

DMYTRO IVANENKO

# ASYMPTOTICALLY OPTIMAL ESTIMATOR OF THE PARAMETER OF SEMI-LINEAR AUTOREGRESSION <sup>1</sup>

The difference equations  $\xi_k = af(\xi_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_k$ , where  $(\varepsilon_k)$  is a square integrable difference martingale, and the differential equation  $d\xi = -af(\xi)dt + d\eta$ , where  $\eta$  is a square integrable martingale, are considered. A family of estimators depending, besides the sample size  $n$  (or the observation period, if time is continuous) on some random Lipschitz functions is constructed. Asymptotic optimality of this estimators is investigated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### *Discrete time*

We consider the difference equation

$$\xi_k = af(\xi_{k-1}) + \epsilon_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi_0$  is a prescribed random variable,  $f$  is a prescribed nonrandom function,  $a$  is an unknown scalar parameter and  $(\epsilon_k)$  is a square integrable difference martingale with respect to some flow  $(F_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  of  $\sigma$ -algebras such that the random variable  $\xi_0$  is  $F_0$ -measurable. In the detailed form, the assumption about  $(\epsilon_k)$  means that for any  $k$   $\epsilon_k$  is  $F_k$ -measurable,

$$E\epsilon_k^2 < \infty \quad (2)$$

and

$$E(\epsilon_k | F_{k-1}) = 0. \quad (3)$$

The word "semi-linear" in the title means that the right-hand sides of (1) depend linearly on  $a$  but not on  $\xi$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*. Primary 62F12. Secondary 60F05.

*Key words and phrases*. Martingale, estimator, optimization, convergence.

We use the notation: l.i.p. – limit in probability;  $\xrightarrow{d}$  – the weak convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of random functions, in particular convergence in distribution of random variables.

Let for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$   $h_k = h_k(\omega, x)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_{k-1} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -measurable function (that is the sequence  $(h_k)$  be predictable) such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(|\xi_{k+1}| + |af(\xi_{k+1})|) |h_k(\xi_k)|] + \mathbb{E}|h_k(\xi_k)| < \infty.$$

Then from (1) – (3) we have  $\mathbb{E}(\xi_{k+1} - af(\xi_k)) h_k(\xi_k) = 0$ , whence

$$a = (\mathbb{E}\xi_{k+1}h_k(\xi_k)) (\mathbb{E}f(\xi_k)h_k(\xi_k))^{-1}$$

provided  $(\mathbb{E}f(\xi_k)h_k(\xi_k)) \neq 0$ . This prompts the estimator

$$\check{a}_n = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_{k+1} h_k(\xi_k) \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) h_k(\xi_k) \right)^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

coinciding with the LSE if  $h_k(x) = f(x)$  for all  $k$ .

#### *Continuous time*

We consider the differential equation

$$d\xi(t) = -af(\xi(t))dt + d\eta(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\eta(t)$  is a local square integrable martingale w.r.t. a flow  $(F(t))$  such that the random variable  $\xi(0)$  is  $\mathcal{F}(0)$ -measurable.

Let  $h(t, x)$  be a predictable random function such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\mathbb{E}[(|\xi(t)| + |af(\xi(t))|) |h(t, \xi(t))|] + \mathbb{E}|h(t, \xi(t))| < \infty.$$

Let us multiply (5) on  $h(t, \xi(t))$  and integrate from 0 to  $T$ . The same rationale as in the discrete case yields the estimator

$$\check{a}_T = - \left( \int_0^T h(t, \xi(t)) d\xi \right) \left( \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) h(t, \xi(t)) dt \right)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

coinciding with the LSE if  $h(t, x) = f(x)$ .

Asymptotic normality of  $\sqrt{n}(\check{A}_n - A)$ , where  $\check{A}_n$  is the LSE of a matrix parameter  $A$ , was proved in [1] under the assumptions of ergodicity and stationarity of  $(\xi_n)$ . Convergence in distribution of this normalized deviation was proved in [2] with the use of stochastic calculus. Ergodicity and even stationarity of  $(\epsilon_k)$  was not assumed in [2], so the limiting distribution could be other than normal.

