Asymptotic freedom and quarks confinement treated through Thompson's approach

Cláudio Nassif*, A. C. Amaro de Faria** Jr. and P. R. Silva***

*UFOP: Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Campus Morro do Cruzeiro, s/n, CEP:34.500-000, Ouro Preto-MG, Brazil.

**IEAv: Instituto de Estudos Avançados, Rodovia dos Tamoios, Km 099, CEP:12.220-000, São José dos Campos-SP, Brazil.

***UFMG: Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Departamento de Física-ICEx, Caixa Postal 702, CEP:30.123-970, Belo Horizonte-MG, Brazil.

In this work, we first use Thompson's renormalization group method to treat QCDvacuum behavior close to the regime of asymptotic freedom. QCD-vacuum behaves effectively like a "paramagnetic system" of a classical theory in the sense that virtual color charges (gluons) emerge in it as spin effect of a paramagnetic material when a magnetic field aligns their microscopic magnetic dipoles. Making a classical analogy with the paramagnetism of Landau's theory, we are able to introduce a kind of Landau effective action without temperature and phase transition for just representing QCD-vacuum behavior at higher energies as being magnetization of a paramagnetic material in the presence of a magnetic field H. This reasoning allows us to use Thompson's heuristic approach in order to obtain an "effective susceptibility" $(\chi>0)$ of the QCD-vacuum. It depends on logarithmic of energy scale u to investigate hadronic matter. Consequently, we are able to get an "effective magnetic permeability" ($\mu > 1$) of such a "paramagnetic vacuum". As QCD-vacuum must obey Lorentz invariance, the attainment of $\mu > 1$ must simply require that the "effective electrical permissivity" is $\epsilon < 1$ in such a way that $\mu \epsilon = 1$ $(c^2 = 1)$. This leads to the anti-screening effect, where the asymptotic freedom takes place. On the other hand, quarks confinement, a subject which is not treatable by perturbative calculations, is worked by the present approach. We apply the method to study this issue for obtaining the string constant, which is in agreement with the experiments.

1. Introduction

The most largely employed strategy for dealing with problems involving many length scales is the "Renormalization - Group (RG) approach"¹. The RG has been applied to treat the critical behavior of a system undergoing second order phase transition and has been shown to be a powerful method to obtain their critical indexes².

In an alternative way to the RG approach, C.J.Thompson³ used a heuristic method (of the dimensions) as a way to obtain the correlation length critical index (ν) , which governs the critical behavior of a system in the neighborhood of its critical point. Starting from Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson hamiltonian or free energy, he got a closed form relation for $\nu(d)$ ³, where d is the spatial dimension. It is argued that the critical behavior of that Φ^4 -field theory is within the same class of universality

as that of the Ising Model.

 $\mathbf{2}$

One of the present authors⁴ applied Thompson's method to study diffusion limited chemical reaction $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ (inert product). The results obtained in that work⁴ agree with the exact results of Peliti⁵ who renormalized term by term given by the interaction diagramms in the perturbation theory.

Nassif and Silva⁶ proposed an action to describe diffusion limited chemical reactions belonging to various classes of universality. This action was treated through Thompson's approach and can encompass the cases of reactions like $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ within the same formalism. Just at the upper critical dimensions of $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ($d_c = 4$) and $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ($d_c = 2$) reactions, the present authors found universal logarithmic corrections for the mean field behavior.

Thompson's renormalization group method has been applied to obtain the correlation length critical exponent of the Random Field Ising Model by Aharony,Imry and Ma⁷ and by one of the present authors⁸. His method was also used to evaluate the correlation length critical exponent of the N-vector Model⁹. Yang - Lee Edge Singularity Critical Exponents¹⁰ has been also studied by this method. In short we have been exploring the various possibilities of the Thompson's method of dimensions⁴ 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17. As we can see, for instance, by considering these various possibilities of the method, we were able to obtain the universal logarithmic behavior for the coupling parameters of various models at their respective upper critical dimensions [4,6,8-16]. We also have shown how this method behaves when applied to QED_4^{17} and we have obtained the logarithmic behavior on scale of energy for coupling α (for d = 4).

The aim of the present work is to describe firstly the QCD-vacuum behavior by considering a strong classical analogy of such a vacuum with a paramagnetic material in the presence of an external magnetic field 18 . To do that, in Section 3, we will use a simple action in the form of that of Landau, i.e., without temperature and phase transition, where the magnetization due to the presence of an external magnetic field H is thought of as being a color scalar field of virtual gluons. Such a cloud of virtual gluons are induced in vacuum because, in the investigation of the internal structure of nucleons, high energy scales must be also considered. By applying Thompson's method (T.M) to such an action, it will be possible to extract an "effective electric permissivity" $\epsilon < 1$, an "effective magnetic permeability" $\mu > 1$ and also an "effective susceptibility" $\chi > 0$, which depends on logarithmic of energy scale u used to investigate the hadronic matter. Just in order to obey Lorentz invariance, we take into account the simple Lorentz condition for vacuum, i.e., $\mu\epsilon = 1$ $(c^2 = 1)^{18}$. Our investigation provides an analogy between the energy of the QCD-vacuum and the corresponding energy of magnetic dipoles of a paramagnetic material being ligned up by the action of a magnetic field. Due to this fact, in Section 2, we will verify that QCD-vacuum at high energies behaves as if it were predominantly a kind of "color paramagnetism" for gluons with spin 1, that is to say the bosonic behavior of QCD-vacuum in such a "paramagnetic regime $(\mu > 1)$ " for higher energies supplants completely the fermionic contribution for vacuum due to "diamagnetic regime ($\mu < 1$)", and thus we will get the asymptotic freedom in QCD as a consequence of this anti-screening effect ("vacuum paramagnetism")¹⁸.

