

The interrelation between the generation of large-scale electric fields and that of large-scale magnetic fields during inflation

Kazuharu Bamba

*Department of Physics, Kinki University,
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan*

Abstract

The interrelation between the generation of large-scale electric fields and that of large-scale magnetic fields due to the breaking of the conformal invariance of the electromagnetic field in inflationary cosmology is studied. It is shown that if large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the generation of large-scale electric fields is suppressed, and vice versa. Furthermore, a physical interpretation of the result and its cosmological significance are considered.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.62.En

Keywords: magnetic fields, inflation, physics of the early universe

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic fields with the field strength $\sim 10^{-6}\text{G}$ on a $1 - 10\text{kpc}$ scale have been observed in galaxies of all types, galaxies at cosmological distances [1], and clusters of galaxies (for detailed reviews, see [2–8]). Moreover, the field strength of magnetic fields in clusters of galaxies is estimated at $10^{-7} - 10^{-6}\text{G}$ and the scale is estimated at $10\text{kpc} - 1\text{Mpc}$ scale [9]. The origin of these magnetic fields, in particular magnetic fields in clusters of galaxies on a coherence scale as large as $\sim\text{Mpc}$, is not well understood yet. Although galactic dynamo mechanisms [10] have been proposed to amplify very weak seed magnetic fields up to $\sim 10^{-6}\text{G}$, they require initial seed magnetic fields to feed on. Furthermore, the effectiveness of the dynamo amplification mechanism in galaxies at high redshifts or clusters of galaxies is not well established.

There exist two broad categories of proposed generation mechanisms of seed magnetic fields. One is astrophysical processes [11, 12], and the other is cosmological processes in the early universe, e.g., cosmological phase transition [13–16], the generation of the magnetic fields from primordial density perturbations before the epoch of recombination [17–22]. In fact, however, it is difficult that these processes generate the magnetic fields on megaparsec scales with sufficient field strength to account for the observed magnetic fields in galaxies and clusters of galaxies without requiring any dynamo amplification.

The most natural origin of such a large-scale magnetic field is electromagnetic quantum fluctuations generated in the inflationary stage [23]. This is because inflation naturally produces effects on very large scales, larger than Hubble horizon, starting from microphysical processes operating on a causally connected volume. Since the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric usually considered is conformally flat and the classical electrodynamics is conformally invariant, the conformal invariance of the Maxwell theory must have been broken in the inflationary stage¹ in order that electromagnetic quantum fluctuations could be generated at that time [25]. Hence various conformal symmetry breaking mechanisms have been studied [23, 26–44].

It follows from indications in higher-dimensional theories including string theory that there can exist the dilaton field coupled to the electromagnetic field. Moreover, there can exist non-minimal gravitational couplings between the scalar curvature and the electromagnetic field due to one-loop vacuum-polarization effects in curved spacetime [45]. These couplings break the conformal invariance of the electromagnetic field. Such a coupling of non-trivial background fields that vary in time to the electromagnetic field is very interesting as the generation mechanism of large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude. Recently, therefore, in Ref. [46], the present author and Sasaki studied the evolution of the electromagnetic field in a very general situation in which the conformal invariance is broken through the coupling of the form $IF_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ where I can be a function of any non-trivial background fields that vary in time, and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field-strength tensor. Here, A_μ is the $U(1)$ gauge field. In this case, not only large-scale magnetic fields but also large-scale electric fields can be generated during inflation. The conductivity of the universe in the inflationary stage is negligibly small, because there are few charged particles at that time. Hence electric fields can exist during inflation.

