arXiv:0710.2118v1 [hep-ph] 11 Oct 2007

Tri-bimaximal Neutrino Mixing and CKM Matrix in a $SU(5) \times {}^{(d)}T$ Model

Mu-Chun Chen[1] [a] and K.T. Mahanthappa[2b]

[1] Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92697, USA
[2] Department of Physics, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO, 80309, USA

Abstract. In this talk, we present a model based on $SU(5) \times {}^{(d)}T$ which successfully gives rise to near tri-bimaximal leptonic mixing as well as realistic CKM matrix elements for the quarks. The Georgi-Jarlskog relations for three generations are also obtained. Due to the ${}^{(d)}T$ transformation property of the matter fields, the b-quark mass can be generated only when the ${}^{(d)}T$ symmetry is broken, giving a dynamical origin for the hierarchy between m_b and m_t. There are only nine operators allowed in the Yukawa sector up to at least mass dimension seven due to an additional $Z_{12} \times Z'_{12}$ symmetry, which also forbids, up to some high orders, operators that lead to proton decay. The resulting model has a total of nine parameters in the charged fermion and neutrino sectors, and hence is very predictive. In addition to the prediction for $\theta_{13} \simeq \theta_c/3\sqrt{2}$, the model gives rise to a sum rule, $\tan^2\theta_\odot \simeq \tan^2\theta_{\odot,\rm TBM} - \frac{1}{2}\theta_c \cos\beta$, which is a consequence of the Georgi-Jarlskog relations in the quark sector. This deviation could account for the difference between the experimental best fit value for the solar mixing angle and the value predicted by the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix.

PACS. 12.10.Dm Unified theories and models of strong and electroweak interactions – 12.15.Ff Quark and lepton masses and mixing

1 Introduction

The measurements of neutrino oscillation parameters have entered a precision era. The global fit to current data from neutrino oscillation experiments give the following best fit values and 2σ limits for the mixing parameters [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2\theta_{12} &= 0.30\ (0.25 - 0.34), \\ \sin^2\theta_{23} &= 0.5\ (0.38 - 0.64), \\ \sin^2\theta_{13} &= 0\ (< 0.028). \end{aligned}$$

These values for the mixing parameters are very close to the values arising from the so-called "tri-bimaximal" mixing (TBM) matrix [2],

$$U_{\rm TBM} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{1/6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{1/6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

which predicts $\sin^2\theta_{\rm atm,\,TBM} = 1/2$ and $\sin\theta_{13,\rm TBM} = 0$. In addition, it predicts $\sin^2\theta_{\odot,\rm TBM} = 1/3$ for the solar mixing angle. Even though the predicted $\theta_{\odot,\rm TBM}$ is currently still allowed by the experimental data at 2σ, as it is very close to the upper bound at the 2σ limit, it may be ruled out once more precise measurements are made in the upcoming experiments.

It has been pointed out that the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix can arise from a family symmetry in the lepton sector based on A_4 [3]. However, due to its lack of doublet representations, CKM matrix is an identity in most A_4 models [4]. In addition, to explain the mass hierarchy among the charged fermions, one needs to resort to additional symmetry.

We consider in [5] a different finite group, the double tetrahedral group, ${}^{(d)}T$, which is a double covering of A_4. Because it has the same four in-equivalent representations as in A_4, the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern can be reproduced. In addition, ${}^{(d)}T$ has three in-equivalent doublets, 2, $2'$, and $2''$, which can be utilized to give the $2+1$ representation assignments for the quarks [6], as having been known, in the context of SU(2) flavor group, to give realistic quark mixing matrix and mass hierarchy [7]. The $SU(5)$ GUT symmetry in our model relates the down type quark and the charged lepton sectors, leading to a novel "quark-lepton complementarity" relation, a sum rule between the solar mixing angle and the Cabibbo angle. In addition, our model has an $Z_{12} \times Z'_{12}$ symmetry, which gives rise to the mass hierarchy dynamically. As a result of the symmetries in our model, only nine operators are allowed up to dim-7, and thus our model is very predictive. This is the first GUT model combined

[a] *Email:* muchunc@uci.edu
[b] *Email:* ktm@pizero.colorado.edu

Table 1. Charge assignments. The parameter $\omega = e^{i\pi/6}$.