The goal of the article is to match a sequence  $(h_k)$  (if time is discrete) or a function  $h(t, \cdot)$  (if time is continuous) so that to minimize the value of some random functional  $V_n$  which, as we shall see in Section 3, is asymptotical close in distribution to some numeral characteristic of the estimator (in case the latter is asymptotically normal this characteristic coincides with the variance).

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

*Discrete time*

Denote  $\sigma_k^2 = E[\epsilon_k^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ ,  $\mu_k = h_k(\xi_k)$ . Let  $\text{Lip}(C)$  denote the class of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition with some constant  $C$  and equal to zero at the origin,  $\text{Lip} = \bigcup_{C>0} \text{Lip}(C)$ , and let  $\mathbf{H}(C)$  denote the class of all predictable random functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$  (discrete time) or  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$  (continuous time) whose realizations  $h_k(\cdot)$  (respectively  $h(t, \cdot)$ ) belong, as functions of  $x$ , to  $\text{Lip}(C)$ ,  $\mathbf{H} = \bigcup_{C>0} \mathbf{H}(C)$ . Predictability means  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -measurability in  $(\omega, t, x)$  (the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined in [4, p. 28], [6, p. 13]).

We are seeking for  $(h_k) \in \mathbf{H}$  minimizing the functional

$$V_n(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1}) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma_{k+1}^2 \mu_k^2}{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) \mu_k \right)^2}. \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let*

$$V_n(\tilde{h}_0, \dots, \tilde{h}_{n-1}) = \min_{h_0, \dots, h_{n-1} \in \mathbf{H}} V_n(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1}). \quad (8)$$

*Then*

$$\sigma_{k+1}^2 \tilde{\mu}_k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i) \tilde{\mu}_i = f(\xi_k) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_{i+1}^2 \tilde{\mu}_i^2, \quad k = \overline{0, n-1}. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* To obtain the necessary conditions for extremum of the functional  $V_n$  (9) we will vary [3] just one of functions  $h_k$ ,  $k = \overline{0, n-1}$ , leaving the other functions without changes. Thus regarding  $V_n(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1})$  as a functional depending on only one function  $V_n(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1}) = \tilde{V}_n(h_k)$ .

Let's choose some scalar function  $g \in \mathbf{H}$  and denote  $g_\lambda(x) = \tilde{h}_k(x) + \lambda(g(x) - \tilde{h}_k(x))$ ,  $v(\lambda) = \tilde{V}_n(g_\lambda)$ .

Obviously,  $g_\lambda \in \mathbf{H}$  so the minimum of  $v(\lambda)$  is attained at zero and therefore

$$v'(0) = 0. \quad (10)$$

The expression for the left-hand side is

$$v'(0) = \frac{2n(g(\xi_k) - \mu_k) \left( \sigma_{k+1}^2 \tilde{\mu}_k \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i) \tilde{\mu}_i - f(\xi_k) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_{i+1}^2 \tilde{\mu}_i^2 \right) \right)}{\left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i) \tilde{\mu}_i \right)^3}.$$

Hence in view of (10) we obtain the  $i$  th equation of system (9).

It remains to apply this argument to each function  $h_k$ ,  $k = \overline{0, n-1}$ .

**Remark.** The Lipschitz condition was not used in the proof. It will be required in Section 3.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $f \in \text{Lip}(C)$  and there exist a constant  $q > 0$  such that  $\sigma_k^2 \geq q$  for all  $k$ . Then  $h_i(x) = f(x)/\sigma_{i+1}^2$ ,  $i = \overline{0, n-1}$ , is a solution to the problem (8).

*Continuous time*

Let  $m$  denote the quadratic characteristic of  $\eta$ .

