

Spin asymmetry at large x_F and k_\perp

Matti Järvinen¹

Department of Physical Sciences and Helsinki Institute of Physics, POB 64, FIN-00014
University of Helsinki, Finland

E-mail: mjarvine@ifk.sdu.dk

Abstract. We suggest that the large single spin asymmetries observed at high momentum fractions x_F and transverse momenta k_\perp of the pion in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi(x_F, k_\perp) + X$ arise from the coherence of the soft interactions with the hard parton scattering process. Such coherence can be maintained if $x_F \rightarrow 1$ as $k_\perp \rightarrow \infty$, while $k_\perp^2(1-x_F) \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ stays fixed. Analogous coherence effects have been seen experimentally in the Drell-Yan process at high x_F . We find that the $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi X$ production amplitudes have large dynamic phases and that helicity flip contributions are unsuppressed in this limit, giving rise to potentially large single spin asymmetries.

1. Introduction and motivation

Single spin asymmetry (SSA) is the dependence of a cross section on a single measured spin. The size of a transverse SSA is characterized by the analyzing power

$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^\uparrow - d\sigma^\downarrow}{d\sigma^\uparrow + d\sigma^\downarrow} \propto \text{Im}[\mathcal{M}_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{M}_{\leftarrow}^*] \quad (1)$$

where \uparrow (\leftrightarrow) refer to the transverse spin (helicity) of the polarized particle. Sizeable A_N 's have been observed in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi(x_F, \mathbf{k}_\perp) + X$ [1, 2] and in $pp \rightarrow \Lambda^\uparrow(x_F, \mathbf{k}_\perp) + X$ [3]. At the highest measured longitudinal momentum fractions $x_F \simeq 0.8$ of the pion in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi^\pm X$ at the E704 experiment [1] ($\sqrt{s} = 20$ GeV) the asymmetry rises to $|A_N| \sim 0.4$, and increases for transverse momenta above $k_\perp = 0.7$ GeV. These asymmetries are an order of magnitude larger than those observed in DIS ($ep^\uparrow \rightarrow e + X$) [4]. Recently the asymmetry has been seen to persist at much larger center of mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV in π^0 production at STAR [2]. The asymmetry increases with k_\perp up to $k_\perp \simeq 2.5$ GeV for all available $x_F = 0.25 \dots 0.56$.

From (1) we see that a sizeable A_N requires a helicity flip and a large (dynamical) phase between the two helicity amplitudes. Due to these requirements A_N vanishes the standard leading twist collinear QCD factorization [5] but can be described by using generalized schemes. The E704 and STAR data have been fitted using transverse momentum dependent factorization [6] and including twist-three effects [7]. However, while these approaches are able to reproduce the x_F dependence of A_N , they predict that $A_N \propto \Lambda_{QCD}/k_\perp$ in apparent conflict with the data.

Notice that largest asymmetries have been observed at very large $x_F \simeq 0.8$ values of pion momentum fraction in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi(x_F, k_\perp) + X$. In order to produce such a pion within the standard leading twist QCD factorization one quark must carry a momentum fraction $x \gtrsim 0.9$ of the proton and transfer a fraction $z \gtrsim 0.9$ of its momentum to the pion. These stringent

¹ Present address: Institut for Fysik og Kemi, Syddansk Universitet, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark

requirements imply a very small production cross section. In fact, QCD at leading twist was found to underestimate the E704 pion production cross section by an order of magnitude at high x_F [8] which casts doubt on the applicability of factorization based approaches on SSAs in this kinematic region. On the other hand, the cross section measured at higher \sqrt{s} and lower x_F by STAR is consistent with leading twist QCD.

2. Coherence at large x_F

As noted above present approaches fail to produce the total cross section at large x_F and the k_\perp dependence of A_N in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi(x_F, k_\perp) + X$. These shortcomings motivate us to suggest that the asymmetry is a large x_F coherence effect [9]. Such effects in unpolarized Drell-Yan have been studied previously [10]. The angular distribution of the muon pair in $\pi N \rightarrow \mu\mu(x_F) + X$ provides a clear signature of the coherence effects which set in at high x_F . When the intrinsic hardness of the contributing pion Fock states becomes comparable to the virtuality Q^2 of the photon the angular distribution of the muons, which is $1 + \cos^2\theta$ at leading twist, turns into $\sin^2\theta$. This phenomenon was subsequently observed in the Drell-Yan data [11] where the change of angular distribution occurs at $x_F \simeq 0.7$ for $Q^2 \simeq 20 \text{ GeV}^2$.

In general, the increase of coherence effects at large x_F can be understood as follows (see [12]). The lifetime τ of a Fock state inside a rapidly moving proton is the inverse of the (light-front) energy difference ΔE between the Fock state and the proton

$$P^+ \Delta E = M^2 - \sum_i \frac{k_{i\perp}^2 + m_i^2}{x_i}; \quad \sum_i x_i = 1 \quad (2)$$

where $x_i (> 0)$, $k_{i\perp}$, and m_i are the momentum fraction, the transverse momentum, and the mass of parton i , respectively, P^+ is the proton light-front momentum, and M is the proton mass. When one quark carries a large $x_i \sim x_F \rightarrow 1$ all other partons must have $x_j \sim 1 - x_F \rightarrow 0$. Hence the lifetime of the state $\tau \sim 1/\Delta E \sim (1 - x_F)P^+/\Lambda_{QCD}^2$ becomes short. The incoherence of such state with a hard quark requires $(1 - x_F)P^+/\Lambda_{QCD}^2 \gg \tau_{\text{hard}} \sim P^+/k_\perp^2$ or $k_\perp^2(1 - x_F) \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$. When x_F grows large enough, *i.e.*,

$$k_\perp^2(1 - x_F) \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^2, \quad (3)$$

the hard scattering becomes coherent with the soft physics.

