Energy Radiation by Cosmic Superstrings in Brane Inflation

Hassan Firouzjahi*

Physics Department, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montreal, Canada, H3A 278

The dominant method of energy loss by a loop of cosmic D-strings in models of warped brane inflation is studied. It is shown that the energy loss via Ramond-Ramond field radiation can dominate by many orders of magnitude over the energy radiation via gravitational wave emission. The ratio of these two energy loss mechanisms depends on the energy scale of inflation, the mass scale of string theory and whether it is a single-throat or a multi-throat inflationary scenario. This can have important consequences for the detection of cosmic superstrings in the near future. It is argued that the bounds from cosmic microwave background anisotropies and big bang nucleosynthesis are the dominant cosmological sources to constrain the physical parameters of the network of cosmic superstrings, whereas the role of the gravitational wave-based experiments may be secondary.

Keywords : Cosmic Strings, Brane Inflation

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In models of brane inflation [1] cosmic superstrings are copiously produced [2] as either fundamental strings (Fstrings), D1-branes (D-strings), or the bound state of p F-strings and q D-strings((p,q) strings). In models of warped brane inflation, such as in [3], brane inflation takes place in a warped region of the Calabi-Yau (CY) compactification, the throat. There are several advantages in having a warped brane inflation. First, the finetuning associated with the flatness of the inflationary potential may be less severed compared to the original models of brane inflation. Second, in warped models one can have a number of anti-branes localized at the bottom of the inflationary throat while one or more mobile branes, moving in the bulk or some other throats, get attracted towards the inflationary throat to initiate inflation. This may make the initial conditions for brane inflation more natural. The third advantage is that the effective tension of cosmic superstrings located at the bottom of the inflationary throat can be significantly smaller than the naive expectation, m_s^2 , where m_s is the mass scale of string theory. This way the bounds on $G\mu$, the cosmic string tension measured in units of Newton constant G, from cosmic microwave background(CMB) and other observations [4] can be easily satisfied [5]. For works on different aspects of cosmic superstrings see [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

In the evolution of a network of cosmic strings the power radiation by loops is an important effect in driving the network towards the scaling regime. In the case of conventional gauge strings large loops lose their energy via gravitational wave emission [11]. On the other hand, in the case of axionic string [12] the dominant source of energy loss by loops is via scalar Boson radiation[13].

As observed in [10], there are interesting similarities and differences between an axionic string and a D-string. An axionic string couples to an anti-symmetric two-form potential which in four dimensions is the Hodge dual of a scalar, the axion. Similarly, the D-string couples to the Ramond-Ramond (RR) anti-symmetric two-form potential $C_{(2)}$. Axionic strings emit long-range scalar Bosons, whereas the D-strings radiate long range massless RRfields. The differences are also intriguing. Unlike axionic strings, D-strings in flat space-time are gravitationally suppressed, as we shall see later.

Since the D-strings emit massless RR-particles, one may wonder what is the dominant source of energy loss by a cosmic D-string loop. From the fact that both the zero modes of the graviton and RR-field belong to the massless sector of the underlying ten-dimensional closed string theory, one may expect that the power radiation via RR-particles emission is comparable to the gravitational wave emission. We shall see that in a flat background compactification this is more or less true. On the other hand, in the presence of a warped geometry the situation becomes non-trivial. The key points are: a)- The warp factor couples to the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) part of the D-string action, while it does not show up in the Chern-Simons part of the action. The effective tension is lowered by two powers of the warp factor, whereas the charge remains intact as in flat space-time. b)- In the presence of a warped geometry, and upon dimensionally reducing the theory to four dimensions, the normalization of the RR-field zero mode is significantly different from the normalization of the graviton zero mode. This was noticed in [14, 15] and plays a crucial role in our analysis here.

In this paper we compare the energy loss via RR-field

^{*}Electronic address: firouz@physics.mcgill.ca

radiation to that of gravity wave emission by a loop of cosmic D-string. The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we present the effective four-dimensional action for a D-string in a warped compactification. In section 3 we study the effect of different warp factors on the RRfield zero mode normalization. In section 4 the power radiations by the loop in a single-throat and two-throat inflationary scenarios are calculated. The constraints from Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) are briefly studied in section 5 followed by the conclusions.

II. THE ACTION

Here, we obtain the four-dimensional action for a straight infinite cosmic D-string extended along the z-direction, where the D-string sources the RR antisymmetric two forms potential $C_{(2) MN}$. Here and in the following, capital indices M, N, \ldots are the ten dimensional indices, while the Greek indices stands for the fourdimensional Minkowski coordinates.

We start with the ten-dimensional IIB string theory action, containing gravity and the action for $C_{(2)}$ form field

$$S_{IIB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \left[R - \frac{g_s}{12} F_{(3)}^2 \right] + S_{local} \quad (1)$$

where g_s is the string coupling and G_{MN} and R are the ten-dimensional metric and Ricci scalar, respectively. The ten-dimensional gravitational constant is $\kappa_{10}^2 = (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^8/4\pi = m_s^{-8}$, where m_s is defined as the string theory mass scale. The anti-symmetric RR threeform strength, $F_{(3)}$, is constructed from $C_{(2)}$

$$F_{(3)MNP} = \partial_M C_{(2)NP} + \partial_N C_{(2)PM} + \partial_P C_{(2)MN}.$$
(2)

There are other terms in the action containing axiondilaton and various form fields and potentials, but we assume that the axion-dilaton is fixed as in [16] and forms other than $C_{(2)}$ are set to zero.

