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#### Abstract

Following the recent exploration of smooth heterotic compactifications with unitary bundles, orbifold compactifications in six dimensions can be shown to correspond in the blow-up to compactifications with $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge backgrounds. A powerful tool is the comparison of anomaly polynomials. The presentation here focuses on heterotic $S O(32)$ compactifications in six dimensions including five-branes. Four dimensional and $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ models are briefly commented on.


PACS. 11.25.Mj Compactification and four-dimensional models - 12.60.Jv Supersymmetric models - 11.10.Kk Field theories in dimensions other than four

## 1 Introduction

Orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string on the one hand have been employed for the past twenty years, and on the other hand heterotic compactifications with $S U(n)(n=4,5)$ bundles on smooth manifolds have developed in the last ten years. These two seemingly unrelated approaches are shown to be closely related when the orbifold blow-ups are interpreted as smooth compactifications with $U(1)$ bundles instead of the $S U(n)$ bundles which have been the main focus for model building. For $T^{4} / Z_{N}$ embeddings with $N=2,3$, there is a direct identification of the orbifold shift vector with the embedding of a line bundle $L$ [1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}\left(1_{n_{1}}, 2_{n_{2}}, \ldots, 0_{n_{0}}\right) \rightarrow\left(L_{n_{1}}, L_{n_{2}}^{2}, \ldots, 0_{n_{0}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the lower index $n_{i}$ denotes the number of identical entries $i$ in the shift vector and $\sum_{i} n_{i}=16$. Furthermore, compactifications with $U(n)$ bundles amplify the possibilities to obtain four dimensional vacua with standard model or GUT gauge groups and are S-dual to Type I compactifications with non-Abelian bundles on D9-branes.

The present exposition is for concreteness focused on $S O(32)$ heterotic compactifications in six dimensions. Four dimensional cases and $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ compactifications are briefly commented on.

## 2 Heterotic $T^{4} / Z_{N}$ Orbifolds

Abelian $T^{2 n} / Z_{N}$ orbifolds of the heterotic string are described by two shift vectors, the space-time shift $\boldsymbol{v}$ which encodes a $Z_{N}$ rotation $z_{j} \rightarrow e^{2 \pi i v_{j}} z_{j}$ on $j=$

[^0]$1 \ldots n$ complex coordinates, and a gauge shift $\boldsymbol{V}$ which embeds the orbifold action in the gauge degrees of freedom. The vectorial shift vectors for $n$ complex compact dimensions and embeddings in $S O(32)$ are given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\Sigma_{1} \ldots \Sigma_{16}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with $\sigma_{j}, \Sigma_{k}$ integer and $\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}=0$ to ensure that the space-time orbifold is the singular limit of a CalabiYau $n$-fold. In order to obtain supersymmetric models, two stringy constraints have to be met. These are on the one hand the quadratic 'level-matching' condition, which ensures the modular invariance of the partition function and mixes space-time and gauge shifts, and on the other hand a linear condition on the gauge shift ensuring the existence of spinors in the gauge bundle,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \sum_{i}\left(V_{i}^{2}-v_{i}^{2}\right)=0 \bmod 2, \quad N \sum_{i} V_{i}=0 \bmod 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The massless spectrum for a given choice of shift vectors in $S O(32)$ embeddings is obtained as follows: those $S O(32)$ weight vectors $\boldsymbol{w}=\left( \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{14}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{V} \in$ $Z$ provide the non-Abelian generators of the gauge group and those with $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{V} \notin Z$ the untwisted matter states. The total rank of the gauge group is 16 , and depending on the chosen gauge shift the gauge group can contain several $U(1)$ factors.

The twisted spectrum consists of $n=1 \ldots N-$ 1 sectors with twisted ground states obtained from $\boldsymbol{w}-n \boldsymbol{V}$. Oscillators lift the tachyionic vacuum to the massless level, and multiplicities are obtained from the counting of space-time fixed points. For the $T^{4} / Z_{N}$ orbifolds with $\boldsymbol{v}=\frac{1}{N}(1,-1)$ these are $16 Z_{2}$ fixed points for $N=2$, nine $Z_{3}$ fixed points for $N=3$ or four $Z_{4}$ and $16 Z_{2}$ fixed points for $N=4$ and one $Z_{6}$, nine $Z_{3}$ and $16 Z_{2}$ fixed points for $N=6$. As an example, the spectra for the 'standard embeddings' with $\boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{N}\left(1,1,0^{14}\right)$ are listed in table 1.