We shall match  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}(\omega, t, x)$  from  $\mathbf{H}(C)$  ( $C$  is independent of  $t$ ) so that to minimize the value of the functional

$$V_T(h) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T h(t, \xi(t))^2 dm(t)}{\left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) h(t, \xi(t)) dt \right)^2}. \quad (11)$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let*

$$V_T(\tilde{h}) = \min_{h \in \mathbf{H}} V_T(h). \quad (12)$$

*Then for all  $g \in \mathbf{H}$*

$$\int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) dm(t) \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) dt = \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) dt \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t))^2 dm(t). \quad (13)$$

*Proof.* Let's choose some scalar function  $g \in \mathbf{H}$  and denote  $g_\lambda(t, x) = \tilde{h}(t, x) + \lambda g(t, x)$ ,  $v(\lambda) = V_T(g_\lambda)$ .

Obviously  $g_\lambda(t, \cdot) \in \mathbf{H}$  so the minimum of  $v(\lambda)$  is attained in zero and therefore

$$v'(0) = 0. \quad (14)$$

The expression for the left-hand side is

$$v'(0) = 2T \left( \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) dt \right)^{-3} \times \\ \left( \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) dt \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) dm(t) - \int_0^T f(\xi(t)) g(t, \xi(t)) dt \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t, \xi(t))^2 dm(t) \right).$$

Hence in view of (14) we come to (13).

**Corollary 2.** Let  $f \in \text{Lip}(C)$ ,  $m$  be absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure and there exist a constant  $q > 0$  such that for all  $t$   $\dot{m} \geq q$ . Then  $h(t, x) = f(x)/\dot{m}$  is a solution to the problem (12).

### 3. AN ILLUSTRATION

Denote  $E^0 = E(\cdots | F_0)$ ,  $Q_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_k) \mu_k$ ,  $G_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2 \mu_{k-1}^2$ .

We denote  $E^0 = E(\cdots | F_0)$  and introduce the conditions

**CP1.** For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and any uniformly bounded sequence  $(\alpha_k)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued Borel functions on  $\mathbb{R}^r$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \left( \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k) - E^0 \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k) \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \left( \sigma_k^2 \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k) - E^0 \sigma_k^2 \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k) \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

**CP2.** For such  $r$  and  $(\alpha_k)$  the sequences

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E^0 \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k), \quad n = r+1, \dots \right),$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E^0 \sigma_k^2 \alpha_k(\epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k), \quad n = r+1, \dots \right)$$

converge in probability.

Denote  $f_0(x) = x$  and, for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$f_r(x_0, \dots, x_r) = af(f_{r-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{r-1})) + x_r.$$

Then

$$\xi_k = f_r(\xi_{k-r}, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k), \quad r < k.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let conditions (2), (3), **CP1** and **CP2** be fulfilled. Suppose also that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E \epsilon_k^2 I\{|\epsilon_k| > N\} = 0 \quad (15)$$

*and there exist an  $F_0$ -measurable random variable  $v$  such that for all  $k$*

$$\sigma_k^2 \leq v. \quad (16)$$

*and positive numbers  $C, C_1$  such that*

$$|a|C < 1, \quad (17)$$

*$f \in \text{Lip}(C)$ ,  $(h_k) \in \mathbf{H}(C_1)$ . Then*

$$(G_n, Q_n) \xrightarrow{d} (G, Q). \quad (18)$$

*Proof.* Denote  $\xi_k^r = f_r(0, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k)$ ,  $\mu_k^r = h_k(\xi_k^r)$ ,  $Q_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} f(\xi_k^r) \mu_k^r$ ,  $G_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^n \sigma_k^2 (\mu_{k-1}^r)^2$ . We claim that conditions (2), (3), (15), (16), (17) and the relation

$$(Q_n^r, G_n^r) \xrightarrow{d} (Q^r, G^r) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (19)$$

imply (18).

