arXiv:0710.4055v1 [hep-ph] 22 Oct 2007

TAUP 2865/07
WIS/17/07-OCT-DPP
ANL-HEP-PR-07-88

Possibility of Narrow High-Mass Exotic States

Marek Karliner [a*]
and
Harry J. Lipkin [a,b†]

[a] *School of Physics and Astronomy*
Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences
Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

[b] *Department of Particle Physics*
Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
and
High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL 60439-4815, USA

Abstract

Narrow high-mass states can arise despite large phase space when two nearly degenerate states are coupled to the same dominant decay mode. Mixing via a final-state interaction loop diagram can produce one very broad state and one narrow state. Such a situation is generic in exotic hadrons where a color singlet with given flavor and spin quantum numbers can be constructed with two distinct internal color couplings of quarks. The simplest realization of this idea are the $Q\bar{Q}q\bar{q}$ tetraquarks containing two heavy and two light quarks. We discuss possible experimental implications, including recent data from Belle.

*e-mail: marek@proton.tau.ac.il

†e-mail: ftlipkin@weizmann.ac.il

.

I. NARROWING OF WIDTHS BY MIXING

High-mass resonant states containing heavy quark $Q\bar{Q}$ components are expected to decay with large widths into heavy quarkonium $Q\bar{Q}$ states with one or two additional pions; e.g $J/\psi\pi$, $J/\psi\pi\pi$, $\Upsilon\pi$, and $\Upsilon\pi\pi$ if phase space is available. One example is the case of the $Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ tetraquarks whose masses have been shown in a number of cases to be comparable to the masses of two separated mesons [1]. Most calculations predict that such states are above the masses of the separated $Q\bar{Q}$ and $u\bar{d}$ mesons. The $cu\bar{c}\bar{d}$ and $bu\bar{b}\bar{d}$ states can therefore decay into states like $J/\psi\pi$ and $\Upsilon\pi$ with large widths.

Exotic hadrons such as $Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ differ from ordinary mesons and baryons in an important way: a color singlet with given flavor and spin quantum numbers can be constructed with two distinct and nearly degenerate internal color couplings of quarks. Therefore in the decay of such states there is a possibility that two nearly degenerate states can be mixed by a final state rescattering to produce one very broad state and a comparatively narrow state.

We follow the approach used [3] in a similar situation with a two-state system coupled to a single dominant decay mode. The mixing via loop diagrams has been shown to create a decoupling of one of the eigenstates from this dominant decay mode. Some earlier examples are $\omega-\phi$ mixing [4], the mixing of the strange axial vector mesons [5], and the "ideal mixing" decoupling the KN decay mode in P-wave decays of some negative parity strange baryons [6,7]. The suggestion that a narrow width of the putative Θ^+ pentaquark might be due to a decoupling mechanism has also been made in a different context [8].

We apply this approach to $Q\bar{Q}X$ models where X denotes some system of quarks and antiquarks and show that a single dominant decay mode can be decoupled to a good approximation from one of the two diquark-antidiquark eigenstates. The simplest case is a tetraquark where X denotes a light $q\bar{q}$ pair, but our treatment is more general and includes multiquark systems with more quarks.

Two allowed color couplings

Since the heavy quark is a color triplet, there are two allowed color couplings for a $Q\bar{Q}$: a color singlet and a color octet. There are therefore two possible couplings for the $Q\bar{Q}X$ system to a color singlet: the singlet-singlet and the octet-octet.

It is convenient to define another basis for describing these two states. This emphasizes the diquark-antidiquark couplings which appear as mass eigenstates in tetraquark models [1].

The ($\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}$ – $\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}$) basis.

- The $|\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle$ state is a color singlet state in which the color triplet heavy quark Q is coupled with a color triplet light quark system to make a color antitriplet while the color antitriplet heavy antiquark $\bar{Q}$ is coupled with the remaining light quark system to make color triplet.

- The $|\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle$ state is a color singlet state in which the color triplet heavy quark Q is coupled with a color triplet light quark system to make a color sextet while the color

antitriplet heavy antiquark $\bar{Q}$ coupled with the remaining light quark system to make color antisextet.

This basis is defined to include a tetraquark where the light quark system consists of a single antiquark and a single quark. This is a complete basis for tetraquark states. For more complicated multiquark states there are additional couplings for the light quarks to larger color multiplets than the color sextet. We neglect these couplings here. Thus our treatment is exact for tetraquark states but may well be a good approximation for higher multiplet states.

The eigenstates of the tetraquark mass matrix, denoted by $|[Tet]_S\rangle$ and $|[Tet]_L\rangle$, by analogy with the kaon eigenstates, will have the form

$$\begin{aligned} |[Tet]_S\rangle &\equiv \cos\theta \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle + \sin\theta \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle \\ |[Tet]_L\rangle &\equiv \sin\theta \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle - \cos\theta \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the mixing angle θ is determined by the diagonalization of the mass matrix.

