

International Journal of Modern Physics E  
© World Scientific Publishing Company

## NEUTRINO EMISSION IN INHOMOGENEOUS PION CONDENSED QUARK MATTER

Xuguang Huang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Qun Wang<sup>2,†</sup>, Pengfei Zhuang<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui  
230026, China*

Received (received date)

Revised (revised date)

It is believed that quark matter can exist in neutron star interior if the baryon density is high enough. When there is a large isospin density, quark matter could be in a pion condensed phase. We compute neutrino emission from direct Urca processes in such a phase, particularly in the inhomogeneous Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrell (LOFF) states. The neutrino emissivity and specific heat are obtained, from which the cooling rate is estimated.

### 1. Introduction

The phase structure of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is one of the most challenging problem in particle and nuclear physics. We schematically illustrate in Fig. 1 the phase diagrams in  $T-\mu_B$  and  $T-\mu_I$  plots, where  $T$ ,  $\mu_B$  and  $\mu_I$  are the temperature, baryon and isospin (or equivalently electron) chemical potentials respectively. In the  $T-\mu_B$  diagram, the left panel of Fig. 1, the hadronic phase locates at low  $T$  and low  $\mu_B$  region and undergoes a phase transition or a crossover to the deconfined quark phase at certain critical temperature  $T_c$  or baryon chemical potential  $\mu_{Bc}$  of the orders of  $T_c \sim 200$  MeV or  $\mu_{Bc} \sim 1$  GeV. At very high temperature, the quark-gluon-plasma (QGP), made of free quarks and gluons, forms. At asymptotically high  $\mu_B$  but low  $T$ , the ground state of QCD is the color-flavor-locked (CFL) superconductor<sup>1</sup> where the condensation of quark pairs spontaneously breaks color and chiral symmetries. At intermediate  $T$  and  $\mu_B$ , although quarks and gluons are deconfined they are still strongly coupled. In this regime, many QCD phases are proposed in recent years, such as, at low  $T$ , two-flavor color superconductivity (2SC)<sup>2</sup>, gapless 2SC (g2SC)<sup>3</sup>, gapless CFL (gCFL)<sup>4</sup>, spin-1 color superconductor<sup>5,6,7</sup>, kaon condensation in the CFL phase<sup>8</sup>, et al.. For reviews of color superconductivity,

\*e-mail: huangxg03@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

†e-mail: qunwang@ustc.edu.cn

‡e-mail: zhuangpf@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

2 *Huang, et.al.*

see, e.g. Ref. <sup>9</sup>. There may exist resonance states at intermediate  $T$ , such as strongly coupled QGP (sQGP) <sup>10</sup> at low  $\mu_B$  or the pseudo-gap phase at moderate  $\mu_B$  <sup>11</sup>. In the  $T - \mu_I$  diagram, the right panel of Fig. 1, the hadron phase is in the region with low  $T$  and low  $\mu_I$  while the QGP phase locates at very high  $T$ . At low  $T$ , when  $\mu_I$  grows above the value of the pion mass  $m_\pi$ , the ground state turns out to be a Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of pions, as  $\mu_I$  increases further and is larger than about 230 MeV, the pion BEC crossover smoothly into the BCS superfluid of quark-anti-quark pairs with the condensate  $\langle \bar{u}i\gamma_5 d \rangle$  or  $\langle \bar{d}i\gamma_5 u \rangle$  <sup>12</sup>. At intermediate  $T$ , resonance states such as sQGP may occur at low  $\mu_I$  while the states with strong fluctuations of thermally excited mesons or Cooper pairs are possible at moderate  $\mu_I$ .




Fig. 1. The schematic phase diagrams of QCD on  $T - \mu_B$  and  $T - \mu_I$  planes.

In this paper we consider the quark matter cores in neutron stars. The neutrino emission from direct Urca processes  $d \rightarrow u + e^- + \bar{\nu}$ ,  $u + e^- \rightarrow d + \nu$  is the most efficient way of cooling in quark matter. Schäfer and Schwenzer summarized the neutrino emissivities and specific heats for a variety of color superconducting phases of quark matter <sup>13</sup>. Due to beta equilibrium the isospin chemical potential  $\mu_I$  is nonzero, quark matter could be a pion BEC ( $\mu_I < 230$  MeV) or a BCS superfluid ( $\mu_I > 230$  MeV) when  $\mu_I > m_\pi$  <sup>12</sup>. On the other hand, the baryon chemical potential is still large (in the order of 1 GeV) and makes a big mismatch between the fermi surfaces of the pairing quarks  $u(\bar{u})$  and  $\bar{d}(d)$ , thus the BEC or BCS state is gapless. Such a gapless phase is stable in the BEC region but unstable in the BCS one with respect to the formation of nonzero LOFF momentum. In a previous work <sup>14</sup>, we have studied the neutrino emissivity and cooling rate due to Urca processes for the gapless pion condensed quark matter in the BEC region. In this paper we study the neutrino emission in the LOFF phase. We work in two-flavor case in the moderate baryon density, where the role of strange quarks is not important.

Our units are  $\hbar = k_B = c = 1$  except particular specifications. As a convention, we denote a 4-momentum as  $K^\mu = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ , and its 3-momentum magnitude as  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ .

## 2. Quark Propagator

Our starting point is the two flavor Nambu-Jona-Lasinio Lagrangian of QCD

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \mu\gamma_0 - m_0)\psi + g[(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\vec{\tau}\gamma_5\psi)^2], \quad (1)$$

where  $\psi = (u, d)^T$  is the quark fields,  $g$  is the coupling constant and  $\vec{\tau}$  is the Pauli matrices. We have introduced the chemical potential matrix in flavor space,  $\mu = \text{diag}(\mu_u, \mu_d) = (\mu + \delta\mu, \mu - \delta\mu) = (\mu_B/3 + \mu_I/2, \mu_B/3 - \mu_I/2)$  with  $\mu_B, \mu_I$  the baryon and isospin chemical potential respectively. We assume that the  $\beta$ -equilibrium is reached  $\mu_d = \mu_u + \mu_e$ , which gives  $\mu_I = -\mu_e$ . In the chiral limit and without the chemical potentials, the Lagrangian (1) respects the symmetry  $U_B(1) \otimes SU_V(2) \otimes SU_A(2)$  corresponding to the baryon number, isospin vector and pseudovector conservation respectively. However, the presence of the chemical potentials explicitly break the isospin symmetry down to  $U_V(1)$  with the conserved quantum number of  $\tau_3$ , and chiral symmetry down to  $U_A(1)$  with the conserved quantum number of  $i\gamma_5\tau_3$ . By introducing the chiral condensate  $\sigma = -2g\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$  and pion condensates  $\pi^- = \Delta e^{-2i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = 4g\langle\bar{u}i\gamma_5d\rangle$ ,  $\pi^+ = \Delta e^{2i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = 4g\langle\bar{d}i\gamma_5u\rangle$ , we arrive at the mean field Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mf}} = \bar{\psi} \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \mu_u\gamma_0 - m & \pi^+i\gamma_5 \\ \pi^-i\gamma_5 & i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \mu_d\gamma_0 - m \end{pmatrix} \psi - \frac{\sigma^2 + \Delta^2}{4g}, \quad (2)$$

with the effective quark mass  $m = m_0 + \sigma$ . There are mismatches in Fermi surfaces of anti-u and d quarks or anti-d and u quarks by the baryon chemical potential, hence pion condensates with nonzero total momentum or the LOFF states may be favored. The formation of the condensate  $\sigma \sim \langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$  breaks the  $U_A(1)$  chiral symmetry spontaneously with the Goldstone boson  $\pi_0 \sim \bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau_3\psi$ , and that of pion condensates  $\Delta \sim \langle\bar{d}i\gamma_5u\rangle \sim \langle\bar{u}i\gamma_5d\rangle$  break the  $U_V(1)$  isospin symmetry spontaneously. The translational and rotational symmetries are spontaneously broken by nonzero LOFF momentum  $\mathbf{l}$ . The partition function of the system can be written as a functional integral

$$Z = \int [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] \exp \left( \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathcal{L}_{\text{mf}} \right). \quad (3)$$

