arXiv:0710.1454v2 [hep-ph] 23 May 2008

Constraining Right-Handed Scale Through Kaon Mixing in Supersymmetric Left-Right Model

Yue Zhang,[1,2] Haipeng An,[2] and Xiangdong Ji[2,1]

[1] *Center for High-Energy Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

[2] *Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA*

(Dated: February 9, 2022)

Abstract

We study flavor-changing neutral current and CP violations in the minimal supersymmetric left-right model. We calculate the beyond-standard-model contributions to the neutral kaon mixing ΔM_K and ϵ, and find possible to have a numerical cancelation between the contributions from the right-handed gauge boson and supersymmetric box diagram. With the cancelation, the right-handed W-boson mass scale can be lowered to about 2 TeV, well within the search limit of LHC.

Physics beyond the standard model (SM) has been actively pursued by both theorists and experimentalists for decades. New physics is needed at TeV scale to explain a number of salient features of SM, particulary the origin of the scale at which the electroweak symmetry is spontaneously broken. From the phenomenological point of view, candidates of new physics have to confront constraints from the existing low-energy data. Flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) and the combined charge-conjugation and parity (CP) violation impose some of the most stringent constraints. In the SM, FCNC is highly suppressed due to the celebrated Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism and the fact that the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix is nearly diagonal. CP violation in the light-quark sector is small because the Dirac phase appears only in off-diagonal elements of the CKM matrix. In sundry extensions of SM, there are generically new sources of FCNC and CP violations. It is necessary to investigate their implications on phenomena such as neutral kaon mixing and neutron electric dipole moment (EDM), before testing them at colliders.

The left-right symmetric model (LRSM) [1] was introduced to restore parity symmetry at high-energy: Parity is considered as a good symmetry when the energy scale is sufficiently high and is broken spontaneously at low energy. Besides the fundamental symmetry [2], one of the nice features of the model is the electric charge quantization: the obscured hypercharge in SM is explained in terms of the baryon and lepton numbers and the left-right (LR) isospins. In the minimal LRSM, the right-handed quarks are doublets under the $SU(2)_R$ gauge group, so the mixing among the right-handed quarks becomes physical observables. There is a corresponding right-handed CKM matrix $V^R_{\rm CKM}$, analogous to the SM CKM matrix $V^L_{\rm CKM}$. Physics of CP violation in LRSM is quite interesting. The strong CP problem is solved by eliminating the dimension-four gluon operator $G\tilde{G}$ by parity at high-energy. In the weak sector, two special scenarios have been generally discussed historically. One is called "manifest" LR symmetry without spontaneous CP violation, in which parity guarantees left-handed and right-handed CKM matrices are identical $V^R_{\rm CKM} = V^L_{\rm CKM}$. The other is called "pseudo-manifest" LR symmetry [3] such that the Lagrangian is invariant under P and CP, both of which are broken spontaneously. $V^R_{\rm CKM}$ is then proportional to the complex conjugate of the $V^L_{\rm CKM}$ multiplied by additional CP phases, determined by those of the higgs vacuum expectation values (vev). As was pointed out by the authors in a recent paper [4], neither of the above scenarios is realistic. In that work, the explicit form of $V^R_{\rm CKM}$ has been solved in the presence of both CP violating Lagrangian and higgs vev's, and is related to the $V^L_{\rm CKM}$ and the spontaneous CP phases in a non-trivial manner.

One of the important issues in the LR model has been the scale at which the right-handed current interaction becomes significant. Constraints on the righthanded W-boson mass M_{W_R} in the non-supersymmetric version of LRSM from CP asymmetries have been explored in Ref. [4]. The most well-known and stringent lower bound on M_{W_R} comes from the mass difference between K_L and K_S, in which the $W_L - W_R$ box-diagram contribution is enhanced by both the Wilson coefficient and hadronic matrix element, altogether by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$. A re-evaluation of this contribution with updated values of strange quark mass and hadron matrix element and consideration of CP violating observables yield a lower bound $M_{W_R} > 4.0$ TeV. The current experimental bound on M_{W_R} in direct collider search is about 800 GeV [5]. Given these, is it possible to have a right-handed gauge-boson with mass of order 1-2 TeV which can be copiously produced at LHC while satisfying various low-energy constraints?