The local parts of the action from a D-string contains DBI and Chern-Simons terms

$$S_{local} = -\mu_1 g_s^{-1} \int dt \, dz \sqrt{-|\gamma_{ab}|} + \mu_1 \int dt \, dz \, C_{(2)t \, z}(3)$$

where $\mu_1 = 1/(2\pi\alpha')$ is the string charge and γ_{ab} is the metric induced on the string world-volume

$$\gamma_{ab} = G_{MN} \,\partial_a X^M \,\partial_b X^N \quad , \quad \{a, b\} = \{t, z\} \,. \tag{4}$$

As explained before we are interested in warped brane inflation where the background metric is in the form of warped geometry

$$ds^{2} = h_{w}^{2}(y) \, dx^{\mu} dx_{\mu} + g_{mn}(y) dy^{m} dy^{n}$$
(5)

where y^m, y^n, \dots represent the internal six-dimensional CY coordinates and the warp factor h_w is only a function of the internal coordinates, labeled collectively by y.

We will consider only the zero modes of the graviton and RR two-form potential and neglect their Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes. This simplifies our analysis considerably, and the four-dimensional action is obtained by a direct dimensional reduction of the ten-dimensional action (1). The higher KK modes are massive and would not contribute to the long range force and their contribution in power radiation may be neglected in first approximation. The zero mode of the graviton results in the conventional four-dimensional gravity. The zero mode of $C_{(2)}$, on the other hand, is the field that the cosmic D-string is charged under, as observed by a four-dimensional observer.

To calculate the contribution from the graviton zero mode suppose one perturbs the background metric (5) such that $\eta_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x^{\alpha})$, where $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric used by the effective four-dimensional observer. Decomposing the ten-dimensional Ricci scalar R into its four-dimensional counterpart, \bar{R} , via $R = h_w^{-2} \bar{R} + ...$, the action for the gravitational zero mode, $S_g^{(0)}$, becomes

$$S_{g}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^{2}} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \,\bar{R} \,\int d^{6}y \sqrt{g_{(6)}} \,h_{w}^{2}(y) = \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2} \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \,\bar{R} \,,$$
(6)

where $g_{(6)}$ represents the determinant of the internal sixdimensional metric and

$$M_P{}^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa_{10}{}^2} \int d^6 y \sqrt{g_{(6)}} h_w^2(y) \tag{7}$$

is the four-dimensional Planck mass, related to the Newton constant by $8 \pi G = M_P^{-2}$.

To calculate the contribution from the zero mode of $C_{(2)}$, we note that $F_{(3)MNP}(x^{\mu})$ as observed by the four-dimensional observer has components only along the four-dimensional Minkowski coordinates and

$$F_{(3)}^{2} = G^{\alpha \alpha'} G^{\beta \beta'} G^{\gamma \gamma'} F_{(3) \alpha \beta \gamma} F_{(3) \alpha' \beta' \gamma'}$$

= $h_{w}^{-6} \bar{F}_{(3)}^{2}$. (8)

where

$$\bar{F}^2_{(3)} = \bar{g}^{\alpha\alpha'} \bar{g}^{\beta\beta'} \bar{g}^{\gamma\gamma'} F_{(3)\,\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{(3)\,\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \,. \tag{9}$$

Using this in (1), the action for the zero mode of $C_{(2)}$, $S_{C_{(2)}}^{(0)}$, is

$$S_{C_{(2)}}^{(0)} = \frac{g_s}{24\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}}\bar{F}_{(3)}^2 \int d^6y \sqrt{g_{(6)}} h_w^{-2}(y)(10)$$

Comparing (6) and (10) it is evident that in the flat background with no warping where $h_w = 1$ and neglecting the string coupling, the zero modes of graviton and C_2 are both Planck-suppressed [10]. This is not surprising since both the graviton and $C_{(2)}$ belongs to the massless sector of the original ten dimensional string theory. However, in the presence of warping the zero mode of $C_{(2)}$ and the graviton appears with different normalizations [14, 15]. In order to account for this difference in couplings, we introduce the parameter β , defined by

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\int d^6 y \sqrt{g_{(6)}} h_w^{-2}(y)}{\int d^6 y \sqrt{g_{(6)}} h_w^2(y)} \,. \tag{11}$$

Because of the negative powers of the warp factor in the numerator of (11), β is a sensitive function of the warp factor. Physically, this means that the most warped regions of the compactification contribute the most for the normalization of $C_{(2)}$ zero mode. This is unlike the case of graviton zero mode where its normalization over the compactification is not sensitive to the warping. We shall see these differences explicitly in the following section.