Let  $X_r$  denote  $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ . Then under the assumptions on  $f$  and  $h_k$  for any  $N > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq N, X_r \in \mathbb{R}^r} |f_r(x, X_r) - f_r(0, X_r)| = 0,$$

whence with probability 1 for any  $k$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq N, X_r \in \mathbb{R}^r} |f(f_r(x, X_r))h_k(f_r(x, X_r)) - f(f_r(0, X_r))h_k(f_r(0, X_r))| &= 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq N, X_r \in \mathbb{R}^r} |h_k(f_r(x, X_r))^2 - h_k(f_r(0, X_r))^2| &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

These relations was proved in [5].

Let us prove that from conditions (2), (3), (15), (16) and (17) it follows that almost surely

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^0 |Q_n - Q_n^r| = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^0 |G_n - G_n^r| = 0. \quad (21)$$

By (20) for any  $N > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} |f(\xi_k) \otimes \mu_k - f(\xi_k^r) \mu_k^r| I\{|\xi_k| \leq N\} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Denote  $\chi_k^N = I\{|\xi_k| > N\}$ ,  $I_k^N = I\{|\epsilon_k| > (1 - C)N\}$ ,  $b_k^N = \mathbb{E}^0 |\xi_k|^2 \chi_k^N$ . Due to (17) and because of  $(h_k) \in \mathbf{H}(C_1)$

$$\mathbb{E}^0 |f(\xi_k) \mu_k| \chi_k^N \leq CC_1 b_k^N,$$

Hence and from (2), (3), (15)–(17) we get by Corollary 1 [5]

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}^0 |f(\xi_k) \mu_k| \chi_k^N = 0. \quad (23)$$

Further, for  $k \geq r$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^0 |f(\xi_k^r) \mu_k^r| = \mathbb{E}^0 |f(f_r(0, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k))| |h_k(f_r(0, \epsilon_{k-r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_k))|,$$

whence

$$\mathbb{E} |f(\xi_k^r) \mu_k^r| \chi_k^N \leq CC_1 \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i |\epsilon_{k-i}| \right)^2 \chi_k^N. \quad (24)$$

Writing the Cauchy – Bunyakovsky inequality

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i |\epsilon_{k-i}| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} C^j \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i |\epsilon_{k-i}|^2,$$

we get for an arbitrary  $L > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i |\epsilon_{k-i}| \right)^2 \chi_k^N &\leq \\ (1 - C)^{-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i \epsilon_{k-i}^2 I\{|\epsilon_{k-i}| > L\} + L^2 \mathbb{P}\{|\xi_k| > N\} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In view of (2), (3) Lemma 1 [5] together with (17) and (15) implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{P}\{|\xi_k| > N\} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Obviously, for arbitrary nonnegative numbers  $u_0, \dots, u_{r-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$

$$\sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_i v_{k-i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} u_i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j,$$

so conditions (17) and (15) imply that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sup_r \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} C^i \epsilon_{k-i}^2 I\{|\epsilon_{k-i}| > L\} = 0,$$

whence in view of (24) – (26)

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_r \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} |f(\xi_k^r) \mu_k^r| \chi_k^N = 0.$$

Combining this with (22) and (23), we arrive at the first relation of (21).

The proof of the second relation of (21) is similar.

The details can be found in [5].

From (19), and (21) we obtain that the sequence  $((Q^r, G^r), r \in \mathbb{N})$  converges in distribution to some limit  $(Q, G)$  and relation (18) holds.

Let us check (19). Condition **CP1** implies that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^0 |Q_n^r - \mathbb{E}^0 Q_n^r| = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^0 |G_n^r - \mathbb{E}^0 G_n^r| = 0.$$

It remains to note that under condition **CP2** for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequences  $(\mathbb{E}^0 G_n^r)$  and  $(\mathbb{E}^0 Q_n^r)$  converge in probability.