In the present paper we consider the interrelation between the generation of large-scale

¹ In Ref. [24], the breaking of conformal flatness of the FRW metric induced by the evolution of scalar metric perturbations at the end of inflation has been discussed.

electric fields and that of large-scale magnetic fields during inflation due to the breaking of the conformal invariance of the electromagnetic field through a coupling with non-trivial background fields that vary in time. We show that when large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the generation of large-scale electric fields is suppressed, and vice versa. In the inflationary stage, the sum of the energy density of electric and magnetic fields should be smaller than that of the inflaton field. From our result, we find that there does not exist the possibility that if large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, large-scale electric fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated simultaneously, so that the sum of the resultant energy density of electric and magnetic fields becomes larger than that of the inflaton. If large-scale electric fields with a large amplitude are generated, the large-scale charge separation and additional fluctuations in the cosmic plasma could be generated during reheating, so that the evolution of the universe might become anisotropic. In fact, however, in this scenario when the large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the amplitude of the generated large-scale electric fields is very small. Hence the large-scale charge separation and additional fluctuations in the cosmic plasma can be hardly generated. Thus, this generation scenario of large-scale magnetic fields from inflation is consistent with the standard evolution of the universe suggested from the observation of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation. We use units in which $k_B = c = \hbar = 1$. Moreover, we adopt Heaviside-Lorentz units in terms of electromagnetism.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the model in Ref. [46] and consider the evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field. In Sec. III we derive the energy density of large-scale electric and magnetic fields generated during inflation, and we consider the inter-relation between the generation of large-scale electric fields and that of large-scale magnetic fields. Furthermore, we consider a physical interpretation of the result and its cosmological significance in Sec. IV. Finally, Sec. V is devoted to a conclusion.

II. BREAKING OF THE CONFORMAL INVARIANCE OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

A. Model

First, we review the model in Ref. [46]. We consider the following model action:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{4} I F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, and I is an arbitrary function of non-trivial background fields at the moment.

From the action (2.1), the equation of motion for the electromagnetic field can be derived as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{-g} I F^{\mu\nu}] = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We assume the spatially flat FRW space-time with the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) d\mathbf{x}^2 = a^2(\eta) (-d\eta^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2), \quad (2.3)$$

where a is the scale factor, and η is the conformal time. We consider the evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field in this background. Its equation of motion in the Coulomb gauge, $A_0(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $\partial^j A_j(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$, reads

$$\ddot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}) + \left(H + \frac{\dot{I}}{I}\right) \dot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{a^2} \overset{(3)}{\Delta} A_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, and a dot denotes a time derivative, $\cdot = \partial/\partial t$. Moreover, $\overset{(3)}{\Delta} = \partial^i \partial_i$ is the flat 3-dimensional Laplacian.

B. Evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field

Next, we consider the evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field in generic slow-roll inflation. Here we shall quantize the $U(1)$ gauge field $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$. It follows from the model Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) that the canonical momenta conjugate to $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$ are given by

$$\pi_0 = 0, \quad \pi_i = I a(t) \dot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (2.5)$$

We impose the canonical commutation relation between $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\pi_j(t, \mathbf{y})$,

$$[A_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi_j(t, \mathbf{y})] = i \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where \mathbf{k} is comoving wave number and $k = |\mathbf{k}|$. From this relation, we obtain the expression for $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ as

$$A_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{\sigma=1,2} \left[\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) \epsilon_i(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) A(t, k) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) \epsilon_i^*(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) A^*(t, k) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right], \quad (2.7)$$

where $\epsilon_i(\mathbf{k}, \sigma)$ ($\sigma = 1, 2$) are the two orthonormal transverse polarization vectors, and $\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma)$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, \sigma)$ are the annihilation and creation operators which satisfy

$$\left[\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma), \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}', \sigma') \right] = \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \quad \left[\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma), \hat{b}(\mathbf{k}', \sigma') \right] = \left[\hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, \sigma), \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}', \sigma') \right] = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from Eq. (2.4) that the mode function $A(k, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\ddot{A}(k, t) + \left(H + \frac{\dot{I}}{I}\right) \dot{A}(k, t) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} A(k, t) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

and that the normalization condition for $A(k, t)$ reads

$$A(k, t) \dot{A}^*(k, t) - \dot{A}(k, t) A^*(k, t) = \frac{i}{I a}. \quad (2.10)$$

Replacing the independent variable t by η , we find that Eq. (2.9) becomes

$$A''(k, \eta) + \frac{I'}{I} A'(k, \eta) + k^2 A(k, \eta) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the conformal time η .

