1

MAGNETIZATION OF COLOR-FLAVOR LOCKED MATTER

J. NORONHA*

Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, J.W. Goethe-Universität,
Frankfurt am Main, D-60438, Germany
*E-mail: noronha@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

I. A. SHOVKOVY

Department of Physics, Western Illinois University,
Macomb, IL 61455, USA
E-mail: I-Shovkovy@wiu.edu

We show that the magnetization in color-flavor locked superconductors can be so strong that homogeneous quark matter becomes metastable for a wide range of magnetic field values. This indicates that magnetic domains or other type of magnetic inhomogeneities can be present in the quark cores of magnetars.

Keywords: Color superconductivity, magnetization, magnetic instabilities.

1. Introduction and Conclusions

The cold and superdense inner cores of compact stars are likely to consist of color superconducting quark matter. Assuming that the very strong surface magnetic fields found in magnetars ($B \sim 10^{14}-10^{16}$ G) can be transmitted to the their inner cores, it is worth studying whether the effects of strong magnetic fields on color superconductors are important for understanding the physics of magnetars. In Ref. 1 the effects of moderately strong magnetic fields on the properties of color-flavor locked (CFL) superconductors (Ref. 2) were studied numerically in the framework of a Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model. It was shown that the ground state of 3-flavor quark matter undergoes a continuous crossover from the CFL phase into the magnetic CFL (mCFL) phase, which was initially introduced in Ref. 3.

The free parameters of the model (the diquark coupling constant and the ultraviolet cutoff) were set to yield a CFL gap of either $\phi_0=10$ MeV or $\phi_0=25$ MeV when the quark chemical $\mu=500$ MeV and B=0. It was shown in Ref. 1 that the mCFL gaps display de Haas-van Alphen oscillations with respect to eB/μ^2 (see also Ref. 4). The magnetization of the system M is given by $M=(\partial \Gamma/\partial B)$, where Γ is the one-loop quark contribution to the free energy evaluated at the stationary point. Our numerical results for the magnetization are presented in Fig. 1.

It was shown in Ref. 5 that the magnetization of hadronic matter is negligible even for magnetar conditions, i.e., $4\pi M/B \ll 1$ for $B \lesssim 10^{19} G$. In the case of quark

2




Fig. 1. Ratio $4\pi M/B$ versus eB/μ^2 for two sets of parameters that yield $\phi_0=10$ MeV and $\phi_0=25$ MeV.

matter, however, the situation is very different. In Fig. 1 we plotted $4\pi M/B$ versus eB/μ^2 for a mCFL superconductor. The magnetization is significantly larger in this case and it displays de Haas-van Alphen oscillations with very large amplitude. The large magnitude of these magnetic oscillations create regions in which $(\partial H/\partial B)_{\mu} < 0$, where $H=B-4\pi M$ is the magnetic field present in the outer layers of the star. These regions correspond to unstable or metastable states where, depending on the geometry of the system, a transition into a magnetic domain configuration may occur. This could lead to a wealth of different physical phenomena.

For instance, successive phase transitions coming from discontinuous changes of B during the star's evolution can release a vast amount of energy that would heat up the star, which would then cool down by for example the emission of neutrinos. Therefore, sudden bursts of neutrinos coming from magnetars with color superconducting cores even after the deleptonization period could be expected. On the other hand, if a mixed phase with microscopic domains of nonequal magnetizations is formed, the relative size of domains with different magnetizations would change with H in order to keep the average induced magnetic field B continuous. In either case, since the magnitude of the fields involved is enormous the system could potentially release an immense amount of energy. If observed, this type of phenomena could help to distinguish magnetars with quark cores from their purely hadronic counterparts.

References

- 1. J. L. Noronha and I. A. Shovkovy, arXiv:0708.0307 [hep-ph].
- 2. M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal, and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 537, 443 (1999).
- 3. E. J. Ferrer, V. de la Incera, and C. Manuel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 152002 (2005).
- 4. K. Fukushima and H. J. Warringa, arXiv:0707.3785 [hep-ph].
- 5. A. Broderick, M. Prakash, and J. M. Lattimer, Astrophys. J. 537, 351 (2000).