

# Schiff Theorem Revisited

R.A. Sen'kov<sup>1,2</sup>, N. Auerbach<sup>3</sup>, V.V. Flambaum<sup>4</sup>, and V.G. Zelevinsky<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and  
Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University,  
East Lansing, MI 48824-1321, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Novosibirsk State University,  
Novosibirsk 630090, Russia*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel*

<sup>4</sup>*School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia*

## Abstract

We carefully rederive the Schiff theorem and prove that the usual expression of the Schiff moment operator is correct and should be applied for calculations of atomic electric dipole moments. The recently discussed corrections to the definition of the Schiff moment are absent.

The search for interactions violating time reversal ( $\mathcal{T}$ -) invariance is an important part of studies of fundamental symmetries in nature. The main hopes for the extraction of nucleon-nucleon and quark-quark interactions violating fundamental symmetries emerge from the experiments with atoms and atomic nuclei, see the recent review [1] and references therein. The best limits on  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$ -odd forces have been obtained from the measurements of the atomic electric dipole moment (EDM) in the  $^{199}\text{Hg}$  [2] and  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  [3] nuclei.

The hadronic part of the atomic dipole moment associated with the EDM of the nucleus manifests itself through the Schiff moment which is the first non-vanishing term in the expansion of the nuclear electromagnetic potential after including the screening of the atomic electrons [4, 5, 6]. The standard expression for the operator of the Schiff moment was repeatedly derived, see for example in [7],

$$S_k = \frac{1}{10} \int \left( x^2 x_k - \frac{5}{3} \langle x^2 \rangle_{\text{ch}} x_k - \frac{2}{3} \langle Q_{kk'} \rangle x_{k'} \right) \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x. \quad (1)$$

Here  $k, k'$  are the Cartesian vector components,  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$  is the ground state nuclear density,  $\langle x^2 \rangle_{\text{ch}}$  and  $\langle Q_{kk'} \rangle$  are the nuclear charge mean square radius and the expectation value of the quadrupole tensor, respectively. Note that the nuclei of current experimental interest,  $^{199}\text{Hg}$ ,  $^{129}\text{Xe}$ ,  $^{225}\text{Ra}$ , have nuclear spin  $I = 1/2$ , so that  $\langle Q_{kk'} \rangle = 0$  (spin  $I = 1/2$  provides certain experimental advantages since the levels  $I_z = \pm 1/2$  are not split by external electric fields and have small collisional broadening).

The exact form of the Schiff moment operator is important for the correct interpretation and analysis of experimental data, evaluation of future experimental plans with the best nuclear candidates and for the search for possible corrections; the current status of experimental efforts and corresponding theoretical discussions can be found on the website of the INT workshop [8]. The

Schiff theorem and the result (1) for the Schiff moment were put in doubt in the recent paper [9]. The goal of our paper is to rederive the conventional form of the Schiff moment. To make our discussion as simple and transparent as possible, we present the consistent derivation of the Schiff moment operator and make the comparison with the derivation in Ref. [9].

Let us consider a neutral atom in a uniform external electric field  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}$ . Neglecting the magnetic interaction, the Hamiltonian of the entire system can be written as

$$H_{\text{Atom}} = H_{\text{Electrons}} + H_{\text{Nucleus}} + \sum_{i=1}^Z (e\Phi(\mathbf{r}_i) - e\mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}) - \mathbf{d}_N \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_i$  label the electron coordinates, while  $\mathbf{d}_N$  is the operator of the nuclear electric dipole moment. The nuclear electrostatic potential  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$  can be expressed through the nuclear charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ ,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}|}. \quad (3)$$

A conventional way to derive the Schiff moment is to make a unitary transformation  $e^{iU}$  with a suitable Hermitian operator  $U$  that will be chosen in the form (see for example, Appendix to Ref. [10])

$$U = \frac{\langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle}{Z|e|} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^Z \mathbf{p}_i. \quad (4)$$

Here  $\mathbf{p}_i$  are the momentum operators of atomic electrons and  $\langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle$  denotes the expectation value (allowed due to  $\mathcal{P}$ - and  $\mathcal{T}$ -violation) of the nuclear electric dipole moment with the exact nuclear ground state wave function. We have to stress that the mean dipole moment introduced here is a  $c$ -number that is small, being induced by the weak interactions. Then the result of the unitary transformation of the Hamiltonian (2) can be written as

$$H'_{\text{Atom}} = e^{iU} H_{\text{Atom}} e^{-iU} \approx H_{\text{Atom}} + i[U, H_{\text{Atom}}]. \quad (5)$$

The commutator

$$i[U, H_{\text{Atom}}] = \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot \left( \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}} - \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i=1}^Z \nabla_i \Phi(\mathbf{r}_i) \right) = \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot (\mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}} + \mathbf{E}(0)) \quad (6)$$

has a clear physical meaning. Indeed, the second term in the parentheses can be interpreted as the average electric field  $\mathbf{E}(0)$  produced by atomic electrons and acting on the nucleus. For an exact commutator with the Hamiltonian, the average value in a stationary state of discrete spectrum vanishes,  $\langle \Psi | [U, H] | \Psi \rangle =$

0. It means that the total electric field acting on the nucleus equals to zero, and the nuclear electric dipole moment is screened (Schiff theorem).