	T_3	T_a	$\overline{F}$	H_5	$H'_{\overline{5}}$	Δ_{45}
SU(5)	10	10	$\overline{5}$	5	$\overline{5}$	45
${}^{(d)}T$	1	2	3	1	1	$1'$
Z_{12}	ω^5	ω^2	ω^5	ω^2	ω^2	ω^5
Z'_{12}	ω	ω^4	ω^8	ω^{10}	ω^{10}	ω^3

	ϕ	ϕ'	ψ	ψ'	ζ	N	ξ	η
SU(5)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
${}^{(d)}T$	3	3	$2'$	2	$1''$	$1'$	3	1
Z_{12}	ω^3	ω^2	ω^6	ω^9	ω^9	ω^3	ω^{10}	ω^{10}
Z'_{12}	ω^3	ω^6	ω^7	ω^8	ω^2	ω^{11}	1	1

with ${}^{(d)}T$ family symmetry in which the *tri-bimaximal* neutrino mixing and realistic CKM matrix arise.

2 The Model

The three generations of $\overline{5}$ are assigned into a triplet of ${}^{(d)}T$, in order to generate the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern in the lepton sector, and it is denoted by $\overline{F}$. On the other hand, to obtain realistic quark sector, the third generation of the 10-dim representation transforms as a singlet, so that the top quark mass is allowed by the family symmetry, while the first and the second generations form a doublet of ${}^{(d)}T$. These 10-dim representations are denoted by, respectively, T_3 and T_a, where $a = 1, 2$. The Yukawa interactions are mediated by a 5-dim Higgs, H_5, a $\overline{5}$-dim Higgs, $H'_{\overline{5}}$, as well as a 45-dim Higgs, Δ_{45}, which is required for the Georgi-Jarlskog relations. We have summarized these quantum number assignment in Table 1. It is to be noted that H_5 and $H'_{\overline{5}}$ are not conjugate of each other as they have different Z_{12} and Z'_{12} charges.

The Lagrangian of the model is given as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm Yuk} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm TT} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm TF} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm FF} \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm TT} = y_t H_5 T_3 T_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_{ts} H_5 T_3 T_a \psi\zeta + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_c H_5 T_a T_a \phi^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} y_u H_5 T_a T_a \phi'^3 \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm TF} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_b H'_{\overline{5}} \overline{F} T_3 \phi\zeta + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3}\left[y_s \Delta_{45} \overline{F} T_a \phi\psi N + y_d H'_{\overline{5}} \overline{F} T_a \phi^2 \psi' \right] \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FF} = \frac{1}{M_x \Lambda}\left[\lambda_1 H_5 H_5 \overline{F}\,\overline{F} \xi + \lambda_2 H_5 H_5 \overline{F}\,\overline{F} \eta \right] , \tag{5}$$

where M_x is the cutoff scale at which the lepton number violation operator $HH\overline{F}\,\overline{F}$ is generated, while Λ is the cutoff scale, above which the ${}^{(d)}T$ symmetry is exact. The parameters y's and λ's are the coupling constants. The vacuum expectation values (VEV's) of various SU(5) singlet scalar fields are,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(d)}T \longrightarrow G_{\rm TST^2}: \quad & \langle \xi \rangle = \xi_0 \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} , \\
& \langle \phi' \rangle = \phi'_0 \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} , \\
{}^{(d)}T \longrightarrow G_{\rm T}: \quad & \langle \phi \rangle = \phi_0 \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \\
& \langle \psi \rangle = \psi_0 \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
{}^{(d)}T \longrightarrow \text{nothing}: \quad & \langle \psi' \rangle = \psi'_0 \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
{}^{(d)}T \longrightarrow G_{\rm S}: & \langle \zeta \rangle = \zeta_0, \quad \langle N \rangle = N_0 \\
{}^{(d)}T - \text{invariant}: & \langle \eta \rangle = u
\end{aligned}$$

where $G_{\rm TST^2}$ denotes the subgroup generated by the elements TST^2. Realization of the vacuum alignment is currently under investigation.

The interactions in $\mathcal{L}_\nu$ give the following neutrino mass matrix,

$$M_\nu = \frac{\lambda v^2}{M_x} \begin{pmatrix} 2\xi_0 + u & -\xi_0 & -\xi_0 \\ -\xi_0 & 2\xi_0 & u - \xi_0 \\ -\xi_0 & u - \xi_0 & 2\xi_0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

and we have absorbed the Yukawa coupling constants by rescaling the VEV's. This mass matrix M_ν is form diagonalizable, *i.e.* the orthogonal matrix that diagonalizes it does not depend on the eigenvalues. Its diagonal form is,

$$V_\nu^{\rm T} M_\nu V_\nu = {\rm diag}(u + 3\xi_0,\, u,\, -u + 3\xi_0) \frac{v_u^2}{M_x} , \tag{6}$$