The gauge group is $S O(28) \times S U(2)^{2}$ for $N=2$ and $S O(28) \times S U(2) \times U(1)$ otherwise. A counting of nonAbelian degrees of freedom yields $10(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2})+66(\mathbf{1})$ for all $N$ with identical $U(1)$ charge assignments in the untwisted sectors, but different $U(1)$ charges $1+\frac{m}{n}$ in the $n^{t h}$ twisted sector and $m$ integer.

Table 1. Spectra of $S O(32) T^{4} / Z_{N}$ standard embeddings.

|  | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | $\mathrm{~N}=3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\theta^{0}$ | $(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})+4(\mathbf{1})$ | $(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2})_{1}+2(\mathbf{1})_{0}+(\mathbf{1})_{2}$ |
| $\theta^{1}$ | $8(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})+32(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}9(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2})_{\frac{1}{3}}+45(\mathbf{1})_{\frac{2}{3}} \\ +18(\mathbf{1})_{\frac{4}{3}}\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ |

For any given six dimensional spectrum, field theory anomalies arising from fermions and tensors running in loops can be computed. Using the complete list of $T^{4} / Z_{N}$ spectra for $N=2,3,4$ and some $N=6$ examples, the anomaly polynomial for $S O(32)$ heterotic orbifold compactifications takes the form [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{8}=\left(\operatorname{tr} R^{2}+\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{tr}_{S O\left(2 M_{i}\right)} F^{2}+\sum_{j} \beta_{j} \operatorname{tr}_{S U\left(N_{j}\right)} F^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k} \gamma_{k} F_{U(1)_{k}}^{2}+\sum_{i<j} \delta_{i j} F_{U(1)_{i}} F_{U(1)_{j}}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{tr} R^{2}-\right. \\
& -\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr}_{S O\left(2 M_{i}\right)} F^{2}-2 \sum_{j} \operatorname{tr}_{S U\left(N_{j}\right)} F^{2}+\sum_{k} \tilde{\gamma}_{k} F_{U(1)_{i}^{2}}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\alpha_{0}=2$ for all $S O\left(2 M_{0}\right)$ gauge groups with fundamental representations only, $\alpha_{1} \leq 1$ otherwise, $\beta_{j} \leq$ 2 and nearly always even, $\gamma_{k}<-7$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{k} \leq-2$ with the latter always even. The $T^{4} / Z_{3}$ orbifold admits at most one $S O\left(2 M_{0}\right)$ gauge factor associated with $M_{0}$ zero entries in the gauge shift $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\alpha_{0}=2$, whereas the $T^{4} / Z_{N}$ orbifolds with $N$ even admit a second $S O\left(2 M_{1}\right)$ gauge factor associated with the entries $\Sigma_{i_{1}}=\ldots=\Sigma_{i_{M_{1}}}=N / 2$ with spinorial representations in the twisted spectrum and $\alpha_{1} \leq 1$. The anomaly polynomial at the orbifold point factorises completely into $4 \times 4$, and as discussed below for the smooth $K 3$ compactifications, this signals the fact that $U(1)$ factors at the orbifold point are massless.

The scalar potential in six dimensions is completely determined by D-term interactions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{a, \alpha} D^{a, \alpha} D^{a, \alpha} \quad \text { with } \quad D^{a, \alpha}=\Phi_{i}^{\dagger} \sigma^{a} t_{i j}^{\alpha} \Phi_{j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Pauli matrices $\sigma^{a}$, generators of the gauge groups $t_{i j}^{\alpha}$ and matter fields $\Phi_{j}$.

The anomaly polynomials and gauge shift vectors admit by comparison an interpretation of the orbifold models as smooth compactifications with $U(1)$ bundles as discussed in the next section provided that twisted scalars receive vacuum expectation values along flat directions of the scalar potential (5) thereby blowing up the singularities and breaking the orbifold point $U(1)$.