In this paper, we explore the above question in the context of the supersymmetric (SUSY) LRSM, where there is an additional contribution to the kaon mixing from the sparticle box-

diagrams. As we shall see, the numerical cancelation among the new contributions can happen, and as a consequence, the low-energy constraint on the left-right symmetric scale can be relaxed. A previous analysis of the kaon mixing in the same model has been carried out in [6], deriving the constraints on the squark flavor-mixing parameters. Here we focus on a different issue—the interplay between the $W_L - W_R$ mixing and SUSY box-diagram contributions—finding a minimal bound on the right-handed scale. We note that the same question has been explored in the context of two Higgs-bidoublet model without SUSY [7], and the answer depends crucially on the size of the charged Higgs particle mass which shall have the scale M_{W_R} if SM is to be recovered in $M_{W_R} \to \infty$ limit. In our case, the charged Higgs contribution through the box-diagram is small [8], whereas the tree-level neutral higgs (FCNH) contribution can be large and will be considered.

This paper is organized as follows. After a brief introduction to the SUSY LRSM, we review the argument that CP violation in the model must be manifest, and as a by-product, the neutron EDM is naturally suppressed. However, ϵ_K then imposes a very stringent bound from the $W_L - W_R$ box-diagrams, $M_{W_R} > 15$ TeV in the non-SUSY model. Next, we include the contribution from the gluino box-diagrams assuming the FCNH's are very heavy and a minimal supergravity (mSUGRA) boundary condition. We present the numerical evidence for the cancelation among the new contributions so that the right-handed mass scale can be lowered to the 2 TeV range. The cancelation can be complete if one assumes generic squark mass-insertions, even when the FCNH is light. In this case, there is no theory bound on the right-handed scale from neutral kaon system.

The minimal SUSY LRSM is based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times P$. Right-handed neutrinos are introduced for each family, and all right-handed fermions form doublets under $SU(2)_R$ gauge group:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_L &= \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} \in \left(2, 1, \frac{1}{3}\right), \; Q_R^c = \begin{pmatrix} u_R^c \\ d_R^c \end{pmatrix} \in \left(1, 2, -\frac{1}{3}\right) \\ L_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ l_L \end{pmatrix} \in (2, 1, -1), \; L_R^c = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R^c \\ l_R^c \end{pmatrix} \in (1, 2, 1) \;, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the gauge quantum numbers $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ are listed in the brackets and Q_R^c lies in the conjugate representation of Q_R etc. The renormalizable superpotential is

$$\begin{aligned} W &= Y_a \; Q_L^T \tau_2 \Phi_a \tau_2 Q_R^c + Y_a^l \; L_L^T \tau_2 \Phi_a \tau_2 L_R^c \\ &+ f L_L^T i\tau_2 \Delta_L L_L + f_c L_R^{cT} i\tau_2 \Delta_R^c L_R \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\mu_{ab} \; \mathrm{Tr}\left(\tau_2 \Phi_a^T \tau_2 \Phi_b\right) + \mu_L \; \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Delta_L \delta_L\right) \\ &+ \mu_R \; \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Delta_R^c \delta_R^c\right) \;, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $a, b = u, d$. In the minimal model, two distinct Higgs bidoublets, Φ_u and Φ_d, and associated Yukawa couplings are needed to generate a nontrivial CKM mixing due to holomorphism of W. The triplet Higgs fields are introduced to give neutrino Majorana masses. They have to be doubled to guarantee $U(1)_{B-L}$ anomaly free. The Higgs bidoublets $\Phi_{u,d}$

and triplets $\Delta_{L,R}$, $\delta_{L,R}$ in (2) are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_a &= \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^0_{a1} & \Phi^+_{a2} \\ \Phi^-_{a1} & \Phi^0_{a2} \end{pmatrix} \in (2,2,0) \ , \\
\Delta_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+_L/\sqrt{2} & \Delta^{++}_L \\ \Delta^0_L & -\Delta^+_L/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \in (3,1,2) \ , \\
\delta_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta^-_L/\sqrt{2} & \delta^0_L \\ \delta^{--}_L & -\delta^-_L/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \in (3,1,-2) \ , \\
\Delta^c_R &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^-_R/\sqrt{2} & \Delta^{--}_R \\ \Delta^0_R & -\Delta^-_R/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \in (1,3,-2) \ , \\
\delta^c_R &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta^+_R/\sqrt{2} & \delta^0_R \\ \delta^{++}_R & -\delta^+_R/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \in (1,3,2) \ . \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

The soft SUSY breaking terms involving the scalar (spinor) components of chiral (vector) superfields read