Rewriting the quark fields via a gauge transformation which leaves the partition function unchanged,  $\chi_u(x) = u(x)e^{-i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\chi_d(x) = d(x)e^{i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$  (we still call  $\chi_{u,d}$  quark fields), the inverse quark propagator in flavor and momentum space reads

$$S^{-1}(K) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu K_\mu - \mathbf{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mu_u\gamma_0 - m & \Delta i\gamma_5 \\ \Delta i\gamma_5 & \gamma^\mu K_\mu + \mathbf{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mu_d\gamma_0 - m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Note that  $K^\mu$  represents the 4-momentum of the  $\chi$  fields instead of the  $\psi$  fields. The propagator is written as

$$S(K) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{uu}(K) & S_{ud}(K) \\ S_{du}(K) & S_{dd}(K) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

4 *Huang, et.al.*

A straightforward calculation from Eq. (4) gives the four elements

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{uu}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{+\mu} + m)(K_-^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) + 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]}, \\
S_{dd}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{-\mu} + m)(K_+^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) - 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]}, \\
S_{ud}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{+\mu} + m)(K_-^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) + 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\gamma^\mu K_{-\mu} - m}{K_-^2 - m^2} i\gamma^5 \Delta, \\
S_{du}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{-\mu} + m)(K_+^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) - 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\gamma^\mu K_{+\mu} - m}{K_+^2 - m^2} i\gamma^5 \Delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $K_\pm^\mu = (k_0 + \mu \pm \delta\mu, \mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{l})$  and  $l^\mu = (\delta\mu, \mathbf{l})$ . The excitation spectra of the quasi-particles can be obtained by solving the equation  $\det S^{-1}(k_0, \mathbf{k}) = 0$  or equivalently the roots of the denominator of the propagator for  $k_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2] \\
&\approx [(k_0 + \mu + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^-)^2 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ + \delta\mu)^2 - \Delta^2] \\
&\quad \times [(k_0 + \mu - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^-)^2 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ - \delta\mu)^2 - \Delta^2],
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^\pm = (E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{l}} \pm E_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{l}})/2$  with  $E_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ . To arrive at the last line, we have taken the assumption that both  $\Delta$  and  $\mathbf{l}$  are small comparing to the quark Fermi momenta in the LOFF phase. We have four excitation branches,  $E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = -r\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ - a\delta\mu)^2 + \Delta^2} - (\mu - a\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^-)$ , with  $a, r = \pm$ . Taking the same approximation to the numerators in the elements of the quark propagator, we neglect the terms proportional to  $\Delta^2 \mathbf{l}$ . Thus we rewrite the elements of the quark propagator as,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{uu}(K) &\simeq \sum_{a,r=\pm} \frac{B_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{l}}^a \gamma_0}{k_0 - E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})}, \\
S_{dd}(K) &\simeq \sum_{a,r=\pm} \frac{B_{-r}^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{l}}^{-a} \gamma_0}{k_0 - E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})},
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where we have introduced the energy projectors,

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^a = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + a \frac{\gamma_0(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m)}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} \right], \tag{9}$$

and the Bogoliubov coefficients,

$$B_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - ar \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ - a\delta\mu}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ - a\delta\mu)^2 + \Delta^2}} \right], \tag{10}$$

### 3. Neutrino Emissivity

Since the characteristic energy scale of the Urca processes is much lower than the W-boson mass, we can use the Fermi current-current interaction to describe the Urca processes,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} J^\mu J_\mu^\dagger, \quad (11)$$

where the weak currents are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu(x) &= \bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) e + e^{-2i\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \bar{\chi}_u \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \chi_d, \\ J_\mu^\dagger(x) &= \bar{e} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu + e^{2i\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \bar{\chi}_d \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \chi_u, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $i$  and  $\bar{i}$  for  $i = e, \nu$  denote spinor fields for electrons and neutrinos. Here  $G = G_F \cos \theta_C \approx 1.13488 \times 10^{-11} \text{MeV}^{-2}$  is the four-fermion coupling constant.

In the  $\beta$ -equilibrated quark matter, the neutrino emissivity, defined as the total energy per unit time and per unit volume carried away by neutrinos and anti-neutrinos in escaping a neutron star can be written as<sup>14</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= 2G^2 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3 2E_e} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_\nu}{(2\pi)^3 2E_\nu} E_\nu n_B(-E_e + \mu_e + E_\nu) n_F(E_e - \mu_e) \\ &\quad \times L^{\lambda\sigma}(P_\nu, P_e) \text{Im} \Pi_{\lambda\sigma}^R(E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu, \mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here the on-shell 4-momenta for leptons is denoted by  $P_i = (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i)$  ( $i = e, \nu$ ), where the energies are  $E_i \equiv E_{p_i} = \sqrt{p_i^2 + m_i^2}$  with  $m_\nu = 0$  and  $m_e \approx 0$ . The factor 2 in the front is due to the approximately identical cross sections for  $\beta$ -decay and electron capture processes at very low temperatures far from the condensation-normal transition temperature  $T_c$ . But as the temperature approaches  $T_c$ , one cannot take the contributions from the two processes as equal, as is demonstrated in Ref.<sup>14</sup>. We used  $n_B(x) = (e^{x/T} - 1)^{-1}$  and  $n_F(x) = (e^{x/T} + 1)^{-1}$  to denote the Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac distribution functions, which maintain the detailed balance and Pauli enhancing/blocking effects for electrons in the electron capture/ $\beta$ -decay processes. Note that there are two identical terms in evaluating the emissivity (13), see Fig. 3 of Ref.<sup>15</sup>, which gives the correct overall factor and can easily be overlooked. We have dropped the chemical potential of neutrinos since there is no accumulations of neutrinos at typical temperatures inside an aging star. The leptonic tensor reads

$$\begin{aligned} L^{\lambda\sigma}(P_\nu, P_e) &= \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \gamma \cdot P_e \gamma^\sigma (1 - \gamma_5) \gamma \cdot P_\nu] \\ &= 8[P_e^\lambda P_\nu^\sigma + P_\nu^\lambda P_e^\sigma - P_e \cdot P_\nu g^{\lambda\sigma} - i\epsilon^{\lambda\alpha\sigma\beta} P_{e\alpha} P_{\nu\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

6 *Huang, et.al.*

The W-boson polarization tensor  $\Pi^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) &= N_c T \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) S_{uu}(p_{u0}, \mathbf{p}_u) \gamma^\sigma (1 - \gamma_5) S_{dd}(p_{d0}, \mathbf{p}_d)] \\ &= N_c \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3 4E_u E_d} \frac{n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] - n_F[E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})]}{q_0 - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) + E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})} \\ &\quad \times B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) B_{-s}^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d),\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

where  $p_{d0} = p_{u0} + q_0$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_d = \mathbf{p}_u + \mathbf{q} + 2\mathbf{l}$ ,  $P_u = (E_u, a\mathbf{p}_u + a\mathbf{l})$  and  $P_d = (E_d, b\mathbf{p}_d - b\mathbf{l})$  with  $E_u = E_{\mathbf{p}_u+1}$ ,  $E_d = E_{\mathbf{p}_d-1}$ . Here  $\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{p}_d$  are the momenta of  $\chi_u, \chi_d$ . The bosonic and fermionic Matsubara frequencies are given by  $q_0 = i2m\pi T$  and  $p_{u0} = i(2n + 1)\pi T$  ( $m, n$  are integers). The quark tensor  $H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d) &= 4E_u E_d \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_u+1}^a \gamma_0 \gamma^\sigma (1 - \gamma_5) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_d-1}^b] \\ &= 8[P_u^\lambda P_d^\sigma + P_d^\lambda P_u^\sigma - P_u \cdot P_d g^{\lambda\sigma} - i\epsilon^{\lambda\alpha\sigma\beta} P_{u\alpha} P_{d\beta}].\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