The local part of action coming from the D-string , considering the effect of warping, is

$$S_{local} = -\mu_{eff} \int dt \, dz \sqrt{-|\bar{\gamma}_{ab}|} + \mu_1 \int dt dz C_{(2)tz} \, (12)$$

where $\bar{\gamma}_{ab} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X^{\nu}$ is the induced metric on the string world-volume from four-dimensional metric,

$$\mu_{eff} = h_I^2 \,\mu_1 \, g_s^{-1} \tag{13}$$

is the effective tension of the string and h_I is the warp factor at the bottom of the inflationary throat. The key point is that the warp factor couples to the DBI term, while it does not show up in Chern-Simons part of the action. This is simply because the latter is a topological term, defined independent of metric. Also it is important to note that the D-strings are produced at the end of the throat where the relevant warp factor is h_I .

Combining (6), (10) and (12) the total action for the zero modes of graviton and $C_{(2)}$ is

$$S = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \left(\bar{R} - \frac{\beta g_s}{12} \bar{F}_{(3)}^2 \right) - \mu_{eff} \int dt \, dz \sqrt{-|\bar{\gamma}_{ab}|} + \mu_1 \int dt \, dz C_{(2)tz} . (14)$$

The geometry around an infinite cosmic string extended along the z-direction is [17] $ds^2 = (\eta_{\mu\nu} - h_{\mu\nu}) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$, where the non-zero components are

$$h_{xx} = h_{yy} = 8 G \,\mu_{eff} \,\ln(\frac{r}{r_0}) \,. \tag{15}$$

Here r is the polar radial coordinate and r_0 is a constant of integration. This is a flat geometry with the deficit angle $\Delta = 8\pi G \mu_{eff}$. Similarly, we would like to find the solution for $C_{(2)}$ sourced by an infinite cosmic D-string. We work at the linearized level when $G \mu_1, G \mu_{eff} << 1$. The equation of motion for $C_{(2)}$ field is

$$\partial_{\alpha} F^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{-2\,\mu_1}{\beta \,g_s \,M_P^2} (\dot{X}^{\beta} X^{\prime\gamma} - \dot{X}^{\gamma} X^{\prime\beta}) \,\delta(x,y) \,, \quad (16)$$

where an overdot and a prime denote derivative with respect to t and z respectively and X^{α} represents the position of the D-string. Choosing the Lorentz gauge $\partial_{\alpha} C_{(2)}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$, one obtains

$$\nabla^2 C_{(2)tz} = \frac{\mu_1}{\pi \beta g_s M_P^2} \frac{\delta(r)}{r} , \qquad (17)$$

where ∇^2 is the three-dimensional Laplacian. Eq. (17) closely resembles the equation for gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ and one finds

$$C_{(2)tz} = \frac{8 G \mu_1}{\beta g_s} \ln(\frac{r}{r_0}).$$
(18)

Combining this with (13) and (15) one finds the interesting result that

$$C_{(2)\,tz} = \frac{h_{xx}}{\beta \, h_I^2} \,. \tag{19}$$

In a flat background where $h_I = \beta = 1$, one finds that $C_{(2) tz} = h_{xx}$. As explained before this is not surprising because in four dimensions both the graviton and $C_{(2)}$ zero modes are gravitationally suppressed and belong to the massless sector of the underlying ten-dimensional closed string theory.

Like in axionic string case, $C_{(2)}$ contributes to the string tension which diverges logarithmically. This divergence is subject to cut-off both at the scale of string core and at the largest scale corresponding to the current size of the Universe. Denoting the $C_{(2)}$ contribution to the string tension by μ_{RR} , one finds

$$\mu_{RR} = \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \, g_s M_P^2 \, \int d\, r \, \bar{F}_{(3)}^2 = \frac{\ln(d_0/\delta) \, \mu_1^2}{2\pi \, \beta \, g_s M_P^2} \,. \tag{20}$$

Here d_0 and δ correspond to the cut-off imposed from the current size of the Universe and the string core respectively and one may take $\ln(d_0/\delta) \sim 100$.

Comparing μ_{RR} to the string effective tension is also instructive, giving

$$\frac{\mu_{RR}}{\mu_{eff}} \simeq \frac{\ln(d_0/\delta)}{\beta h_I^2} \left(\frac{m_s}{M_P}\right)^2 \,. \tag{21}$$

In a flat background it is clear that the $C_{(2)}$ field correction to the tension is negligible because of the large gravitational suppression.

III. COMPACTIFICATION

In models of brane inflation, the inflation occurs inside the inflationary throat. The throat is a warped region of compactification which is smoothly glued to the rest of the CY manifold, the bulk, which is not warped. A particular well-studied model of the throat is the Klebanov-Strassler (KS) solution [18]. It consists of a warped deformed conifold where the infra-red (IR) region of the geometry is smoothly cut off. For many practical purposes, one may approximate the KS solution by an AdS solution such that

$$ds^{2} = \frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}} dx^{\mu} dx_{\mu} + \frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}} dr^{2} + L^{2} ds_{5}^{2}, \qquad (22)$$

where ds_5^2 represents the five-dimensional base with the volume $v_5 = 16 \pi^3/27$ and L measures the curvature radius of the AdS space given by

$$L^4 = \frac{27}{4} \pi g_s \, N \, \alpha'^2 \,. \tag{23}$$

Here N is the number of fluxes used to create this background geometry. To trust the low energy supergravity analysis N is at the order of 100 - 1000. Also to keep the perturbative analysis under control, one can take $g_s \sim 10^{-1}$. Combined, a theoretically well-motivated construction requires $10 \leq g_s N \leq 100$. The geometry is cut off at $r = r_0$ and the warp factor at the bottom of the throat is given by $h_I = r_0/L$. The magnitude of h_I depends on the scale of inflation compared to the scale of string theory, m_s . One may take h_I to be around $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$.

