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#### Abstract

We analyse $B_{d, s} \rightarrow K^{(*) 0} K^{(*) 0}$ modes within the SM, relating them in a controlled way through $S U(3)$-flavour symmetry and QCD-improved factorisation. We propose a set of sum rules for such penguin-mediated decays to constrain some CKM angles. We determine $B_{s} \rightarrow K K$ branching ratios and CP-asymmetries as functions of $A_{\text {dir }}\left(B_{d} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right)$. Applying the same techniques to $B_{d, s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} K^{* 0}$, we outline strategies to determine the $B_{s}$ mixing angle.


Non-leptonic two-body $B_{d^{-}}$and $B_{s}$-decays provide many interesting ways of testing the CKM mechanism of CP-violation, but the effects of strong interaction often hinder quantitative predictions. The relevant hadronic quantities can be estimated through flavour symmetries, such as $U$-spin, but with a sizeable uncertainty. QCD factorisation (QCDF) provides a complementary tool, specially for short distances, but this expansion in $\alpha_{s}$ and $1 / m_{b}$ cannot predict some $1 / m_{b}$-suppressed long-distance effects. Recently, it was proposed to improve theoretical predictions by combining QCDF and $U$-spin in particular classes decays [3, 4].

## 1. Sum rules

In the Standard Model (SM), we can always split a $B$-decay amplitude into its tree and penguin contributions $\bar{A} \equiv A\left(\bar{B}_{q} \rightarrow M \bar{M}\right)=\lambda_{u}^{(q)} T_{M}^{q}+\lambda_{c}^{(q)} P_{M}^{q}$ according to the CKM factors $\lambda_{p}^{(q)}=V_{p b} V_{p q}^{*}$. One can compute these contributions for $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$ within QCDF [1, 2]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{T}^{s 0}=\bar{\alpha}_{4}^{u}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_{4 E W}^{u}+\bar{\beta}_{3}^{u}+2 \bar{\beta}_{4}^{u}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\beta}_{3 E W}^{u}-\bar{\beta}_{4 E W}^{u},  \tag{1}\\
& \hat{P}^{s 0}=\bar{\alpha}_{4}^{c}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_{4 E W}^{c}+\bar{\beta}_{3}^{c}+2 \bar{\beta}_{4}^{c}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\beta}_{3 E W}^{c}-\bar{\beta}_{4 E W}^{c}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{P}^{s C}=P^{s C} / A_{K K}^{s}, \hat{T}^{s C}=T^{s C} / A_{K K}^{s}$ and $A_{K K}^{q}=M_{B_{q}}^{2} F_{0}^{\bar{B}_{q} \rightarrow K}(0) f_{K} G_{F} / \sqrt{2}$. $\beta^{\prime}$ 's denote weak-annihilation contributions whereas $\alpha$ 's collect remaining terms (vertex and hard-spectator interactions). A similar structure occurs fro the tree and penguin contributions $T^{d 0}$ and $P^{d 0}$ for $\bar{B}_{d} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$, and for longitudinally polarised $K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ [2, 4]. As exemplified in eqs. (11)(2), for penguin-mediated decays, $T$ and $P$ are actually generated only by penguin topologies, and thus share the same long-distance dynamics: the difference comes from the ( $u$ or $c$ ) quark running in the loops [3]. Thus, $\Delta=T-P$ is midly affected by annihilation and hard-spectator contributions, and it can be computed with smaller uncertainties than $T$ or $P$ individually within
$\mathrm{QCDF}: \Delta^{d 0} \equiv T^{d 0}-P^{d 0}=A_{K K}^{d}\left[\alpha_{4}^{u}-\alpha_{4}^{c}+\beta_{3}^{u}-\beta_{3}^{c}+2 \beta_{4}^{u}-2 \beta_{4}^{c}\right]$. The $1 / m_{b}$ suppressed longdistance dynamics, modelled in QCDF, cancels in the differences between $u$ and $c$ contributions.