The unitary transformation changes the Hamiltonian (2) by adding the two terms in (6). The first term transforms the interaction of the nuclear dipole moment with external electric field to

$$-(\mathbf{d}_N - \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle) \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}. \quad (7)$$

The electric dipole moment is defined as a variational derivative of energy with respect to the weak external electric field so that we are interested only in the first-order correction to atomic energy that vanishes as the *expectation value* of the difference,  $(\mathbf{d}_N - \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle)$ , in Eq. (7). Because of the cancellation, the expression (7) does not contribute in the first order to the energy shift of the ground state and therefore to the dipole moment of the atomic system as well. It still will contribute to other observables, such as nuclear polarizability, in the higher orders of perturbation theory.

The remaining second term of Eq. (6) changes the interaction of atomic electrons with the nucleus. Instead of the usual electrostatic potential, we should consider

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{Ze} \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (8)$$

This is the basic expression for derivation of the nuclear Schiff moment. Let us emphasize that for calculating the atomic EDM we need to know only the expectation value of Eq. (8) for the nuclear ground state wave function. Indeed, after cancellation of the nuclear EDM, see (7), the atomic EDM can be written as

$$\mathbf{d}_{\text{Atom}} = \sum_n \frac{\langle 0 | e \sum_i^Z \mathbf{r}_i | n \rangle \langle n | e \sum_i^Z (\Phi(\mathbf{r}_i) - \frac{1}{Ze} \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}_i)) | 0 \rangle}{E_0 - E_n} + \text{h.c.} \quad (9)$$

We assume here the factorization of the nuclear and electronic wave functions (see the short discussion of corrections below).

The operator of the nuclear Schiff moment can be now derived in various ways. We will expand the nuclear charge density in gradients of the delta-function, the method often used in effective theories:

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = a\delta(\mathbf{x}) + b_k \nabla_k \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{c_{kk'}}{2} \nabla_k \nabla_{k'} \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \quad (10)$$

The coefficients of the expansion can be found according to

$$\int \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x = Z|e|, \quad (11)$$

$$\int \mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x = \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle, \quad (12)$$

$$\int x^2 \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x = Z|e| \langle x^2 \rangle_{\text{ch}}, \quad (13)$$

$$\int (3x_k x_{k'} - \delta_{kk'} x^2) \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x = Z|e| \langle Q_{kk'} \rangle, \quad (14)$$

$$\int x^2 \mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x}) d^3x = \langle \mathbf{O} \rangle. \quad (15)$$

Finally we come to the following density expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mathbf{x}) &= \rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) + \rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \\ &= Z|e| \left[ \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\langle x^2 \rangle_{\text{ch}}}{6} \nabla^2 \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \right] \\ &\quad - \left[ \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot \nabla \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle \cdot \nabla}{10} \nabla^2 \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \right] \\ &\quad + Z|e| \left[ \frac{\langle Q_{kk'} \rangle}{6} \nabla_k \nabla_{k'} \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \right] + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For the Schiff moment we need to consider only the dipole part of this expansion in the first term of Eq. (8),  $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ , and the monopole part for the second term of Eq. (8),  $(1/Ze) \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r})$ . This leads to the conventional form (1) for the nuclear Schiff moment.

The expectation value of the expression (8) in the nuclear ground state  $|0_N\rangle$  is given by

$$\langle 0_N | e\Phi(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{Z} \langle \mathbf{d}_N \rangle \cdot \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}) | 0_N \rangle = -\frac{Ze^2}{|\mathbf{r}|} + 4\pi e \mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r}) + \dots, \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the expectation value of the nuclear Schiff moment (1).

The derivations in Ref. [9] and presented here are quite similar. The authors of [9] used a commutator, analogous to that we had in Eq. (6), in a little different way with the generator  $U$  equal to

$$U = \frac{\mathbf{d}_N}{Z|e|} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^Z \mathbf{p}_i, \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathbf{d}_N$  is the operator of the nuclear dipole moment, rather than a  $c$ -number. After that the cancellation we have observed in Eq. (7) occurs not only for the ground state expectation value but for all matrix elements identically, including, for example, the calculation of the usual nuclear polarizability that does not require any symmetry violation. But treated as an operator,  $\mathbf{d}_N$  does not commute with the nuclear part of the Hamiltonian, and this is the source of further corrections. One cannot treat the expectation value of the operator product  $(\mathbf{d}_N \cdot \nabla \Phi)$  as a product of the expectation values; each of the factors

has large matrix elements to the excited nuclear states and, considered in an exact fashion, brings two-body correlations in the Schiff moment operator which are essentially an artefact of the approach used in Ref. [9].