Besides the inflationary throat, the compactification may contain some other throats too. Specifically, there may exist a throat where the Standard Model of particles physics (SM) is confined on a brane(or anti-brane) located at the bottom of the throat. The corresponding warp factor at the bottom of the SM-throat, h_{SM} , can be very small. For example, for m_s at the order of GUT scale, $h_{SM} \sim 10^{-12}$. There are some advantages in considering multi-throat scenarios. First, the hierarchy problem can be solved via Randall-Sundrum (RS) [19] mechanism independent of the scale of inflation. The second advantage is related to the stability of cosmic superstrings. It is argued [6] that in the presence of a D3brane(or anti-brane), cosmic superstrings may dissolve to the brane world-volume and annihilate. In order to prevent this from happening, one natural choice is to put the SM-brane (or anti-brane) in a throat separated from the inflationary-throat.

The normalization of the graviton and $C_{(2)}$ zero modes are controlled by M_P and β , where the magnitude of these quantities depends on the compactification. In order to take the effect of compactification into account, we do as follows. Denote the radius of the bulk by R_{CY} such that $V_{bulk} \sim R_{CY}^6$. Also denote the curvature radius and the size of each throat by L_i and R_c^i respectively, such that at $r = R_c^i$ the throat is glued to the bulk. Consistency of the setup requires that $L_i \sim R_c^i < R_{CY}$. From Eq. (7) one finds that

$$M_P^2 \simeq m_s^8 R_{CY}^6 \left[1 + \frac{8\pi^3}{27} \sum_i \left(\frac{L_i}{R_{CY}} \right)^6 \right] \simeq m_s^8 R_{CY}^6, (24)$$

where the sum represents the contributions from the inflationary and the SM throats. The last relation in (24) holds for large enough compactification, $L_i < R_{CY}$, which is physically well-motivated. More specifically, using Eq. (23), in this limit one finds

$$\left(\frac{L_i}{R_{CY}}\right)^6 \simeq \left(\frac{27 g_s N_i}{32 \pi^{5/2}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_s}{M_P}\right)^2$$
$$\simeq 10^{-2} (g_s N_i)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_s}{M_P}\right)^2. \quad (25)$$

Taking $g_s N \lesssim 100$, the condition $L_i < R_{CY}$ is equivalent to $m_s \ll M_P$, which we assume is the case.

This is a hybrid of RS and the large extra dimensions [20] scenarios. The physical mass scale at each throat is red-shifted compared to m_s by the warp factor, but the largeness of M_P is explained by the largeness of the compactification. One can also consider the limit where a considerable volume of the compactification is warped, e.g. $L_I \sim R_{CY}$, but this is not physically well-motivated and we do not consider this possibility in the following.

Similarly, from Eq. (11) one finds that

$$\beta \simeq 1 + \left(\frac{3^{3/2}}{2^{9/2}\pi^{3/4}}\right) \left(\frac{m_s}{M_P}\right)^2 \sum_i h_i^{-2} (g_s N_i)^{3/2} , \ (26)$$

where as before the sum represents the contributions from the inflationary and the SM throat with the corresponding warp factors h_i .

Comparing (24) and (26) it is clear that β , unlike M_P , is a sensitive function of the warp factor. A value of $\beta \sim 1$ indicates that the $C_{(2)}$ zero mode normalization has the same magnitude as that of the graviton zero mode. On the other hand, a value of β significantly greater than unity indicates that the coupling of $C_{(2)}$ zero mode to SM fields is strongly suppressed compared to that of graviton zero mode.

IV. POWER RADIATION

As observed in [10], the cosmic D-string with the action (14) closely resembles the axionic string, where $C_{(2)}$ plays the role of the two form potential for the axionic string. Like axionic strings which emit long range scalar bosons, the D-strings radiate massless RR particles with long range interactions. However, unlike the axionic string which is not gravitationally suppressed, the D-strings are gravitationally suppressed as is evident from Eq (14). Therefore the dominant method of energy loss for an oscillation loop of axionic string is via boson radiation. Naturally, one may wonder what is the dominant channel of energy loss for loops of cosmic D-strings. Here we would like to answer this question with special attention to the effects of the warp factor.

The analysis of energy loss via boson radiation was studied in [12, 13]. With the replacement $C_{(2)MN} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\beta g_s M_P)^{1/2} C_{(2)MN}$ the action (14) has the same form as that of the axionic string studied in [13] and we can

formally borrow their results. The RR power emission, P_{RR} , therefore is

$$P_{RR} = \frac{\Gamma_{RR} \,\mu_1^2}{4\pi^2 g_s \beta M_P^2} = \left(\frac{\Gamma_{RR}}{2\pi \ln(d_0/\delta)}\right) \,\mu_{RR} \,. \tag{27}$$

Here Γ_{RR} is a numerical factor of order ~ 50.