In Section 4, we will study the contribution of quantum fluctuations for the field energy density. This leads to an interaction energy (Δmc^2) as an increment in the field energy and with logarithmic behavior on energy scale, allowing us to obtain a β - function to be compared with the well-known β - function of QCD at one loop level. Besides this, in contrast to the asymptotic freedom for high energies governed by those quantum fluctuations, in Section 5, we will study quarks confinement regime for low energies (large distances) governed by another new quantum contribution, which leads to a new increment in the field energy associated with the confinement energy of quarks. The value of the well-known string constant is computed analytically and it is found to be in agreement with the experiments.

2. QCD-Lagrangian, color charges, gluons and the "paramagnetism of color fields": Asymptotic freedom

2.1. QCD-Lagrangian

Quantum Chromodynamics $(QCD)^{19}$, the modern theory of the strong interactions²⁰ ²¹ is a non-abelian field theory. In 1973, Gross and Wilczek²² and independently Politzer²³ have shown that certain classes of non-abelian fields theories exhibit asymptotic freedom, which is a necessary condition for a theory that describes the strong interactions. These seminal papers ¹⁹ ²² ²³ opened the route to the birth of QCD.

In a not very accurate picture, QCD can be considered as an expanded version of QED. In QCD we have six fermionic fields for representing the various quark flavors, in contraposition to a single fermionic field of QED. Besides the asymptotic freedom exhibited at the ultraviolet limit, a theory of the strong interactions must also display quarks confinement at the infrared limit.

Whereas in QED there is just one kind of charge, QCD has three kinds of charge, labeled by "color" (red, green and blue)²⁰. The color charges are conserved in all physical processes. There are also photon-like massless particles called color gluons that respond in appropriate ways to the presence of color charge. This mechanism has some similarity with the ways that photons respond to electric charge in QED, except the non-abelian character of the theory.

Let us write the QCD-Lagrangian density, namely:

$$L = \Sigma_j \overline{\psi}_j (i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - m_j)\psi_j - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a, \qquad (1)$$

where $D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}ig\lambda_a A^{\mu}_a$, and $G^{\mu\nu}_a = \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}_a - \partial^{\nu}A^{\mu}_a - gf_{abc}A^{\mu}_b A^{\nu}_c 19$

In (1) above, m_j and ψ_j are the mass and quantum field of the quark of j^{th} "flavor", and A is the gluon field, μ and ν being the space-time indexes. a, b and c are color indexes. The coefficients f (structure constants) and λ_a guarantee SU(3) color symmetry. g is the coupling constant.

The gluon part of (1) contains both a kinetic term $L_{kin} = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\mu}A_{a\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{a\mu})(\partial_{\mu}A_{a}^{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{a}^{\mu})$ and an interaction term $L_{int.} = \frac{1}{2}gf_{abc}(\partial_{\mu}A_{a\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{a\mu})A_{b}^{\mu}A_{c}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g^{2}f_{abc}f_{a'b'c'}A_{b\mu}A_{c\nu}A_{b'}^{\mu}A_{c'}^{\nu}$. The form of the kinetic term is the same form as the photon term of the well-known QED-Lagrangian. Thus exchange of gluons gives rise to forces similar to the Coulomb interaction but acting on particles with color instead of charges. However, gluons carry color themselves (unlike photons that don't carry any charge), leading to the interaction term $(L_{int.})$ between gluons themselves, and this is the situation that makes QCD an asymptotically free theory.

2.2. Color charges and color fields (gluons)

It is well-known the energy stored in an electric field according to the classical theory, mamely $U_{cl.} = \int_{V_3} E_{cl.}^2 dV_3$, such integration performed in a 3D spacelike volume. In a previous paper (see ref.[17]), where we have considered QEDat high energies, quantum fluctuations due to vacuum polarization affect the energy through a squared quantum contribution of the field $(\overline{\Delta E^2})$, since the linear quantum contribution term ΔE averages out to zero for long time. So we have obtained $\overline{E^2} = \overline{E_{cl.}^2} + \overline{\Delta E^2} \, {}^{17}$, where the bars means averaging over a sufficiently long time at the scale of fluctuations. Therefore, we were interested in the quantum process, namely the absorption and emission of virtual photons, leading to the quantum correction in the field E, i.e., $\Delta E_{rms} = [(\overline{\Delta}E^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} 17$, where the index rms means root mean square. We have thought that such a correction is different of zero only in the presence of the fermionic field due to its purely quantum origin. This led us to propose the relation $\Delta E_{rms}^2 = \xi^2 \psi_{rms}^2$ ¹⁷, where we have considered $\psi_{rms}^2 = \langle [\overline{\psi}\psi] \rangle_r = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r^3}$ (see 11 in ref. [17]). ψ_{rms}^2 corresponds to the mean squared fermionic field on the variable of r scale, and ξ is a proportionality constant. Such relations allowed us to obtain $\Delta E_{rms} \propto \frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ in QED for quantum contribution of the $\mathrm{field}^{23}\ 24\ 25$ at high energy. It must be compared with the inverse square Gauss law of the classical contribution, and so we realize that it leads to a logarithmic correction on r scale for energy of the field $17 \ 25$.

