arXiv:0705.4052v1 [hep-ph] 28 May 2007

Calculations of $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ Resonance Coupling Constants in the Scalar Sector of the ENJL Model

M. X. Su and L. Y. Xiao

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

February 8, 2022

Abstract

We derive the scalar resonance coupling constants of resonance chiral theory from the Extended Nambu Jona-Lasinio model by using heat-kernel expansion.

Effective field theories(EFT) are useful tools in particle physics. In the low-energy regime, the effective theory of hadrons is chiral perturbation theory [1,2](χPT). The explicit degrees of freedom of χPT are the lightest pseudo-Goldstone bosons from the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. The structure of the effective lagrangian is determined by chiral symmetry and the discrete symmetry of QCD. It is organized as an expansion in derivatives of the Goldstone fields and in powers of the light current quark mass (m_q).

The effective lagrangian depends on a number of low-energy constants(LECs), which are not determined by symmetry, encoding the underlying QCD dynamics. In principle, if one can solve QCD, we can get all the coupling constants from QCD. Since in the low energy regime, QCD is highly non-perturbative, it is hard to calculate these coupling constants from QCD directly. * On the other side, how to understand "high energy physics" from the low energy theory is also a very interesting problem. In the original, resonance chiral theory lagrangian(RχT) [4] was proposed as the most general chiral invariant lagrangian that contributed to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ LECs. Therefore, LECs can be estimated by the masses and couplings of the heavier resonances.

Progress has also been made along the direction by using $1/N_C$ expansion [5–7] and dispersion theory techniques recently in Ref. [8]. More recent works have already applied large-N_C techniques to estimate subsets of the $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ LECs [9–15]. In Ref. [15], the authors developed a systematic method to calculate the contributions of resonances to the full $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ χPT to leading order in $1/N_C$.

However, another question arises naturally: how we determine the resonance couplings? In the original RχT paper [4], the authors studied the resonances couplings phenomenologically to determine the LECs from different physical processes. When we analyze the $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ LECs [16], the number of couplings is increased significantly. Even though large N_C technique and OPE matching conditions can be applied to

*Attempts have been made in expressing the low energy constants in terms of QCD operators [3].

give some constraints of couplings as done in Ref. [15], it is still insufficient to determine all the couplings. Therefore, it is meaningful to calculate all these couplings via some QCD-inspired models as a guide for future research.

In this letter, the extended Nambu Jona-Lasinio model(ENJL) [17] is treated as an approximate model of QCD and all the scalar resonances couplings (λ_i^S, λ_i^{SS} and λ_i^{SSS}) in RχT are derived using heat-kernel method [18].

The resonance chiral lagrangian can be organized in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\infty &= \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{\rm GB} + \mathcal{L}_{(4)}^{\rm GB} + \mathcal{L}_{(6)}^{\rm GB} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}^{R} + \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{R} + \mathcal{L}_{(4)}^{R} + \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{RR} + \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{RRR} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}^{\rm GB}$ is the Goldstone chiral Lagrangian of $\mathcal{O}(p^n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin}^{R}$ is the resonance kinetic term, and $\mathcal{L}_{(n)}^{[...]}$ is a sum of monomials involving the number of resonances specified in [...] with chiral building blocks of order p^n. The main approximations involve truncating the hadronic spectrum to a finite number of states. Usually [4, 15], the lowest lying resonance multiplets with given J^{PC} are considered.

The lagrangian and the resonance couplings of $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{R}$ are well studied in Ref. [4], but not many researches have been done relate to $\mathcal{L}_{(4)}^{R}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{RR}$, $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{RRR}$.

There are 70 independent operators for the lagrangian density linear in resonance fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(4)}^{R} = \sum_{i=1}^{22} \lambda_i^V \, \mathcal{O}_i^V + \sum_{i=1}^{17} \lambda_i^A \, \mathcal{O}_i^A + \sum_{i=1}^{18} \lambda_i^S \, \mathcal{O}_i^S + \sum_{i=1}^{13} \lambda_i^P \, \mathcal{O}_i^P \ . \tag{2}$$

For the lagrangian quadratic in the resonance fields there are a total of 38 operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{RR} = \sum_{(ij)n} \lambda_n^{R_i R_j} \ \mathcal{O}_n^{R_i R_j} \ , \tag{3}$$

with $R_i R_j = VV, AA, SS, PP, SA, SP, SV, PV, PA, VA$.