On the other hand, the gravitational power emission, P_g , from a loop of string with tension μ_{eff} is calculated to be $\Gamma_g G \mu_{eff}^2$ [11], where Γ_g is another loop-dependent numerical factor of order ~ 50. Using this for our warped D-string example, one obtains

$$P_g = \Gamma_g G \left(h_I^2 \,\mu_1 \, g_s^{-1} \right)^2. \tag{28}$$

Comparing (27) and (28), we obtain

$$\frac{P_{RR}}{P_g} = \left(\frac{2\,\Gamma_{RR}}{\pi\,\Gamma_g}\right)\frac{g_s}{\beta\,h_I^4}\,.\tag{29}$$

In the limit where g_s is very small and the warping effect is negligible, $\beta \sim h_I \sim 1$, the dominant source of power radiation is the gravitational one, as in the case of ordinary gauge strings. So far in the literature this channel was considered the standard method of energy loss for cosmic superstrings. However, in models of warped brane inflation where $h_I \ll 1$ and β is a sensitive function of compactification, the situation may be different as we shall see below. A very low inflationary energy scale, corresponding to a very small h_I , tends to maximize the power radiation via RR channel. On the other hand, having a very small h_i would increase β like h_i^{-2} . The competition between these two effects requires more careful consideration. In order to keep the discussions clear, we consider different cases separately.

A. Single-Throat Scenario

This is the case when the stability of cosmic superstring is not an issue and we have a single throat compactification. Furthermore, if the energy scale of inflation is much higher than TeV, one should invoke mechanisms other than RS to explain the hierarchy problem.

Denote the energy scale of inflation by $\rho_I^{1/4}$ such that $\rho_I^{1/4} = h_I m_s$. Using this in Eq. (26) one obtains

$$\beta \simeq 1 + \left(\frac{3^{3/2}}{2^{9/2}\pi^{3/4}}\right) (g_s N_I)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_s^2}{M_P \rho_I^{1/4}}\right)^2$$
$$\simeq 1 + \frac{1}{10} (g_s N_I)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_s^2}{M_P \rho_I^{1/4}}\right)^2. \tag{30}$$

As mentioned earlier, a theoretically well-motivated construction implies that $g_s N_I \lesssim 100$. So in the following the pre-factor for the second term in the right hand side of Eq. (30) is $\lesssim 100$.

For a given value of m_s , and depending on the scale of inflation, $\rho_I^{1/4}$, two limits are distinguished here.

1. High-Scale Inflation

This limit is defined when the second term in the right hand side of Eq. (30) is considerably smaller than unity. For this to happen the energy scale of inflation is high enough such that (in the sense of order of magnitude)

$$\rho_I^{1/4} \gg \frac{m_s^2}{M_P}.$$
(31)

In this limit $\beta \simeq 1$ and from Eq. (29) one obtains

$$\frac{P_{RR}}{P_g} \sim g_s h_I^{-4} = g_s \left(\frac{m_s}{\rho_I^{1/4}}\right)^4.$$
(32)

This can be significantly greater than unity. For example taking $h_I \sim 10^{-2}$ and $g_s \sim 10^{-1}$, one obtains $P_{RR}/P_g \sim 10^7$ and RR-particle radiation is the dominant channel of energy loss for the loops of cosmic D-strings.

Furthermore, using Eq. (21), for the ratio of μ_{RR} to μ_{eff} one obtains (assuming $\ln(d_0/\delta) \sim 100$)

$$\frac{\mu_{RR}}{\mu_{eff}} \sim 10^2 \left(\frac{m_s^2}{M_P \rho_I^{1/4}}\right)^2 \,, \tag{33}$$

which is significantly less that unity as defined by our limit here.

This limit can be also continued to the case when the scale of inflation is such that the second term in the right hand side of Eq. (30) is comparable to unity. In this case $\beta \sim 1$, $\rho_I^{1/4} > \frac{m_s^2}{M_P}$ and Eq. (32) still applies. The dominant source of energy loss is still via RR-field radiation, however now $\mu_{RR} \sim \mu_{eff}$.

2. Low-Scale Inflation

This limit is the opposite of the previous limit where the scale of inflation is low enough such that(in the sense of order of magnitude)

$$\rho_I^{1/4} \ll \frac{m_s^2}{M_P} \,, \tag{34}$$

and the second term in Eq. (26) is considerably bigger than the first term. In this limit one finds

$$\frac{P_{RR}}{P_g} \sim 10 \, g_s \, (g_s N_I)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{M_P}{\rho_I^{1/4}}\right)^2 \,. \tag{35}$$

This is also significantly bigger than one. For example, taking $g_s \sim 10^{-1}$, $g_s N_I \sim 10^2$ and $\rho_I^{1/4} \sim 10^9 GeV$, one finds that $P_{RR}/P_g \sim 10^{15}$.

Furthermore

$$\frac{\mu_{RR}}{\mu_{eff}} \sim 10 \,\ln(d_0/\delta) \,(g_s N_I)^{-3/2} \,. \tag{36}$$

For a reasonable value of $g_s N_I$ this implies $\mu_{RR} \gtrsim \mu_{eff}$.