By an analytic extension for the Matsubara frequency  $q_0 = i2m\pi T \rightarrow q_0 + i0^+$ , where the second  $q_0$  is real, the imaginary part of the retarded polarization tensor of W-bosons can be read out directly,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Im}\Pi_R^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) &= \pi N_c \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3 4E_u E_d} \delta[q_0 - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) + E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d) \\ &\quad \times \frac{n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] n_F[-E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})]}{n_B(-q_0)} B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) B_{-s}^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}).\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

Substituting Eq.(17) into Eq.(13) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= 4N_c \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3 2E_e} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_\nu}{(2\pi)^3 2E_\nu} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3 2E_u} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_d}{(2\pi)^3 2E_d} \\ &\quad \times E_\nu (2\pi)^4 \delta[E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu + E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})] \\ &\quad \times \delta^3(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_\nu + \mathbf{p}_u - \mathbf{p}_d + 2\mathbf{l}) B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) B_{-s}^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) \\ &\quad \times n_F(E_e - \mu_e) n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] n_F[-E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})] |M_{ab}|^2.\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

We have introduced the shorthand notation  $|M_{ab}|^2$ , the spin-averaged scattering matrix element of  $\beta$ -decay or electron capture<sup>19</sup>,

$$|M_{ab}|^2 = \frac{G^2}{4} L_{\lambda\sigma}(P_e, P_\nu) H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d) = 64G^2 (P_e \cdot P_u)(P_\nu \cdot P_d), \quad (19)$$

where the energy projection indices  $a, b$  are hidden in the quark momenta.

In the LOFF phase, chiral symmetry is almost restored, so we can safely set quark masses zero,  $m_{u,d} = 0$ . We consider the low temperature and high chemical potentials for quarks, the dominant contribution of the phase space integral in quark momenta comes from the gapless modes of the excitations with positive energies, i.e. terms with  $r = s = -$ . Also, since the gap parameter and the LOFF momentum are small comparing to the quark chemical potentials, the Bogoliubov coefficients

with  $a = -$  and  $b = -$  are strongly suppressed, so we only keep the term with  $a = +$  and  $b = +$ . For electrons, the relevant momenta are near the chemical potential. Recalling that  $E^+(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})$  and  $E^-(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})$  are the dispersion relations for quasi-u-quarks and quasi-d-quarks, one can obtain the gapless momenta as

$$p_{u/d}^0 \approx (1 - \kappa) [\sqrt{(\mu \mp l \cos \theta_{u/d})^2 - \Delta^2} \pm \delta\mu] \quad (20)$$

for  $u/d$  quarks, where  $\kappa$  is due to the Fermi-liquid correction. In perturbative QCD we have  $\kappa = 2\alpha_s/(3\pi)$  with  $\alpha_s$  being the strong coupling constant<sup>17</sup>. In NJL model we have<sup>18</sup>  $\kappa = 4g\mu_B^2/(3\pi^2)$ . We have set the z-direction along the LOFF momentum  $\mathbf{l}$  and denote as  $\theta_i$  and  $\phi_i$  the polar and azimuthal angles of  $\mathbf{p}_i$ ,  $i = e, \nu, u, d$ . Near the gapless momenta, we can make expansion  $E^+(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) \approx v_u |p_u - p_u^0|$  and  $E^-(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) \approx v_d |p_d - p_d^0|$  with velocities  $v_{u/d} = \sqrt{1 - \Delta^2/(\mu \mp l \cos \theta_{u/d})^2}$ . The matrix element is now evaluated as

$$|M_{++}|^2 \approx 64G^2 p_e p_\nu E_u E_d [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}] [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{d\nu}], \quad (21)$$

where  $\theta_{d\nu}$  and  $\theta_{ue}$  are angles between  $\mathbf{p}_d - \mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_\nu$  and between  $\mathbf{p}_u + \mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_e$ . Since both  $\Delta, \mathbf{l}$  are small and  $p_\nu \sim T \ll \mu, \delta\mu$  is negligible, the delta function for the energies in Eq. (18) can be rewritten in the form  $\delta[E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu + E^+(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) - E^-(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})] \approx \mu_e/(p_u^0 p_d^0) \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0)$  with  $\theta_{ud}$  the angle between  $\mathbf{p}_d - \mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_u + \mathbf{l}$  and  $\cos \theta_{ud}^0 \approx [(p_u^0)^2 + (p_d^0)^2 - 2\mu_e l (\cos \theta_u + \cos \theta_d)]/(2p_u^0 p_d^0)$ . The integration over electron three-momentum can be carried out by the delta function about the momentum conservation. Now we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\approx \frac{914}{315} \pi^7 N_c G^2 \mu_e T^6 \int \frac{d\Omega_\nu}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p_u^0 p_d^0}{v_u v_d} B_+^+(p_u^0) B_-^-(p_d^0) \\ &\quad \times \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}] [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{d\nu}] \\ &= \frac{457}{315} \pi^5 N_c G^2 \mu_e T^6 \int \frac{d\Omega_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p_u^0 p_d^0}{v_u v_d} B_+^+(p_u^0) B_-^-(p_d^0) \\ &\quad \times \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we have used<sup>16</sup>  $\int_0^\infty dp_\nu dp_u dp_d p_\nu^3 n_F(p_\nu + |p_d - p_d^0| - |p_u - p_u^0|) n_F(|p_u - p_u^0|) n_F(-|p_d - p_d^0|) \approx 457\pi^6 T^6/5040$ . By setting  $\Delta = l = 0$  in Eq. (22), one can recover the well-known result for the neutrino emissivity in normal quark matter<sup>19</sup>,

$$\epsilon_0 \approx \frac{457}{2520} \pi N_c G^2 \mu_e \mu_u \mu_d \left(1 + \frac{\mu_d}{\mu_u}\right) \kappa T^6. \quad (23)$$

We make numerical evaluation of the neutrino emissivity. We choose  $\kappa = 2/(3\pi)$ ,  $\mu = 300$  MeV,  $-\delta\mu = 125$  MeV. These are typical values to support the possible LOFF pion superfluid. In Fig. 2, the neutrino emissivity in unit of  $\epsilon_0$  is presented as function of the pion condensate  $\Delta$  and the LOFF momentum  $l$ . Due to the gapless nature, along the  $\Delta$  axis, there is no exponential but an approximate quadratic suppression. The appearance of the LOFF momentum also slightly lowers the neutrino emissivity. Note that the approximation we made here is somewhat different




Fig. 2. (Color online) The neutrino emissivity in a LOFF pion superfluid as functions of the pion condensate  $\Delta$  and the LOFF momentum  $l$ . The parameters are set to  $\kappa = 2/(3\pi)$ ,  $\mu = 300$  MeV,  $-\delta\mu = 125$  MeV.

from that we did in the previous work<sup>14</sup>. In this paper we evaluate the Bogoliubov coefficients at gapless momenta and result in a suppression in the emissivity. In the previous work the Bogoliubov coefficients are taken to be 1 approximately which leads in turn to an emissivity enhancement. The latter approximation is valid even at high temperatures, but the former one should be adopted only at low temperatures.