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{V}_{\rm soft} &= \widetilde{q}^\dagger_L m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} \widetilde{q}_L + \widetilde{q}^{c\dagger}_R m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R} \widetilde{q}^c_R \\
&+ \widetilde{l}^\dagger_L m^2_{\widetilde{l}_L} \widetilde{l}_L + \widetilde{l}^{\ c\dagger}_R m^2_{\widetilde{l}_L} \widetilde{l}^{\ c}_R + m^2_{\phi_{ab}} \ {\rm Tr}(\phi^\dagger_a \phi_b) \\
&+ m^2_{\Delta_L} \ {\rm Tr}(\Delta^\dagger_L \Delta_L) + m^2_{\delta_L} \ {\rm Tr}(\delta^\dagger_L \delta_L) \\
&+ m^2_{\Delta_R} \ {\rm Tr}(\Delta^{c\dagger}_R \Delta^c_R) + m^2_{\delta_R} \ {\rm Tr}(\delta^{c\dagger}_R \delta^c_R) \\
&+ \Big[\frac{1}{2} \left(M_L \lambda^a_L \lambda^a_L + M_R \lambda^a_R \lambda^a_R + M_V \lambda_V \lambda_V + M_3 \lambda_g \lambda_g \right) \\
&+ \widetilde{q}^T_L \tau_2 A_u \phi_u \tau_2 \widetilde{q}^c_R + \widetilde{q}^T_L \tau_2 A_d \phi_d \tau_2 \widetilde{q}^c_R + \widetilde{l}^T_L \tau_2 A_l \phi \tau_2 \widetilde{l}^c_R \\
&+ iv \left(\widetilde{l}^T_L \tau_2 \Delta_L \widetilde{l}_L + \widetilde{l}^{cT}_R \tau_2 \Delta^c_R \widetilde{l}^c_R \right) + h.c. \Big] \\
&+ \big[B\mu_{ab} {\rm Tr} \left(\tau_2 \phi^T_a \tau_2 \phi_b \right) + B_L \mu_L {\rm Tr} \left(\Delta_L \delta_L \right) \\
&+ B_R \mu_R {\rm Tr} \left(\Delta^c_R \delta^c_R \right) + {\rm h.c.} \big] \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Under LR symmetry, one can define the transformation of the superfields [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_L \leftrightarrow Q^{c*}_R, \ \Phi_{u,d} \leftrightarrow \Phi^\dagger_{u,d}, \ \Delta_L \leftrightarrow \Delta^{c*}_R \\
& W_L \leftrightarrow W^*_R, \ \theta \to \overline{\theta} \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $W_{L,R}$ are the gauge vector superfields. The lagrangian is invariant under LR symmetry implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{u,d} &= h^\dagger_{u,d}, \ \mu_{ab} = \mu^*_{ab}, \ \mu_L = \mu^*_R, \ M_L = M^*_R, \\
M_V &= M^*_V, \ M_3 = M^*_3, \ A_u = A^\dagger_u, \ A_d = A^\dagger_d, \\
m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} &= m^{2\dagger}_{\widetilde{Q}_R}, \ m^2_{\Delta_L} = m^2_{\Delta_R}, \ m^2_{\delta_L} = m^2_{\delta_R}, \\
m^2_{\phi_{ab}} &= m^2_{\phi_{ba}} \in \mathbb{R}, \ B = B^*, \ B_L = B^*_R, \ g_L = g_R \ , \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where g_L and g_R are the gauge coupling constants. The parity symmetry eliminates most of the phases in the soft parameters.

The gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken at a right-handed scale v_R to the SM gauge group. We now briefly review the status of spontaneous breaking of $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ down to $U(1)_Y$. It is well known that in the minimal model, spontaneous parity breaking does not happen [10]. Depending on the scale at which LR symmetry is restored, one can augment the minimal model in several different ways to obtain the symmetry breaking. If the right-handed scale is high, one can either include non-renormalizable terms in the superpotential or extend the Higgs sector, introducing additional B-L neutral triplets [11]. The additional couplings will pick up the desired Higgs condensate in Eq. (8) as the true vacuum. The most economic and only possible way for low scale LR symmetry is to introduce R-parity violation, giving right-handed sneutrino a condensate $\langle \widetilde{\nu}^c_R \rangle$. In this scenario, there is an upper bound on the right-handed scale and a corresponding lower bound on the sneutrino condensate [10, 12]

$$v_R \leq \frac{v}{2f}, \quad \langle \nu^c_R \rangle \geq \frac{v_R}{4\pi} \left[\log \frac{M_{\rm gut}}{m_{\rm SUSY}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \tag{7}$$

where f and v are couplings in Eqs. (2) and (4), $M_{\rm gut}$ is a high scale beyond which the SUSY LRSM unifies into a larger theory, and $m_{\rm SUSY}$ is the SUSY breaking scale. The right-handed sneutrino condensation brings about R-parity violation in the bilinear form $W_{\rm RpV} \propto L_i H_d$. This will lead to many interesting phenomenology in cosmology and at colliders. We will address these issues elsewhere.