We are not able to obtain the exact solution of Eq. (2.11) for the case in which I is given by a general function of η . In fact, however, we can obtain an approximate solution with sufficient accuracy by using the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) approximation on subhorizon scales and the long-wavelength approximation on superhorizon scales, and matching these solutions at the horizon crossing [46].

In the exact de Sitter background, we find $-k\eta = k/(aH)$, where H is the de Sitter Hubble parameter. Moreover, at the horizon-crossing, $H = k/a$ is satisfied, and hence $-k\eta_k = 1$ is satisfied. Here, η_k is the conformal time at the horizon-crossing. The subhorizon (superhorizon) scale corresponds to the region $k|\eta| \gg 1$ ($k|\eta| \ll 1$). This is expected to be also a sufficiently good definition for the horizon crossing for general slow-roll, almost exponential inflation.

The WKB subhorizon solution is given by

$$A_{\text{in}}(k, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} I^{-1/2} e^{-ik\eta}, \quad (2.12)$$

where we have assumed that the vacuum in the short-wavelength limit is the standard Minkowski vacuum.

On the other hand, the solution on superhorizon scales, $A_{\text{out}}(k, \eta)$, can be obtained by using the long-wavelength expansion in terms of k^2 and matching this solution with the WKB subhorizon solution in Eq. (2.12) at the horizon crossing. The lowest order approximate solution of $A_{\text{out}}(k, \eta)$ is given by [46]

$$A_{\text{out}}(k, \eta) = C(k) + D(k) \int_{\eta}^{\eta_{\text{R}}} \frac{1}{I(\tilde{\eta})} d\tilde{\eta}, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$C(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} I^{-1/2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} I' + ikI \right) \int_{\eta}^{\eta_{\text{R}}} \frac{1}{I(\tilde{\eta})} d\tilde{\eta} \right] e^{-ik\eta} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$D(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} I^{-1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} I' + ikI \right) e^{-ik\eta} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}. \quad (2.15)$$

Neglecting the decaying mode solution, from Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14) we find that $|A(k, \eta)|^2$ at late times is given by

$$|A(k, \eta)|^2 = |C(k)|^2 = \frac{1}{2kI(\eta_k)} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{I'(\eta_k)}{kI(\eta_k)} + i \right) e^{-ik\eta_k} k \int_{\eta_k}^{\eta_{\text{R}}} \frac{I(\eta_k)}{I(\tilde{\eta})} d\tilde{\eta} \right|^2, \quad (2.16)$$

where η_{R} is the conformal time at the time of reheating after inflation.

III. EVOLUTION OF LARGE-SCALE ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS

In this section, we consider the evolution of large-scale electric and magnetic fields. The proper electric and magnetic fields are given by

$$E_i^{\text{proper}}(t, \mathbf{x}) = a^{-1} E_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = -a^{-1} \dot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$B_i^{\text{proper}}(t, \mathbf{x}) = a^{-1} B_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = a^{-2} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (3.2)$$

where $E_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $B_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ are the comoving electric and magnetic fields, and ϵ_{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric tensor ($\epsilon_{123} = 1$).

Using Eqs. (2.13) and (3.1), we find

$$|E^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 = 2 \frac{1}{a^4} |A'(k, \eta)|^2 = 2 \frac{1}{a^4} \frac{|D(k)|^2}{|I(\eta)|^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the factor 2 comes from the two polarization degrees of freedom. The energy density of the large-scale electric fields in Fourier space is given by $\rho_E(k, \eta) = (1/2)|E^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 I(\eta)$. Hence, multiplying $\rho_E(k, \eta)$ by the phase-space density, $4\pi k^3/(2\pi)^3$, and using Eq. (3.3), we obtain the energy density of the large-scale electric fields in the position space

$$\rho_E(L, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4\pi k^3}{(2\pi)^3} |E^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 I(\eta) = \frac{|D(k)|^2 k^4}{2\pi^2 k} \frac{1}{a^4 I(\eta)}, \quad (3.4)$$

on a comoving scale $L = 2\pi/k$.