#### 4. Cooling Rates

To get the cooling behavior, one must know the specific heat of the pion superfluid which can be derived from its definition  $c_V(T) = T(\partial S/\partial T)_V$  with  $S$  being the entropy density,

$$S = -2N_c \sum_{r,a} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \ln n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] + n_F[-E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \ln n_F[-E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \right\}, \quad (24)$$

where the pre-factor  $2N_c$  comes from the degeneracies of spins and colors. Since we work at low temperatures and high quark chemical potentials, the dominant contribution to the specific heat are from the gapless momenta of positive energy excitations,

$$c_V(T) \approx 2N_c \sum_a \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} n_F[E_-^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] n_F[-E_-^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \frac{[E_-^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})]^2}{T^2} \approx \frac{2\pi^2 N_c}{3} T \sum_i \int \frac{d\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(p_i^0)^2}{v_i}, \quad (25)$$

where we have used the fact that at low temperatures  $\Delta, l$  are almost constants. When  $\Delta$  and  $l$  vanish, we reproduce the specific heat of normal two-flavor quark matter  $c_{V0} = \gamma T$  with  $\gamma = 2N_c(\mu_u^2 + \mu_d^2)T/6$ .

The time evolution of the temperature can be obtained by solving the following equation  $t - t_0 = -\int_{T_0}^T dT' c_V(T')/\epsilon(T')$ , where  $T_0$  is the temperature at an initial time  $t_0$ . Substituting Eq. (22) and Eq. (25) into this equation, we arrive at

$$t - t_0 = -\frac{210}{457\pi^3 G^2 \mu_e} \int_{T_0}^T \frac{dT'}{T'^5} \frac{G(\Delta, l)}{F(\Delta, l)}, \quad (26)$$

where we have introduced the notations

$$F(\Delta, l) = \int \frac{d\Omega_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p_u^0 p_d^0}{v_u v_d} B_+^+(p_u^0) B_+^-(p_d^0) \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}]$$

$$G(\Delta, l) = \sum_{i=u,d} \int \frac{d\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(p_i^0)^2}{v_i}. \quad (27)$$




Fig. 3. The cooling curves of the pion superfluid in the LOFF phase and of normal quark matter with  $T_0 = 0.1$  MeV at  $t_0 = 1$  yr. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 shows the time evolution of the pion superfluid in the LOFF phase with the initial temperature  $T_0 = 0.1$  MeV at  $t_0 = 1$  yr. For comparison, we also show the curve of normal quark matter. We choose  $\Delta = l = 50$  MeV. The other parameters are chosen as the same as in Fig. 2. We see that the cooling of the LOFF pion superfluid is slightly slower than that for normal quark matter. This situation is very similar to the case of inhomogeneous CFL quark matter, see<sup>20</sup>.

## 5. Summary

If the isospin (or equivalently electron) chemical potential in a neutron star is large enough, the LOFF pion superfluid can be a possible ground state in the interior matter of the neutron star. We calculated the neutrino emissivity, specific heat and then the cooling rate through direct Urca processes in this phase. The main results

are shown in Eq. (22) for the neutrino emissivity and in Eq. (26) for the cooling rate. At low temperatures, the neutrino emissivity is slightly smaller in the LOFF pion superfluid than that in normal quark matter, as indicated in Fig. 2 which leads to a slightly slower cooling rate for the LOFF phase as shown in Fig. 3.

**Acknowledgments:** Q.W. is supported in part by the startup grant from University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) in association with 'Bai Ren' project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) and by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the grant 10675109.

## References

1. M. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **B537**, 443 (1999).
2. S. B. Ruester, I. A. Shovkovy and D. H. Rischke, Nucl. Phys. A **743**, 127 (2004); S. B. Ruester, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 034004 (2005).
3. I. A. Shovkovy and M. Huang, Phys. Lett. **B564**, 205 (2003); M. Huang and I. A. Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. **A729**, 835 (2003).
4. M. Alford, C. Kouvaris and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 222001 (2004).
5. M. Iwasaki and T. Iwado, Phys. Lett. **B350**, 163 (1995); R. D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 074017 (2000); M. G. Alford, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 054018 (2003).
6. T. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 094007 (2000).
7. A. Schmitt, Q. Wang and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 114010 (2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 242301 (2003); A. Schmitt, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 054016 (2005).
8. T. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5531 (2000); V. A. Miransky and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 111601 (2002); M. Buballa, Phys. Lett. **B609**, 57 (2005); M. M. Forbes, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 094032 (2005).
9. K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, arXiv:hep-ph/0011333; R. Casalbuoni and G. Nardulli, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 263 (2004); D. H. Rischke, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **52**, 197 (2004); M. Buballa, Phys. Rept. **407**, 205 (2005); M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal, T. Schäfer and A. Schmitt, arXiv:0709.4635 [hep-ph].
10. E. Shuryak, arXiv:hep-ph/0608177.
11. M. Kitazawa, T. Koide, T. Kunihiro and Y. Nemoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 117 (2005).
12. D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 592 (2001); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **64**, 834 (2001); L. Y. He, M. Jin and P. F. Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 116001 (2005); Phys. Rev. **D74**, 036005 (2006).
13. T. Schäfer and K. Schwenzer, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 114037 (2004).
14. X. G. Huang, Q. Wang and P. F. Zhuang, arXiv:0706.4381 [hep-ph].
15. Q. Wang, AIP Conf. Proc. **865**, 248 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607096].
16. P. Morel and P. Nozières, Phys. Rev. **126**, 1909 (1962); G. Baym and C. Pethick, in *The Physics of Liquid and Solid Helium, Part II*, K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson Eds, John Wiley & Son, Inc, 1978.
17. G. Baym and S. A. Chin, Nucl. Phys. **A262**, 527 (1976).
18. Q. Wang, Z. G. Wang and J. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 014021 (2006).
19. N. Iwamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1637 (1980); Ann. Phys. **141**, 1 (1982).
20. R. Anglani, G. Nardulli, M. Ruggieri and M. Mannarelli, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 074005 (2006).

International Journal of Modern Physics E  
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

## NEUTRINO EMISSION IN INHOMOGENEOUS PION CONDENSED QUARK MATTER

Xuguang Huang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Qun Wang<sup>2,†</sup>, Pengfei Zhuang<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui  
 230026, China*

Received (received date)

Revised (revised date)

It is believed that quark matter can exist in neutron star interior if the baryon number density is high enough. When there is a large isospin density, quark matter could be in a pion condensed phase. We compute neutrino emission from direct Urca processes in such a phase, particularly in the inhomogeneous Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrell (LOFF) states. The neutrino emissivity and specific heat are obtained, from which the cooling rate is estimated.

### 1. Introduction

The phase structure of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is one of the most challenging problem in particle and nuclear physics. We schematically illustrate in Fig. 1 the phase diagrams in  $T - \mu_B$  and  $T - \mu_I$  plots, where  $T$ ,  $\mu_B$  and  $\mu_I$  are the temperature, baryon and isospin (or equivalently electron) chemical potentials respectively. In the  $T - \mu_B$  diagram, the left panel of Fig. 1, the hadronic phase locates at low  $T$  and low  $\mu_B$  region and undergoes a phase transition or a crossover to the deconfined quark phase at certain critical temperature  $T_c$  or baryon chemical potential  $\mu_{Bc}$  of orders of  $T_c \sim 200$  MeV or  $\mu_{Bc} \sim 1$  GeV. At very high temperature, the quark-gluon-plasma (QGP), made of free quarks and gluons, forms. At asymptotically high  $\mu_B$  but low  $T$ , the ground state of QCD is the color-flavor-locked (CFL) superconductor<sup>1</sup> where the condensation of quark pairs spontaneously breaks color and chiral symmetries. At intermediate  $T$  and  $\mu_B$ , although quarks and gluons are deconfined they are still strongly coupled. In this regime, many QCD phases are proposed in recent years: two-flavor color superconductivity (2SC)<sup>2</sup>, gapless 2SC (g2SC)<sup>3</sup>, gapless CFL (gCFL)<sup>4</sup>, spin-1 color superconductor<sup>5,6,7</sup>, kaon condensation in the CFL phase<sup>8</sup>, et al.. For reviews of color superconductivity, see, e.g.