After spontaneous LR and electroweak symmetry breaking, the Higgs vev's take the following general forms

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_u \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} \kappa'_u & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_u \end{pmatrix}, \ \langle \phi_d \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_d & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa'_d \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle \Delta^c_R \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v_R & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \langle \delta^c_R \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{v}_R \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \langle \Delta_L \rangle &= \langle \delta_L \rangle = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

At tree level, we have $v_R \approx \bar{v}_R$ due to the D-flat condition, and we will assume $\langle H_{d1} \rangle = \kappa'_u = 0$ and $\langle H_{u2} \rangle = \kappa'_d = 0$ for simplicity. It has first been proved that vev of the higgs bidoublets must be real in the SUSY SM with four Higgs doublets in Ref. [13], and later extended to minimal SUSY LRSM in Ref. [9]. A remarkable feature of the SUSY LRSM is that it provides a natural solution to both the SUSY and strong CP problems. The relevant phases for CP violation at low energy are the combinations $\arg(A m^*_{1/2})$ and $\arg(B m^*_{1/2})$ [14]. As observed in [9, 15], due to parity invariance, they vanish up to one-loop level, provided $M_L = M_R$ are real at the SUSY breaking scale. In fact, one can further extend the LRSM to $SO(10)$ grand unified theories (GUT), where M_L and M_R unify into real universal gaugino mass. The finite contributions only appear contribute at least at two-loop order. It has been established that the contribution to $\overline{\Theta}$ is at most on the order $10^{-8} - 10^{-10}$ [15]. This calls for much milder fine-tuning of parameters compared to the unconstrained version of minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM).

Corresponding to Eq. (8), $\langle H_{u1} \rangle = \kappa_u$, $\langle H_{d2} \rangle = \kappa_d$. The quark mass matrices are

$$M_u = Y^*_u \kappa_u, \quad M_d = Y^*_d \kappa_d \tag{9}$$

and $\tan\beta = \dfrac{\kappa_u}{\kappa_d}$. From the hermiticity of the Yukawa couplings and the fact that κ_u, κ_d are real numbers, one concludes CP violation in the quark sector is quasi-manifest [16]

$$V_{Rij}^{\rm CKM} = \pm V_{Lij}^{\rm CKM} \ , \tag{10}$$

where the sign provides the opportunity for cancelation. Again, there is no spontaneous CP violation phase.

In the remainder of this paper, we study the low-energy flavor mixing and CP-violation phenomenology in the neutral kaon system in the SUSY LRSM. We focus on the mass difference between K_L and K_R and the indirect CP violation parameter ϵ_K. Two significant beyond-SM contributions to the $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ mixing are the $W_L - W_R$ box-diagram and the gluino exchange diagrams. Our aim is to explore to what extend the right-handed scale v_R can be lowered.

The $K_L - K_S$ mass difference and indirect CP violation are calculated using the standard formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m_K &= 2\ {\rm Re}\langle K^0|\mathscr{H}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta S=2}|\bar{K}^0\rangle \ , \\ \epsilon_K &= \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{{\rm Im}\langle K^0|\mathscr{H}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta S=2}|\bar{K}^0\rangle}{\Delta m_K} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\mathscr{H}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta S=2} \equiv \mathscr{H}_{12}^{SM} + \mathscr{H}_{12}^{LR} + \mathscr{H}_{12}^{\tilde{g}}$ with $\mathscr{H}_{12}^{SM}$ is the SM contribution, and $\mathscr{H}_{12}^{LR}$, $\mathscr{H}_{12}^{\tilde{g}}$ and other neglected contributions will be explained in below.

In the LRSM, due to the absence of GIM suppression the $W_L - W_R$ box-diagram makes important contribution to kaon mixing.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H}_{12}^{LR} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\alpha}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_W} 2\eta\lambda_i^{LR}\lambda_j^{RL}\sqrt{x_i x_j}\,[(4+\eta x_i x_j) \\ &\quad \times I_1(x_i,x_j,\eta) - (1+\eta) I_2(x_i,x_j,\eta)]\, f_K^2\eta_4 B_4 \left(\frac{m_K}{m_s+m_d}\right)^2 + {\rm h.c.} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