As QCD introduces color charges and color fields, since gluons carry color charges (unlike photons that don't carry any charge)¹⁹, we could extend the reasoning above in order to treat QCD by considering a general "color electric field", namely:

$$\overline{E_a^2} = \overline{E_{cl.a}^2} + \overline{\Delta E_{F.a}^2} + \overline{\Delta E_{B.a}^2},\tag{2}$$

where $\overline{E_{cl.a}^2}$ is the classical contribution for the color field with a "mode" a. $\overline{\Delta E_{F.a}^2}$ is a fermionic contribution for the color field, which is similar to that of QED $(\Delta E_{rms} = [(\overline{\Delta E^2})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \ ^{17})$, however QED has no color. $\overline{\Delta E_{B.a}^2}$ is a quantum contribution for the color field, which does not have any analogy with QED. Such a contribution is due to quantum fluctuations of color fields in the presence of bosons (gluons) since they carry color themselves, i.e., it is a bosonic contribution for the color field. We will see that such a new quantum contribution has origin exclusively

from QCD-vacuum behavior, which leads to the anti-screening effect and thus makes QCD an asymptotically free theory.

Actually (2) supplies a total energy density $u = u_{cl.} + u_F + u_B$, u_{cl} being the classical contribution for energy density. u_F and u_B are the fermionic and bosonic contributions respectively. We will see that u_B has a changed signal with respect to u_F , which leads to the anti-screening effect and the asymptotic freedom of QCD, in opposition to the screening effect of QED.

Now we assume that a heuristic approach used by Thompson³ to study critical phenomena can be applied to the lagrangian (1). The first prescription of Thompson³ 17 is basically a scale argument with dimensional analysis for average values on scales. It states that:

"When we consider the integral of the Lagrangian (1) in a coherence volume L^d for *d*-dimensions, the modulus of each integrated term of it is separately of the order of unity³".

This method by using its three prescriptions was firstly applied by Thompson³ to the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson free energy or Hamiltonian, obtaining critical exponents within the same universality class of the Ising model. As the present model does not have any kind of phase transistion or spontaneous breakdown of symmetry, it is only necessary to use the first prescription of Thompson. So by applying such prescription to the knetic fermionic term of Lagrangian (1), we write

$$\left| \int_{r} [\overline{\psi}_{j}(i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu})\psi_{j}]_{r} dV_{4} \right| \sim 1,$$
(3)

where $dV_4 \sim r^3 dr$

We can observe that the dimension of $\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}$ $([\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}]_r)$ which appears in the integral (3) is the same as $[\partial^{\mu}]_r = r^{-1}$. This is because we are thinking only about a dimensional analysis in (3) for $\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}$. So, for this case, we can naturally neglect the spinorial aspect of the field and just consider the $\dot{}$ first derivative ∂^{μ} , which defines the fermions (quarks) regarding to the scaling dimensional analysis, namely $[\partial^{\mu}]_r = r^{-1}$.

It is interesting to note that the integral above leads immediately to a kind of scaling dimensional analysis where the dimensional value of certain quantity $[\overline{\psi_j}\psi_j]$ inside the integral is taken out of its integrand as a mean value in a coherent hypervolume of scale L^4 , where $dV_4 \sim r^3 dr$. Thus, from (3) we extract the following scaling behavior, namely:

$$\left\langle [\overline{\psi_j}\psi_j] \right\rangle_r \equiv [\overline{\psi_j}\psi_j]_r \sim \frac{1}{r^3}.$$
 (4)

In analogous way to that heuristic reasoning used for QED, by considering the fermionic contribution of condensate $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle$ over quantum fluctuations of field E, namely $\overline{\Delta E^2} \propto \langle [\overline{\Psi}\Psi] \rangle_r \propto \frac{1}{r^3} 1^7$, then, for QCD, we have a fermionic contribution for quark condensate, which also contributes for quantum fluctuations of the color

field E_a through $\Delta E_{F.a}$, namely:

$$\overline{\Delta E_{F.a}^2} \propto \left\langle [\overline{\Psi}_j \Psi_j] \right\rangle_r \propto \frac{1}{r^3}.$$
(5)

2.3. "Paramagnetism of color fields" in QCD-vacuum: Asymptotic freedom

Let us first recapitulate some properties of ordinary polarizable media for classical theory. In a polarizable medium, the potential energy of two static test charges q and Q is $U_{el.}(r) = \frac{qQ}{4\pi\epsilon r}$, where r is the distance between the two charges, ϵ being the dieletric constant which takes the value $\epsilon_0 = 1$ in vacuum. Ordinarily, the polarizability of the medium causes a screening of the interaction between the test static charges, so that $\epsilon > 1$. On the other hand, anti-screening corresponds to $\epsilon < 1$.

A relativistic quantum field theory has a vacuum which presents a strong classical analogy with the ordinary polarizable medium, however it just differs from an ordinary polarizable medium on a very important aspect: it is relativistically invariant. This means that, if we set the speed of light c = 1, the magnetic permeability μ is related to the dieletric constant (electric permissivity) ϵ by

$$\mu \epsilon = 1. \tag{6}$$

The implication of Lorentz invariance in QCD is very important for theories about confinement of quarks and gluons²⁶. Such a relationship (6) does not exist for an ordinary or classical polarizable medium.

In order to obey Lorentz invariance shown in (6), we can conclude that ordinary screening means $\mu < 1$ (diamagnetism), and ordinary anti-screening means $\mu >$ 1 (analogous to paramagnetism of the Landau's classical theory). The magnetic permeability μ is written in the following way:

$$\mu = 1 + 4\pi\chi,\tag{7}$$

where χ is the magnetic susceptibility. QCD-vacuum has classical analogy to the paramagnetic medium¹⁸. We will see that the increase of energy scale u for investigating the hadronic matter leads to the increase of "effective susceptibility" of QCD-vacuum $\chi_{eff.} = \chi(u)(>0)$ to be determined in the next section. This leads to an increase of the "effective magnetic permeability" of QCD-vacuum, namely $\mu_{eff} = 1 + 4\pi\chi(u)$.

By considering a paramagnetic medium with a volume V and an uniform magnetization M in the presence of the field H, thus we have the following energy:

$$E = E_{paramagnetic} = -\frac{1}{2}4\pi M H V = -\frac{1}{2}4\pi \chi H^2 V, \qquad (8)$$

where $M = \chi H$.