By construction  $V_n(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1}) = G_n Q_n^{-2}$ . The value  $Q_n = 0$  is excluded by the choice of the tuple  $(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1})$  minimizing  $V_n$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let the conditions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled and  $Q \neq 0$  a.s. Then  $V_n \xrightarrow{d} V$ , where  $V = GQ^{-2}$ .

Having in mind the use of stochastic analysis, we introduce the processes  $\check{a}_n(t) = \check{a}_{[nt]}$  and the flows  $F_n(t) = F_{[nt]}$  with continuous time.

**Theorem 3.** Let conditions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled. Then  $\sqrt{n}(\check{a}_n(\cdot) - a) \xrightarrow{d} \beta(\cdot)$ , where  $\beta$  is a continuous local martingale with quadratic characteristic

$$\langle \beta \rangle(t) = tV, \quad (27)$$

and initial value 0.

*Proof.* Denote  $Y_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \epsilon_k \mu_{k-1}$ . Then because of (4)

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{a}_n(t) - a) = Y_n(t)Q_n^{-1}. \quad (28)$$

By construction and conditions (2), (3), (17)  $Y_n$  is a locally square integrable martingale with quadratic characteristic

$$\langle Y_n \rangle(t) = n^{-1}[nt]G_{[nt]}.$$

It was proved in [5] that under conditions (2), (3), (15), (16), (17) and (18)  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{a}_n(\cdot) - a) \xrightarrow{d} Y(\cdot)Q^{-1}$ , where  $Y$  is a continuous local martingale w.r.t. some flow  $(F(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\langle Y \rangle(t) = tG$  and the random variable  $Q$  is  $F(0)$ -measurable (and so does  $G$ , which can be seen from the expression for  $\langle Y \rangle$ ). In view of Lemma 1 it remains to note that  $V_n = \langle Y_n \rangle(1)Q_n^{-2}$  and  $V = \langle Y \rangle(1)Q^{-2}$ .

**Remark.** This theorem explains the form of functional (7). In the most general case (without conditions **CP1** and **CP2**) the denominator (28) in limit is an  $F(0)$ -measurable random variable, and the numerator tends to quadratic characteristic at the point  $t = 1$  of the continuous local martingale  $Y$ . Thus, the numerator (7) is the quadratic characteristic at  $t = 1$  of the pre-limit martingale  $Y_n$ , and the denominator satisfies the law of large numbers. Minimizing pre-limit variance in  $(h_k) \in \mathbf{H}(C_1)$ , we lessen the value of limited variance of the normalized deviation of estimator (4).

Let further  $h_k(x) = f(x)/\sigma_{k+1}^2$ . Recall that  $(h_k, k = \overline{0, n-1})$  is a solution to the problem (8). For such  $h_k$  we have

**Corollary 4.** Let the conditions of Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 be fulfilled. Then

$$V = \left( \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.i.p.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E^0 \frac{f(\xi_k^r)^2}{\sigma_{k+1}^2} \right)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Obviously  $V_n = Q_n^{-1}$ . By Lemma 1  $Q_n \xrightarrow{d} Q$ , where  $Q = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.i.p.} E^0 Q_n^r$ .

To complete the proof it remains to note that  $Q_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E^0 \frac{f(\xi_k^r)^2}{\sigma_{k+1}^2}$ .

#### 4. AN EXAMPLE

Suppose that  $f \in \text{Lip}(C)$ ,  $h_k \in \mathbf{H}(C_1)$  condition (17) be fulfilled. Let also  $\epsilon_n = \gamma_n b_n(\xi_{n-1})$ , where  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of independent random variables with zero mean and variances  $\varsigma_n^2$ ,  $|\gamma_k| \leq C_2$ ,  $b_n \in \mathbf{H}(C_3)$  and  $C + C_2 C_3 < 1$ . Let also  $E \xi_0^2 < \infty$

For  $F_k$  we take the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\xi_0; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ . Then  $\sigma_k^2 = \varsigma_k^2 b_k(\xi_{k-1})^2$  and  $(\epsilon_n)$  satisfies (2), (3).