We now consider the case where there is a dominant decay mode to a final state of a separated quarkonium color singlet state and a color singlet light quark state; e.g. $J/\psi\pi$. We denote this state by $[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1$.

Since each of the two states (1) can decay to the $|[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1\rangle$ final state, we define their decay transition matrix elements respectively as

$$\langle [\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\,|\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle \equiv \alpha; \quad \langle [\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\,|\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle \equiv \beta \tag{2}$$

We then find that these two states can be mixed by a loop diagram

$$|[Tet]_i\rangle \to [\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1 \to |[Tet]_j\rangle \tag{3}$$

as schematically shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. The loop diagram responsible for mixing between the two tetraquark states $|Tet\rangle_i$ and $|Tet\rangle_j$ through a virtual decay to the $[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1$ final state.

where $[Tet]_i$ and $[Tet]_j$ denote any two states. The contribution of this loop diagram to the mass matrix is

$$M_{ij} \propto \cdot \langle [Tet]_i | \, T \, | [\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1 \rangle \, \langle [\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1 | \, T \, | [Tet]_j \rangle \tag{4}$$

The matrix M_{ij} is seen to have a determinant of zero. Thus one of the two eigenstates has the eigenvalue zero and is completely decoupled from the $[\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1$ final state. In the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}$ – $\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}$ basis this contribution to mass matrix takes the form

$$M_{ij} \propto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \alpha\beta \\ \\ \alpha\beta & \beta^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

We first consider the approximation where $|\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle$ are degenerate. The mass matrix is dominated by the loop diagram contribution (4) and other contributions are neglected. The mass eigenstates (1) are:

$$|[Tet]_S\rangle = \frac{\alpha \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle + \beta \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}} \tag{6}$$

$$|[Tet]_L\rangle = \frac{\beta \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle - \alpha \cdot |\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}} \tag{7}$$

The eigenvalues are $\lambda_S \propto (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$ and $\lambda_L = 0$. Then

$$\langle [\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1 | \, T \, | [Tet]_L \rangle \propto \; \beta \cdot \langle [\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1 | \, T \, | \bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3} \rangle - \alpha \cdot \langle [\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1 | \, T \, | \bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6} \rangle = \beta\alpha - \alpha\beta = 0 \tag{8}$$

Thus in this approximation the state $[Tet]_L$ is forbidden to decay into the $[\bar{Q}Q]_1 \, [X]_1$ final state, while the $[Tet]_S$ should have a normal hadronic width of hundreds of MeV and probably escape observation against the continuum background. The decoupling of $[Tet]_L$ results from a destructive interference between the decay amplitudes of the $|\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\rangle$ configurations.

The lowest-lying states above the lowest quarkonium pseudoscalar and vector states, which we denote by η_Q and Ψ_Q are states with one or two additional pions. The $\eta_Q\pi^+$ and $\Psi_Q\pi^+$ states both have isospin 1 and respectively even and odd G-parity. Thus any exotic charged state which has isospin 1 and definite G-parity can only decay to one of these two states. This decay will generally have the largest phase space, be the dominant decay mode for both states and one decay can be suppressed by mixing. The same is true for exotic neutral states with isospin zero, since the isoscalar $\eta_Q 2\pi$ and $\Psi_Q 2\pi$ states respectively have odd and even G-parity.

II. ESTIMATE OF THE EFFECTS WHEN THE TWO STATES ARE NOT DEGENERATE

A more precise calculation, not feasible at present, will consider other contributions to the mass matrix in addition to the loop diagram. However, we can show by a rough calculation how the width to the dominant decay mode is reduced by a considerable factor if the ratio of the mass splitting δm between the two nearly degenerate states is small in comparison with the width Γ of the broad state.

The mass splitting can be treated as a small perturbation which changes the state $|[Tet]_L\rangle$ by a small amount

$$\left|[Tet]_L^{pert}\right\rangle = |[Tet]_L\rangle + \epsilon\,|[Tet]_S\rangle \tag{9}$$

where ϵ is a small parameter to be determined by the detailed dynamics. The transition matrix element to the dominant decay mode is then

$$\langle[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\left|[Tet]_L^{pert}\right\rangle = \epsilon\,\langle[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\,|[Tet]_S\rangle \tag{10}$$

The width of the perturbed state $\delta\Gamma$ is proportional to the square of the transition matrix element. Thus the ratio of this width to the width of the broad state Γ is

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\Gamma} = \frac{[\langle[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\left|[Tet]_L^{pert}\right\rangle]^2}{[\langle[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\,|[Tet]_S\rangle]^2} = \epsilon^2 \tag{11}$$