Similarly, using Eqs. (2.16) and (3.2), we find

$$|B^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 = 2 \frac{k^2}{a^4} |A(k, \eta)|^2 = 2 \frac{k^2}{a^4} |C(k)|^2, \quad (3.5)$$

where the factor 2 comes from the two polarization degrees of freedom. The energy density of the large-scale magnetic fields in Fourier space is given by $\rho_B(k, \eta) = (1/2)|B^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 I(\eta)$. Hence, multiplying $\rho_B(k, \eta)$ by the phase-space density, $4\pi k^3/(2\pi)^3$, and using Eq. (3.5), we obtain the energy density of the large-scale magnetic fields in the position space

$$\rho_B(L, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4\pi k^3}{(2\pi)^3} |B^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 I(\eta) = \frac{k |C(k)|^2 k^4}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{a^4 I(\eta)}, \quad (3.6)$$

on a comoving scale $L = 2\pi/k$.

In order to study the property of generation of large-scale electric and magnetic fields more clearly, we consider the case in which the coupling function of non-trivial background fields to the electromagnetic field, I , is given by a specific form as follows:

$$I(\eta) = I_s \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_s} \right)^{-\alpha}, \quad (3.7)$$

where η_s is some fiducial time during inflation, I_s is the value of $I(\eta)$ at $\eta = \eta_s$, and α is a constant. In this case, from Eqs. (2.15) and (3.7) we find

$$\frac{|D(k)|^2}{k} = \frac{\alpha^2 + 4}{8} I(\eta_k), \quad (3.8)$$

where we have used $-k\eta_k = 1$. Moreover, from Eqs. (2.14) and (3.7) we find [46]

$$k|C|^2 = \frac{1}{2I(\eta_k)} \left| 1 - \frac{\alpha + 2i}{2(\alpha + 1)} e^{-ik\eta_k} \right|^2 \equiv \frac{\mathcal{C}}{2I(\eta_k)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $-k\eta_k = 1$ and $\eta_R \approx 0$ have been used. Here, \mathcal{C} is a constant of order unity. Thus, from Eqs. (3.4) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\rho_E(L, \eta) = \frac{\alpha^2 + 4}{16\pi^2} \frac{k^4}{a^4} \frac{I(\eta_k)}{I(\eta)} = \frac{\alpha^2 + 4}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^{4+\alpha} H^4, \quad (3.10)$$

where H is the Hubble parameter at the inflationary stage. In deriving the second equality in Eq. (3.10), we have used the following relation:

$$\frac{I(\eta_k)}{I(\eta)} = \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_k}\right)^\alpha = \left(\frac{-k\eta}{-k\eta_k}\right)^\alpha = \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^\alpha, \quad (3.11)$$

where in deriving the last equality we have used $-k\eta_k = 1$ and $-k\eta = k/(aH)$. Similarly, from Eqs. (3.6) and (3.9) we obtain

$$\rho_B(L, \eta) = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{4\pi^2} \frac{k^4}{a^4} \frac{I(\eta)}{I(\eta_k)} = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{4-\alpha} H^4, \quad (3.12)$$

where in deriving the second equality we have used the relation (3.11). Here we note that since we are interested in large-scale electric and magnetic fields, we consider the superhorizon scale, i.e., $k/(aH) \ll 1$.