\*e-mail: huangxg03@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

†e-mail: qunwang@ustc.edu.cn

‡e-mail: zhuangpf@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

2 *Huang, et.al.*

Ref. <sup>9</sup>. There may exist resonance states at intermediate  $T$ , such as strongly coupled QGP (sQGP) <sup>10</sup> at low  $\mu_B$  or the pseudo-gap phase at moderate  $\mu_B$  <sup>11</sup>. In the  $T - \mu_I$  diagram, the right panel of Fig. 1, the hadron phase is in the region with low  $T$  and low  $\mu_I$  while the QGP phase locates at very high  $T$ . When  $\mu_I$  grows above the value of the pion mass  $m_\pi$ , the ground state turns out to be a Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of pions, as  $\mu_I$  increases further and is larger than about 230 MeV, the pion BEC crossover smoothly into the BCS superfluid of quark-anti-quark pairs with the condensate  $\langle \bar{u}i\gamma_5 d \rangle$  or  $\langle \bar{d}i\gamma_5 u \rangle$  <sup>12</sup>. At intermediate  $T$ , resonance states such as sQGP may occur at low  $\mu_I$  while the states with strong fluctuations of thermally excited mesons or Cooper pairs are possible at moderate  $\mu_I$ .




Fig. 1. The schematic phase diagrams of QCD on  $T - \mu_B$  and  $T - \mu_I$  planes.

In this paper we consider the quark matter cores in neutron stars. The neutrino emission from direct Urca processes  $d \rightarrow u + e^- + \bar{\nu}$ ,  $u + e^- \rightarrow d + \nu$  is the most efficient way of cooling in quark matter. Schaefer and Schwenzer summarized the neutrino emissivities and specific heats for a variety of color superconducting phases of quark matter <sup>13</sup>. In two-flavor quark matter, due to beta equilibrium the isospin chemical potential  $\mu_I$  is nonzero, quark matter could be a pion BEC ( $\mu_I < 230$  MeV) or a BCS superfluid ( $\mu_I > 230$  MeV) when  $\mu_I > m_\pi$  <sup>12</sup>. On the other hand, the baryon chemical potential is still large (in the order of 1 GeV) and makes a big mismatch between the fermi surfaces of the pairing quarks  $u(\bar{u})$  and  $\bar{d}(d)$ , thus the BEC or BCS state is gapless. Such a gapless phase is stable in the BEC region but unstable in the BCS one with respect to the formation of nonzero LOFF momentum. In a previous work <sup>14</sup>, we have studied the neutrino emissivity and cooling rate due to Urca processes for the gapless pion condensed quark matter. In this paper we study the neutrino emission in the LOFF phase. We work in two-flavor case in the moderate baryon density, where the role of strange quarks is not important.

Our units are  $\hbar = k_B = c = 1$  except particular specifications. As a convention, we denote a 4-momentum as  $K^\mu = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ , and its 3-momentum magnitude as  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ .

## 2. Quark Propagator

Our starting point is the two flavor Nambu-Jona-Lasinio Lagrangian of QCD

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \mu\gamma_0 - m_0)\psi + g[(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\vec{\tau}\gamma_5\psi)^2], \quad (1)$$

where  $\psi = (u, d)^T$  is the quark fields,  $g$  is the coupling constant and  $\vec{\tau}$  is the Pauli matrices. We have introduced the chemical potential matrix in flavor space,  $\mu = \text{diag}(\mu_u, \mu_d) = (\mu + \delta\mu, \mu - \delta\mu) = (\mu_B/3 + \mu_I/2, \mu_B/3 - \mu_I/2)$  with  $\mu_B, \mu_I$  the baryon and isospin chemical potential respectively. We assume that the  $\beta$ -equilibrium is reached  $\mu_d = \mu_u + \mu_e$ , which gives  $\mu_I = -\mu_e$ . In the chiral limit and without the chemical potentials, the Lagrangian (1) respects the symmetry  $U_B(1) \otimes SU_V(2) \otimes SU_A(2)$  corresponding to the baryon number, isospin vector and pseudovector conservation respectively. However, the presence of the chemical potentials explicitly break the isospin symmetry down to  $U_V(1)$  with the conserved quantum number of  $\tau_3$ , and chiral symmetry down to  $U_A(1)$  with the conserved quantum number of  $i\gamma_5\tau_3$ . By introducing the chiral condensate  $\sigma = -2g\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$  and pion condensates  $\pi^- = \Delta e^{-2i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = 4g\langle\bar{u}i\gamma_5d\rangle$ ,  $\pi^+ = \Delta e^{2i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = 4g\langle\bar{d}i\gamma_5u\rangle$ , we arrive at the mean field Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mf}} = \bar{\psi} \begin{pmatrix} i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \mu_u\gamma_0 - m & \pi^+ i\gamma_5 \\ \pi^- i\gamma_5 & i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \mu_d\gamma_0 - m \end{pmatrix} \psi - \frac{\sigma^2 + \Delta^2}{4g}, \quad (2)$$

with the effective quark mass  $m = m_0 + \sigma$ . There are mismatches in Fermi surfaces of anti-u and d quarks or anti-d and u quarks by the baryon chemical potential, hence pion condensates with nonzero total momentum or the LOFF states may be favored. The formation of the condensate  $\sigma \sim \langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$  breaks the  $U_A(1)$  chiral symmetry spontaneously with the Goldstone boson  $\pi_0 \sim \bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau_3\psi$ , and that of pion condensates  $\Delta \sim \langle\bar{d}i\gamma_5u\rangle \sim \langle\bar{u}i\gamma_5d\rangle$  break the  $U_V(1)$  isospin symmetry spontaneously with the Goldstone boson  $a_0 \sim \bar{\psi}\tau_3\psi$ . The translational and rotational symmetries are spontaneously broken by nonzero LOFF momentum  $\mathbf{l}$ . The partition function of the system can be written as a functional integral,

$$Z = \int [d\bar{\psi}][d\psi] \exp \left( \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathcal{L}_{\text{mf}} \right), \quad (3)$$

Rewriting the quark fields via a gauge transformation which leaves the partition function unchanged,  $\chi_u(x) = u(x)e^{-i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\chi_d(x) = d(x)e^{i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$  (we still call  $\chi_{u,d}$  quark fields), the inverse quark propagator in flavor and momentum space reads

$$S^{-1}(K) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu K_\mu - \mathbf{l} \cdot \gamma + \mu_u\gamma_0 - m & \Delta i\gamma_5 \\ \Delta i\gamma_5 & \gamma^\mu K_\mu + \mathbf{l} \cdot \gamma + \mu_d\gamma_0 - m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Note that  $K^\mu$  represents the 4-momentum of the  $\chi$  fields instead of the  $\psi$  fields. The propagator is written as

$$S(K) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{uu}(K) & S_{ud}(K) \\ S_{du}(K) & S_{dd}(K) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

