

Relation between the x-dependence of Higher Twist Contribution to F_3 and $g_1^p - g_1^n$ in the Light of the Recent Experimental Data

Alexander V. Sidorov*

Bogoliubov Theoretical Laboratory, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia.

(Dated: February 8, 2022)

We compare the recent results on the higher twist (HT) contribution to the nonsinglet combination $g_1^p - g_1^n$ of the polarized proton and neutron structure functions with that one to the unpolarized structure function F_3 using the assumption that the HT contributions to the Gross-Llewellyn Smith and the Bjorken sum rules are similar. We have found, that the relation $\frac{1}{3}h^{F_3}(x) \approx \frac{6}{g_A}h^{g_1^p - g_1^n}(x)$ is valid for $x \geq 0.2$ in the case of NLO QCD approximation for the leading term parts of the structure functions.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Hb, 12.38.-t, 14.20.Dh

Presently the structure functions in deep inelastic lepton nucleon scattering are a subject of intensive experimental and theoretical investigations. While the leading twist (LT) part of the structure functions related with the parton distributions and their Q^2 -evolution is studied in detail in pQCD, the higher twist corrections ($\sim 1/Q^2$) are of a big interest and an intensive study in the last years. From the very beginning the x-dependence of the HT contribution was determined from analyses of the data on the unpolarized structure functions F_2 [1, 2, 3], F_3 [2, 4], F_1 [5] and F_L [3, 6].

The most of the precise experimental data on polarized structure functions (JLAB, HERMES, SLAC) are in the region of $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. While in the determination of the parton densities (PD) in the unpolarized case we can cut the low Q^2 and W^2 data in order to eliminate the less known non-perturbative HT effects, it is impossible to perform such a procedure for the present data on the spin-dependent structure functions without losing too much information. That is why the higher twist effects are especially important in the case of polarized structure functions and should be taken into account in the QCD

*Electronic address: sidorov@theor.jinr.ru

analysis. Since the first results on HT contribution to the g_1 structure function [7] the accuracy of the x-dependence of the HT in g_1^p and g_1^n extracted directly from the data has been considerably improved [8].

Are there any relations between the HT contributions to the different structure functions? We continue to discuss this question based on the new results of the paper [8] on the x-dependence of the HT in g_1 . It was obtained using the recent very precise CLAS [9] and COMPASS [10] inclusive polarized DIS data. In this note we continue our study [12] on the relation between the HT contribution to the unpolarized structure function F_3 and $g_1^p - g_1^n$ which are pure non-singlets.

As it was shown in the paper [13] the Q^2 -evolutions of the F_3 and the nonsinglet part of the g_1 structure functions are identical up to NLO. Moreover, the x-shapes of the F_3 and nonsinglet part of g_1 are also similar. By analogy, one could suppose that the HT contributions to F_3 and $g_1^p - g_1^n$ are similar too. Such an assumption was used for the first moments of the HT corrections in the Gross-Llewellyn Smith and Bjorken sum rules in the infrared renormalons approach [14]:

$$GLS(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx F_3(x, Q^2) = 3(GLS_{pQCD} - \frac{\langle\langle O_1 \rangle\rangle}{Q^2}) \quad (1)$$

$$Bjp(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx [g_1^p(x, Q^2) - g_1^n(x, Q^2)] = \frac{g_A}{6}(Bjp_{pQCD} - \frac{\langle\langle O_2 \rangle\rangle}{Q^2}) \quad (2)$$

where

$$\langle\langle O_1 \rangle\rangle \approx \langle\langle O_2 \rangle\rangle \quad (3)$$

Here GLS_{pQCD} and Bjp_{pQCD} are the leading twist

contribution to corresponding sum rules:

$$GLS_{LO} = Bjp_{LO} = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$GLS_{NLO} = Bjp_{NLO} = 1 - \alpha_S(Q^2)/\pi \quad (5)$$

In this note we are going to verify if the relation (3) between the lowest moments of the HT contribution can




FIG. 1: Comparison of the NLO($\overline{\text{MS}}$) results for $h^{F_3}(x)$ based on the analysis of the CCFR data [17, 18] - (triangles), and for $h^{g_1^p - g_1^n}(x)$ based on the results of [8] - open cycles.




FIG. 2: Comparison of the NLO($\overline{\text{MS}}$) results for $\frac{1}{3x}h^{x F_3}(x)$ based on the analysis of the CCFR data [17, 18] - (triangles), and for $\frac{6}{g_A}h^{g_1^p - g_1^n}(x)$ based on the results of [8] - open cycles.

be generalized for the higher twists themselves, namely:

$$\frac{1}{3x}h^{x F_3}(x) \approx \frac{6}{g_A}h^{g_1^p - g_1^n}(x) \quad (6)$$

To test this relation we will use the values of HT ob-

tained in the QCD analysis of the corresponding structure functions in model independent way. In the QCD analysis of DIS data when the higher twist corrections are taken into account, the structure functions are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} xF_3(x, Q^2) &= xF_3(x, Q^2)_{\text{LT}} + h^{x F_3}(x)/Q^2 \\ g_1^{p(n)}(x, Q^2) &= g_1^{p(n)}(x, Q^2)_{\text{LT}} + h^{g_1^p(g_1^n)}(x)/Q^2 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In (7) $h^{x F_3}(x)$, $h^{g_1^p}(x)$ and $h^{g_1^n}(x)$ are the *dynamical* higher twists corrections to xF_3 , g_1^p and g_1^n , which are related to multi-parton correlations in the nucleon. They are non-perturbative effects and can not be calculated without using models. The target mass corrections, which are also corrections of inverse powers of Q^2 , are calculable [15, 16] and effectively belong to the leading twist term. A model independent determination of $h^{x F_3}(x)$ was done in [17] on the basis of the analysis of CCFR-NuTeV (anti-)neutrino deep-inelastic scattering data [18] at $Q^2 \geq 5 \text{ GeV}^2$. The values of $h^{g_1^p}(x)$ and $h^{g_1^n}(x)$ in NLO($\overline{\text{MS}}$) are given in [8], where the results of the analysis of the world data on polarized structure function g_1 at $Q^2 \geq 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, are presented including the precise CLAS [9] and COMPASS [10] g_1/F_1 data. This analysis provides more precise and detailed results on $h^{g_1^p}(x)$ and $h^{g_1^n}(x)$. In particular, the x -range is split into 7 bins instead of 5, as used in the previous analyses [7, 11]. Using these new results and taking into account the coefficients in (1) and (2) one could construct the l.h.s. and r.h.s. of Eq. (6).