Consequently, it follows from Eqs. (3.10) and (3.12) that the ratio of the energy density of the large-scale electric fields to that of the large-scale magnetic fields is given by

$$\frac{\rho_E(L, \eta)}{\rho_B(L, \eta)} = \frac{\alpha^2 + 4}{4\mathcal{C}} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{2\alpha}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since we here consider the superhorizon scale, $k/(aH) \ll 1$, from Eq. (3.13) we see that if $\alpha > 0$, $\rho_B(L, \eta) > \rho_E(L, \eta)$, and that if $\alpha < 0$, $\rho_B(L, \eta) < \rho_E(L, \eta)$. Hence, if large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large root-mean-square (rms) amplitude are generated during inflation, the generation of large-scale electric fields is suppressed, and vice versa. This result holds true for the case in which I is given by an arbitrary function of non-trivial background fields. From Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6), we see that $\rho_E(L, \eta) \propto 1/I(\eta)$ and $\rho_B(L, \eta) \propto I(\eta)$. If large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the value of the coupling function I must be extremely small in the beginning and increase rapidly over time during inflation [46]. In such a case, from the above relations we see that the generation of large-scale electric fields is suppressed.

During inflation the sum of the energy density of electric and magnetic fields should be smaller than that of the inflaton. From Eq. (3.12), we see that if $\alpha \approx 4$, the spectrum of large-scale magnetic fields is nearly scale-invariant; in this case the amplitude of generated large-scale magnetic fields at the present time can be sufficiently large to explain the observations of magnetic fields in galaxies and clusters of galaxies [29, 46]. In this case, from the above consideration, during inflation the energy density of large-scale electric fields is much smaller than that of large-scale magnetic fields. Thus there does not exist the possibility that when large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, large-scale electric fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are also generated, so that the sum of the energy density of electric and magnetic fields becomes larger than that of the inflaton.

IV. PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION AND COSMOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE

In this section, we consider a physical interpretation of the result in the preceding section, i.e., if large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the generation of large-scale electric fields is suppressed, and vice versa. Furthermore, we consider the cosmological significance of this result.

A. Physical interpretation

It follows from Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2) that if the amplitude of the $U(1)$ gauge field $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ varies in time, electric fields are generated; on the other hand, if the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ varies in terms of space coordinates, magnetic fields are generated. When the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ greatly varies in time, the relative difference of the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ at each of the space-coordinate points becomes very small because the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ greatly grows (or decays) at all the space-coordinate points equally. It follows from the relation $A'(k, \eta) = -D(k)/I(\eta)$, which is derived from Eq. (2.13), that this situation is realized if the value of I decreases rapidly in time during inflation. On the other hand, when there can exist the large relative difference of the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ at each of the space-coordinate points, the variation of the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ in time must be small. This is because the relative difference of the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ at each of the space-coordinate points is dissipated by the large variation of the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ in time. This situation is realized if the value of I increases rapidly in time during inflation. Thus, increasing I which favors the small variation of the amplitude of $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ in time leads to stronger magnetic fields and vice versa.

Consequently, in this scenario there does not exist the possibility that both large-scale electric and magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated simultaneously. Hence large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude can be generated during inflation without being inconsistent with the fact that the sum of the energy density of the generated electric and magnetic fields during inflation should be smaller than that of the inflaton. This point is the first cosmological significance of the result of the present paper.

B. Cosmological consequences of the electric and magnetic fields after inflation

Furthermore, we consider the cosmological consequences of the electric and magnetic fields after inflation. The conductivity of the universe in the inflationary stage is negligibly small, because there are few charged particles at that time. Hence the electric fields can exist during inflation. After reheating following inflation, however, a number of charged particles are produced, so that the conductivity of the universe immediately becomes much larger than the Hubble parameter at that time [23]. Hence the electric fields accelerate charged particles and finally dissipate, and only the magnetic fields can survive up to the present time.

