

TriB inimal Parametrization of the Neutrino Mixing Matrix

Sandip Pakvasa,¹ Werner Rodejohann,^{2,y} and Thomas J. Weiler^{3,z}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

²Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Postfach 103980, D-69029 Heidelberg, Germany

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA
(Dated: May 29, 2022)

Current experimental data on neutrino mixing are very well described by TriB inimal mixing. Accordingly, any phenomenological parametrization of the MNSP matrix must build upon TriB inimal mixing. We propose one particularly natural parametrization, which we call "TriB inimal". The three small deviations of the PDG angles from their TriB inimal values, and the PDG phase, parametrize the TriB inimal mixing matrix. As an important example of the utility of this new parametrization, we present the simple resulting expressions for the flavor-mixing probabilities of atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos. As no foreseeable experiment will be sensitive to more than second order in the small parameters, we expand these flavor probabilities to second order.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 14.60.Lm, 95.85.Ry

Neutrino physics has entered the precision era [1]. In the next decade the uncertainty in our knowledge of neutrino masses and mixing angles will decrease considerably. Many of the proposed models of neutrino mass and mixing will be tested. The Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata-Pontecorvo (MNSP) neutrino mixing matrix describes the unitary transformation between the mass and flavor bases of the neutrinos. In vacuum it is given by $U_j = h_{ji}$, with $i = e, \mu, \tau$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$, i.e. rows are labeled from top to bottom by the flavor indices, and columns are labeled left to right by mass-eigenstate indices.

Three mixing angles and a phase comprise the conventional parametrization of the vacuum mixing matrix, as established by the Particle Data Group (PDG) [2]:

$$U = R_{32}(\theta_{32})U^Y R_{13}(\theta_{13})U R_{21}(\theta_{21}) \quad (1)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{21}c_{13} & s_{21}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ s_{21}c_{32} & c_{21}c_{32} & c_{32}c_{13} \\ s_{21}s_{32} & c_{21}s_{32} & c_{32}s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $R_{jk}(\theta_{jk})$ describes a rotation in the jk -plane through angle θ_{jk} , $U = \text{diag}(e^{i\phi_1}, e^{i\phi_2}, e^{i\phi_3})$, and $s_{jk} = \sin \theta_{jk}$, $c_{jk} = \cos \theta_{jk}$. We have omitted two additional Majorana phases, as they do not affect neutrino oscillations.

From Eq. (1), one gleanes that the PDG mixing angles are related to certain observable moduli of matrix elements as

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = |U_{e3}|^2; \quad (2)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_{21} = |U_{e2}|^2/(1 - |U_{e3}|^2); \quad (3)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_{32} = |U_{\mu 3}|^2/(1 - |U_{e3}|^2); \quad (4)$$

In the order listed, these important moduli are inferred from terrestrial (long-baseline or reactor) data, solar

data, and atmospheric data. Finally, the CP-invariant of Jarlskog is given by

$$J_{CP} = \text{Im} f U_{e1} U_{\mu 3} U_{e3} U_{\mu 1} g; \quad (5)$$

In terms of the PDG parametrization in Eq. (1) this is $J_{CP} = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\theta_{21} \sin 2\theta_{32} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \delta$. Global 3-flavor fits to data give the following (1) and (3) ranges for the PDG mixing angles [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_{21} &= 0.32 (0.02)^{+0.08}_{-0.06}; \\ \sin^2 \theta_{32} &= 0.45 (0.07)^{+0.20}_{-0.13}; \\ \sin^2 \theta_{13} &< (0.02) 0.050; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The central values of these inferred mixing angles are quite consistent with the TriB inimal values [4], given implicitly by $\sin^2 \theta_{21} = \frac{1}{3}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{32} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$. The resulting angles are $\theta_{32} = \frac{\pi}{4} \text{ rad} = 45^\circ$, $\theta_{21} = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} = 0.6155 \text{ rad} = 35.2644^\circ$, and $\theta_{13} = 0$. Explicitly, the TriB inimal mixing matrix is

$$U_{TBM} = R_{32}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)R_{21}\left(\sin^{-1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\right) = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (7)$$

TriB inimal is a good zeroth order approximation to reality. However, we expect that even if some flavor symmetry is embedded in Nature which leads to zeroth order TriB inimal mixing, in general there will be deviations from this scheme (see for example, Ref. [5]).