in which $\lambda_i^{LR} = V_{Li2}^{CKM*}V_{Ri1}^{CKM}$, $f_K = 130$ MeV, the QCD leading-logarithmic evolution factor $\eta_4 = 1.4$ and B-factor for the four-quark matrix element $B_4 = 0.81$ at energy scale $\nu = 2$ TeV, $\eta = \left(\dfrac{M_L}{M_R}\right)^2$, $x_i = \left(\dfrac{m_i}{M_L}\right)^2$, $i = u, c, t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x_i,x_j,\eta) &= \frac{\eta\ln(1/\eta)}{(1-\eta)(1-x_i\eta)(1-x_j\eta)} + \left[\frac{x_i\ln x_i}{(x_i-x_j)(1-x_i)(1-x_i\eta)} + (i\leftrightarrow j)\right] \ , \\ I_2(x_i,x_j,\eta) &= \frac{\ln(1/\eta)}{(1-\eta)(1-x_i\eta)(1-x_j\eta)} + \left[\frac{x_i^2\ln x_i}{(x_i-x_j)(1-x_i)(1-x_i\eta)} + (i\leftrightarrow j)\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The charm-quark exchange in the $W_L - W_R$ box-diagram makes dominant contribution to K_L-K_S mass difference, yielding a lower bound of 3.5 TeV for M_{W_R}. In the SUSY LRSM with only manifest CP violation, the Dirac phase δ_{CP} is the sole source for ϵ_K, and the $c-t$ quarks exchange in the box provides the dominant contribution. If this were the only one, the experimental data on ϵ_K imposes a very stringent bound on the right-handed scale

$$M_{W_R} > 15 \text{ TeV} \ , \tag{14}$$

The bound is much more stringent than that in the presence of spontaneous CP phase in non-SUSY LRSM.

On the other hand, the dominant SUSY contribution to the neutral kaon mixing comes from the gluino exchange diagrams. The relevant physics is similar to that of MSSM. The gluino-exchange box-diagram (as well as the crossed ones) gives

$$\begin{aligned} H_{12}^{\tilde{g}} &= -\frac{\alpha_s^2}{216 m_{\tilde{q}}^2}\frac{2}{3} m_K f_K^2 \times \\ &+ \left\{ (\delta_{LL}^d)_{12}^2 \left[\left(384 \left(\frac{m_K}{m_d+m_s} \right)^2 + 120 \right) x f_6(x) + \left(-24 \left(\frac{m_K}{m_d+m_s} \right)^2 + 168 \right) \tilde{f}_6(x) \right] \right. \\ &- \left. (\delta_{LR}^d)_{12}^2 \left[264 \left(\frac{m_K}{m_d+m_s} \right)^2 x f_6(x) + \left(144 \left(\frac{m_K}{m_d+m_s} \right)^2 + 84 \right) \tilde{f}_6(x) \right] \right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where x is defined as squared ratio of gluino mass to averaged squark mass $x = M_3^2/m_{\tilde{q}}^2$. We have simplified the corresponding formula in [17] with the relations among mass insertions $(\delta_{LL}^d)_{12} = (\delta_{RR}^d)_{12}$ and $(\delta_{LR}^d)_{12} = (\delta_{RL}^d)_{12}$ under left-right symmetry. The functions $f_6(x)$, $\tilde{f}_6(x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} f_6(x) &= \frac{6(1+3x)\ln x + x^3 - 9x^2 - 9x + 17}{6(x-1)^5} \\ \tilde{f}_6(x) &= \frac{6x(1+x)\ln x - x^3 - 9x^2 + 9x + 1}{3(x-1)^5} , \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In the super-KM basis to be described below, flavor violations are attributed to the off-diagonal elements of the squark-mass-squared matrices $\left(M_{AB}^{q\ 2}\right)_{i\neq j}$. As a concrete model for these parameters, we consider the mSUGRA model for SUSY breaking, where the flavor universality of squark masses is assumed at some high scale M^*. The universal squark and higgs boson masses are denoted by m_0, gaugino mass by $m_{1/2}$, and a universal μ parameter. The trilinear scalar couplings are assumed to be proportional to the corresponding Yukawa couplings $A_{u,d} = A_0 Y_{u,d}$. Then the flavor-violation in the squark masses is generated via renormalization group evolution effects starting from M^*. The mass insertions are defined as $(\delta_{AB}^q)_{ij} \equiv \left(M_{AB}^{q\ 2}\right)_{ij} / m_{\tilde{q}}^2$ $(A, B = L, R)$. $m_{\tilde{q}}^2 \equiv \ \mathrm{Tr}\left(M_{uLL}^2 + M_{uRR}^2 + M_{dLL}^2 + M_{dRR}^2\right)/12$ is averaged squark mass squared. In MSSM, phenomenological bounds on the SUSY parameter spaces can be found in Ref. [17, 18]. When the multi-TeV right-handed scale is introduced in LRSM, we have to re-evaluate these bounds.