When there exist the electric fields during reheating, since the electric fields would be constant over horizon size patches, this would lead to the flow of a current. Furthermore, if $EL_H = E/H = \sqrt{6/(8\pi)}M_{\text{Pl}}\sqrt{\rho_E/\rho} > 2m_e$, which means $\rho_E/\rho > (m_e/M_{\text{Pl}})^2$, the electric fields are strong enough to ‘short circuit’ by electron/positron production. Here, L_H is the horizon size, M_{Pl} is the Planck mass, ρ is the energy density of the inflaton, and m_e is electron mass. Therefore and because of other dissipation processes, the electric fields are dissipated long before their wavelength enters the horizon and it could lead to charge separation and anisotropies. However, maybe this can lead to fluctuations in the cosmic plasma. Hence, if the large-scale electric fields with a large amplitude are generated, the large-scale charge separation could occur and the large-scale fluctuations in the cosmic plasma could be generated. Such large-scale charge separation and additional fluctuations in the cosmic plasma might have some influence on the evolution of the universe. For example, if the cosmological-scale charge separation and additional fluctuations in the cosmic plasma are

generated, the evolution of the universe might become anisotropic. This is inconsistent with the observational results of the very small anisotropy of the CMB radiation obtained from Wilkinson microwave background probe (WMAP) [47]. In this scenario, however, when the large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the amplitude of the generated large-scale electric fields is very small. Hence the cosmological-scale charge separation and additional fluctuations in the cosmic plasma could be hardly generated. Thus, this generation scenario of large-scale magnetic fields from inflation is consistent with the standard evolution of the universe suggested by the observation of CMB radiation. This point is the second cosmological significance of the present result.

Finally, we note the following point. In the present paper we do not consider a specific model, but we give a model-independent analysis on interrelation between the generation of large-scale electric and magnetic fields from inflation due to the violation of the conformal invariance through the coupling IF^2 . Therefore, we consider the general case in which I is an arbitrary function of non-trivial background fields, e.g., the dilaton field Φ and/or the scalar curvature R . For example, the present author and Yokoyama studied the case of the dilaton electromagnetism in Ref. [29], where the electromagnetic coupling function is given by $I(\Phi) = e^{\lambda\kappa\Phi}$, where $\kappa = \sqrt{8\pi G}$ and G is the gravitational constant. Such coupling is reasonable in light of indications in higher-dimensional theories including string theory. Furthermore, the dilaton potential is given by $V[\Phi] = \tilde{V} \exp(-\tilde{\lambda}\kappa\Phi)$, where \tilde{V} is a constant. Here, λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are dimensionless constants. The value of the electromagnetic coupling $I(\Phi)$ varies as the dilaton Φ evolves. The coupling was first analyzed by Ratra in Ref. [27], where the inflaton and the dilaton are identified. In Ref. [29], the present author and Yokoyama considered a realistic situation that the dilaton evolves along with the exponential potential $V[\Phi]$ during inflation and even after reheating but is finally stabilized when it feels other contributions to its potential, say, from gaugino condensation [48] that generates a potential minimum [49, 50]. Then they considered the case in which its potential minimum is located at $\Phi = 0$ and $I = 1$ there, and hence the ordinary Maxwell theory is recovered. As it reaches there, the dilaton starts oscillation with mass m and finally decays into radiation with or without significant entropy production. As a result, they have shown that if the generated magnetic fields have nearly scale-invariant spectrum, i.e., $\alpha \approx 4$, we had to introduce a huge hierarchy between the coupling constant of the dilaton to the electromagnetic field λ and the coupling one $\tilde{\lambda}$ of the dilaton potential, $\lambda/\tilde{\lambda} \approx 400$. As a possible solution to such a huge hierarchy between λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$, the present author and Yokoyama proposed a new scenario [30] by taking account of the effects of the stringy spacetime uncertainty relation (SSUR) [51]. They found that the SSUR of the metric perturbations could lead to magnetic fields with a nearly scale-invariant spectrum even if λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are of the same order of magnitude.

V. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have considered the interrelation between the generation of large-scale electric fields and that of large-scale magnetic fields due to the breaking of the conformal invariance of the electromagnetic field through the coupling IF^2 in inflationary cosmology. As a result, we have shown that if large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the generation of large-scale electric fields is suppressed, and vice versa. Hence, in this scenario, large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude can be generated during inflation without being inconsistent

with the fact that the sum of the energy density of the resultant electric and magnetic fields during inflation should be smaller than that of the inflaton. Furthermore, when large-scale magnetic fields with a sufficiently large amplitude are generated during inflation, the amplitude of the large-scale electric fields generated is very small. Hence the large-scale charge separation and additional fluctuations in the cosmic plasma, which could be generated during reheating due to the large-scale electric fields and which might make the evolution of the universe anisotropic, can be hardly generated. Consequently, this generation scenario of large-scale magnetic fields from inflation is consistent with the standard evolution of the universe suggested from the observation of CMB radiation. These two points constitute the cosmological significance of the result of the present paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the open research center project at Kinki University.

-
- [1] P. P. Kronberg, J. J. Perry, and E. L. H. Zukowski, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, L31 (1990); **387**, 528 (1992).
 - [2] Y. Sofue, M. Fujimoto, and R. Wielebinski, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **24**, 459 (1986).
 - [3] P. P. Kronberg, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **57**, 325 (1994).
 - [4] D. Grasso and H. R. Rubinstein, *Phys. Rep.* **348**, 163 (2001).
 - [5] C. L. Carilli and G. B. Taylor, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **40**, 319 (2002).
 - [6] L. M. Widrow, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 775 (2002).
 - [7] M. Giovannini, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13**, 391 (2004).
 - [8] V. B. Semikoz and D. D. Sokoloff, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **14**, 1839 (2005).
 - [9] K. -T. Kim, P. P. Kronberg, P. E. Dewdney, and T. L. Landecker, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, 29 (1990); K. -T. Kim, P. C. Tribble, and P. P. Kronberg, *ibid.* **379**, 80 (1991); T. E. Clarke, P. P. Kronberg, and H. Böhringer, *ibid.* **547**, L111 (2001).
 - [10] E. N. Parker, *Astrophys. J.* **163**, 255 (1971); *Cosmical Magnetic Fields* (Clarendon, Oxford, England, 1979); Ya. B. Zel'dovich, A. A. Ruzmaikin, and D. D. Sokoloff, *Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1983).
 - [11] L. Biermann and A. Schlüter, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 863 (1951); K. Subramanian, D. Narasimha, and S. M. Chitre, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **271**, L15 (1994); R. M. Kulsrud, R. Cen, J. P. Ostriker, and D. Ryu, *Astrophys. J.* **480**, 481 (1997); N. Y. Gnedin, A. Ferrara, and E. G. Zweibel, *ibid.* **539**, 505, (2000); G. Davies and L. M. Widrow, *ibid.* **540**, 755, (2000); H. Hanayama *et al.*, *ibid.* **633**, 941, (2005).
 - [12] E. S. Weibel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2**, 83 (1959); N. Okabe and M. Hattori, *Astrophys. J.* **599**, 964 (2003); T. N. Kato, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 080705 (2005); Y. Fujita and T. N. Kato, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **364**, 247 (2005).
 - [13] G. Baym, D. Bödeker, and L. McLerran, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 662 (1996).
 - [14] R. Durrer and C. Caprini, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **0311**, 010 (2003).
 - [15] J. M. Quashnock, A. Loeb, and D. N. Spergel, *Astrophys. J.* **344**, L49 (1989).
 - [16] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and M. Simionato, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 123505 (2003); *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 023502 (2003).