These theoretically well-behaved differences are related to the CP-averaged branching ratio $B R$ and the direct and mixed CP-asymmetries $\mathcal{A}_{\text {dir }}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\text {mix }}$ (see [3, 4] for the exact definitions). For a $B_{d}$ meson decaying through a $b \rightarrow D$ process $(D=d, s)$ [such as $B_{d} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ or $B_{d} \rightarrow \phi \bar{K}^{* 0}$ (with a subsequent decay into a CP eigenstate)], one extracts $\alpha$ [5] and $\beta$ from:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sin ^{2} \alpha & =\widetilde{B R} /\left(2\left|\lambda_{u}^{(D)}\right|^{2}|\Delta|^{2}\right)\left(1-\sqrt{1-\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dir}}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{mix}}\right)^{2}}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\sin ^{2} \beta & =\widetilde{B R} /\left(2\left|\lambda_{c}^{(D)}\right|^{2}|\Delta|^{2}\right)\left(1-\sqrt{1-\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{dir}}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{mix}}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{B R}$ is the CP-averaged branching ratio, up to a trivial kinematic factor [4]. Similar identities can be used for a $B_{s}$ meson decaying through $b \rightarrow D(D=d, s)\left[\right.$ such as $B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ or $\left.B_{s} \rightarrow \phi \bar{K}^{* 0}\right]$ to extract the angles $\beta_{s}$ and $\gamma$, assuming no New Physics in the decay.

## 2. $B_{d} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$ and $B_{s} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$

These penguin-mediated decays are related by $U$-spin, with a small breaking: few processes (weak annhilation and spectator interaction) probe the spectator quark, as confirmed by QCDF:

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{s 0} & =f P^{d 0}\left[1+\left(A_{K K}^{d} / P^{d 0}\right)\left\{\delta \alpha_{4}^{c}-\delta \alpha_{4 E W}^{c} / 2+\delta \beta_{3}^{c}+2 \delta \beta_{4}^{c}-\delta \beta_{3 E W}^{c} / 2-\delta \beta_{4 E W}^{c}\right\}\right]  \tag{5}\\
T^{s 0} & =f T^{d 0}\left[1+\left(A_{K K}^{d} / T^{d 0}\right)\left\{\delta \alpha_{4}^{u}-\delta \alpha_{4 E W}^{u} / 2+\delta \beta_{3}^{u}+2 \delta \beta_{4}^{u}-\delta \beta_{3 E W}^{u} / 2-\delta \beta_{4 E W}^{u}\right\}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

These ratios involve the $U$-spin breaking differences $\delta \alpha_{i}^{p} \equiv \bar{\alpha}_{i}^{p}-\alpha_{i}^{p}$ (id. for $\beta$ ). Apart from the ratio $f=M_{B_{s}}^{2} F_{0}^{\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow K}(0) /\left[M_{B_{d}}^{2} F_{0}^{\bar{B}_{d} \rightarrow K}(0)\right], U$-spin arises only through $1 / m_{b}$-suppressed terms in which most long-distance effects have cancelled out. In agreement with this observation, QCDF [2] yields tiny uncertainties: $\left|P^{s 0} /\left(f P^{d 0}\right)-1\right| \leq 3 \%$ and $\left|T^{s 0} /\left(f T^{d 0}\right)-1\right| \leq 3 \%$. These relations depend much less on the QCDF model for $1 / m_{b}$-suppressed contributions than the predictions for indivdiual tree or penguin contributions, and thus they provide an interesting alternative to a pure QCDF computation. One can also relate the penguin contributions to $\bar{B}_{d} \rightarrow K_{0} \bar{K}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{s} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$(see 3 for the treatment of tree contributions).

For $B_{d} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}$, the branching ratio $B R^{d 0}=(0.96 \pm 0.25) \cdot 10^{-6}$ 6 has been measured. If $A_{d i r}^{d 0}$ becomes available, we may exploit the theoretically well-controlled value of $\Delta_{d} \equiv T^{d 0}-P^{d 0}$ to get the two moduli and the relative phase of $T^{d 0}$ and $P^{d 0}$ from $B R^{d 0}, A_{d i r}^{d 0}$ and $\Delta_{d}$. Then we can use the previous bounds to compute the tree and penguin contributions for $B_{s} \rightarrow K K$ decays, leading to the SM predictions for the corresponding observables (see Table I in ref. [3]): $\operatorname{Br}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{0} \bar{K}^{0}\right)=(18 \pm 7 \pm 4 \pm 2) \cdot 10^{-6}$ and $\operatorname{Br}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{+} \bar{K}^{-}\right)=(20 \pm 8 \pm 4 \pm 2) \cdot 10^{-6}$, the latter being in very good agreement with the latest CDF measurement [7].

