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#### Abstract

By making use of previous analysis of CNI for $p p$ and $p C$ scattering, the spin-flip factor for $n p$ scattering is determined as a function of energy and then used to calculate the $p^{3} H e$ asymmetry $A_{N}(s)^{\prime}$ arising in $p^{3} H e$ elastic scattering. It is found to be comparable to $A_{N}(s)$ for $p p$ scattering, but of the opposite sign. It seems that this method could be a practical for measuring the polarization of a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ beam.


The Coulomb-nuclear interference enhancement (CNI) at small $-t$ has been studied for high energy proton polarimetry for some time and it seems interesting to see how it would work for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$. Nigel Buttimore and Elliot Leader and I looked at this a little about five years ago as part of a program to find an absolute polarimeter for protons [1].

Because ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ is also has spin $1 / 2$ the formalism is similar to pp [2]; further since the spin of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ nucleus is carried by the neutron to a large extent, we will think about this asymmetry as being a polarization measurement of the neutron. Here we will do a very rough calculation which can be improved in several obvious ways.

The most striking difference from $p p$ is that here there are six rather than five amplitudes, the new one corresponding to the neutron $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\right)$ flip and designated as $\phi_{6}(s, t)$. We can rather generally write (we will neglect $\phi_{2}, \phi_{4}$ and set $\phi_{3}=\phi_{1}=\phi_{+}$throughout)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{+}^{p^{3} H e}(s, t)=\frac{3 s}{8 \pi} \sigma_{p p}(s)\left(i+\rho_{p p}(s)\right) F_{H}(t), \\
\phi_{5}^{p^{3} H e}(s, t)=\frac{\tau_{p} \sqrt{-t}}{m} \phi_{+}^{p^{3} H e}(s, t), \\
\phi_{6}^{p^{3} H e}(s, t)=-\frac{\tau_{n} \sqrt{-t}}{3 m} \phi_{+}^{p^{3} H e}(s, t) .
\end{array}
$$

The two single spin symmetries, for the proton and the neutron, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{N} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}=-\frac{8 \pi}{s} \operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{+} \phi_{5}^{*}\right) \\
& A_{N}^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma}{d t}=\frac{8 \pi}{s} \operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{+} \phi_{6}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$F_{H}$ can be calculated by standard Glauber methods. Here we use simply the harmonic oscillator form $F_{H}(t)=\exp \left(t\left(B / 2+a^{2} / 4\right)\right)$ with $a^{2}=57.4 \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ for illustration [3]. The $p p$ total cross section $\sigma_{p p}$, the shape parameter $B$ and and the real to imaginary ratio $\rho_{p p}$ are taken from elastic $p p$ data [4]. The value of $\tau_{p}$ is reasonably well measured at $p_{L}=24 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ and $p_{L}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}[5]$.

We still need $\tau_{n}$. We can get an approximate idea of its size from data obtained by the RHIC polarimeter group: the elastic scattering can be thought of as taking place through the exchange of $I=0$ and $I=1$ particles (or Regge poles); it is known that the $I=1$ contribution to the non-flip scattering is very small so we can write (approximately)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}=\tau_{p}+\tau_{n} \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{p C}=\tau_{0} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obtained by the RHIC polarimeter group's proton-carbon measurements at $p_{L}=$ 21.7 $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ and $p_{L}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ [5]. So we can determine $\tau_{n}$ at these two energies at least from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}=2 \tau_{p C}-\tau_{p} \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively, we can use a fit I made to both sets of measurements using a Regge model which then gives $\tau_{n}(s)$ as shown in Fig. 1:
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FIG. 1: Energy Dependence of real and imaginary parts of $p p$ and $n p$ spin-flip factors.
In order to calculate the CNI analyzing power we need the e.m. amplitudes [1]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{+}^{e m}(s, t) & =\frac{2 s \alpha}{t} F_{e m}(t), \\
\phi_{5}^{e m}(s, t) & =-\frac{2 s \alpha}{2 m \sqrt{-t}} \kappa_{p} F_{e m}(t), \\
\phi_{6}^{e m}(s, t) & =\frac{s \alpha}{2 m \sqrt{-t}} \kappa_{n} F_{e m}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{\text {em }}(t)=\exp \left(a^{2} t / 4\right)$. Note that $m$ in all these formulas denotes the proton mass. In the second of these equations one might want to use the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ion mass along with the magnetic moment of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ rather than $\kappa_{n}=-1.91$ [6]. We leave the expressions this way for consistency with our simple approach.

Let's look first at the two asymmetries in the absence of hadronic spin flip, Fig. 2:
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FIG. 2: Analyzing powers $A_{N}$ and $A_{N}^{\prime}$ at $p_{L}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ with zero hadronic spin-flip factor

Now look at the same things in Fig. 3 using the $\tau$-values found from the $p p$ and $p C$ analysis, Fig. 1: we see that the hadronic spin flip significantly modifies the shape of the
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FIG. 3: Analyzing powers $A_{N}$ and $A_{N}^{\prime}$ at $p_{L}=100 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ using the non-zero hadronic spin-flip factors in text.
analyzing power curve especially for the neutron and, very important, both asymmetries are large enough that they should be readily measurable.


FIG. 4: Analyzing power $A_{N}^{\prime}$ for colliding beams of protons with momentum of $150 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ on a beam of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ at beam momentum $P=3 \times 150 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$.

From our experience with $p p$ and $p C$ we would expect at least a $10 \%$ measurement of polarization to be possible in this way and should be applicable to colliding beams [7]. An estimate of this for the neutron for 150 GeV colliding beams of $p$ on ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ is shown in Fig. 4. The method can be extended to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ scattering.

Thanks to Nigel Buttimore for some important discussions on this topic.
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