4 *Huang, et.al.*

A straightforward calculation from Eq. (4) gives the four elements

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{uu}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{+\mu} + m)(K_-^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) + 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]}, \\
S_{dd}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{-\mu} + m)(K_+^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) - 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]}, \\
S_{ud}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{+\mu} + m)(K_-^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) + 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\gamma^\mu K_{-\mu} - m}{K_-^2 - m^2} i\gamma^5 \Delta, \\
S_{du}(K) &= \frac{(\gamma^\mu K_{-\mu} + m)(K_+^2 - m^2 - \Delta^2) - 2\Delta^2 \gamma^\mu l_\mu}{(K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\gamma^\mu K_{+\mu} - m}{K_+^2 - m^2} i\gamma^5 \Delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $K_\pm^\mu = (k_0 + \mu \pm \delta\mu, \mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{l})$  and  $l^\mu = (\delta\mu, \mathbf{l})$ . The excitation spectra of the quasi-particles can be obtained by solving the equation  $\det S^{-1}(k_0, \mathbf{k}) = 0$  or equivalently the roots of the denominator of the propagator for  $k_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (K_+^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2)(K_-^2 - m^2 + \Delta^2) - \Delta^2[(K_+ + K_-)^2 - 4m^2] \\
&\approx [(k_0 + \mu + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^-)^2 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ + \delta\mu)^2 - \Delta^2] \\
&\quad \times [(k_0 + \mu - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^-)^2 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ - \delta\mu)^2 - \Delta^2],
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^\pm = (E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{l}} \pm E_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{l}})/2$  with  $E_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ . To arrive at the last line, we have taken the assumption that both  $\Delta$  and  $\mathbf{l}$  are small comparing to the quark Fermi momenta in the LOFF phase. We have four excitation branches,

$$E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = -r \sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^+ - a\delta\mu)^2 + \Delta^2} - (\mu - a\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l}}^-), \tag{8}$$

with  $a, r = \pm$ . Taking the same approximation to the numerators in the elements of the quark propagator, we neglect the terms proportional to  $\Delta^2 \mathbf{l}$ . Thus we rewrite the elements of the quark propagator as,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{uu}(K) &\simeq \sum_{a,r=\pm} \frac{B_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{l}}^a \gamma_0}{k_0 - E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})}, \\
S_{dd}(K) &\simeq \sum_{a,r=\pm} \frac{B_{-r}^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{l}}^{-a} \gamma_0}{k_0 - E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have introduced the energy projectors,

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^a = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + a \frac{\gamma_0 (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + m)}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} \right], \tag{10}$$

and the Bogoliubov coefficients,

$$B_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - ar \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}}^+ - a\delta\mu}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}}^+ - a\delta\mu)^2 + \Delta^2}} \right], \quad (11)$$

Note that  $\delta\mu = (\mu_u - \mu_d)/2$  here, which differs by a sign from that in Ref. <sup>14</sup>, so the Bogoliubov coefficients in Eq. (11) have the same convention in signs of  $a, r$  as in Eq. (12) of Ref. <sup>14</sup>. We see that the propagator elements in Eq. (9) are in a similar form to Eq. (8,9) of Ref. <sup>14</sup>. There are some differences: (i) The energy excitation spectra in the current case are slightly different from that in Ref. <sup>14</sup> by a shift  $a\varepsilon_-$  and by that the energy is  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}}^+$  instead of  $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ ; (ii) The Bogoliubov coefficients depend on  $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}}^+$  not on  $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ ; (iii) The energy projectors for u and d quarks depend on  $\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{l}$  instead of both on  $\mathbf{k}$ .

### 3. Neutrino Emissivity

Since the characteristic energy scale of the Urca processes is much lower than the W-boson mass, we can use the Fermi current-current interaction to describe the Urca processes,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} J^\mu J_\mu^\dagger, \quad (12)$$

where the weak currents are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu(x) &= \bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)e + e^{-2i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\bar{\chi}_u\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\chi_d, \\ J_\mu^\dagger(x) &= \bar{e}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\nu + e^{2i\mathbf{l}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\bar{\chi}_d\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)\chi_u, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $i$  and  $\bar{i}$  for  $i = e, \nu$  denote spinor fields for electrons and neutrinos. Here  $G = G_F \cos\theta_C \approx 1.13488 \times 10^{-11} \text{MeV}^{-2}$  is the four-fermion coupling constant.

In the  $\beta$ -equilibrated quark matter, the neutrino emissivity, defined as the total energy per unit time and per unit volume carried away by neutrinos and anti-neutrinos in escaping a compact star. Using the Lagrangian (12) in the Kadanoff-Byam equation, the neutrino emissivity can be derived as <sup>14</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= 2G^2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3 2E_e} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_\nu}{(2\pi)^3 2E_\nu} E_\nu n_B(-E_e + \mu_e + E_\nu) n_F(E_e - \mu_e) \\ &\quad \times L^{\lambda\sigma}(P_\nu, P_e) \text{Im}\Pi_{\lambda\sigma}^R(E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu, \mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here the on-shell 4-momenta for leptons is denoted by  $P_i = (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i)$  ( $i = e, \nu$ ), where the energies are  $E_i \equiv E_{p_i} = \sqrt{p_i^2 + m_i^2}$  with  $m_\nu = 0$  and  $m_e \approx 0$ . The factor 2 in the front is due to the approximately identical cross sections for  $\beta$ -decay and electron capture processes at very low temperatures far from the condensation-normal transition temperature  $T_c$ . But as the temperature approaches  $T_c$ , one cannot take the contributions from the two processes as equal, as is demonstrated in Ref. <sup>14</sup>. We used  $n_B(x) = (e^{x/T} - 1)^{-1}$  and  $n_F(x) = (e^{x/T} + 1)^{-1}$  to denote the Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac distribution functions, which maintain the detailed balance and

6 *Huang, et.al.*

Pauli enhancing/blocking effects for electrons in the electron capture/ $\beta$ -decay processes. Note that there are two identical terms in evaluating the emissivity (14), see Fig. 3 of Ref. <sup>15</sup>, which gives the correct overall factor and can easily be overlooked. We have dropped the chemical potential of neutrinos since there is no accumulations of neutrinos at typical temperatures inside an aging star. The leptonic tensor  $L^{\lambda\sigma}(P_e, P_\nu)$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} L^{\lambda\sigma}(P_\nu, P_e) &= \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda(1 - \gamma_5)\gamma \cdot P_e \gamma^\sigma(1 - \gamma_5)\gamma \cdot P_\nu] \\ &= 8[P_e^\lambda P_\nu^\sigma + P_\nu^\lambda P_e^\sigma - P_e \cdot P_\nu g^{\lambda\sigma} - i\epsilon^{\lambda\alpha\sigma\beta} P_{e\alpha} P_{\nu\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The W-boson polarization tensor  $\Pi^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q})$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) &= N_c T \sum_n \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda(1 - \gamma_5) S_{uu}(P_u) \gamma^\sigma(1 - \gamma_5) S_{dd}(P_d)] \\ &= N_c T \sum_n \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})}{p_{u0} - E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})} \frac{B_{-s}^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})}{p_{d0} - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})} \\ &\quad \times \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda(1 - \gamma_5) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_u+1}^a \gamma_0 \gamma^\sigma(1 - \gamma_5) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_d-1}^b \gamma_0] \\ &= N_c \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3 4E_u E_d} \frac{n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] - n_F[E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})]}{q_0 - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) + E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})} \\ &\quad \times B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) B_{-s}^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $P_u = (p_{u0}, \mathbf{p}_u)$ ,  $P_d = (p_{d0}, \mathbf{p}_d)$  and  $Q = (q_0, \mathbf{q})$  which satisfy  $P_d = P_u + Q + (0, 2\mathbf{l})$ . Here  $\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{p}_d$  are the momenta of  $\chi_u, \chi_d$ . The bosonic and fermionic Matsubara frequencies are given by  $q_0 = i2m\pi T$  and  $p_{u0} = i(2n+1)\pi T$  ( $m, n$  are integers). The retarded polarization tensor  $\Pi^R$  is obtained from the above after taking the analytic extension for the Matsubara frequency  $q_0 = i2m\pi T \rightarrow q_0 + i0^+$ , where the second  $q_0$  is real. The quark tensor  $H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d) &= 4E_u E_d \text{Tr}[\gamma^\lambda(1 - \gamma_5) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_u+1}^a \gamma_0 \gamma^\sigma(1 - \gamma_5) \Lambda_{\mathbf{p}_d-1}^b \gamma_0] \\ &= 8[P_u^\lambda P_d^\sigma + P_d^\lambda P_u^\sigma - P_u \cdot P_d g^{\lambda\sigma} - i\epsilon^{\lambda\alpha\sigma\beta} P_{u\alpha} P_{d\beta}], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $P_u = (E_u, a\mathbf{p}_u + a\mathbf{l})$ ,  $P_d = (E_d, b\mathbf{p}_d - b\mathbf{l})$  with  $E_u = E_{\mathbf{p}_u+1}$ ,  $E_d = E_{\mathbf{p}_d-1}$ . Using  $\text{Im}\Pi_R^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) = \text{Im}\Pi^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0 + i0^+, \mathbf{q})$ , the imaginary part of the retarded polarization tensor of W-bosons can be read out directly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\Pi_R^{\lambda\sigma}(q_0, \mathbf{q}) &= \pi N_c \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3 4E_u E_d} \delta[q_0 - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) + E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d) \\ &\quad \times \frac{n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] n_F[-E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})]}{n_B(-q_0)} B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) B_{-s}^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Substituting Eq.(18) into Eq.(14) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon = 4N_c \sum_{a,b,r,s} \int & \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3 2E_e} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_\nu}{(2\pi)^3 2E_\nu} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_u}{(2\pi)^3 2E_u} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_d}{(2\pi)^3 2E_d} \\ & \times E_\nu (2\pi)^4 \delta[E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu + E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) - E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})] \\ & \times \delta^3(\mathbf{p}_e - \mathbf{p}_\nu + \mathbf{p}_u - \mathbf{p}_d + 2\mathbf{l}) B_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) B_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) \\ & n_F(E_e - \mu_e) n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})] n_F[-E_s^{-b}(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})] |M_{ab}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We have introduced the shorthand notation  $|M_{ab}|^2$ , the spin-averaged scattering matrix element of  $\beta$ -decay or electron capture<sup>19</sup>,