In spite of there is not Lorentz invariance in ordinary media, a paramagnetic medium still realizes a strong classical analogy to QCD-vacuum in the sense that we could think that such vacuum is a medium with spin effect of color charges¹⁸ related to virtual gluons with bosonic spin (s = 1) like photons. So in this case, we have a direct classical analogy to magnetization M due to fermions $(s = \pm 1/2)$, which leads us to think about a kind of "color magnetization M_a " for QCD-vacuum as being a "color paramagnetic medium" in the presence of a "color magnetic field H_a ". Following such an analogy to QCD-vacuum, we can write

$$M_a = \chi_{eff} H_a, \tag{9}$$

where a is just a color mode that we select for convenience, and $\chi_{eff} = \chi(u)$ is the "effective paramagnetic susceptibility" for QCD-vacuum, having dependence on the energy scale u.

In (8), paramagnetism manifests itself through the minus sign in front of the right-hand side. This has an analogy to QCD, where the vacuum energy is decreased in the presence of a color magnetic field¹⁸. So (8) can be written in the following way for representation of the "color paramagnetic energy" of QCD-vacuum, namely:

$$E = E_{vac,QCD} = E_{color-paramagnetic} = -\frac{1}{2}4\pi M_a H_a V = -\frac{1}{2}4\pi \chi(u) H_a^2 V, \quad (10)$$

where V is a kind of coherence volume inside which the color fields are greatly correlated, having an analogy to the correlated spin effect 18 .

The behavior of the increasing function $\chi_{eff} = \chi(u)$ will be shown in the next section.

3. An effective Landau's Hamiltonian as a model for mimicing the "color paramagnetism"

The strong classical analogy between QCD-vacuum at a certain energy scale u of investigation and a paramagnetic medium with magnetization M in a magnetic field H motivates us to introduce an effective Landau's Hamiltonian for representing the vacuum inside the hadronic matter as a paramagnetic medium in the presence of a color magnetic field H_a . This simple model will be presented in this section.

It is well-known that a cloud of virtual gluons emerges in QCD-vacuum at high energies u, leading to the "color paramagnetism" (anti-screening), whereas, on the other hand, a cloud of virtual electron-positron pairs appears in QED-vacuum at high energies, leading to the vacuum polarization. We have a "dielectric vacuum" (screening) for QED.

The cloud of virtual gluons in QCD-vacuum are quanta of the color field induced by the probe used to investigate the structure of the hadronic matter, which depends on its energy scale u. It depends also on the proper color magnetic field H_a that already exists inside the hadronic matter under investigation. Therefore, such a color field could be thought of as being directly related to the color magnetization M_a and also to the color magnetic field H_a , since we have the relation $M_a = \chi(u)H_a$. So now let us think about such a color field as being a general scalar field Φ_a , namely:

$$\Phi_a = \Phi_a(r) = \Phi_a[M_a(r), H_a(r)] = \Phi_a[\chi(u), H_a(r)],$$
(11)

where $M_a(r) = \chi(u)H_a(r)$. As the effective susceptibility $\chi_{eff.} = \chi(u)$ and the color magnetic field H_a are independent parameters, let us use for convenience the scalar color field $\Phi_a(r)$ in the form $\Phi_a(r) = \Phi_a[\chi(u), H_a(r)]$. Here we think that the color magnetic field H_a and color magnetization M_a have dependence on r-coordinate inside the "color paramagnetic medium" represented by the hadronic matter.

Due to the classical analogy to paramagnetism, let us introduce now the following "effective Landau Hamiltonian" for mimicing the "color paramagnetism", namely:

$$F = \int_{L^d} [(\nabla \Phi_a)^2 + R(L)\Phi_a^2 + K(L)\Phi_a^4] d^d r,$$
(12)

where, in this case, the coefficients R(L) and K(L) do not depend on temperature.

The integration (12) extends over *d*-dimensional volume. Thompson's approach has three assumptions (ref.[3]). As we are not interested in phase transition³ in this model, we must use only the two first ones, namely:

(A) When the integral in (12) is taken over the coherence volume L^d in d-dimensions, the three terms separately in (12) are all of the order of unity.³ 17

(B) In the specific case of (12), we just have to consider the parameter K(L) to be finite in the limit $L \to \infty$. This leads us to consider a mean field regime above a certain critical dimension d_c where the coefficient K remains constant. In Landau's theory, we have $d_c = 4.3$

By applying the assumption (A) in the first term of (12), we write

$$\int_{L^d} (\nabla \Phi_a)^2 d^d r \sim 1, \tag{13}$$

where the parameter L forms the basis of our dimensional argument and may be thought of as being a wavelength cut-off, so that the mean value $\overline{\Phi}_a^2$ behaves as

$$\overline{\Phi_a^2} \sim L^{2-d}.\tag{14}$$

For the second term in (12), we have

$$\int_{L^d} R(L) \Phi_a^2 d^d r \sim R(L) \overline{\Phi_a^2} L^d \sim 1.$$
(15)

By inserting (14) into (15), we obtain

$$R(L)L^2 \sim 1. \tag{16}$$

For the third term in (12), we have

$$\int_{L^d} K(L) \Phi_a^4 d^d r \sim K(L) \overline{\Phi_a^4} L^d \sim 1,$$
(17)

such that, from (14) and the assumption (B), so from (17), we obtain

$$K(L) \sim \begin{cases} L^{d-4} : d \le 4, \\ 1 : \quad d \ge 4. \end{cases}$$
(18)