- [17] S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach, A. Notari, and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 043502 (2005).
- [18] K. Ichiki *et al.*, Science **311**, 827 (2006); K. Ichiki, K. Takahashi, N. Sugiyama, H. Hanayama, and H. Ohno, arXiv:astro-ph/0701329; K. Takahashi, K. Ichiki, H. Ohno, and H. Hanayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 121301 (2005).
- [19] R. Gopal and S. Sethi, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **363**, 521 (2005).
- [20] E. R. Siegel and J. N. Fry, Astrophys. J. **651**, 627 (2006).
- [21] Z. Berezhiani and A. D. Dolgov, Astropart. Phys. **21**, 59 (2004).
- [22] T. Kobayashi, R. Maartens, T. Shiromizu, and K. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 103501 (2007).
- [23] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 2743 (1988).
- [24] A. L. Maroto, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 083006 (2001).
- [25] L. Parker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21**, 562 (1968).
- [26] F. D. Mazzitelli and F. M. Spedalieri, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6694 (1995); G. Lambiase and A. R. Prasanna, *ibid.* **70**, 063502 (2004).
- [27] B. Ratra, Astrophys. J. **391**, L1 (1992); Report No. GRP-287/CALT-68-1751, 1991.
- [28] W. D. Garretson, G. B. Field, and S. M. Carroll, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 5346 (1992); D. Lemoine and M. Lemoine, *ibid.* **52**, 1955 (1995); M. Gasperini, M. Giovannini, and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3796 (1995); M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 061301 (2001); arXiv:hep-ph/0104214; arXiv:astro-ph/0212346; M. R. Garousi, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Lett. B **606**, 1 (2005); M. A. Ganjali, J. High Energy Phys. **09**, 004 (2005); M. M. Anber and L. Sorbo, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0610** 018 (2006).
- [29] K. Bamba and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 043507 (2004).
- [30] K. Bamba and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083508 (2004).
- [31] A. Akhtari-Zavareh, A. Hojati, and B. Mirza, Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 803 (2007).
- [32] E. A. Calzetta, A. Kandus, and F. D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 7139 (1998); A. Kandus, E. A. Calzetta, F. D. Mazzitelli, and C. E. M. Wagner, Phys. Lett. B **472**, 287 (2000); M. Giovannini and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 103512 (2000).
- [33] A. -C. Davis, K. Dimopoulos, T. Prokopec, and O. Törnkvist, Phys. Lett. B **501**, 165 (2001); K. Dimopoulos, T. Prokopec, O. Törnkvist, and A. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 063505 (2002); T. Prokopec, O. Törnkvist, and R. Woodard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 101301 (2002).
- [34] B. A. Bassett, G. Pollifrone, S. Tsujikawa, and F. Viniegra, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103515 (2001); F. Finelli and A. Gruppuso, Phys. Lett. B **502**, 216 (2001).
- [35] A. D. Dolgov, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2499 (1993).
- [36] O. Bertolami and D. F. Mota, Phys. Lett. B **455**, 96 (1999).
- [37] M. Gasperini, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 047301 (2001).
- [38] T. Prokopec, arXiv:astro-ph/0106247.
- [39] K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen, and A. Mazumdar, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0411**, 001 (2004).
- [40] O. Bertolami and R. Monteiro, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 123525 (2005).
- [41] A. Ashoorioon and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103509 (2005).
- [42] J. M. Salim, N. Souza, S. E. Perez Bergliaffa, and T. Prokopec, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0704**, 011 (2007).
- [43] M. Giovannini, arXiv:astro-ph/0612378.
- [44] K. Bamba, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 083516 (2007).
- [45] I. T. Drummond and S. J. Hathrell, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 343 (1980).
- [46] K. Bamba and M. Sasaki, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **0702**, 030 (2007).
- [47] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser. **148**, 175 (2003).
- [48] J.P. Derendinger, L.E. Ibáñez and H.P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. **155B**, 65 (1985); M. Dine, R.

- Rohm, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *ibid.* **156B**, 55 (1985);
- [49] A.D. Dolgov, K. Kohri, O. Seto, and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 103515 (2003).
- [50] T. Barreiro, B. de Carlos, and E.J. Copeland, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 083513 (1998).
- [51] T. Yoneya, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4**, 1587 (1989); M. Li and T. Yoneya, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1219 (1997); T. Yoneya, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103**, 1081 (2000).