$$|M_{ab}|^2 = \frac{G^2}{4} L_{\lambda\sigma}(P_e, P_\nu) H_{ab}^{\lambda\sigma}(P_u, P_d) = 64G^2(P_e \cdot P_u)(P_\nu \cdot P_d), \quad (20)$$

where the energy projection indices  $a, b$  are hidden in the quark momenta.

In the LOFF phase, chiral symmetry is almost restored, so we can safely set quark masses zero,  $m_{u,d} = 0$ . We consider the low temperature and high chemical potentials for quarks, the dominant contribution of the phase space integral in quark momenta comes from the gapless modes of the excitations with positive energies, i.e. terms with  $r = s = -$ . Also, since the gap parameter and the LOFF momentum are small comparing to the quark chemical potentials, the Bogoliubov coefficients with  $a = -$  and  $b = -$  are strongly suppressed, so we only keep the term with  $a = +$  and  $b = +$ . For electrons, the relevant momenta are near the chemical potential. Recalling that  $E_+^+(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l})$  and  $E_-^-(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})$  are the dispersion relations for quasi-u-quarks and quasi-d-quarks, one can obtain the gapless momenta as

$$p_{u/d}^0 \approx (1 - \kappa) [\sqrt{(\mu \mp l \cos \theta_{u/d})^2 - \Delta^2} \pm \delta\mu] \quad (21)$$

for  $u/d$  quarks, where  $\kappa$  is due to the Fermi-liquid correction. In perturbative QCD we have  $\kappa = 2\alpha_s/(3\pi)$  with  $\alpha_s$  being the strong coupling constant<sup>17</sup>. In NJL model we have<sup>18</sup>  $\kappa = 4g\mu_B^2/(3\pi^2)$ . We have set the z-direction along the LOFF momentum  $\mathbf{l}$  and denote as  $\theta_i$  and  $\phi_i$  the polar and azimuthal angles of  $\mathbf{p}_i$ ,  $i = e, \nu, u, d$ . Near the gapless momenta, we can make expansion  $E_+^+(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) \approx v_u |p_u - p_u^0|$  and  $E_-^-(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l}) \approx v_d |p_d - p_d^0|$  with velocities  $v_{u/d} = \sqrt{1 - \Delta^2/(\mu \mp l \cos \theta_{u/d})^2}$ . The matrix element is now evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{++}|^2 & \approx 64G^2 p_e p_\nu E_u E_d \left(1 - \frac{p_u^0 + l \cos \theta_u}{E_u} \cos \theta_{ue}\right) \left(1 - \frac{p_d^0 - l \cos \theta_d}{E_d} \cos \theta_{d\nu}\right) \\ & \approx 64G^2 p_e p_\nu E_u E_d [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}] [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{d\nu}], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $\theta_{d\nu}$  and  $\theta_{ue}$  are angles between  $\mathbf{p}_d - \mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_\nu$  and between  $\mathbf{p}_u + \mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_e$ , or  $\cos \theta_{d\nu} = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_d - \mathbf{l}) \cdot \mathbf{p}_\nu}{|\mathbf{p}_d - \mathbf{l}| p_\nu}$  and

$$\cos \theta_{ue} = \frac{(\mathbf{p}_u + \mathbf{l}) \cdot \mathbf{p}_e}{|\mathbf{p}_u + \mathbf{l}| p_e} = \frac{(p_d - l \cos \theta_d)^2 - (p_u + l \cos \theta_u)^2 - p_e^2}{2(p_u + l \cos \theta_u) p_e}. \quad (23)$$

8 *Huang, et.al.*

Since both  $\Delta, l$  are small and  $p_v \sim T \ll \mu$ ,  $\delta\mu$  is negligible, the delta function for the energies in Eq. (19) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta[E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu + E_-^+(\mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{l}) - E_-^-(\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{l})] \\ & \approx \delta[E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu + v_u|p_u - p_u^0| - v_d|p_d - p_d^0|] \\ & \rightarrow \delta[E_e - \mu_e - E_\nu + (p_u - p_u^0) - (p_d - p_d^0)] \\ & = \mu_e/(p_u^0 p_d^0) \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The second line is due to the fact that the integral is an even function of  $(p_{u/d} - p_{u/d}^0)$  so that we can restrict the integration range to  $p_{u/d} - p_{u/d}^0 > 0$  and double the result. We have used  $v_u, v_d \approx 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta_{ud} &= \frac{(p_u + l \cos \theta_u)^2 + (p_d - l \cos \theta_d)^2 - p_e^2}{2(p_u + l \cos \theta_u)(p_d - l \cos \theta_d)}, \\ \cos \theta_{ud}^0 &= (\cos \theta_{ud})|_{p_u=p_u^0, p_d=p_d^0, p_e=\mu_e}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The integration over electron three-momentum can be carried out by the delta function about the momentum conservation. Now we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\approx \frac{914}{315} \pi^7 N_c G^2 \mu_e T^6 \int \frac{d\Omega_\nu}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^3} p_u^0 p_d^0 B_-^+(p_u^0) B_+^-(p_d^0) \\ &\quad \times \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}] [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{dv}] \\ &= \frac{457}{315} \pi^5 N_c G^2 \mu_e T^6 \int \frac{d\Omega_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^3} p_u^0 p_d^0 B_-^+(p_u^0) B_+^-(p_d^0) \\ &\quad \times \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}], \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we have used <sup>16</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dp_\nu dp_u dp_d p_\nu^3 n_F(p_\nu + |p_d - p_d^0| - |p_u - p_u^0|) \\ & \times n_F(|p_u - p_u^0|) n_F(-|p_d - p_d^0|) \approx 457 \pi^6 T^6 / 5040. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By setting  $\Delta = l = 0$  in Eq. (26), one can recover the well-known result for the neutrino emissivity in normal quark matter <sup>19</sup>,

$$\epsilon_0 \approx \frac{457}{2520} \pi N_c G^2 \mu_e \mu_u \mu_d \left(1 + \frac{\mu_d}{\mu_u}\right) \kappa T^6. \quad (28)$$