From (18), we observe that $d = d_c = 4$ is a special dimension (an upper critical dimension) above which we have a mean field behavior⁶, that is to say the coupling parameter K does not depend on scale L, so that K is a constant parameter. In other words, below d = 4, fluctuations are very important for the problem, deviating from the mean field behavior, and above d = 4, the "mean field" description^b is a good description for the problem. So d = 4, which coincides with the space-time dimension, corresponds exactly to a kind of border-line dimension for representing the QCD-vacuum as a Lorentz invariant theory and also its classical analogy with paramagnetic media. Therefore, we must improve our approximations in order to "see" the logarithmic dependence on scale L (ref.[17]) of the coupling K(L) just at $d = d_c = 4$, or equivalently at the energy scale $u = L^{-1}$. Similar situation has also occurred when we treated diffusion limited chemical reactions through Thompson's approach⁴ 6 ¹¹ ¹² ¹³, displaying universal logarithmic behavior at "upper critical dimensions" for "coupling constants" of those different models. Following that improvement technique to "see" such a logarithmic behavior, let us improve the calculation of (17) by taking the quantity Φ_a^4 inside the integral (17) and starting from the same scale form as that evaluated in (14), but now displaying a dependence on r-variable of scale. So by taking inside the integral (17) the quantity $[\Phi_a]_r^4 = ([\Phi_a]_r^2)^2 = r^{4-2d}$ and also the d-dimensional volume of integration in the form $d^d r = r^{d-1} dr$, we have

$$\int K(r)r^{4-2d}r^{d-1}dr = \int K(r)r^{3-d}dr \sim 1,$$
(19)

where, just for d = 4, exactly on the boder-line of mean field regime where K is practically constant, we are able to see now the refinement of the logarithmic dependence at length scale for K, namely $\int Kr^{-1}dr \sim 1$, which implies $K \sim [ln(r)]^{-1}$. So now, if we perform such a integration between the limits of scales L and L_0 , by considering L_0 an upper cut-off of length, we write

$$\int_{L}^{L_0} K r^{-1} dr \sim 1,$$
(20)

from where we obtain

$$K = K(L) \sim \frac{1}{\ln(\frac{L_0}{L})} \sim K(u) \sim \frac{1}{\ln(\frac{u}{u_0})},\tag{21}$$

where the energy scales are u = 1/L and $u_0 = 1/L_0$, with $u > u_0$, since u_0 is a lower cut-off at the scale of energy, i.e., it is an infrared limit.

As we have obtained the logarithmic behavior of the coupling parameter K just at $d = d_c = 4$ for such a paramagnetic medium, we can make an analogy with the QCD_4 -vacuum by obtaining now the "color scalar field amplitude" Φ_a^2 , having a direct analogy with the equilibrium magnetization in the Landau picture, namely $M^2 = -\tau r(L)/u(L)^3$. However, since in the present model we do not have any spontaneous breakdown of symmetry like in the Landau picture³, here we just

9

consider the coefficient R(L) instead of " $\tau r(L)$ "³ which depends on temperature. Thus we obtain

$$\Phi_a^2 = -\frac{R}{K} \tag{22}$$

As we are interested only in the behavior of Φ_a^2 on the border-line at $d_c = 4$ associated with the space-time dimension, we insert (21) into (22) and so we find

$$\Phi_a^2(r) = -c_1 R(r) \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right),\tag{23}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a positive proportionality constant.

We can associate the amplitude of scalar field $\Phi_a^2(r)$ with a negative energy density $\rho(r)$ of a "color paramagnetic medium" (QCD_4 -vacuum), having analogy to that negative energy density of a paramagnetic medium, namely $-\frac{1}{2}4\pi\chi H^2$ (ref.[18]). However we must consider an "effective susceptibility" $\chi_{eff.} = \chi(u)$ to represent QCD-vacuum, and also consider a "color magnetic field" $H_a(r)$. Thus such an analogy leads us to write

$$\rho_{vac,QCD}(r) = \Phi_a^2(r) = -c_1 R(r) \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} 4\pi \chi(u) H_a(r)^2,$$
(24)

from where we can firstly extract $c_1 \equiv 2\pi$ and $R(r) \equiv H_a^2(r)$, and thus we can rewrite (24) as follows:

$$\rho_{vac,QCD}(r) = \Phi_a^2(r) = -c_1 R(r) \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} 4\pi H_a(r)^2 \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right).$$
(25)

By comparing the right side of (25) with the right side of (24), we can also extract the "effective susceptibility", as follows:

$$\chi_{eff} = \chi(u) = \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right). \tag{26}$$

From (26), it is interesting to observe that the effective susceptibility of QCD_4 vacuum increases logarithmically with energy scale u. From (21) and (26), we can also notice that the parameter K is $K(u) \sim \chi(u)^{-1}$, which allows us to interpret such parameter as being related to a "strength" of coupling α_S between quarks. So we have $\alpha_S \sim K$. That is because, when $u \to u_0$ for the infrared limit, this implies $\chi(u_0) \to 0$ (very weak "paramagnetism"), which leads to $\alpha_S(u_0) \sim K(u_0) \to \infty$ (a much stronger coupling), namely we have a highly confined regime of quarks for low energies (infrared regime). On the other hand, when $u \to \infty$ for the ultraviolet regime, this implies $\chi(u) \to \infty$ ("color paramagnetism" becomes much more evident), which leads to $\alpha_S(u) \sim K(u) \to 0$ (a very weak coupling between quarks), that is to say we have the well-known asymptotic freedom (high energies).