The renormalization group equations (RGE) for the soft masses and A-terms in the SUSY

LRSM are known [19]

$$
\begin{aligned}
16\pi^2\frac{d}{dt}m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} &= 2m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L}Y_aY_a^\dagger + Y_a\left[2Y_a^\dagger m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} + 4Y_b^\dagger m^2_{\phi ab} + 4m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R}Y_a^\dagger\right] \\
&\quad +4A_aA_a^\dagger - \frac{1}{3}M_V^2g_V^2 - 6M_L^2g_L^2 - \frac{32}{3}M_3^2g_3^2 + \frac{1}{8}g_V^2S_3 \\
16\pi^2\frac{d}{dt}m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R} &= 2m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R}Y_a^\dagger Y_a + Y_a^\dagger\left[2Y_am^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R} + 4Y_bm^2_{\phi ab} + 4m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L}Y_a\right] \\
&\quad +4A_a^\dagger A_a - \frac{1}{3}M_V^2g_V^2 - 6M_R^2g_R^2 - \frac{32}{3}M_3^2g_3^2 - \frac{1}{8}g_V^2S_3 \\
16\pi^2\frac{d}{dt}A_a &= A_a\left[2Y_b^\dagger Y_b - \frac{1}{6}g_1^2 - 3g_L^2 - 3g_R^2 - \frac{16}{3}g_3^2\right] + 2Y_bY_b^\dagger A_a + 4A_bY_b^\dagger Y_a \\
&\quad + Y_a\left[4Y_b^\dagger A_b + \frac{1}{3}g_1^2M_1 + 6g_L^2M_L + 6g_R^2M_R + \frac{32}{3}g_3^2M_3^2\right] \\
&\quad + 3\mathrm{Tr}\left(Y_aY_b^\dagger\right)A_b + 6\mathrm{Tr}\left(A_aY_b^\dagger\right)Y_b \\
S_3 &\equiv 4\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} - m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R} - m^2_{\widetilde{L}_L} + m^2_{\widetilde{L}_R}\right) + 12\left(m^2_{\Delta_L} - m^2_{\delta_L} - m^2_{\Delta_R} + m^2_{\delta_R}\right) \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b = u, d$, M_3 is the gluino soft mass and g_3 is the corresponding coupling, and $t = \ln\nu$ (ν is the running scale). The trace in S_3 runs over flavor space. We first run down the energy scale in the weak basis where the up-type quark masses are diagonal $h_u \sim M_u = \mathrm{diag}\{m_u, m_c, m_t\}$, while $h_d \sim M_d = V_{\rm CKM}\,\mathrm{diag}\{m_d, m_s, m_b\}\ V_{\rm CKM}^\dagger$. Then we turn to the super-KM basis by making the rotation to the down-type squark masses $M^2_{d\ AB} \to V^\dagger_{\rm CKM}M^2_{d\ AB}V_{\rm CKM}$, $A, B = L, R$. In this basis, we align the squark flavors with the corresponding quark mass eigenstates, so all the neutral current quark-squark-neutralino vertices are flavor diagonal, while all the charged current quark-squark-wino vertices are proportional to the CKM mixing. The simple one-loop iteration of the RGE yields explicit squark mass matrices in Eq. (A2).

We study the new contributions to the kaon mass splitting and ϵ_K parameter numerically. The free parameters are (v_R, m_0, $m_{1/2}$, A_0, $\tan\beta$, μ). M_* is assumed to be around the GUT scale 10^{16} GeV. If demanding the new contributions not to exceed the experimental values, the date on ϵ_K constrains the argument of the effective $\Delta S = 2$ matrix element to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$. This requires a cancelation among contributions from $\mathscr{H}_{12}^{LR}$ of the $W_L - W_R$ box-diagram and from $\mathscr{H}_{12}^{\widetilde{g}}$. Numerically, the allowed parameter spaces of (M_{W_R}, m_0), (M_{W_R}, $m_{1/2}$), ($m_{1/2}, m_0$), and (M_{W_R}, A_0) for $\tan\beta = 10$ and 40 are shown in Fig. 1. It is quite clear that there are large parameter spaces to lower the right-handed scale M_{W_R} down to 2 TeV scale range, largely independent of the detailed values of $m_{1/2}$ and A_0. For M_{W_R} scale as low as several TeV, there is an upper bound on $m_0 < 600$ GeV for $\tan\beta = 10$ and $m_0 < 800$ GeV for $\tan\beta = 40$. Physically, this cancelation happens because the sign of the LR gauge-boson box diagram can be chosen independently due to the $V_R^{\rm CKM}$ in Eq. (10).