where the matrix V_ν is the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix, $V_\nu = U_{\rm TBM}$. Note that the three predict absolute masses for the neutrinos satisfy the sum rule,

$$m_1 - m_3 = 2m_2 .$$

The down type quark and charged lepton masses are generated by $\mathcal{L}_{TF}$. Because the renormalizable operator $H'_{\overline{5}} \overline{F} T_3$ is forbidden by the ${}^{(d)}T$ symmetry, the generation of b quark mass requires the breaking of ${}^{(d)}T$, which naturally explains the hierarchy between m_t and m_b. As m_b and m_τ are generated by the same operator, $H'_{\overline{5}} \overline{F} T_3 \phi\zeta$, we obtain the successful $b-\tau$ unification relation. Upon the breaking of ${}^{(d)}T \to G_{\rm T}$, the operator $\Delta_{45} \overline{F} T_a \phi N$ contributes to the (22) element in $M_{d,\,e}$, and thus gives rise to m_s and m_μ. As this operator involves Δ_{45}, the GJ relation for the second family, $m_\mu \simeq 3m_s$ is obtained. If no further symmetry breaking takes place, the first generation masses, m_d and m_e vanishes. At this stage, the diagonalization mass matrix for the charged leptons (and down type quark) is identity, and hence the the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix is exact. To obtain the correct mass relation for the first generation, it inevitably calls for flavor mixing in the down quark sector, which then leads to corrections to the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern. The correction to the θ_{12} due to mixing in the charged lepton sector can account for the difference between $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 1/3$ in

the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix and the experimentally observed best fit value, $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.3$. The GJ relation for the first family, $m_d \simeq 3m_e$, is obtained due to the operator $H'_{\overline{5}}\overline{F}T_a\phi^2\psi'$, which further breaks the ${}^{(d)}T$ symmetry down to nothing. The mass matrices for the down type quarks and charged leptons are thus given by,

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1+i)\phi_0\psi'_0 & 0 \\ -(1-i)\phi_0\psi'_0 & \psi_0 N_0 & 0 \\ \phi_0\psi'_0 & \phi_0\psi'_0 & \zeta_0 \end{pmatrix} y_b v_d \phi_0,$$

$$M_e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(1-i)\phi_0\psi'_0 & \phi_0\psi'_0 \\ (1+i)\phi_0\psi'_0 & -3\psi_0 N_0 & \phi_0\psi'_0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_0 \end{pmatrix} y_b v_d \phi_0$$

where we have absorbed the coupling constants y_d and y_s by re-scaling the VEV's, ϕ_0 and ψ'_0. Since the off diagonal elements in these mass matrices involve two VEV's, $\phi_0\psi'_0$, they are naturally smaller compared to ψ_0, assuming the VEV's are naturally of the same order of magnitude. Besides explaining the mass hierarchy, it gives rise to the correct GJ relations in the first and the second families.

The up quark masses are generated by the following Yukawa interactions, $\mathcal{L}_{TT}$. When the ${}^{(d)}T$ symmetry is exact, the only operator that is allowed is $H_5T_3T_3$, thus only top quark mass is generated, which naturally explains why the top mass is much larger than all other fermion masses. When $\langle\psi\rangle$ breaks ${}^{(d)}T$ down to $G_{\rm T}$, the mass m_c and V_{td} is generated by the operators, $H_5T_3T_a\phi\zeta$ and $H_5T_aT_a\phi^2$. The breaking of ${}^{(d)}T \to G_{\rm TST^2}$ gives rise the up quark mass through the operator $H_5T_aT_b\phi'^3$. These interactions give rise to the following mass matrix for the up type quarks,

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} i\phi'^3_0 & \frac{1-i}{2}\phi'^3_0 & 0 \\ \frac{1-i}{2}\phi'^3_0 & \phi'^3_0 + (1-\frac{i}{2})\phi^2_0 & y'\psi_0\zeta_0 \\ 0 & y'\psi_0\zeta_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} y_t v_u \,.$$