B. Two-Throat Scenario

As explained previously, the advantage of the twothroat scenario is that the cosmic superstrings produced in the inflationary throat are stable(in the absence of extra branes or anti branes); furthermore the energy scales of inflation and SM physics are separated.

For comparable value of $g_s N_I$ and $g_s N_{SM}$ and assuming $h_{SM} \ll h_I$, the SM throat contribution dominates in Eq. (26). Using the relation $TeV = h_{SM}m_s$, one obtains

$$\beta \simeq 1 + 10 \left(g_s N_{SM} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_s}{10^{11} GeV} \right)^4 \tag{37}$$

As in the case of single-throat compactification, two limits are distinguished here:

1. Low-Scale String Theory

This is the limit where the scale of string theory is small enough such that $m_s \lesssim 10^{10} GeV$ which leads to

$$\frac{P_{RR}}{P_q} \sim g_s h_I^{-4} \,. \tag{38}$$

This is formally similar to the case of high-scale inflation in single-throat compactification. With the same values for g_s and h_I the energy loss via RR-field radiation is 10^7 times bigger than the energy loss via gravity wave emission. Furthermore, in this limit

$$\frac{\mu_{RR}}{\mu_{eff}} \sim \ln(\frac{d_0}{\delta}) (\frac{m_s^2}{M_P \rho_I^{1/4}})^2 \ll 10^2 \frac{(10^{10} GeV)^4}{(10^{21} GeV)^2} \ll 1,(39)$$

where in the last inequality it is assumed that $\rho_I^{1/4}$ is not bigger than 1 TeV.

2. High-Scale String Theory

This limit is defined where the scale of string theory is high enough, $m_s \gtrsim 10^{11} GeV$, and the second term in (37) dominates. This yields

$$\frac{P_{RR}}{P_g} \sim \frac{g_s}{10} (g_s N_{SM})^{-3/2} \left(\frac{10^{11} GeV}{\rho_I^{1/4}}\right)^4 \tag{40}$$

For a low enough inflationary scale, $\rho_I^{1/4} \lesssim 10^9 GeV$, one finds that $P_{RR} >> P_g$. Otherwise, $P_{RR} < P_g$ and the dominant mode of energy lose will be via gravitational radiation as in conventional models of cosmic strings.

Finally, independent of the scale of inflation, one can easily check that $\mu_{RR} \ll \mu_{eff}$ as in Eq. (39).

BBN CONSTRAINTS

V.

In previous section it was shown that the energy loss via RR-particles radiation can dominate over energy loss via gravitational radiation by many orders of magnitude. This can have significant consequences in searches for cosmic superstrings in near-future observations. In particular, if the dominant source of energy loss is via RR-field radiation then constraints from BBN and CMB anisotropies are the dominant cosmological sources to impose bounds the parameters of the network of cosmic superstrings. On the other hand, experiments like LIGO or LISA designed for gravity wave detection and observations from pulsar timing are secondary in search for cosmic superstrings.

An extensive energy loss by cosmic superstrings in the form of massless RR-particles alters the expansion rate of the Universe during BBN. This in turn changes the successful prediction for the abundance of light elements from standard BBN. This constraint usually is expressed in term of bounds on adding an extra neutrino component, δN_{ν} , in the energy budget of the Universe. Recent bounds from WMAP and the abundance of the light elements impose the constraint $\delta N_{\nu} < 0.85$ [21](depending on how one fits the data, the bound can be even tighter). This leads to the conclusion that during BBN the fraction of energy density from RR-particles radiation is approximately less than 10% of the total energy density from background radiation.

Here we would like to impose the BBN constraint on power radiation by cosmic superstrings. As in the case of axionic strings, the evolution of the network of cosmic superstrings is not well-understood. To get a rough estimates on BBN constraints on power radiation we assume that the network of cosmic superstrings reaches a scaling regime like a network of ordinary gauge strings. This is a non-trivial assumption and a comprehensive treatment of the problem must take into account the differences between the evolution of a network of cosmic superstrings as compared to the evolution of a network of gauge strings. In this regard our estimates here would be valid, at best, at the level of an order of magnitude.

Our assumptions here are that the dominant method of energy loss is via RR-particle emission. Furthermore, $\mu_{RR} < \mu_{eff}$, which means that most of the energy of the loop comes from its warped tension. As we saw in the last section, both of these two assumptions are easily satisfied, except in the following cases: single-throat, lowscale inflation, where Eq. (36) leads to $\mu_{RR} \gtrsim \mu_{eff}$, and two-throat, high-scale inflation with $\rho_I^{1/4} \gtrsim 10^{10} GeV$.