## 3 The heterotic string on K3

Smooth compactifications of the heterotic string on Calabi-Yau $n$-folds require for the gauge bundle $\bar{F}=$ $\oplus_{i} \bar{F}_{i}$ to preserve supersymmetry that each component $\bar{F}_{i}$ is a holomorphic (1,1)-form satisfying at tree level the primitivity condition $\int_{C Y_{n}} J^{n-1} \wedge \operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i}=0$. On $K 3=C Y_{2}$ the latter condition is exact, whereas on $C Y_{3}$ the primitivity condition receives a 1-loop correction. Furthermore, consistent compactifications require the Bianchi identity on the 3 -form $H=d B-$ $\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{4}\left(\omega_{Y M}-\omega_{L}\right)$ which is quadratic in the gauge bundle to be satisfied as well as the K-theory constraint which is linear in the bundle,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \bar{F}^{2}-\operatorname{tr} \bar{R}^{2}=0, \quad(2 \pi)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \bar{F} \in H^{2}\left(C Y_{n}, 2 Z\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (6) can be directly compared to its orbifold counterpart (3). The massless spectrum is obtained by decomposing the adjoint representation of $S O(32)$,
$\mathbf{4 9 6} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\mathbf{A n t i}_{S O(2 M)}\right) \\ \sum_{j}\left(\mathbf{A d j}_{U\left(N_{j}\right)} ; \mathbf{A d j}_{U\left(n_{j}\right)}\right) \\ \sum_{j}\left(\mathbf{A n t i}_{U\left(N_{j}\right)} ; \mathbf{S y m}_{U\left(n_{j}\right)}\right)+c . c \\ \sum_{j}\left(\mathbf{S y m}_{U\left(N_{j}\right)} ; \mathbf{A n t i}_{U\left(n_{j}\right)}\right)+c . c . \\ \sum_{i<j}\left(\mathbf{N}_{i}, \mathbf{N}_{j} ; \mathbf{n}_{i}, \mathbf{n}_{j}\right)+\left(\mathbf{N}_{i}, \mathbf{N}_{j}, \mathbf{n}_{i}, \overline{\mathbf{n}}_{j}\right)+c . c . \\ \sum_{j}\left(\mathbf{2 M}, \mathbf{N}_{j} ; \mathbf{n}_{j}\right)+c . c .\end{array}\right)$,
and embedding $U\left(n_{j}\right)$ bundles $V_{j}$ inside $U\left(N_{j} n_{j}\right)$ factors. The gauge group is $S O(2 M) \times \prod_{j} U\left(N_{j}\right)$ with the massless representations counted by cohomology classes of the associated bundles as listed in table 2. The chiral part of the spectrum is computed from the

Table 2. Massless spectra in terms of cohomology classes.

| reps. | $S O(2 M) \times \prod_{i} U\left(N_{i}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathbf{A d j}_{U\left(N_{i}\right)}\right)_{0(i)}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, V_{i} \otimes V_{i}^{*}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{S y m}_{U\left(N_{i}\right)}\right)_{2(i)}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, \bigwedge^{2} V_{i}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{A n t i}_{U\left(N_{i}\right)}\right)_{2(i)}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, \bigotimes_{s}^{2} V_{i}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{N}_{i}, \mathbf{N}_{j}\right)_{1(i), 1(j)}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, V_{i} \otimes V_{j}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{N}_{i}, \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{j}\right)_{1(i),-1(j)}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, V_{i} \otimes V_{j}^{*}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{A d j _ { S O }}{ }_{S O M)}\right)_{0}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{2 M}, \mathbf{N}_{i}\right)_{1(i)}$ | $H^{*}\left(C Y_{n}, V_{i}\right)$ |

Euler characters of the bundles $W$,

$$
\chi\left(C Y_{n}, W\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H^{j}\left(C Y_{n}, W\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{C Y_{n}} \operatorname{ch}(W) \operatorname{Td}\left(C Y_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Chern characters $\operatorname{ch}_{k}(W)=\left(k!(2 \pi)^{k}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \bar{F}^{k}$ of the bundles and the Todd class of the manifold $\operatorname{Td}\left(C Y_{n}\right)=1+\frac{1}{12} c_{2}\left(C Y_{n}\right)+\ldots$. For $K 3=C Y_{2}$, the index $\chi(K 3, W)=\operatorname{ch}_{2}(W)+2 \operatorname{rank}(W)$ actually counts the number of vector minus the number of hyper multiplets, and the complete massless spectrum is easily computed for a given embedding.

The $U(1)$ s in smooth compactifications generically become massive through the generalised Green-Schwarz mechanism involving antisymmetric tensor modes on the $C Y_{n}$, namely in terms of the expansion of the ten dimensional dual 6-form $B^{(6)}=\ell_{s}^{2 n-2} b_{k}^{(8-2 n)} \sum_{k} \widehat{\omega}_{k}+$ $\ldots$ along $(2 n-2)$ forms $\widehat{\omega}_{k}$ on $\stackrel{\stackrel{S}{C} Y_{n} \text {, the mass terms }}{ }$ arising from wrapped antisymmetric tensor modes are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {mass }} \sim \ell_{s}^{2 n-8} \sum_{k} \sum_{i} \int_{M^{10-2 n}} b_{k}^{(8-2 n)} \wedge\left[\operatorname{tr} F_{i} \bar{F}_{i}\right]^{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the $U(1)$ masses are of the order of the string scale. For $K 3$ compactifications, this is a complete set of mass terms, whereas on $C Y_{3}$-folds, also the coupling to the unwrapped antisymmetric tensor mode contributes.