The mixing angel θ^u_{12} from the up type quark mass matrix given in Eq. 2 is related to m_c and m_u as $\theta^u_{12} \simeq \sqrt{m_u/m_c}$, while the mixing angle θ^d_{12} arising from the down quark mass matrix M_d given in Eq. 7 is related to the ratio of m_d and m_s as $\theta^d_{12} \simeq \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$, to the leading order. The Cabibbo angle, θ_c, is therefore given by $\theta_c \simeq \left|\sqrt{m_d/m_s} - e^{i\alpha}\sqrt{m_u/m_c}\right| \sim \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$, where the relative phase α depends upon the coupling constants. Even though θ^d_{12} is of the size of the Cabibbo angle, the corresponding mixing angle in the charged lepton sector, θ^e_{12}, is much suppressed due to the GJ relations,

$$\theta^e_{12} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_\mu}} \simeq \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} \sim \frac{1}{3}\theta_c \,. \qquad (7)$$

As a result, the correction to the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern due to the mixing in the charged lepton sector is small, and is given, to the leading order, by,

$$\tan^2\theta_\odot \simeq \tan^2\theta_{\odot,\rm TBM} - \frac{1}{2}\theta_c\cos\beta \,, \qquad (8)$$

where the relative phase β is determined by strengths and phases of the VEV's, ϕ_0 and ψ'_0, and is identified as the Dirac leptonic CP phase [8]. This deviation could account for the difference between the prediction of the TBM matrix, which gives $\tan^2\theta_{\odot,\rm TBM} = 1/2$, and the experimental best fit value, $\tan^2\theta_{\odot,\rm exp} = 0.429$, if $\cos\beta \simeq 2/3$ (with $\theta_c \simeq 0.22$). The off-diagonal matrix elements in M_e also generate a non-zero value for the neutrino mixing angle $\theta_{13} \simeq \theta_c/3\sqrt{2} \sim 0.05$. We note that a more precise measurement of $\tan\theta_\odot$ will pin down the phase of $\phi_0\psi'_0$, and thus the three leptonic CP phases. These phases could have interesting implications for lepton flavor violating charged lepton decays and leptogenesis [9].

3 Numerical Results

The observed quark masses respect the following relation,

$$m_u : m_c : m_t = \epsilon_u^2 : \epsilon_u : 1,$$
$$m_d : m_s : m_b = \epsilon_d^2 : \epsilon_d : 1 \,,$$

where $\epsilon_u \simeq (1/200) = 0.005$ and $\epsilon_d \simeq (1/20) = 0.05$.

In our model, the mass matrices for the down type quarks and charged leptons can be parametrized as,

$$\frac{M_d}{y_b v_d \phi_0 \zeta_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1+i)b & 0 \\ -(1-i)b & c & 0 \\ b & b & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (9)$$

$$\frac{M_e}{y_b v_d \phi_0 \zeta_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(1-i)b & b \\ (1+i)b & -3c & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \,, \qquad (10)$$

and with the choice of $b \equiv \phi_0\psi'_0/\zeta_0 = 0.00789$ and $c \equiv \psi_0 N_0/\zeta_0 = 0.0474$, the mass ratios for the down type quarks and for the charged leptons are given by,

$$m_d : m_s : m_b = 0.00250 : 0.0499 : 1.00 \,, \qquad (11)$$
$$m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau = 0.000870 : 0.143 : 1.00 \,. \qquad (12)$$

These predictions are consistent with the observed values and are in good agreement with the GJ relations. The overall scale factor is $y_b\phi_0\zeta_0 \simeq m_b/m_t \simeq (0.011)$ at the GUT scale, assuming the top Yukawa coupling is 1.

For the up type quarks, the mass matrix can be written as,

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} ig & \frac{1-i}{2}g & 0 \\ \frac{1-i}{2}g & g+h & k \\ 0 & k & 1 \end{pmatrix} y_t v_u \,, \qquad (13)$$

and with the choice of $k \equiv y'\psi_0\zeta_0 = -0.032$, $h \equiv \psi_0^2 = 0.0053$ and $g \equiv \phi'^3_0 = -2.25 \times 10^{-5}$, the ratio among the three up type quarks is given by,

$$m_u : m_c : m_t = 0.0000252 : 0.005 : 1.00 \,, \qquad (14)$$

which is consistent with the observed values. The absolute values of the CKM matrix elements are given by,

$$|V_{\rm CKM}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.976 & 0.217 & 0.00778 \\ 0.216 & 0.975 & 0.040 \\ 0.015 & 0.0378 & 0.999 \end{pmatrix} . \qquad (15)$$

Except for the element V_{ub}, which is slightly higher than the current experimental upper bound of ~ 0.005, all other elements are in good agreement with current data. This discrepancy can be alleviated by allowing additional operators to be present in the model. It can also be improved by having complex parameters, with which realistic CP violation measures in the quark sector could also arise. We leave these possibilities for further investigation. The non-diagonal mixing matrix for the charged leptons give small deviation to the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern as discussed above, leading to the following leptonic mixing matrix,

$$|U_{\rm MNS}| = |V_{e,L}^{\dagger} U_{\rm TBM}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.838 & 0.545 & 0.0550 \\ 0.364 & 0.608 & 0.706 \\ 0.409 & 0.578 & 0.706 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

which gives $\sin^2\theta_{\rm atm} = 1$, $\tan^2\theta_{\odot} = 0.424$ and $|U_{e3}| = 0.055$. This value for $|U_{e3}|$ lies in the lower end of the reach in reactor experiments and it is relatively small compared to typical GUT model predictions [10].