For sake of simplicity, if we take the color magnetic field H_a practically uniform in (25), namely a uniform energy density $\rho_{vac,QCD}$ (or Φ_a^2), and by considering a coherence volume V, we simply obtain the "color paramagnetic energy" E as that given in (10), where χ_{eff} is now given in (26). So we finally write

$$E_{vac,QCD} = \rho_{vac,QCD} V = \Phi_a^2 V = -\frac{1}{2} 4\pi H_a^2 \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right) V.$$
 (27)

The "effective magnetic permeability" $\mu(u) = 1 + 4\pi\chi(u)$ can be obtained by considering (26), namely:

$$\mu(u) = 1 + 4\pi \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right). \tag{28}$$

In order to obtain the "effective electric permissivity" or the dieletric constant $\epsilon(u)$ of QCD-vacuum, now we must guarantee the Lorentz invariance by considering the relation (6) ($\mu \epsilon = 1$). So in doing that and considering (28), we find

$$\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{1 + 4\pi \ln(\frac{u}{u_0})},$$
(29)

where $u \ge u_0$. We have $\mu = \mu_0 = 1$ for $u = u_0$.

In QCD, we have an anti-screening such that the effective interaction between strong charges for higher energies is $Q_{eff.S}^2 = \epsilon q_S^2$, with $\epsilon < 1$, that is $Q_{eff.S} < q_S$. As the strong interaction is directly related to the strong coupling α_S , we can also write it in the form $\alpha_S = \epsilon \alpha_{0S}$. So by considering (29), finally we can also write it as follows:

$$\frac{\alpha_S}{\alpha_{0S}} = \frac{1}{1 + 4\pi \ln(\frac{u}{u_0})},\tag{30}$$

where we fix α_{0S} to be a large value, but finite for low energies. So (30) reveals to us the asymptotic freedom behavior for QCD_4 at high energies because, if we fix u_0 and consider $u \to \infty$, the ratio $\frac{\alpha_S}{\alpha_{0S}} \to 0$. This means that the strong coupling decreases when the energy scale increases. However, only the bosonic contribution of gluons for QCD-vacuum was evaluated for dieletric constant. In reality, there is a competition between the effects of bosonic (anti-screening) and fermionic (screening) contributions, where the first one prevails. This subject will be treated in the next section.

4. Contribution of quantum fluctuations for energy

Quantum fluctuations lead to an interaction energy (Δmc^2) as an increment in the field energy and with logarithmic behavior at length or energy scale. We can represent both of the fermionic and bosonic contributions of energy density u_F and u_B (see (2)) in the following conpact form:

$$u_T = u_{qF} + u_{qB} \equiv u_{cl} \left(\frac{r}{\overline{\lambda}_c}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \alpha_s \hbar c \frac{1}{r^4} \left(\frac{r}{\overline{\lambda}_c}\right),\tag{31}$$

where u_{cl} represents the classical energy density. u_T is the total contribution of quantum fluctuations for energy density, such that there is certain superior cut-off

wavelength $\overline{\lambda}_c$ below which $(r < \overline{\lambda}_c)$ we have quantum behavior of energy density u_T on r scale, i.e., $u_T = u_q \propto 1/r^3$, and equal or above which $(r \ge \overline{\lambda}_c)$ we recover the well-known classical behavior of u_T , namely $u_T = u_{cl.} \propto 1/r^4$. To be more accurate, we rewrite a general form of u_T for two regimes, namely:

$$u_T = \begin{cases} u_q = u_{qF} + u_{qB} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_s \hbar c}{\overline{\lambda}_c r^3} : r \le \overline{\lambda}_{c0} \\ u_{cl} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_s \hbar c}{r^4} : r \ge \overline{\lambda}_{c0}, \end{cases}$$
(32)

where $\overline{\lambda}_{c0} = \hbar/m_0 c$ is a sharp cut-off wavelength. As the quantum regime also presents the bosonic contributions u_B , which leads to anti-screening in QCD, the mass m_0 must be considered as a dynamical variable which exhibits fluctuations depending on the energy scale.

We are interested only in quantum regime for energy density u_q of the field $(r < \overline{\lambda}_{c0})$. So we want to obtain the interaction energy $\Delta E = \Delta mc^2$ in a certain coherence volume V. Then let us think about a spherical volume V and therefore we have the interaction energy in the differential form, namely:

$$dE = dmc^2 = u_q 4\pi r^2 dr = \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{\alpha_s \hbar c}{\overline{\lambda}_c r^3}\right) 4\pi r^2 dr.$$
(33)

We can also write (33) in the following way:

$$dE = dmc^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_s \hbar c}{\overline{\lambda}_c} \left(\frac{dr}{r}\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_s \hbar c}{\overline{\lambda}_c} \left(\frac{du}{u}\right), \tag{34}$$

where we have considered the energy scale u such that $r = u^{-1}$ and dr/r = udr = -du/u. So by performing the integration of (34), we write

$$\Delta mc^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{u_0}^u \frac{\alpha_s \hbar c}{\overline{\lambda}_c} \left(\frac{du}{u}\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{u_0}^u \alpha_s mc^2 \left(\frac{du}{u}\right),\tag{35}$$

where $\overline{\lambda}_c = \hbar/mc$ and $u > u_0$. Due to fluctuations, it is natural to think that the coupling α_s and the mass *m* vary rapidly with the energy scale *u*, so that we must take them off the integral (35) as avarage values on scale *u*, and thus we find

$$\Delta m = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\alpha}_s \ \overline{m} \int_{u_0}^u \frac{du}{u},\tag{36}$$

where we define $(\alpha_s m)_{average} = \overline{\alpha}_s \overline{m}$.