For the lagrangian cubic in resonance fields there are 7 independent operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{RRR} = \sum_{(ijk)} \lambda^{R_i R_j R_k} \ \mathcal{O}^{R_i R_j R_k} \ . \tag{4}$$

All the corresponding monomials $\mathcal{O}_i^R$, $\mathcal{O}_i^{RR}$, $\mathcal{O}_i^{RRR}$ can be found in Ref. [15]. We just list the operators relate to our calculations. Operators $\mathcal{O}_i^S$ are in table. 1, $\mathcal{O}_i^{SS}$ and $\mathcal{O}_i^{SSS}$ are listed below:

$$\mathcal{O}^{SS} :< SSu^\mu u_\mu >, < Su^\mu Su_\mu >, < SS\chi_+ >,$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{SSS} :< SSS >,$$

with $< \ldots >$ short for the trace in the flavor matrix space.

i	Operator $\mathcal{O}_i^S$	i	Operator $\mathcal{O}_i^S$
λ_1	$\langle S\, u_\mu u^\mu\, u_\nu u^\nu \rangle$	λ_{10}	$i \langle S \{ f_+^{\mu\nu}, u_\mu u_\nu \} \rangle$
λ_2	$\langle S\, u_\mu\, u_\nu u^\nu\, u^\mu \rangle$	λ_{11}	$i \langle S\, u_\mu\, f_+^{\mu\nu}\, u_\nu \rangle$
λ_2	$\langle S\, u_\mu u_\nu u^\mu u^\nu \rangle$	λ_{12}	$\langle S \{ \nabla_\alpha f_-^{\mu\alpha}, u_\mu \} \rangle$
λ_4	$i \langle S\, u^\mu \rangle \langle \nabla_\mu \chi_- \rangle$	λ_{13}	$\langle S\, \chi_+ \chi_+ \rangle$
λ_5	$\langle S\, \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$	λ_{14}	$\langle S\, \chi_- \chi_- \rangle$
λ_6	$\langle S \{ \chi_+, u^\mu u_\mu \} \rangle$	λ_{15}	$\langle S\, f_{+\mu\nu} f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle$
λ_7	$\langle S\, u_\mu\, \chi_+\, u^\mu \rangle$	λ_{16}	$\langle S\, f_{-\mu\nu} f_-^{\mu\nu} \rangle$
λ_8	$i \langle S \{ u^\mu, \nabla_\mu \chi_- \} \rangle$	λ_{17}	$\langle S \nabla_\alpha \nabla^\alpha\, (u_\mu\, u^\mu) \rangle$
λ_9	$\langle S \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$	λ_{18}	$\langle S \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \chi_+ \rangle$

Table 1: Operator $\mathcal{O}_i^S$.

The ENJL four quark interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm ENJL} = \sum_i \bar{q}(i\partial - \mathcal{M})q + \mathcal{L}^{\rm S,P}_{\rm NJL} + \mathcal{L}^{\rm V,A}_{\rm NJL} + \mathcal{O}\left(1/\Lambda_\chi^4\right), \tag{5}$$

$$\text{with} \qquad \mathcal{L}^{\rm S,P}_{\rm NJL} = \frac{8\pi^2 G_S}{N_C \Lambda_\chi^2} \sum_{i,j} \left(\overline{q}^i_R q^j_L\right)\left(\overline{q}^j_L q^i_R\right)$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \mathcal{L}^{\rm V,A}_{\rm NJL} = -\frac{8\pi^2 G_V}{N_C \Lambda_\chi^2} \sum_{i,j} \left[\left(\overline{q}^i_L \gamma^\mu q^j_L\right)\left(\overline{q}^j_L \gamma_\mu q^i_L\right) + (L \to R)\right] \ ,$$

where i, j are flavor indices, N_C is the number of the colors, $\Psi_{R,L} \equiv (1/2)\,(1 \pm \gamma_5)\,\Psi$ and the couplings G_S and G_V are dimensionless quantities. We adopt the same symbols and definitions as in Ref. [19]. The low energy effective action of the ENJL model, at intermediate energies below or of the order of a cut-off scale Λ_χ, is expected to be a reasonable effective realization of the standard QCD lagrangian.

In Ref. [19], an effective lagrangian for mesons is derived from ENJL model by using heat-kernel expansion. The $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ LECs and the coupling constants of $\mathcal{L}^R_{(2)}$ can be easily read from the effective lagrangian. One can also use other regularization method [20] to get similar effective lagrangian. Following the same method as in Ref. [19] and taking into account the next leading order ($\mathcal{O}(p^6)$) terms of heat-kernel expansion, the coupling constants of $\mathcal{O}^R$, $\mathcal{O}^{RR}$, $\mathcal{O}^{RRR}$ can be given systematically. For the ENJL model the vector and axial-vector are usually in the form of Proca field but not in the antisymmetry tensor form. It is rather complicated to calculate the vector and axial vector sector directly from ENJL model, but for the scalar sector there is no such obstruction.