We make numerical evaluation of the neutrino emissivity. We choose  $\kappa = 2/(3\pi)$ ,  $\mu = 300$  MeV,  $-\delta\mu = 125$  MeV. These are typical values to support the possible LOFF pion superfluid. In Fig. 2, the neutrino emissivity in unit of  $\epsilon_0$  is presented as function of the pion condensate  $\Delta$  and the LOFF momentum  $l$ . Due to the gapless nature, along the  $\Delta$  axis, there is no exponential but an approximate quadratic suppression. The appearance of the LOFF momentum also slightly lowers the neutrino emissivity. Note that the approximation we made here is somewhat different from that we did in the previous work <sup>14</sup>. In this paper we evaluate the Bogoliubov coefficients at gapless momenta and result in a suppression in the emissivity. In the previous work the Bogoliubov coefficients are taken to be 1 approximately which




Fig. 2. (Color online) The neutrino emissivity in a LOFF pion superfluid as functions of the pion condensate  $\Delta$  and the LOFF momentum  $l$ . The parameters are set to  $\kappa = 2/(3\pi)$ ,  $\mu = 300$  MeV,  $-\delta\mu = 125$  MeV.

leads in turn to an emissivity enhancement. The latter approximation is valid even at high temperatures, but the former one should be adopted only at low temperatures.

#### 4. Cooling Rates

To get the cooling behavior, one must know the specific heat of the pion superfluid which can be derived from its definition  $c_V(T) = T(\partial S/\partial T)_V$  with  $S$  being the entropy density,

$$S = -2N_c \sum_{r,a} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \ln n_F[E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] + n_F[-E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \ln n_F[-E_r^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \right\}, \quad (29)$$

where the pre-factor  $2N_c$  comes from the degeneracies of spins and colors. Since we work at low temperatures and high quark chemical potentials, the dominant contribution to the specific heat are from the gapless momenta of positive energy excitations,

$$\begin{aligned} c_V(T) &\approx 2N_c \sum_a \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} n_F[E_-^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] n_F[-E_-^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})] \frac{[E_-^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})]^2}{T^2} \\ &\approx 2N_c \sum_{i=u,d} \int \frac{d\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} (p_i^0)^2 \int_0^\infty dk n_F(v_i|k - p_i^0|) n_F(-v_i|k - p_i^0|) \frac{v_i^2 (k - p_i^0)^2}{T^2} \\ &\approx \frac{2\pi^2 N_c}{3} T \sum_i \int \frac{d\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(p_i^0)^2}{v_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

10 *Huang, et.al.*

where we have used the fact that at low temperatures  $\Delta, l$  are almost constants. When  $\Delta$  and  $l$  vanish, we reproduce the specific heat of normal two-flavor quark matter  $c_{V0} = \gamma T$  with  $\gamma = 2N_c(\mu_u^2 + \mu_d^2)T/6$ .

The time evolution of the temperature can be obtained by solving the following equation,

$$t - t_0 = - \int_{T_0}^T dT' \frac{c_V(T')}{\epsilon(T')}, \quad (31)$$

where  $T_0$  is the temperature at an initial time  $t_0$ . Substituting Eq. (26) and Eq. (30) into the above equation, we arrive at

$$t - t_0 = - \frac{210}{457\pi^3 G^2 \mu_e} \int_{T_0}^T \frac{dT' G(\Delta, l)}{T'^5 F(\Delta, l)}, \quad (32)$$

where we have introduced the notations

$$F(\Delta, l) = \int \frac{d\Omega_u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^3} p_u^0 p_d^0 B_-^+(p_u^0) B_+^-(p_d^0) \delta(\cos \theta_{ud} - \cos \theta_{ud}^0) [1 - (1 - \kappa) \cos \theta_{ue}]$$

$$G(\Delta, l) = \sum_{i=u,d} \int \frac{d\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(p_i^0)^2}{v_i}. \quad (33)$$




Fig. 3. The cooling curves of the pion superfluid in the LOFF phase and of normal quark matter with  $T_0 = 0.1$  MeV at  $t_0 = 1$  yr. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 shows the time evolution of the pion superfluid in the LOFF phase with the initial temperature  $T_0 = 0.1$  MeV at  $t_0 = 1$  yr. For comparison, we also show the curve of normal quark matter. We choose  $\Delta = l = 50$  MeV. The other parameters are chosen as the same as in Fig. 2. We see that the cooling of the LOFF pion superfluid is slightly slower than that for normal quark matter.

## 5. Summary

If the electron chemical potential in a neutron star is large enough ( $> 230$  MeV), the LOFF pion superfluid can be a possible ground state in the interior matter of the

star. We calculated the neutrino emissivity, specific heat and then the cooling rate through direct Urca processes in this phase. The main results are shown in Eq. (26) for the neutrino emissivity and in Eq. (32) for the cooling rate. At low temperatures, the neutrino emissivity is slightly smaller in the LOFF pion superfluid than that in normal quark matter, as indicated in Fig. 2 which leads to a slightly slower cooling rate for the LOFF phase as shown in Fig. 3.

**Acknowledgments:** Q.W. is supported in part by the startup grant from University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) in association with 'Bai Ren' project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) and by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the grant 10675109.

## References

1. M. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **B537**, 443 (1999).
2. S. B. Ruester, I. A. Shovkovy and D. H. Rischke, Nucl. Phys. A **743**, 127 (2004); S. B. Ruester, V. Werth, M. Buballa, I. A. Shovkovy and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 034004 (2005).
3. I. A. Shovkovy and M. Huang, Phys. Lett. **B564**, 205 (2003); M. Huang and I. A. Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. **A729**, 835 (2003).
4. M. Alford, C. Kouvaris and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 222001 (2004).
5. M. Iwasaki and T. Iwado, Phys. Lett. **B350**, 163 (1995); R. D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 074017 (2000); M. G. Alford, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 054018 (2003).
6. T. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 094007 (2000).
7. A. Schmitt, Q. Wang and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 114010 (2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 242301 (2003); A. Schmitt, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 054016 (2005).
8. T. Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5531 (2000); V. A. Miransky and I. A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 111601 (2002); M. Buballa, Phys. Lett. **B609**, 57 (2005); M. M. Forbes, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 094032 (2005).
9. K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, arXiv:hep-ph/0011333; R. Casalbuoni and G. Nardulli, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 263 (2004); D. H. Rischke, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **52**, 197 (2004); M. Buballa, Phys. Rept. **407**, 205 (2005); M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal, T. Schaefer and A. Schmitt, arXiv:0709.4635 [hep-ph].
10. E. Shuryak, hep-ph/0608177.
11. M. Kitazawa, T. Koide, T. Kunihiro and Y. Nemoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 117 (2005); M. Kitazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 117 (2005).
12. D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 592 (2001); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **64**, 834 (2001); L. Y. He, M. Jin and P. F. Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 116001 (2005); L. He, M. Jin and P. Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 036005 (2006).
13. T. Schäfer and K. Schwenzer, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 114037 (2004).
14. X. Huang, Q. Wang and P. Zhuang, arXiv:0706.4381.
15. Q. Wang, AIP Conf. Proc. **865**, 248 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607096].
16. P. Morel and P. Nozières, Phys. Rev. **126**, 6 (1962); G. Baym and C. Pethick, in *The Physics of Liquid and Solid Helium, Part II*, K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson Eds, John Wiley & Son, Inc, 1978.
17. G. Baym and S. A. Chin, Nucl. Phys. **A262**, 527 (1976).

12 *Huang, et.al.*

18. Q. Wang, Z. G. Wang and J. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 014021 (2006).
19. N. Iwamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1637 (1980); Ann. Phys. **141**, 1 (1982).