On the other hand, it is important to perceive that the increment on mass $\Delta m = (\int_V u_q dV)/c^2$ due to interactions in such a quantum regime is directly proportional to the increment on the coupling $(\Delta \alpha_s)$, since both the increments present logarithmic behavior on scale. Such reasoning was used before for QED¹⁷ and so now by extending it to our problem, let us write

$$\frac{\Delta \alpha_s}{\overline{\alpha}_s} = \frac{\Delta m}{\overline{m}} \tag{37}$$

By inserting (36) (for Δm) into (37) and performing the calculations, we obtain

$$\alpha = \alpha_{0s} - \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\alpha}_s)^2 \ln(\frac{u}{u_0}), \tag{38}$$

where $\Delta \alpha_s = \alpha_s - \alpha_{0s}$.

Now let us write $(\overline{\alpha}_s)^2$ in the following way:

$$(\overline{\alpha}_s)^2 = \alpha_s \alpha_{ref},\tag{39}$$

where α_{ref} is a certain reference coupling to be duly interpreted.

The equations (36),(37) and (39) define the variable parameters $\overline{\alpha}_s, \overline{m}$ and α_{ref} . Only the parameters $\alpha_s, m, \Delta \alpha_s$ and Δm are always real quantities, since they are physical parameters.

By substituting (39) in (38), we obtain

$$\alpha_s = \alpha_s(u) = \frac{\alpha_{0s}}{1 + \frac{\alpha_{ref}}{2} \ln(\frac{u}{u_0})}.$$
(40)

From the general result (40), we can observe that the sign of α_{ref} can change by controlling the predominance of anti-screening or screening. In the case of $\alpha_{ref} > 0$, then we have $\alpha_s \to 0$ for $u \to \infty$, which leads to the asymptotic freedom behavior of QCD connected to anti-screening. On the other hand, if $\alpha_{ref} < 0$, we have the well-known Landau singularity¹⁷, namely a finite value of the energy scale u_L such that $\alpha(u_L) \to \infty^{17}$. For illuminating ideas about the Landau pole, see refs.²⁷ ²⁸. This is the case of the increasing of the coupling with the increasing of the energy scale associated with screening for QED. This opposite case ($\alpha_{ref} < 0$) leads to an imaginary value for $\overline{\alpha}_s$ (see (39)), which means a non-asymptotically free theory like QED.

The result (40) also implies the following differential equation:

$$u\frac{d\alpha_s}{du} = -\left(\frac{\alpha_{ref}}{\alpha_{0s}}\right)\alpha_s^2.$$
(41)

By performing the integration of (41) above in the limits u_0 and u and their respective couplings $\alpha_s(u_0)$ and $\alpha_s(u)$, we obtain (40).

This differential equation (41) must be compared with the well-known β -function for QCD¹⁸ 29 30 31 when evaluated at one loop level, namely:

$$\left[u\frac{d\alpha_s}{du}\right]_{QCD} = -\left(\frac{33-2n_F}{3\pi}\right)\alpha_s^2.$$
(42)

It would be worth to make some comparison of the results of the equation (41) or (40) with some experimental evaluation of the strong coupling as a function of momentum (energy) of the probe. In a plot of reference [20], it is possible to get an estimate for α_{0s} , namely:

$$\alpha_{0s} = \alpha_s (u_0 = 1 Gev) \cong 0.43. \tag{43}$$

Taking in consideration (43) and comparing (41) with (42), we have

$$\alpha_{ref} = \alpha_{0s} \frac{21}{3\pi} \cong 0.96. \tag{44}$$

For obtaining α_{ref} in (44), we also have considered $n_F = 6$ as the number of quark flavors. By using (40) and the fact that $\alpha_{ref} \equiv \alpha_s(u = u_{ref}) \approx 0.96$, thus u_{ref} can be determined. So taking into account the previous results, we get

$$u_{ref} \cong 316 Mev. \tag{45}$$

This reference value is practically the quark constituent mass of the nucleon since we consider that each valence quark carries out one third of the nucleon mass.

5. Quarks Confinement

In contrast to the asymptotic freedom, which governs the ultraviolet (short distances) behavior of the theory, we also intend to look for its infrared behavior. We propose that such achievement can be done by taking into consideration the role of a scalar field in addition to the other quantum contributions for the energy density. Whereas u_{qF} and u_{qB} behaves as $1/r^3$, we have $u_{confine} \equiv u_{qc} \propto 1/r^2$. So we write the total field energy density as follows:

$$u_T = u_{cl} + u_{qF} + u_{qB} + u_{qc}, (46)$$

where u_{qc} is supplied by the scale relation (14) for d = 4, namely $u_{qc} \propto \langle \Phi_a^2 \rangle \propto 1/r^2$. For very large distances (much lower energies), u_{qc} prevails whereas the terms $u_{cl} \propto 1/r^4$, $u_{qF} \propto 1/r^3$ and $u_{qB} \propto 1/r^3$ go rapidly to zero. That is the reason why that last term in (46) governs quarks confinement.

As we can relate Φ_{ia} to a gluon field with a dressed gluon mode *i* and a single color indexed by *a*, let us write

$$u_{qc} \propto \langle \Phi_{ia} \Phi_{ia} \rangle \propto \frac{1}{r^2},$$
(47)

where i = 1, 2...8, with the 3 colors indexed by "a".