In Fig. 1 we present the HT contributions to F_3 structure functions and to the nonsinglet combination $g_1^p - g_1^n$. One can see the large difference of the scales for HT contribution to polarized (small central values) and unpolarized (large central values) structure functions.

In Fig. 2 the coefficients in (1) and (2) are taking into account. As seen from Fig. 2, the equality (6) is approximately valid for $x \geq 0.2$. It means that the higher Mellin moments of the both parts of equation (6) should approximately coincide:

$$\int_0^1 dx x^N \frac{1}{3x} h^{x F_3}(x) \approx \int_0^1 dx x^N \frac{6}{g_A} h^{g_1^p - g_1^n}(x), \quad N - \text{large}. \quad (8)$$

In order to show the similarity of the functions $\frac{1}{3x}h^{x F_3}(x)$ and $\frac{6}{g_A}h^{g_1^p - g_1^n}(x)$, we have parametrised them in the region $x > 0.2$ by linear function: $A + Bx$. The results of parametrisation are in a good agreement for the both constants, A and B (9, 10).

$$\frac{1}{3x}h^{xF_3}(x) = [(-0.75 \pm 0.33) + (1.36 \pm 0.58)x] \text{ GeV}^2, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{6}{g_A}h^{g_1^p-g_1^n}(x) = [(-0.66 \pm 0.21) + (1.10 \pm 0.42)x] \text{ GeV}^2, \quad (10)$$

$$x > 0.2$$

We would like to mention, that equality (3) is suggested in the framework of the infrared renormalon approach, so the violation of equality (4), which is shown in Fig. 2 at $x < 0.2$, could be due to the contribution of the dynamical higher twists connected with the non-perturbative structure of the nucleon in this x region.

Finally, we would like to note, that there are additional sources of uncertainties which should be taken into account in a more detailed test of Eq. (6): the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(1/Q^4)$; the separation of the twist-3 contribution in the polarized case, which is effectively

included in $h^{g_1}(x)$; the Q^2 dependence of the functions $h(x)$, etc.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the RFBR grants N 05-02-17748, N 07-02-01011 and N 07-02-01046.

-
- [1] M. Virchaux and A. Milsztajn, Phys. Lett. B **274**, 221 (1992); V. G. Krivokhizhin and A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **68**, 1873 (2005) [Yad. Fiz. **68**, 1935 (2005)].
 - [2] J. Santiago and F. J. Yndurain, Nucl. Phys. B **611**, 447 (2001).
 - [3] S. I. Alekhin, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 094022; *ibid* Phys. Rev. D **68**, 014002 (2003).
 - [4] A.V. Sidorov, Phys. Lett. B **389**, 379 (1996); *ibid* JINR Rapid Commun., 80 (1996) 11, hep-ph/9609345; A. L. Kataev *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **417**, 374 (1998); S. I. Alekhin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **512** (2001) 25.
 - [5] S. I. Alekhin, S. A. Kulagin and S. Liuti, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 114009.
 - [6] [7] U.K. Yang and A. Bodek, Phys. Rev. Lett., **82** (1999) 2467; *ibid* Eur.Phys.J. **C13** (2000) 241.
 - [7] E. Leader, A.V. Sidorov, and D.B. Stamenov, Phys. Rev. D **67** 074017 (2003).
 - [8] E. Leader, A.V. Sidorov, and D.B. Stamenov, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 074027 (2007).
 - [9] K.V. Dharmwardane *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **641**, 11 (2006).
 - [10] V.Yu. Alexakhin *et al.* (COMPASS Collaboration), hep-ex/0609038.
 - [11] E. Leader, A.V. Sidorov and D.B. Stamenov, JHEP **06** (2005) 033, hep-ph/0503140.
 - [12] A. V. Sidorov, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **3N2**, 69 (2006) [Pisma Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra **3N2**, 7 (2006)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0505246].
 - [13] J. Kodaira, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 627 (1979); Nucl. Phys. B **159**, 99 (1979).
 - [14] A. L. Kataev, JETP Lett. **81**, 608 (2005) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **81**, 744 (2005)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0505108].
 - [15] S. Wandzura and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **B 72** (1977) 195.
 - [16] S. Matsuda and T. Uematsu, Nucl. Phys. **B 168** (1980) 181; H. Kawamura and T. Uematsu, Phys. Lett. **B 343** (1995) 346; A. Piccione and G. Ridolfi, Nucl. Phys. **B 513** (1998) 301; J. Blumlein and A. Tkabladze, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **79** (1999) 541; A.V. Sidorov, and D.B. Stamenov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **21**, 1991 (2006).
 - [17] A. L. Kataev, G. Parente and A. V. Sidorov, Phys. Part. Nucl. **34** (2003) 20 [Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra **34** (2003) 43].
 - [18] CCFR-NuTeV Coll., W. G. Seligman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1213.