## 3. $B_{d} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ and $B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$

We focus on observables for mesons with a longitudinal polarisation which can be measured experimentally and predicted theoretically with a good accuracy. $B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ is in principle a clean mode to extract the mixing angle $\phi_{s}$. An expansion in powers of $\lambda_{u}^{(s)} / \lambda_{c}^{(s)}$ yields
$\mathcal{A}_{\text {mix }}^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right) \simeq \sin \phi_{s}+2\left|\frac{\lambda_{u}^{(s)}}{\lambda_{c}^{(s)}}\right| \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{T^{s 0 *}}{P^{s 0 *}}\right) \sin \gamma \cos \phi_{s}+\cdots=\sin \phi_{s}+\Delta S\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$
A significant value of $T^{s 0 *} / P^{s 0 *}$ could spoil the extraction of $\sin \phi_{s}$. One can use our knowledge on $T^{s 0 *}-P^{s 0 *}$ to bound $\Delta S\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$, as illustrated in fig. (1),


Figure 1. The absolute bounds on $\Delta S\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$ as functions of $B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$ (on the left) and the relation between $\mathcal{A}_{\text {mix }}^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$ and the $B_{s}-\bar{B}_{s}$ mixing angle $\phi_{s}$ (on the right), assuming $B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{d} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right) \geq 5 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\gamma=62^{\circ}$.

One can also relate the observables in $B_{d, s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ through the same combination of $U$-spin symmetry and QCDF. Once again, $U$-spin is mainly broken through the ratio of relevant form factors $f^{*}$, whereas most of the long-distance annihilation and spectator scattering contributions cancel in $P^{s 0 *} /\left(f^{*} P^{d 0 *}\right)$ and $T^{s 0 *} /\left(f^{*} T^{d 0 *}\right)$. Indeed, QCDF yields $\left|P^{s 0 *} /\left(f^{*} P^{d 0 *}\right)-1\right| \leq 12 \%$ and $\left|T^{s 0 *} /\left(f^{*} T^{d 0 *}\right)-1\right| \leq 15 \%$, which can be exploited to predict $B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ observables. The ratio of branching ratios $B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right) / B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{d} \rightarrow\right.$ $K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$ ) and the asymmetries as predicted in the SM turn out to be quite insensitive to the exact value of $B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{d} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$ as long as $B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{d} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right) \geq 5 \times 10^{-7}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right) / B R^{\text {long }}\left(B_{d} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)=17 \pm 6  \tag{8}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{\text {dir }}^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)=0.000 \pm 0.014 \quad \mathcal{A}_{\text {mix }}^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)=0.004 \pm 0.018 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

If one assumes no New Physics in the decay $B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}$, this method relates directly $\mathcal{A}_{\text {mix }}^{\text {long }}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \bar{K}^{* 0}\right)$ and $\phi_{s}$ as indicated in fig, 1 ,

## 4. Conclusions

We have combined experimental data, flavour symmetries and QCDF to gain control on penguinmediated $B_{d, s}$ decays. The difference between tree and penguin contributions can be assessed with a good accuracy. The $U$-spin breaking between $B_{d}$ and $B_{s}$ modes arises in few factorisable corrections (ratio of form factors) and non-factorisable corrections (weak annihilation and spectator scattering). QCDF confirms these expectations, and provides predictions with a limited model dependence on $1 / m_{b}$-suppressed long-distance contributions. We outlined the implications for $B_{s} \rightarrow K \bar{K}$ in pseudoscalar and vector channels. Sizeable NP effects would break these SM correlations between $B_{d}$ and $B_{s}$ decays, leading to departure from our predictions.
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