Let us compare u_{qc} with u_{cl} in a similar way made for u_{qF} and u_{qB} in (32), and so we write

$$u_{qc} = u_{cl} \frac{r^2}{\overline{\lambda}_c^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \alpha_s \hbar c \frac{1}{\overline{\lambda}_c^2 r^2},\tag{48}$$

where $u_{cl} = \alpha_s \hbar c / 8\pi r^4$. $\overline{\lambda}_c = \hbar / m_q c$, m_q being the quark constituent mass. Therefore, by inserting $\overline{\lambda}_c$ into (48), we obtain

$$u_{qc} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_s m_q^2 c^3}{\hbar} \frac{1}{r^2}.$$
 (49)

Now we can obtain the confinement total energy $\Delta E_{confine} = \Delta m_{confine}c^2$ by performing the integration of (49) over a spherical volume and also by considering the total of 8 gluons with 3 colors. So we write

$$\Delta m_{confine} c^2 = 24 \int u_{qc} dV_3 = 24 \int_0^r \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_s m_q^2 c^3}{\hbar} \frac{1}{r^2} \right) 4\pi r^2 dr.$$
(50)

From (50), we finally obtain

$$\Delta m_{confine}c^2 = 12\overline{\alpha}_s \frac{m_q^2 c^3}{\hbar} \int_0^r dr,$$
(51)

15

where $\overline{\alpha}_s = \alpha_s(m_{nucleon}c^2) = \alpha_s(940Mev).$

Since there are 3 quarks inside the nucleon, having each one the constituent mass $m_q = (1/3)m_{nucleon}$, and also 3 pairs of linked quarks³², thus the confinement mean energy per quark pair $\Delta \epsilon$ leads us to consider from (51) that

$$\Delta \epsilon = \frac{1}{3} (\Delta m_{confine} c^2) = 4\alpha_s (940 Mev) \frac{m_q^2 c^3}{\hbar} \int_0^r dr = k_s r,$$
(52)

where we think there are 3 pairs of strongly coupled quarks, so that each pair is internally linked by a string constant $k_s = 4\alpha_s(940 Mev)m_a^2 c^3/\hbar$.

We can estimate the value of k_s . Taking into account (43) and (44), we can calculate $\alpha_s(940Mev)$ from (40), and so we obtain $\alpha_s(u = 940Mev) \cong 0.443$. We also obtain $m_q^2 c^3/\hbar = m_q^2 c^4/\hbar c \cong 0.498 GeV/fm$, where we have considered that each valence quark with constituent mass m_q carries out one third of the nucleon mass. Finally we estimate $k_s \cong 0.882$ Gev/fm. This result is in agreement with experiments.³³

We must emphasize that the non-perturbative treatment for infrared regime in QCD is generally based on lattice gauge theory³⁴, leading to numerical approaches. Therefore, quarks confinement cannot be treated analytically by perturbative methods. In view of this fact, we perceive a great advantage of the present approach that was able to obtain analytically by a simple way the value of the string constant.

Acknowledgedments

We are grateful to Prof. Holger Gies from Heidelberg University for clarifying the problem of Landau pole in QED and also for interesting suggestions in applying the method to non-perturbative problems like the quarks confinement in QCD. The first author thanks Prof. J. A. Helayel-Neto for interesting discussions.

References

- 1. K. G. Wilson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 55, 583 (1983).
- 2. K. G. Wilson, Physica **73**, 119 (1974).
- 3. C. J. Thompson, J. Phys. A9, L25 (1976).
- 4. P. R. Silva, Phys. Stat. Sol. B179, K5 (1993).
- 5. L. Peliti, J. Phys. A19, L365 (1986).

- 6. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, Mod. Phys. Lett. B13, 829 (1999).
- 7. A. Aharony, Y. Imry and S.-K. Ma., Phys. Rev. Lett. 37,1364 (1976).
- 8. P. R. Silva, Phys. Stat. sol. **B165**, K79 (1991).
- 9. P. R. Silva, Phys. Stat. sol. B174, 497 (1992).
- 10. P. R. Silva, Phys. Stat. sol. B179, K99 (1993).
- 11. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, Mod.Phys.Lett.B15, 33 (2001).
- 12. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, Mod.Phys.Lett.B15No 26, 1205 (2001).
- 13. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, Mod.Phys.Lett.B16, 601 (2002).
- 14. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, PhysicaA 334, 335-342 (2004).
- 15. P. R. Silva, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys.A 12 (7), 1373-1384 (1997).
- 16. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B 17, 26, 4645 (2003).
- 17. C. Nassif and P. R. Silva, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A 21, 18, 3809 (2006): hep-ph/0506191
- 18. N. K. Nielsen, Am. J. Phys.49, N.12, 1171-1178 (1981).
- 19. W. Marciano and H. Pagels, Phys. Rep. C.36, 137 (1978).
- F. Wilczek, Phys. Today August, 22-28 (2000); see also S. Bethke, hep-ex/0211012 and F. Wilczek, arXiv:hep-ph/0502113v2.
- For a wide-ranging survey, see, H. Kastrup, P. Zerwas, eds., QCD 20 Years Later, World Scientific, Singapore (1993).
- 22. D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett.30, 1343 (1973).
- 23. H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett.30, 1346 (1973).
- 24. Laurent Nottale, in: Fractal Space-time and Microphysics, Ch.6, p.203, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong (1993).
- S. Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol1, p.496, Cambridge University Press, (USA) (1996).
- 26. V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. 56, 72 (1939); Phys. Today November, 69-85 (1981).
- 27. Gockeler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4119 (1998): arXiv:hep-th/9712244.
- 28. H. Gies and J. Jaeckel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 110405 (2004): arXiv:hep-th/0405183.
- 29. C. G. Callan, R. F. Dashen and D. J. Gross, Phys. Rev. D 19, 1826 (1979).
- K. Moriyasu, An Elementary Primer For Gauge Theory, World Scientific, Singapore (1983).
- F. Halzen and A. D. Martin, Quarks and Leptons: An Introductory Course in Modern Particle Physics, Wiley, N. York (1984).
- 32. H. Miyazawa, Statistical Mechanics of Quarks and Hadrons (Proceedings of an International Symposium Held at the University of Bielefeld, August 24-31, 1980, Edited by Helmut Satz, North-Holland Publishing Company), p.79-90 (1981).
- Donald H. Perkins, Introduction to High Energy Physics-Third Edition, Addison Wesley, 297 (1987).
- 34. K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D10, 2445 (1974).