Denote further

$$\hat{f}_r(x_0, \dots, x_r) = af(\hat{f}_{r-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{r-1})) + x_rb_r(\hat{f}_{r-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{r-1})),$$

$$\hat{\xi}_k^r = \hat{f}_r(0, \gamma_{k-r+1}, \dots, \gamma_k), \quad \hat{\mu}_k^r = h_k(\hat{\xi}_k^r), \quad \hat{Q}_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} f(\hat{\xi}_k^r) \hat{\mu}_k^r,$$

$\hat{G}_n^r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \varsigma_{k+1}^2 b_{k+1} (\hat{\xi}_k^r)^2 (\hat{\mu}_k^r)^2$ . Similarly to the proof of Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} E^0 |G_n - \hat{G}_n^r| = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} E^0 |Q_n - \hat{Q}_n^r| = 0.$$

Items in  $\hat{G}_n^r$  and  $\hat{Q}_n^r$  depends on  $\gamma_{k-r+1}, \dots, \gamma_k$  then they satisfy the law of large numbers in Bernstein's form.

If besides  $\epsilon_n$  satisfies **CP2** and  $Q \neq 0$  then Theorem 3 asserts (27). If herein  $\frac{f(x)}{\varsigma_k^2 b_k(x)^2} \in \text{Lip}$  then  $\tilde{h}_k(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\varsigma_k^2 b_k(x)^2}$  is a solution to the problem (8) and

$$V = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.i.p.} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} E^0 \frac{f(\tilde{\xi}_k^r)^2}{\varsigma_{k+1}^2 b_{k+1} (\tilde{\xi}_k^r)^2} \right)^{-1}.$$

**Example.** Let  $b_n = b$ ,  $h_n = h$  and  $\gamma_n$  be i.i.d. random variables. In view of expressions for  $\hat{Q}_n^r$  and  $\hat{G}_n^r$  we may confine ourselves with the case  $\alpha_k = \alpha$ .

By the Stone – Weierstrass theorem for  $\sigma$ -compact spaces [7, p. 317]  $\alpha$  can be uniformly on compacta approximated with finite linear combinations of functions of the kind  $g_1(x_1) \dots g_r(x_r)$ . By the choice of  $F_k$  and the assumptions on  $(\gamma_n)$

$$E^0 g_1(\gamma_{k-r+1}) \dots g_r(\gamma_k) = \prod_{i=1}^r E g_i(\gamma_1).$$

Hence and from the above assumption on  $(\gamma_k)$  condition **CP2** emerges.

**Acknowledgement.** The author is grateful to A. Yurachivsky for helpful advices.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Dorogovtsev A. Ya., *Estimation theory for parameters of random processes (Russian)*. Kyiv University Press. Kyiv (1982).
2. Yurachivsky A. P., Ivanenko D. O., *Matrix parameter estimation in an autoregression model with non-stationary noise (Ukrainian)*, Th. Prob. Math. Stat. 72 (2005), 158–172.
3. Elsholz, L. E., *Differential equations and calculus of variations (Russian)*, Nauka, Moscow (1969).
4. Chung K. L., Williams R. J., *Introduction in stochastic integration (Russian)*, Mir, Moscow (1987).

5. Yurachkivsky A. P., Ivanenko D. O., *Matrix parameter estimation in an autoregression model*, Theory of Stochastic Processes **12(28)** No 1-2 (2006), 154-161.
6. Liptser R. Sh., Shiryaev A. N., *Theory of martingales (Russian)*, Nauka, Moscow (1986).
7. Kelley, J., *General topology (Russian)*. Nauka, Moscow (1981).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND THEORETICAL RADIOPHYSIC,  
KYIV NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, KYIV, UKRAINE

*E-mail:* ida@univ.kiev.ua