Loops decaying at time t have length $\ell \sim P_{RR} t \mu_{eff}$. Define $n_l(\ell, t) d\ell$ as the number density of loops with length ℓ to $\ell + d\ell$ at the time t. In the simplest approximation, one may take $n_\ell \sim \zeta \alpha^{1/2} (\ell t)^{-3/2}$, where $\zeta \sim 10$ is a numerical constant and α measures the size of loops compared to the Hubble radius at the time of loop formation. The RR-radiation has discrete frequencies $\omega_n = 4\pi n/\ell$ and, as in [13], suppose that most of the energy is transmitted by the first few harmonics, $n \sim 1$, with the energy density $\rho_{RR} \sim \mu_{eff} \ell n_{\ell}$. Then, using (13) and (27), the fraction of RR-particles energy density, ρ_{RR} , to the background energy density, $\rho_{\gamma} \sim \rho_c \sim 1/30Gt^2$, is

$$\frac{\rho_{RR}}{\rho_{\gamma}} \sim 30 \, \zeta \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \alpha \ln(d_0/\delta)}{\Gamma_{RR}}} \left(G\mu_{eff} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{eff}}{\mu_{RR}}} \right) \,. \tag{41}$$

Here d_0 represents the horizon size of the Universe at the time of BBN. Interestingly enough, only the combination in the above bracket is what fixed by Eq. (41).

The magnitude of the loop parameter α for gauge cosmic strings is under debate in recent literature [22]. Taking the large value for α suggested recently [23], $\alpha \sim 0.1$, and assuming $\rho_{RR}/\rho_{\gamma} < 1/10$, we obtain

$$G\mu_{eff} < 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\mu_{RR}}{\mu_{eff}}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (42)

This can be used to impose an order of magnitude constraint on the effective tension of the strings. For example, applying this to case 1 of both single-throat and two-throat scenarios in the previous section one obtains $G\mu_{eff} < 10^{-2} m_s^2/M_P \rho_I^{1/4}$. From our assumption $\mu_{eff} > \mu_{RR}$, so the lensing and

From our assumption $\mu_{eff} > \mu_{RR}$, so the lensing and the CMB anisotropies by cosmic strings are controlled by the effective tension. The recent bound on strings tension from CMB anisotropies is $G\mu_{eff} < 10^{-7}$ [4], which is consistent with Eq. (42). Probably Eq. (42) would be more useful if a lower bound on $G\mu_{eff}$ is known by some other mechanisms.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the energy loss via RR-field radiation and gravity wave emission from a loop of cosmic D-strings are compared. It is shown that the former can take over the latter by many orders of magnitude. The warp factor couples to the Chern-Simons part of the D-string action, while it leaves the charge of the D-string intact. This way the effective tension of the D-string is much smaller than the naive expectation, m_s^2 , by two powers of warp factors. Furthermore, the normalization of the RR-field zero mode, denoted by β , is a sensitive function of the warped geometry. A large value of β tends to cancel out the effect of warping. However, the decisive factor is the combination βh_I^4 , and, as we demonstrated in section 4, this is typically much bigger than unity and makes the dominant channel of energy loss via RR-particles emission.

If the dominant method of energy loss by loops of cosmic D-strings is via RR-field radiation, then BBN and CMB anisotropies are the main cosmological sources to constrain the parameters of the D-string network. From CMB constraints one can impose bounds on the effective tension, $G\mu_{eff}$, while from BBN results one can constrain the ratio μ_{eff}/μ_{RR} . These results are model-dependent, namely whether one uses a single-throat or a multi-throat inflationary scenarios.

In our analysis, the contributions from stabilized dilaton and the KK modes of graviton, RR field and dilaton are neglected. A complete treatment of the power radiation by the loop of cosmic D-strings requires that the decay via these massive particles also be included. However, If their masses are high enough, say 100 TeV or more, their contributions may be neglected at the first approximation. The question of power radiation via massive dilaton by strings loops was studied in [24]. It is shown that having a GUT-scale string tension consistent with BBN and other cosmological constraints requires that the dilaton mass be no higher than 100 TeV.

To be specific, in our analysis we only considered the power radiation by cosmic D-strings. Our main results here are also applicable to power radiation by F-strings. For this purpose, one replaces the RR two form potential, $C_{(2)}$, by the NSNS two form potential, $B_{(2)}$, and takes care of powers of g_s accordingly. As long as warping and the normalization of the zero modes of the antisymmetric tensor fields are concerned, an F-string is on the same ground as a D-string. This conclusion also generalizes to the case of (p,q) strings.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank K. Dasgupta, J. Polchinski and A. Vilenkin for useful discussions and comments and A. Berndsen for comments on the draft. This work is supported by NSERC.

References

 G. R. Dvali and S. H. H. Tye, "Brane inflation," Phys. Lett. B 450, 72 (1999), hep-ph/9812483;
 C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, "The inflationary brane-antibrane universe," JHEP 0107, 047 (2001), hep-th/0105204;

- G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and S. Solganik, "D-brane inflation,", hep-th/0105203.
- [2] S. Sarangi and S.-H. H. Tye, "Cosmic string production towards the end of brane inflation," Phys. Lett. B 536,

185 (2002), hep-th/0204074.

[3] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, "Towards inflation in string theory," JCAP **0310**, 013 (2003) hep-th/0308055; H. Firouzjahi and S. H. H. Tye, "Closer towards inflation in string theory," Phys. Lett. B **584**, 147 (2004) hep-th/0312020;

C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, H. Stoica and F. Quevedo, "Inflation in realistic D-brane models," JHEP **0409**, 033 (2004) hep-th/0403119.

