

# Stopping Power from SPS to LHC energies.

V.Topor Pop<sup>1</sup>, J.Barrette<sup>1</sup>, C.Gale<sup>1</sup>, S.Jeon<sup>1</sup> and M.Gyulassy<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>McGill University, Montreal, Canada, H3A 2T8

<sup>2</sup>Physics Department Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

<sup>3</sup>FIAS, J. W. Goethe Universitat, D-60438, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

## Abstract.


We investigate the energy dependence of hadron production and of stopping power based on HIJING/B $\bar{B}$  v2.0 model calculations. Pseudorapidity spectra and  $p_T$  distributions for produced charged particles as well as net baryons (per pair of participants) and their rapidity loss are compared to data at RHIC and predictions for LHC energies are discussed.

In previous papers [1] we studied the possible role of topological baryon junctions [2] [3], and the effects of strong color field (SCF) in nucleus-nucleus collisions at RHIC energies. In the framework of HIJING/B $\bar{B}$  v2.0 model, the new algorithm for junction anti-junction J $\bar{J}$  loops provide a possible explanation for baryon/meson anomaly. The SCF effects as implemented within our model gives a better description of this anomaly. At LHC energies, due to higher initial energy density (or temperature) we expect an increase of the mean value of the string tension ( $\kappa$ ) [4].

The day 1 measurements at the LHC will include results on multiplicity distributions with important consequences for our understanding of matter produced in the collisions [5],[6]. From our model calculations one expects  $dN_{\text{PbPb}}^{\text{ch}}/d\eta \approx 3500$  at  $\eta = 0$  in central (0-5 %) Pb +Pb collision. This correspond to  $\approx 17.5$  produced charged hadrons per participant pair. These values are higher than those obtained by requiring that both limiting fragmentation and the trapezoidal shape of the pseudo-rapidity distribution persist at the LHC [6]. Our model predicts a characteristic violations of the apparently universal trend, seen up to maximum RHIC energy. In contrast saturation models [7] offer a justification for the predicted very weak  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$  dependence of event multiplicity.


Figure 1 presents predictions for  $p_T$  spectra at midrapidity and NMF  $R_{\text{PbPb}}^{\text{ch}}$  of total inclusive charged hadrons for central (0-5%) Pb+Pb and  $p + p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.5$  TeV. The predicted NMF  $R_{\text{PbPb}}^{\pi^0}$  of neutral pions is also presented. From our model calculations we conclude that baryon/meson anomaly, will persist at the LHC with a slight increase for increasing strength of the chromoelectric field ( $\kappa = e_{\text{eff}} E$ ). A somewhat higher sensitivity to  $\kappa$  is obtained for NMF of identified particles [4].

The net-baryon rapidity distribution measured at RHIC is both qualitatively and quantitatively very different from those at lower energies indicating that a significantly



**Figure 1.** Left: HIJING/B $\bar{B}$  v2.0 predictions for  $p_T$  spectra at mid-rapidity of total inclusive charged hadrons for central (0-5%) Pb+Pb and  $p + p$  collisions. Right: Predicted nuclear modification factors for charged hadrons and for neutral pions.

different system is formed near mid rapidity [8]. Fig. 2 (left panel) presents the energy dependence of net-baryon at mid-rapidity per participant pair. Shown are the results for central (0-5%) Au+Au collisions, which indicate a net decrease with increasing energy. This picture, corroborated with an increase of the ratio  $\bar{p}/p$  to  $\approx 1$  suggests that the reaction at the LHC is more transparent in contrast to the situation at lower energy. For central (0-5%) Pb+Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$  TeV, our prediction for net-baryon per participant pair is  $\approx 0.065$  with  $N_{\text{part}} = 398$ , assuming  $\kappa = 5$  GeV/fm. Similar values (open squares) are obtained within pQCD+hydro model [9]. However, this model predicts (Fig. 15 from ref. [9]) much steeper slopes of charged hadron  $p_T$  spectra.



**Figure 2.** Left: HIJING/B $\bar{B}$  v2.0 predictions for net-baryon (per participant pair) at mid-rapidity as function of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ . Right: Average rapidity loss versus beam rapidity. The data and dashed line extrapolation are from ref. [8] and from BRAHMS [10]

In our model the main mechanisms for baryon production are quark di-quark ( $q-q\bar{q}$ ) strings fragmentation and  $J\bar{J}$  loops in which baryons are produced approximatively in

pairs. The energy dependence is  $\propto (s/s_0)^{-1/4+\Delta/2}$  similar with those predicted in ref. [2] (eq. 11) with the assumption that  $J\bar{J}$  is a dominant mechanisms. This dependence is obtained if we choose for the parameters:  $s_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$  the usual parameter of Regge theory,  $\alpha(0) = 1/2$  the reggeon ( $M_0^J$ ) intercept and  $\alpha_P(0) = 1 + \Delta$  (where  $\Delta \approx 0.01$ ) for the pomeron intercept. If confirmed, the measurements at LHC energies will help us to determine better these values. In contrast, results from HIJING/ $\bar{B}\bar{B}$  v1.10 model [3] (star symbol) give a slow energy dependence with a higher pomeron intercept  $\alpha_P(0) = 1 + 0.08$  and over-estimate the stopping in the entire energy region.

Baryon conservation in the reactions can be used to predict rapidity loss and the energy loss per baryon. The results are illustrated in Fig. 2 (right panel) for average rapidity loss  $< \delta y >$  defined as in ref. [1]. The predicted values for RHIC and LHC energies, clearly depart from the linear extrapolation for constant relative rapidity loss [8], which seems to be valid only at lower energies ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \leq 20 \text{ GeV}$ ).

This work was partly supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the U. S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098 and DE-FG02-93ER-40764. One of us (MG), gratefully acknowledges partial support also from FIAS and GSI, Germany.

## References

- [1] Topor Pop V, Gyulassy M, Barrette J, Gale C, Wang X N and Xu N 2004 *Phys. Rev. C* **70** 064906;  
Topor Pop V, Gyulassy M, Barrette J, Gale C 2006 *Phys. Rev. C* **72** 054901
- [2] Kharzeev D 1996 *Phys. Lett. B* **378** 238
- [3] Vance S E, Gyulassy M and Wang X N 1998 *Phys. Lett. B* **443** 45; Vance S E and Gyulassy M 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 1735
- [4] Topor Pop V, Barrette J, Gale C, Jeon S and Gyulassy M , (*these proceedings*)
- [5] Armesto N and Pajares C 2000 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** 2019
- [6] Wiedemann U A 2007 *Preprint* hep-ph/0703146
- [7] Kharzeev D, Levin E and Nardi M 2005 *Nucl. Phys. A* **747** 609
- [8] Videbaek F 2006 *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **50** 134
- [9] Eskola K J, Honkanen H, Niemi H, Ruuskanen P V and Rasanen S S 2005 *Phys. Rev. C* **72** 044904
- [10] BRAHMS Collaboration, Bearden I G *et al.* 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 102301