In this Letter, we present and develop a parametrization of the MNSP matrix which is completely general, but has the TriB inimal matrix as its zeroth order basis. We call the parametrization "TriB inimal". To accommodate the four independent parameters in U , we introduce as three small quantities θ_{jk} , $jk = 21; 32; 13$, the deviation of the θ_{jk} from their TriB inimal values; and we retain the usual CP-violating phase δ . TriB inimal mixing, given in Eq. (7), is recovered in the limit of all three $\theta_{jk} = 0$. In other parametrizations, all

^Electronic mail: pakvasa@phys.hawaii.edu

^YElectronic mail: werner.rodejohann@mpi-hd.mpg.de

^ZElectronic mail: tom.weiler@vanderbilt.edu

mixing that describes atmospheric and astrophysical neutrino flavor propagation. We first introduce the matrix \underline{U}

$$\underline{U}_{\text{TM in}} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ < & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ @ & 1 & 2 & 3 & A & E_1 @ & 1 & 1 & 0 & A & E_2 @ & 1 & 1 & 0 & A \\ : & 1 & 2 & 3 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 1 & 0 & & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 9 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & 2 & 32 @ & 1 & 2 & 3 & A & 2_{13} @ & 2 & 1 & 3 & A & = \end{pmatrix}; \quad (17)$$

where $E_1 = 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos \theta_{13} + 2 \sin \theta_{13} \cos \theta_{21}$, and $E_2 = 2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin \theta_{21} + \frac{2}{3}$. That there are four independent terms in (17) reflects the fact that there are four independent moduli in the neutrino mixing matrix.

Some useful results follow immediately from this matrix. For example, in models where neutrinos are unstable, only the lightest neutrino mass-eigenstate arrives at Earth from cosmically-distant sources [8]. Flavor ratios at Earth for the normal mass-hierarchy are $\underline{U}_{e1} : \underline{U}_{\mu 1} : \underline{U}_{\tau 1}$, and for the inverted mass-hierarchy are $\underline{U}_{e3} : \underline{U}_{\mu 3} : \underline{U}_{\tau 3}$. These ratios may be read off directly from the 1st and 3rd columns of Eq. (17). As another

example, emanating solar neutrinos are nearly pure ν_2 mass states [9]; consequently, their flavor ratios at Earth are mainly given by the 2nd column of (17).

As is well-known, the neutrino mixing probabilities for phase-averaged propagation (appropriate when the oscillation phase ($\Delta m^2 L = 4E$) is much larger than one) are given by

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_i \nu_{i\alpha} \nu_{i\beta}^* = \underline{U} \underline{U}^T; \quad (18)$$

The full result in terms of the ν_{jk} is

$$(\underline{U} \underline{U}^T)_{\text{TM in}} = \frac{1}{18} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ < & 10 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 \\ @ & 4 & 7 & 7 & A & A & @ & 2 & 1 & 1 & A & + B @ & 1 & 1 & 0 & A & + C @ & 0 & 1 & 1 & A & + O(3); \\ : & 4 & 7 & 7 & & 2 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & 1 & ; \end{pmatrix}; \quad (19)$$

where

$$A(\nu_{21}^2; \nu_{21}^2; \nu_{13}^2) = (2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nu_{21}^2 - 7 \nu_{21}^2 + 5 \nu_{13}^2);$$

$$B(\nu_{32}; \nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21}; \nu_{21} \nu_{32}; \nu_{21} \nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21}) = 2(4 \nu_{32}^2 \nu_{13}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{21} + 4 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nu_{21} \nu_{32} \nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21} + 7 \nu_{21}^2 \nu_{13}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{21});$$

$$C(\nu_{32}^2; \nu_{32} \nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21}; (\nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21})^2) = 4(7 \nu_{32}^2 + 2(\nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21})^2 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nu_{32} \nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21});$$

The symmetric matrix in Eq. (19) contains the six explicit flavor mixing probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} P_{e\mu} &= \frac{1}{18}(10 + 4A); & P_{e\tau} &= \frac{1}{18}(7 + A - B + C); & P_{\mu\tau} &= \frac{1}{18}(7 + A + B + C); \\ P_{e\mu} &= \frac{1}{18}(4 - 2A + B); & P_{e\tau} &= \frac{1}{18}(4 - 2A - B); & P_{\mu\tau} &= \frac{1}{18}(7 - A + C); \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

At first sight, it seems remarkable that only three terms, A , B , and C , have emerged to parameterize the six elements $P_{\alpha\beta}$ in $\underline{U} \underline{U}^T$. However, this is inevitable, for there are only three independent $P_{\alpha\beta}$ as a result of the unitary sum rules $\sum_{\beta} P_{\alpha\beta} = 1$.