In first order perturbation theory, the perturbation of the wave function is given by the ratio of the perturbation to the mass difference between the states that are mixed by the perturbation. Here the perturbation is the mass difference δm between the two nearly degenerate states, and the mass difference between the unperturbed states is the contribution Γ from the loop diagram. Thus

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\Gamma} = \frac{[\langle[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\left|[Tet]_L^{pert}\right\rangle]^2}{[\langle[\bar{Q}Q]_1\,[X]_1|\,T\,|[Tet]_S\rangle]^2} = \epsilon^2 = O\left(\left[\frac{\delta m}{\Gamma}\right]^2\right) \tag{12}$$

III. THE $Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ TETRAQUARK

As an example we consider the two color couplings of the diquark-antidiquark configuration $Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ where Q denotes a heavy quark with a mass $m_Q = \xi m_u$. These are denoted respectively as $\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\,Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\,Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ for the triplet-antitriplet and sextet-antisextet couplings. In a harmonic oscillator model where spin is neglected the ratio $\mathcal{R}$ of their masses has been shown to be [1]

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{M(\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\,Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d})}{M(\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\,Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d})} = \frac{\sqrt{6}\cdot 2(\xi+1)+4\sqrt{\xi}}{\sqrt{3}\cdot 2(\xi+1)+2\sqrt{10\,\xi}} \tag{13}$$

If we substitute the values of the constituent quark masses obtained by fitting the ground state meson and baryon spectra [2], $m_u = 360$ MeV, $m_c = 1710$ MeV, $m_b = 5050$ MeV, we obtain $\mathcal{R} \approx 1.09$ and $\mathcal{R} \approx 1.17$ for c and b quarks, respectively. These are sufficiently close to be serious candidates for mixing. In general $\mathcal{R}$ depends only weakly on ξ and stays fairly close to 1 for a rather wide range of value of ξ, as shown in Fig. 2. In turn, by eq. (12) this implies that the destructive interference between the decay amplitudes of the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\,Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\,Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d}$ states will keep the width of the tetraquark narrow.




Fig. 2. The ratio $\mathcal{R} \equiv M(\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}\, Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d})/M(\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}\, Qu\bar{Q}\bar{d})$ *as function of* $\xi \equiv m_Q/m_u$.

IV. CONCLUSION

For states below the threshold for producing charmed or bottom pairs but above the threshold for producing heavy quarkonium and a pion the mixing mechanism can produce one state where the amplitude for producing heavy quarkonium and a pion is suppressed. This is relevant to states like the charmonium and bottomonium states. This also answers the point that the tetraquark analysis which does not consider mixing [1] has two states which can both decay into heavy quarkonium and a pion and therefore should both be broad [9].

There is also a mechanism here for suppressing the production of charmed or bottom pairs. Since these can be produced from either the $\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}$ or $\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}$ states, there is a possibility that these amplitudes for producing charmed or bottom pairs can be suppressed by an accidental cancellation. The relative amplitudes for production from $\bar{\mathbf{3}}\mathbf{3}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{6}$ states are model dependent and depend upon the parameters α and β which depend upon the tetraquark wave functions. At masses far enough above the threshold like 700 MeV there will be very many tetraquark configurations, each with different values of α and β. Thus the probability that one will have values close to the value that cancels by accidental cancellation is not negligible. Only one state in a very rich spectrum of excited states is needed to do this.

The recently reported $\psi'\pi^+$ resonance seen by Belle [10] is an example of an exotic state which is not observed in what is expected to be its dominant decay mode; namely the $J/\psi\pi^+$ decay mode. It is tempting to invoke the mixing mechanism for the suppression of this dominant decay mode. However, other energetically allowed decay modes like $D^*\bar{D}$ should also be seen unless there are additional accidental cancellations. To investigate whether this resonance can be described by the mixing mechanism more experimental information is needed beyond its width and the fact that it has a $\psi'\pi^+$ decay mode; e.g. spin, parity, other decay modes and branching ratios.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of M.K. was supported in part by a grant from the Israel Science Foundation administered by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

REFERENCES

[1] Marek Karliner and Harry J. Lipkin. Phys.Lett. B638 (2006) 221 hep-ph/0601193
[2] M. Karliner and H.J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B **575** (2003) 249.
[3] Marek Karliner and Harry J. Lipkin, Physics Letters B 586 (2004) 393 hep-ph/0401072
[4] A. Katz and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. **7** (1963) 44
[5] Harry J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B **72** (1977) 249.
[6] D. Faiman, Phys. Rev. D **15**, (1977) 854
[7] Nathan Isgur and Gabriel Karl Phys. Lett. **74** (1978) 35
[8] N. Auerbach and V. Zelevinsky, nucl-th/0310029
[9] J. Vijande, A. Valcarce, J.-M. Richard hep-ph/0707.3996
[10] K. Abe *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:0708.1790 [hep-ex].