Summarizing, we believe that the usual way of derivation of the Schiff moment operator and the resulting form (1) of the Schiff moment operator itself are correct. New contributions to the Schiff moment associated with two-body correlations in reality do not appear. The way suggested in [9] is more complicated and should be treated with high accuracy. In addition we can mention that the term in the Schiff moment (1) that contains the ground state expectation value of the nuclear quadrupole tensor also emerges in the standard derivation. This term is usually neglected in practical calculations; even for strongly deformed nuclei with spin  $I > 1/2$  the corresponding correction does not exceed 20%.

Let us briefly discuss other corrections to the Schiff moment and atomic EDM mentioned as important in Ref. [9]. The largest correction is due to the relativistic character of the electron wave functions which vary inside the nucleus. This makes the  $\delta$ -function expansion (10) invalid. The generalized theory was developed in Ref. [11]. The more accurate expression, the so-called local dipole moment, coincides with the Schiff moment in the limit of  $Z\alpha \ll 1$ , and the corrections start with the term  $\propto (Z\alpha)^2$ . The numerical calculations were performed in [12].

It was explained in the pioneering paper by Schiff [5] that the screening theorem is violated by the hyperfine magnetic interaction between the electrons and the nucleus. In fact this effect gives a dominating contribution in light nuclei, hydrogen [5] and helium [13]. However, in heavy nuclei of experimental interest, the Schiff moment contribution is by orders of magnitude greater. Indeed, the hyperfine interaction grows  $\propto Z$ , while the contribution of the Schiff moment is  $\propto Z^2$  multiplied by a relativistic factor that is of the order of 1 for  $Z = 1$  and increases to 10 for  $Z = 80$  [7].

The assumption of the factorization of the atomic wave function into a product of the nuclear and electron parts is not precise. The corresponding correction produced by the virtual nuclear and electron excitation was expressed in terms of nuclear polarizability and evaluated in [14]; it is small for nuclei of current experimental interest.

In addition, the contribution of the internal nucleon EDM was widely discussed, see references in [1]. If the nucleons have their own internal electric dipole moments, the form of the nuclear Schiff moment should be extended. The total nuclear Schiff moment is the sum of the usual part  $S_k^{(0)}$  (see Eq. (1)) and the contribution due to internal nucleon EDM  $\mathbf{d}_a$ .

$$S_k = S_k^{(0)} + \sum_a^A \left[ \frac{1}{6} (x_a^2 - \langle x^2 \rangle_{\text{ch}}) d_{a;k} + \frac{1}{15} (Q_{a;kk'} - \langle Q_{kk'} \rangle) d_{a;k'} \right]. \quad (19)$$

Experimentally, only the total Schiff moment can be observed.

This work was supported by the NSF grant PHY-0555366, Australian Research Council, and the grant from the Binational Science Foundation USA-Israel. R.A. Sen'kov acknowledges support from the Council of the President of the Russian Federation for the State Support of Young Scientists (project: MK-2982.2006.2) and Dynasty Foundation.

## References

- [1] J.S.M. Ginges and V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rep. **397**, 63 (2004).
- [2] M.V. Romalis, W.C. Griffith, J. P. Jacobs, and E.N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2505 (2001).
- [3] J.P. Jacobs, W.M. Klipstein, S.K. Lamoreaux, B.R. Heckel, and E.N. Fortson, Phys. Rev. A **52**, 3521 (1995).
- [4] E.M. Purcell and N.F. Ramsey, Phys. Rev. **78**, 807 (1950).
- [5] L.I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. **132**, 2194 (1963).
- [6] P.G.H. Sandars, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1396 (1967).
- [7] V.V. Flambaum, I.B. Khriplovich, and O.P. Sushkov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **87**, 1521 (1984) [Sov. Phys. JETP **60**, 873 (1984)].
- [8] The Fourth Argonne/INT/MSU/JINA/RIA Theory Workshop on Rare Isotopes and Fundamental Symmetries, [http://www.int.washington.edu/talks/WorkShops/int\\_07\\_36W/](http://www.int.washington.edu/talks/WorkShops/int_07_36W/).
- [9] C.-P. Liu, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, W.C. Haxton, R.G.E. Timmermans, and A.E.L. Dieperink, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 035503 (2007), arXiv:0705.1681, arXiv:nucl-th/0601025.
- [10] V. Spevak, N. Auerbach, and V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 1357 (1997).
- [11] V.V. Flambaum and J.S.M. Ginges, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032113 (2002).
- [12] V.F. Dmitriev and V.V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 068501 (2005).
- [13] V.A. Dzuba, V.V. Flambaum, and J.S.M. Ginges, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 034501 (2007).
- [14] V.V. Flambaum, J.S.M. Ginges, and G. Mititelu, nucl-th/0010100 (2000).