All the scalar resonances couplings (λ_i^S, λ_i^{SS} and λ_i^{SSS}) are calculated here. We

introduce Γ_n denoting the incomplete gamma function

$$\Gamma(n-2, x=\frac{M_Q^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}) = \int_{M_Q^2/\Lambda_\chi^2}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z} e^{-z} z^{n-2}; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots . \tag{6}$$

The results are listed below, where we also keep higher order terms in $1/\Lambda_\chi$ expansion,

$$\lambda_1^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{12\lambda_S M_Q}\left[\left(2 - 7\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1} + 2\frac{\Gamma_3}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^4 - \left(2 - \frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^2\left(1 - g_A^2\right)\right], \tag{7}$$

$$\lambda_2^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{12\lambda_S M_Q}\left[\left(2 - 5\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1} + 2\frac{\Gamma_3}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^4 - \left(2 - 3\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^2\left(1 - g_A^2\right) + \left(1 - g_A^2\right)^2\right], \tag{8}$$

$$\lambda_3^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{6\lambda_S M_Q}\left[\left(2\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1} - \frac{\Gamma_3}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^4 + \left(2 - 2\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^2\left(1 - g_A^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - g_A^2\right)^2\right], \tag{9}$$

$$\lambda_4^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{3\lambda_S N_F M_Q}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^2, \tag{10}$$

$$\lambda_5^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g_A}{4\lambda_S N_F}\left[\frac{\Gamma_0}{B} - \frac{2\Gamma_1}{3M_Q} g_A\right], \tag{11}$$

$$\lambda_6^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_0}{\lambda_S B}\left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{5\Gamma_1}{6\Gamma_0} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{3\Gamma_0}\right) g_A^2, \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda_7^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_0}{\lambda_S B}\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{5\Gamma_1}{6\Gamma_0} + \frac{\Gamma_2}{3\Gamma_0}\right) g_A^2, \tag{13}$$

$$\lambda_8^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g_A}{2\lambda_S}\left[-\frac{\Gamma_1}{6M_Q}\left(2 - \frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1}\right) g_A + \frac{\Gamma_0}{B}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0}\right)\right], \tag{14}$$

$$\lambda_9^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{12\lambda_S N_F^2 M_Q} g_A^2, \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_{10}^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{6\lambda_S M_Q}\left[(-1 + \frac{3\Gamma_2}{2\Gamma_1}) g_A^2 + \left(1 - g_A^2\right)\right], \tag{16}$$

$$\lambda_{11}^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{3\lambda_S M_Q}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\Gamma_1}\right) g_A^2, \tag{17}$$

$$\lambda_{12}^S = -\frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{3\lambda_S M_Q}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\Gamma_1}\right) g_A, \tag{18}$$

$$\lambda_{13}^S = -\frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{3\Gamma_0 M_Q}{4\lambda_S B^2}\left(1 - \frac{2\Gamma_1}{3\Gamma_0}\right), \tag{19}$$

$$\lambda_{14}^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{4\lambda_S}\left(-\frac{\Gamma_0}{B}g_A + \frac{\Gamma_1}{3M_Q}g_A^2 + \frac{\Gamma_0}{B^2}M_Q\right), \tag{20}$$

$$\lambda_{15}^S = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{6\lambda_S M_Q}, \tag{21}$$

$$\lambda_{16}^S = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$\lambda_{17}^S = -\frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_1}{6\lambda_S M_Q}\left(2 - \frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1}\right)g_A^2, \tag{23}$$

$$\lambda_{18}^S = -\frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{\Gamma_0}{2\lambda_S B}\left(1 - \frac{2\Gamma_1}{3\Gamma_0}\right), \tag{24}$$

$$\lambda_1^{SS} = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g_A^2}{\lambda_S^2}\left[\frac{\Gamma_0}{2} - \frac{10\Gamma_1}{3} + \frac{4\Gamma_2}{3}\right], \tag{25}$$

$$\lambda_2^{SS} = \frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{g_A^2}{\lambda_S^2}\left[\frac{\Gamma_0}{2} - \frac{5\Gamma_1}{3} + \frac{2\Gamma_2}{3}\right], \tag{26}$$

$$\lambda_3^{SS} = -\frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{\lambda_S^2}\frac{3}{B}\left(\Gamma_0 - \frac{2\Gamma_1}{3}\right), \tag{27}$$

$$\lambda_0^{SSS} = -\frac{N_c}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{\lambda_S^3}M_Q 4\left(\Gamma_0 - \frac{2\Gamma_1}{3}\right), \tag{28}$$

where

$$x = \frac{M_Q^2}{\Lambda_\chi^2}, \tag{29}$$

$$\lambda_S^2 = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2}\frac{2}{3}\left[3\Gamma_0 - 2\Gamma_1\right], \tag{30}$$

$$B = \frac{\Gamma_{-1}\cdot M_Q}{\Gamma_0 \cdot g_A}, \tag{31}$$

M_Q is the constituent quark mass and

$$g_A = \frac{1}{1 + 4G_V x\Gamma_0}\ , \tag{32}$$

characterizing the π – A_1 mixing.

