.