The absolute masses of the neutrinos are determined by two parameters, u and ξ_0. With

$$u = -0.0593, \quad \xi_0 = 0.0369$$

the experimental best fit values

$$\Delta m^2_{atm} = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}\ {\rm eV}^2$$
$$\Delta m^2_{\odot} = 8.1 \times 10^{-5}\ {\rm eV}^2$$

are accommodated, and the three absolute masses of the neutrinos are predicted to be,

$$m_1 = 0.0156\ {\rm eV}$$
$$m_2 = 0.0179\ {\rm eV}$$
$$m_3 = 0.0514\ {\rm eV}\ .$$

Note that the total number of parameters in our model is seven in the charged fermion sectors and two in the neutrino sector.

4 Conclusion

In this talk, we present a grand unified model based on SU(5) combined with the double tetrahedral group, ${}^{(d)}T$, which successfully, for the first time, gives rise to near tri-bimaximal leptonic mixing as well as realistic CKM matrix elements for the quarks. Due to the presence of the $Z_{12} \times Z'_{12}$ symmetry, only nine operators are allowed in the model, and hence the model is very predictive, the total number of parameters being nine in the Yukawa sector for the charged fermions and the neutrinos. In addition, it provides a dynamical origin for the mass hierarchy without invoking additional U(1) symmetry. Due to the ${}^{(d)}T$ transformation property of the matter fields, the b-quark mass can be generated only when the ${}^{(d)}T$ symmetry is broken, which naturally explains the hierarchy between m_b and m_t. The $Z_{12} \times Z'_{12}$ symmetry, to a very high order, also forbids operators that lead to nucleon decays. We obtain the Georgi-Jarlskog relations for three generations. This inevitably requires non-vanishing mixing in the charged lepton sector, leading to correction to the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern. The model predicts non-vanishing θ_{13}, which is related to the Cabibbo angle as, $\theta_{13} \sim \theta_c/3\sqrt{2}$. In addition, it gives rise to a sum rule, $\tan^2\theta_{\odot} \simeq \tan^2\theta_{\odot,{\rm TBM}} - \frac{1}{2}\theta_c \cos\beta$, which is a consequence of the Georgi-Jarlskog relations in the quark sector. This deviation could account for the difference between the experimental best fit value for the solar mixing angle and the value predicted by the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix.

References

1. M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. **6** (2004) 122.
2. P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B530** (2002) 167; P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B535** (2002) 163.
3. E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 031901; E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 113012; K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. **B552** (2003) 207.
4. E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A17** (2002) 627; X. G. He, Y. Y. Keum and R. R. Volkas, JHEP **0604** (2006) 039; E. Ma, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Lett. **B641** (2006) 301; I. de Medeiros Varzielas, S. F. King and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. **B648** (2007) 201; E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21** (2006) 2931; S. F. King and M. Malinsky, Phys. Lett. **B645** (2007) 351; S. Morisi, M. Picariello and E. Torrente-Lujan, Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 075015.
5. M.-C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Lett. **B652** (2007) 34.
6. P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 4689; P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 086007; P. D. Carr and P. H. Frampton, arXiv:hep-ph/0701034. A. Aranda, C. D. Carone and R. F. Lebed, Phys. Lett. **B474** (2000) 170; F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Y. Lin and L. Merlo, Nucl. Phys. **B775** (2007) 120.
7. M.-C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 113007; *ibid.* **65** (2002) 053010; *ibid.* **68** (2003) 017301; for a review, see, e.g. Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A18** (2003) 5819.
8. S. F. King, JHEP **0508** (2005) 105; S. Antusch and S. F. King, Phys. Lett. **B631** (2005) 42.
9. See, e.g., M.-C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Rev. **D71** (2005) 035001; Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 015001; Phys. Rev. **D70** (2004) 113013; M.-C. Chen, arXiv:hep-ph/0703087.
10. C. H. Albright and M.-C. Chen, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 113006.