During the calculations, the equation of motion of the pseudo-Goldstone from $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ χPT ,

$$\nabla_\mu u^\mu - \frac{i}{2}\left(\chi_- - \frac{1}{N_F} < \chi_- >\right) = 0 \tag{33}$$

with N_F the number of the flavors, has been used to simplify our final results.

The above calculation can be extended to vector and axial vector sector by using field redefinition [21] and even the total 90 $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ low energy constants. It is worthwhile to point out that this kind of calculations is model-dependent, and ENJL model itself suffers for its own problem [22] in the scalar sectors. However, our calculations afford a reference for further researches in resonance chiral theory.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Professor Han-Qing Zheng for useful comments. During this work we benefited from helpful discussions with Zhi-Hui Guo and Juan Jose Sanz-Cillero. This work is supported in part by National Nature Science Foundations of China under contract number 10575002, 10421503.

References

[1] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. **158** (1984) 142;
Nucl. Phys. B **250** (1985) 465.

[2] R. Kaiser and H. Leutwyler, Eur. Phys. J. C **17** (2000) 623;
P. Herrera-Siklody *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **497** (1997) 345.

[3] Q. Wang, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D61**(2000)054011; J. Phys. **G28**(2002)L55;
H. Yang, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D66**(2002)014019.

[4] G. Ecker *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **321** (1989) 311.

[5] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **72** (1974) 461.

[6] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **75** (1974) 461.

[7] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **160** (1979) 57.

[8] Z. G. Xiao and H. Q. Zheng, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A22**(2007)55; Z. H. Guo,
J. J. Sanz Cillero and H. Q. Zheng, hep-ph/0701232.

[9] B. Moussallam, Nucl. Phys. B **504** (1997) 381 [arXiv:hep-ph/9701400].

[10] M. Knecht and A. Nyffeler, Eur. Phys. J. C **21** (2001) 659
[arXiv:hep-ph/0106034].

[11] P. D. Ruiz-Femenia, A. Pich and J. Portolés, JHEP **0307** (2003) 003
[arXiv:hep-ph/0306157].

[12] J. Bijnens, E. Gamiz, E. Lipartia and J. Prades, JHEP **0304** (2003) 055
[arXiv:hep-ph/0304222].

[13] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker, M. Eidemüller, A. Pich and J. Portolés, Phys. Lett. B
596 (2004) 96 [arXiv:hep-ph/0404004].

[14] V. Cirigliano, G. Ecker, M. Eidemüller, R. Kaiser, A. Pich and J. Portolés,
JHEP **0504** (2005) 006 [arXiv:hep-ph/0503108].

[15] V. Cirigliano *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **753** (2006) 139.

[16] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and G. Ecker, JHEP **9902** (1999) 020.

[17] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122** (1961) 345; **124** (1961) 246; T. Eguchi, Phys. Rev. **D14**(1976)2755; K. Kikkawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **56**(1976)947; M. K. Volkov and D. Ebert, Yad. Fiz. **36**(1982)1265; H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. **B59**(1975)163; **B62**(1976)429; A. Dhar, R. Shankar and S. R. Wadia, Phys. Rev. **D31** (1985) 3256; S. R. Wadia, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 86 (1986) 26; D. Ebert and H. Reinhardt, Nucl. Phys. **B271** (1986) 188; T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rept. (1994)247; W. Weise, *Hadrons in the NJL model*, lectures given at Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National Univ., Seoul, Korea; September 1992, Regensburg preprint TPR-93-2; J. Bijnens, Phys. Rept. **265**(1996)369.

[18] R. D. Ball, Phys. Rept. **182**(1989)1.

[19] J. Bijnens, C. Bruno, E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. **B390**(1993)501.

[20] Y. B. Dai, Y. L. Wu, Eur. Phys. J.**C39**(2005)S1.

[21] J. Bijnens and E. Pallante, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11**, 1069 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9510338].

[22] M. X. Su, L. Y. Xiao and H. Q. Zheng, Nucl. Phys. A to appear.