3. Coherence effects in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi X$

Sizeable coherence effects were observed in Drell-Yan for $x_F \simeq 0.8$ and for much larger photon virtuality $Q \simeq 4 - 5 \text{ GeV}$ than the typical $k_\perp \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ of the pion at E704. Hence coherence is expected to be significant in the kinematic region of E704 where the asymmetries are large. We study the coherence effects in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi X$ in a similar manner as in Drell-Yan above. We take the scale $\sim k_\perp$ of perturbative QCD to be large, $k_\perp \rightarrow \infty$, but we keep $k_\perp^2(1 - x_F)$ fixed (instead of x_F) so that (3) holds. In this limit single quark factorization fails and several partons from the same parent hadron contribute coherently to the process.

A leading contribution to the process in the limit of fixed $k_\perp^2(1 - x_F)$ is shown in figure 1. A short lived Fock state with one fast quark ($x \sim 1$) is created via gluon exchange. The fast quark scatters with the target obtaining a large transverse momentum k_\perp . The quark finally picks up a slow antiquark and the pion is formed through a gluon exchange which equalizes the momentum fractions. The interactions within the slow quark system (indicated by the dashed circle in figure 1) are soft with transverse momentum scale $\sim \Lambda_{QCD}$. The condition (3) implies that all parts of the diagram are fully coherent.

Recall that we need a helicity flip and a large, helicity-dependent phase to create a sizeable asymmetry. As a helicity flip is suppressed in the hard interactions, the flip must occur in




Figure 1. Our mechanism for the SSA in $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi X$. See text for explanation.

the soft subprocesses. This is modeled in figure 1 by helicity changing one gluon exchange. The helicity flip vertex is indicated by a dot and \pm are the helicities of the quarks in the two interfering amplitudes. A dynamical phase is obtained from the hard subprocess as indicated by the vertical dashed cut.

Using some further simplifications the two helicity amplitudes of figure 1 can be estimated [9]. A sizeable helicity dependent phase indeed arises when the coherence condition (3) is satisfied. This is a proof of principle that large A_N is possible in the kinematic limit of fixed $k_\perp^2(1-x_F)$.

4. Conclusion

We demonstrated that large x_F coherence effects may explain the large asymmetries of $p^\uparrow p \rightarrow \pi(x_F, k_\perp) + X$. In the limit of large k_\perp with $k_\perp^2(1-x_F)$ fixed the overall coherence of the scattering process is maintained which leads to large dynamical phases and possibly to large single spin asymmetries. Our mechanism may be able to reproduce the experimental result that A_N increases with k_\perp even for $k_\perp \gtrsim \Lambda_{QCD}$. Note that simply assuming that the maximum of A_N as a function of k_\perp is set by the coherence requirement (3) shifts the maximum from the standard expectation $k_{\perp, \max} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ to a larger value.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Academy of Finland through grant 102046, by GRASPANP, the Finnish Graduate School in Particle and Nuclear Physics, and by the Magnus Ehrnrooth foundation.

References

- [1] Adams D L *et al.* (E704) 1991 *Phys. Lett.* **B261** 201–206
- [2] Adams J *et al.* (STAR) 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 171801 (*Preprint hep-ex/0310058*)
Surrow B (STAR) 2007 *AIP Conf. Proc.* **915** 293–300 (*Preprint arXiv:0705.3483[hep-ex]*)
- [3] Bunce G *et al.* 1976 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36** 1113–1116
Lundberg B *et al.* 1989 *Phys. Rev.* **D40** 3557–3567
- [4] Airapetian A *et al.* (HERMES) 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 012002 (*Preprint hep-ex/0408013*)
- [5] Kane G L, Pumplin J and Repko W 1978 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** 1689
- [6] Anselmino M, Boglione M and Murgia F 1995 *Phys. Lett.* **B362** 164–172 (*Preprint hep-ph/9503290*)
D’Alesio U and Murgia F 2004 *Phys. Rev.* **D70** 074009 (*Preprint hep-ph/0408092*)
- [7] Qiu J W and Sterman G 1999 *Phys. Rev.* **D59** 014004 (*Preprint hep-ph/9806356*)
Kouvaris C, Qiu J W, Vogelsang W and Yuan F 2006 *Phys. Rev.* **D74** 114013 (*Preprint hep-ph/0609238*)
- [8] Bourrely C and Soffer J 2004 *Eur. Phys. J.* **C36** 371–374 (*Preprint hep-ph/0311110*)
- [9] Hoyer P and Järvinen M 2007 *JHEP* **02** 039 (*Preprint hep-ph/0611293*)
- [10] Berger E L and Brodsky S J 1979 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42** 940–944
- [11] Anderson K J *et al.* 1979 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** 1219
Falciano S *et al.* (NA10) 1986 *Z. Phys.* **C31** 513
- [12] Brodsky S J, Hoyer P, Mueller A H and Tang W K 1992 *Nucl. Phys.* **B369** 519–542