As an example, consider a line bundle $L$ embedded in $U(2)$, also denoted as $\left(L, L, 0_{14}\right)$, with $\operatorname{ch}_{2}(L)=-12$ in order to fulfill the Bianchi identity. The gauge group is $S O(28) \times S U(2)_{\times U(1)_{\text {massive }}}$ with matter spectrum $10(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2})_{1}+46(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{2}$ and twenty neutral hyper multiplets parameterising the $K 3$ geometry. The counting of non-Abelian representations agrees with that of the orbifold 'standard embeddings' in section 2

For the most general embedding of $U\left(n_{i}\right)$ bundles in $S O(32)$, the anomaly polynomial in six dimensions is given by [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{8} & =\frac{1}{3}\left(\sum_{i} c_{1}\left(V_{i}\right) \operatorname{tr}_{U\left(N_{i}\right)} F\right) \times  \tag{10}\\
& \times\left(\sum_{j} c_{1}\left(V_{j}\right)\left[\operatorname{tr}_{U\left(N_{j}\right)} F \operatorname{tr} R^{2}-16 \operatorname{tr}_{U\left(N_{j}\right)} F^{3}\right]\right) \\
+ & \left(\operatorname{tr} R^{2}+2 \operatorname{tr}_{S O(2 M)} F^{2}+4 \sum_{i}\left(\operatorname{ch}_{2}\left(V_{i}\right)+n_{i}\right) \operatorname{tr}_{U\left(N_{i}\right)} F^{2},\right. \\
& \times\left(\operatorname{tr} R^{2}-\operatorname{tr}_{S O(2 M)} F^{2}-2 \sum_{i} n_{i} \operatorname{tr}_{U\left(N_{i}\right)} F^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

ing the 5 -branes have $\operatorname{ch}\left(\left.\mathcal{O}\right|_{a}\right)=\left(0,0,-\gamma_{a}, 0\right)$ where $\gamma_{a}=1$ for a 5 -brane which is point like on $K 3$ and $\gamma_{a}$ the Poincaré dual 4 -form of a 5 -brane wrapping a 2-cycle on $C Y_{3}$. The Bianchi identity is modified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \bar{F}^{2}-\operatorname{tr} \bar{R}^{2}-16 \pi^{2} N_{a} \gamma_{a}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

0)and the supersymmetry conditions on the bundles are unchanged. The anomaly polynomial (10) receives in the third line an additional term $-2 \operatorname{tr}_{S p\left(2 N_{a}\right)} F^{2}$ [2]. On $K 3$, bifundamental representations of 5 -branes at different points are massive with the mass proportional to ${ }^{2}$ )their distance. There is one hyper multiplet in the antisymmetric representation of $S p\left(2 N_{a}\right)$, $n_{i}$ hyper multiplets transforming as $\left(\mathbf{N}_{i}, \mathbf{2} \mathbf{N}_{a}\right)_{1(i)}$ and a half-hyper multiplet in the $\left(\mathbf{2 M}, \mathbf{2} \mathbf{N}_{a}\right)$ representation.

As an example, take again a line bundle $L$ embedded in $U(2)$, but this time with $\operatorname{ch}_{2}(L)=-3$. The Bianchi identity is fulfilled in the presence of 185 branes. The gauge group is $S O(28) \times S U(2)_{\times U(1)_{\text {massive }} \times} \times$ $S p(36)$, and the matter spectrum consists of $\left(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2}_{1} ; \mathbf{1}\right)+$ $\left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}_{1} ; \mathbf{3 6}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{1} ; \mathbf{3 6})+10\left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}_{2} ; \mathbf{1}\right)+(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} ; \mathbf{6 3 0})$. In addition, there are the universally present twenty neutral hyper multiplets.

On the orbifold side, as discussed in [5 for $T^{4} / Z_{N}$ cases, 5 -branes act as magnetic sources on fixed points thereby shifting the vacuum energy in the corresponding left-moving non-supersymmetric twist sector as well as entering the modular invariance constraint while the
right-moving supersymmetric sector is not affected. 5branes away from the fixed points provide the same matter states as in the smooth $K 3$ case, but at fixed points gauge enhancements can occur, as e.g. $S p\left(2 N_{a}\right) \rightarrow$ $U\left(2 N_{a}\right)$ for the S-dual D5-branes in the Type I orbifold of [6].