In the above discussion, we have assumed the tree-level FCNC effects are small by letting the relevant Higgs boson masses be very large. This doublet-doublet splitting can be done by introducing a singlet or non-renormaizable terms [20]. This might be difficult to achieve if one demands the corresponding couplings less than 1. The most likely possibility is that the neutral Higgs boson masses are also on the order of right-handed symmetry breaking scale. If the contribution from v_R scale FCNH is included, it is difficult to get similar cancelation within the framework of mSUGRA conjecture. However, we can consider the suqark




FIG. 1: Scatter plots of allowed parameter spaces with constraint from Δm_K and ϵ_K. The blue dots corresponds to $\tan\beta = 40$ and the red crosses $\tan\beta = 10$. The correlations are shown in (M_{W_R}, m_0), $(M_{W_R}, m_{1/2})$, $(m_{1/2}, m_0)$ and (M_{W_R}, A_0) planes.

mass-insertions in more generic models of SUSY breaking. Including the contributions from the tree-level FCNH, $W_L - W_R$ and squark box-diagrams, cancelation among different contributions can always be achieved through adjusting off-diagonal elements of δ's without the universal boundary condition, as shown in Fig. 2. In the plots, the FCNH masses are set to equal to W_R and $m_0 = m_{1/2} = 500$ GeV. For large v_R scale, one generally gets upper-bounds on real and imaginary parts of δ's as in MSSM. For lower v_R, the magnitudes of δ's are constrained to be in narrow ranges.

So far in our discussion, we have neglected the chargino contribution by assuming it is small due to small gauge and Yukawa couplings. However, in Ref. [21], it was shown that the contribution can be large if the charginos are light, and then ϵ_K and ϵ' can be explained consistently with various flavor mixing parameters. In the minimal SUSY LRSM, there are 5 Dirac charginos and 9 Majorana neutralinos. Their couplings to d and s quarks are indeed suppressed by the Yukawa or weak gauge couplings. The renormalization group running between M_* and v_R generally makes the gluino heavier than charginos and neutralinos, and the latter contributions can be important in some cases. A thorough analysis of the pure SUSY contribution to kaon mixing, including the gaugino and chargino contributions has been carried out in Ref. [6]. The chargino's contribution is proportional to $(\delta^u_{LL})^2_{12}$. The study found that the upper bound on $\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}[(\delta^u_{LL})_{12}]^2}$ is less stringent than that on $\sqrt{\mathrm{Re}[(\delta^d_{LL})_{12}]^2}$ by an order of magnitude. With the universal boundary conditions of SUSY parameters at M_* and manifest LRS symmetry $V^R_{\mathrm{CKM}} = V^L_{\mathrm{CKM}}$, $(\delta^u_{LL})^2_{12}$ and $(\delta^d_{LL})^2_{12}$ have the same order of magnitude. Therefore, in the scenario we are considering, the SUSY gluino diagrams dominate.

In conclusion, we have studied FCNC and CP-violation observables in the minimal SUSY




FIG. 2: Contour plots from constant ΔM_K and ϵ_K with FCNH contributions included. The experimental constraints can be found as bands which are functions of M_{W_R} and squark mass-insertions. There is no real limit on M_{W_R} if the mass insertion parameter is allowed to vary.

LR model. With the new contribution from the SUSY gluino diagrams, the right-handed W-boson mass scale can be lowered to 2 TeV range where the right-handed gauge boson can be copiously produced at LHC . We also found a corresponding upper bounds on the soft mass parameter m_0 in the model.

We thank R. N. Mohapatra for many useful discussions, and O. Lebedev for pointing out possible large contribution from the charginos. This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy via grant DE-FG02-93ER-40762. Y. Zhang acknowledges a support from NSFC grants 10421503 and 10625521.

APPENDIX A: SQUARK MASS MATRIX IN MSUGRA

The off-diagonal terms in $m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L}$, $m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R}$, A_u and A_d appear only at lower energy in RGEs due to CKM mixng. We will neglect the running below the righthanded scale since we are mostly interested in low-scale left-right symmetry. We iterate once to solve equation (9), taking $m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} = m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R} = m_0^2\delta_{ij}$, $m^2_{\phi_{ab}} = m_0^2\delta_{ab}$, $M_L = M_R = M_V = M_3 = m_{1/2}$, $A_a = A_0 h_a$ on

the righthand side of (17). The leading order solution reads ,

$$m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L} = \bar{m}^2_0 - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}(3m_0^2 + A_0^2)(h_u h_u^\dagger + h_d h_d^\dagger)\ln\left(\frac{M_*^2}{v_R^2}\right)$$
$$m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R} = \bar{m}^2_0 - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}(3m_0^2 + A_0^2)(h_u^\dagger h_u + h_d^\dagger h_d)\ln\left(\frac{M_*^2}{v_R^2}\right)$$

where $\bar{m}^2_0$ contains additional flavor diagonal contribution from RG running. At low energy, the mass spectrum for squarks can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{q}-mass} \;\sim\; & (\widetilde{u}_L^\dagger, \widetilde{u}_R^\dagger)\begin{pmatrix} M^2_{uLL}, & M^2_{uLR} \\ M^2_{uRL}, & M^2_{uRR}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{u}_L \\ \widetilde{u}_R\end{pmatrix} \\ & + (\widetilde{d}_L^\dagger, \widetilde{d}_R^\dagger)\begin{pmatrix} M^2_{dLL}, & M^2_{dLR} \\ M^2_{dRL}, & M^2_{dRR}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\widetilde{d}_L \\ \widetilde{d}_R\end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