M. Wyman, L. Pogosian and I. Wasserman, "Bounds on cosmic strings from WMAP and SDSS," Phys. Rev. D 72, 023513 (2005) [Erratum-ibid. D 73, 089905 (2006)] [arXiv:astro-ph/0503364];
N. Bevis, M. Hindmarsh, M. Kunz and J. Urrestilla,

"Fitting CMB data with cosmic strings and inflation," arXiv:astro-ph/0702223.

- [5] H. Firouzjahi and S. H. Tye, "Brane inflation and cosmic string tension in superstring theory," JCAP 0503, 009 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501099]; R. A. Battye, B. Garbrecht, A. Moss and H. Stoica, "Constraints on Brane Inflation and Cosmic Strings," arXiv:0710.1541 [astro-ph].
- [6] E. J. Copeland, R. C. Myers and J. Polchinski, "Cosmic F- and D-strings," JHEP 0406, 013 (2004), hep-th/0312067;
 L. Leblond and S.-H. H. Tye, "Stability of D1-strings inside a D3-brane," JHEP 0403, 055 (2004), hep-th/0404072
- hep-th/0402072.
 [7] H. Firouzjahi, L. Leblond and S. H. Henry Tye, "The (p,q) string tension in a warped deformed conifold," JHEP 0605, 047 (2006) hep-th/0603161;
 H. Firouzjahi, "Dielectric (p,q) strings in a throat," JHEP 0612, 031 (2006) hep-th/0610130;
 K. Dasgupta, H. Firouzjahi and R. Gwyn, "Lumps in the throat," JHEP 0704, 093 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702193].
- [8] M. G. Jackson, N. T. Jones and J. Polchinski, "Collisions of cosmic F- and D-strings," JHEP 0510, 013 (2005), hep-th/0405229;

M. G. Jackson, "Interactions of cosmic superstrings," JHEP **0709**, 035 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1264 [hep-th]];

A. Hanany and K. Hashimoto, "Reconnection of colliding cosmic strings," JHEP **0506**, 021 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501031];

K. Hashimoto and D. Tong, "Reconnection of non-abelian cosmic strings," JCAP **0509**, 004 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506022];

M. Eto, K. Hashimoto, G. Marmorini, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and W. Vinci, "Universal reconnection of non-Abelian cosmic strings," Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 091602 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609214];

A. C. Davis and K. Dimopoulos, "Cosmic superstrings and primordial magnetogenesis," Phys. Rev. D **72**, 043517 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0505242].

E. J. Copeland, T. W. B. Kibble and D. A. Steer, "Collisions of strings with Y junctions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 021602 (2006), hep-th/0601153; "Constraints on string networks with junctions,' Phys. Rev. D 75, 065024 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611243].

- [10] G. Dvali and A. Vilenkin, "Formation and evolution of cosmic D-strings," JCAP 0403, 010 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312007].
- [11] T. Vachaspati and A. Vilenkin, "Gravitational Radiation From Cosmic Strings," Phys. Rev. D 31, 3052 (1985).
- [12] R. L. Davis, "Goldstone Bosons In String Models Of Galaxy Formation," Phys. Rev. D 32, 3172 (1985).
- [13] A. Vilenkin and T. Vachaspati, "Radiation of Goldetone Bosons from Cosmic Strings" Phys. Rev. D 35, 1138 (1987).
- [14] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, "Does a Randall-Sundrum scenario create the illusion of a torsion-free universe?," Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 121101 (2002) [Erratum-ibid. 89, 259902 (2002)] [arXiv:hep-th/0204242].
- [15] B. Mukhopadhyaya, S. Sen and S. SenGupta, "Bulk antisymmetric tensor fields in a Randall-Sundrum model," Phys. Rev. D 76, 121501 (2007) [arXiv:0709.3428 [hepth]].
- [16] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D 66, 106006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0105097].
- [17] A. Vilenkin, "Gravitational Field Of Vacuum Domain Walls And Strings," Phys. Rev. D 23, 852 (1981).
- [18] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, "Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and chiSBresolution of naked singularities," JHEP 0008, 052 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0007191].
- [19] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].
- [20] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, "The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter," Phys. Lett. B 429, 263 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315];
 I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, "New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV," Phys. Lett. B 436, 257 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398].
- [21] R. H. Cyburt, B. D. Fields, K. A. Olive and E. Skillman, "New BBN limits on physics beyond the standard model from He-4," Astropart. Phys. 23, 313 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0408033].
- [22] J. Polchinski, "Cosmic String Loops and Gravitational Radiation," arXiv:0707.0888 [astro-ph].
- [23] V. Vanchurin, K. D. Olum and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 74, 063527 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0511159]; K. D. Olum and V. Vanchurin, Phys. Rev. D 75, 063521 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0610419].
- [24] T. Damour and A. Vilenkin, "Cosmic strings and the string dilaton," Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2288 (1997) [arXiv:gr-qc/9610005];
 E. Babichev and M. Kachelriess, "Constraining cosmic superstrings with dilaton emission," Phys. Lett. B 614, 1 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502135];
 M. Sakellariadou, "A note on the evolution of cosmic

string / superstring networks," JCAP **0504**, 003 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0410234].