In [5], the $T^{4} / Z_{3}$ embedding with $\boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{3}\left(1,1,0_{14}\right)$ and 185 -branes is listed with perturbative gauge group $S O(28) \times S U(2)_{\times U(1)}$. Omitting the $U(1)$ charges, the untwisted spectrum is identical to that of the standard embedding in table $1,(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2})+3(\mathbf{1})$, but the twisted spectrum contains only $9(\mathbf{1})+18\left(\mathbf{1}^{\star}\right)$. Adding the states charged under $S p(36)$, the massless spectrum of the smooth example with 5 -branes is recovered except for the massless $U(1)$ at the orbifold point.

## 5 Some results in four dimensions

The discussion of the stringy consistency conditions and generic spectrum has been presented for $C Y_{n}$ folds for any $n$. The concrete form of the index counting chiral states depends on the dimension and is for $C Y_{3-}$ folds given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(C Y_{3}, W\right)=\int_{C Y_{3}}\left(\operatorname{ch}_{3}(W)+\frac{1}{12} c_{2}\left(C Y_{3}\right) c_{1}(W)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, as anticipated above, the primitivity condition on supersymmetric bundles receives a 1-loop correction [7]
$\int_{C Y_{3}} J^{2} \wedge \operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i}-\frac{2 g_{s}^{2}}{3} \int_{C Y_{3}}\left(\operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i}^{3}-\frac{1}{16} \operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i} \wedge \operatorname{tr} \bar{R}^{2}\right)=0$.
This is the S-dual generalisation [9] to non-Abelian bundles on curved backgrounds of the 'MMMS' calibration condition [10] for supersymmetric D9-branes.

The holomorphicity condition is now trivially fulfilled, but the requirement that the 1-loop corrected gauge kinetic function is real gives a new constraint,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i} \int_{C Y_{3}} J^{3}-6 g_{s}^{2} \int_{C Y_{3}} J \wedge\left(\operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i}^{2}-\frac{N_{i}}{48} \operatorname{tr} \bar{R}^{2}\right)>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass terms from couplings to wrapped modes of the antisymmetric tensor are as given above. In addition, the coupling of the reduction of the antisymmetric tensor with two external indices, $B^{(2)}=$ $b_{0}^{(2)}+\ldots$, also provides a mass coupling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m a s s}^{0} \sim \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \int_{M^{4}} b_{0}^{(2)} \wedge \operatorname{tr} F_{i}\left(\operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i}^{3}-\frac{1}{16} \operatorname{tr} \bar{F}_{i} \operatorname{tr} \bar{R}^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $U(n)$ bundles provide new possibilities for model building with some first results reported in [7. 8 , for Complete Intersection and elliptically fibered Calabi Yau threefolds, respectively.

At the orbifold point, the tree level and 1-loop part of the supersymmetry condition vanish separately since the volume of the exceptional divisors is zero, but the gauge bundle and curvature have only support there.

## 6 Results on $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ compactifications

The Bianchi identity, K-theory constraint and supersymmetry condition at tree level are the same as for the $S O(32)$ case presented above. In four dimensions, however, the 1-loop contribution to the supersymmetry condition differs. On the one hand, it involves all bundles inside the same $E_{8}$ factor, on the other hand, also the 5 -brane positions enter. This is in contrast to the $S O(32)$ case where the supersymmetry conditions on bundles and 5 -branes are decoupled. In six dimensions, $E_{8} \times E_{8} 5$-branes along the non-compact directions provide tensor multiplets, whereas in four dimensions, space-time filling 5 -branes wrap compact 2 -cycles and provide $U(1)$ gauge groups.

The mass terms from wrapped antisymmetric tensor modes have the same formal expression (9) as for the $S O(32)$ case, but in four dimensional compactifications the coupling to the universal $b_{0}^{(2)}$ has a different shape.

The differences between the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ and $S O(32)$ compactifications can be traced back to the fact that $E_{8}$ has no fourth order Casimir. Moreover, while $U(1)$ and $S U(n)$ groups arise naturally in breakings of $E_{8}$, $U(n)$ bundles can only be implemented in a very restricted way, e.g. as $U\left(n_{1}\right) \times U\left(n_{2}\right)$ with $c_{1}\left(V_{n_{1}}\right)=$ $-c_{1}\left(V_{n_{2}}\right)$.

More details about smooth six dimensional $E_{8} \times$ $E_{8}$ constructions on $K 3$ with $U(n)$ bundles are given in [2, 1], the corresponding supersymmetry conditions on $C Y_{3}$ are given in [11 without and in 12 with 5 branes.
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