where $M^2_{qLR} = M^{2\dagger}_{qRL}$ and we can define $\left(M^2_{qAB}\right)_{ij} \equiv m^2_{\widetilde{q}}(\delta^q_{ij})_{AB},\ A, B = L, R$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(M^2_{uLL}\right)_{ij} &= \left(m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L}\right)_{ij} + \left[m^2_{u_i} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta_W\right) M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta\right]\delta_{ij} \\
\left(M^2_{uRR}\right)_{ij} &= \left(m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R}\right)_{ij} + \left[m^2_{u_i} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta_W\right) M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta\right]\delta_{ij} \\
\left(M^2_{uLR}\right)_{ij} &= A_u^{ij*}\kappa_u - \mu_{22}\left(V_L^{\rm CKM}\hat{M}_d V_R^{\rm CKM\dagger}\right)_{ij} \\
\left(M^2_{dLL}\right)_{ij} &= \left(m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_L}\right)_{ij} + \left[m^2_{d_i} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\sin^2\theta_W\right) M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta\right]\delta_{ij} \\
\left(M^2_{dRR}\right)_{ij} &= \left(m^2_{\widetilde{Q}_R}\right)_{ij} + \left[m^2_{d_i} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\sin^2\theta_W\right) M_Z^2 \cos 2\beta\right]\delta_{ij} \\
\left(M^2_{dLR}\right)_{ij} &= A_d^{ij*}\kappa_d - \mu_{11}\left(V_L^{\rm CKM\dagger}\hat{M}_u V_R^{\rm CKM}\right)_{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

---

[1] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 566 (1975); R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 1502 (1975); Rabindra N. Mohapatra, *CP Violation*, World Scientific Publ. Co., C. Jarlskog, Ed.

[2] T. D. Lee, talk given at the Center for High-Energy Physics, Peking University, Nov. 2006.

[3] D. Chang, Nucl. Phys. B **214**, 435 (1983); J. M. Frere, J. Galand, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 337 (1992); G. Barenboim, J. Bernabeu and M. Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B **478**, 527 (1996); P. Ball, J. M. Frere and J. Matias, Nucl. Phys. B **572**, 3 (2000);

[4] Y. Zhang, H. An, X. Ji and R. N. Mohapatra, arXiv:0704.1662 [hep-ph].

[5] W.-M.Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006)

[6] M. Frank and S. q. Nie, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 115008 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0303115]; R. M. Francis, M. Frank and C. S. Kalman, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2369 (1991).

[7] Y. L. Wu and Y. F. Zhou, arXiv:0709.0042 [hep-ph].
[8] J. Basecq, L. F. Li and P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 175 (1985).
[9] R. N. Mohapatra, A. Rasin and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4744 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9707281]. R. N. Mohapatra and A. Rasin, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 5835 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9604445]. R. N. Mohapatra and A. Rasin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3490 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9511391]. R. Kuchimanchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3486 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9511376].
[10] R. Kuchimanchi and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4352 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9306290].
[11] C. S. Aulakh, K. Benakli and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2188 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9703434]; C. S. Aulakh, A. Melfo, A. Rasin and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 115007 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9712551]; C. S. Aulakh, A. Melfo and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4174 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9707256].
[12] X. Ji and R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nussinov and Y. Zhang to be published.
[13] M. Masip and A. Rasin, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3768 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9506471].
[14] M. Dugan, B. Grinstein and L. J. Hall, Nucl. Phys. B **255**, 413 (1985). J. R. Ellis and M. K. Gaillard, Nucl. Phys. B **150**, 141 (1979).
[15] K. S. Babu, B. Dutta and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 016005 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0107100].
[16] K. Kiers, J. Kolb, J. Lee, A. Soni and G. H. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 095002 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0205082].
[17] J. S. Hagelin, S. Kelley and T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B **415**, 293 (1994). F. Gabbiani, E. Gabrielli, A. Masiero and L. Silvestrini, Nucl. Phys. B **477**, 321 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9604387].
[18] S. Baek, J. H. Jang, P. Ko and J. h. Park, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 117701 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9907572].
[19] N. Setzer and S. Spinner, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 115010 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0503244].
[20] R. N. Mohapatra, N. Setzer and S. Spinner, JHEP **0804**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0802.1208 [hep-ph]].
[21] S. Khalil and O. Lebedev, Phys. Lett. B **515**, 387 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0106023].