Notice that since each row in $(\underline{U} \underline{U}^T)$ partitions a flavor neutrino among all possible flavors, each row must sum to unity at zeroth order in ν_{jk} , and to zero at each nonzero

order in ν_{jk} and $\cos \theta$. Then, because of T-reversal invariance, or equivalently, because $(\underline{U} \underline{U}^T)$ is a symmetric matrix, each column must also sum to unity at zeroth order in ν_{jk} , and sum to zero at each nonzero order in ν_{jk} and $\cos \theta$.

A and B are of indeterminate sign, whereas C , which may be written as $(2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nu_{13} \cos \theta_{21} + \nu_{32}^2)^2 + 27 \nu_{32}^2$, is manifestly positive semidefinite. A and B contain terms linear

in θ_{jk} 's, as well as quadratic terms; C is purely quadratic in θ_{jk} 's. For certain values of the θ_{jk} , the second order corrections may dominate the first order corrections. Consequently, C should not be neglected [10].

The dependences of flavor oscillation and flavor mixing probabilities on first and second order corrections will be examined in considerable detail, for low and high energy neutrinos, in [11]. Here we present an interesting application of the phase-averaged mixing matrix in Eq. (19). The most common source for atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos is thought to be pion production and decay. The pion decay chain generates an initial neutrino flux with flavor composition given approximately [12] by $\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau = 1 : 2 : 0$ for the neutrino fluxes. According to Eq. (19), the fluxes arriving at earth have a flavor ratio of

$$\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau = 1 + \frac{1}{18}(2B) : 1 + \frac{1}{18}(B - 2C) : 1 + \frac{1}{18}(B + 2C) :$$

Violation of μ - τ symmetry, exact with Tribimaximal mixing, are directly assessed via the flavor ratio

$$\frac{\nu_\mu}{\nu_\tau} = 1 + \frac{2}{9}C + O(\theta^3) : \quad (21)$$

From this result we may infer two lessons: $C \neq 0$, so we learn that μ - τ symmetry is an inevitable consequence for pion-produced, astrophysical neutrinos; and we see an explicit example where the second order correction dominates over the first order correction (exactly zero in this

case). The Tribimaximal parametrization and expansion has made this result transparent.

In summary, we have presented the Tribimaximal parametrization of the MNSP matrix. Three small parameters $\theta_{jk} \ll 1$, each equal to the deviation of one of the measured quantities θ_{jk} from its Tribimaximal value, plus the usual CP-violating phase δ , comprise the parametrization. The Tribimaximal parametrization leads to simple formulas for neutrino flavor mixing. The proposed parametrization in Eq. (8), the expansions in (16), (17), and (19), and the mixing probabilities in (20) are the main results of this Letter. Simple properties of the Tribimaximal parametrization are not shared by other parametrizations.

Note added: As this paper was being written, a very similar proposal for parametrization was posted on the ArXiv [13]. There, the utility of Tribimaximal parameters for terrestrial flavor oscillations was emphasized; here, we emphasize the utility for phase-averaged atmospheric and astrophysical flavor mixing.

Acknowledgments: W.R. was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the Sonderforschungsbereich Transregio 27 and under project number RO 2516/3-2, as well as by the EU program ILIAS N6 ENTAPP WP1. S.P. and T.J.W. thank M. Lindner and M. P. H. Eidelberg for support and hospitality, and acknowledge support from U.S. DOE grants DE-FG03-91ER40833 and DE-FG05-85ER40226.

-
- [1] R. N. Mohapatra et al., hep-ph/0510213; R. N. Mohapatra and A. Y. Smirnov, hep-ph/0603118; A. Strumia and F. Vissani, hep-ph/0606054.
[2] W. M. Yao et al. [Particle Data Group], J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
[3] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, arXiv:0704.1800 [hep-ph].
[4] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 530, 167 (2002); Phys. Lett. B 535, 163 (2002); Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B 533, 85 (2002); X. G. He and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B 560, 87 (2003); see also L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 18, 958 (1978); Y. Yamamoto, H. Sugawara and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. D 25, 1895 (1982) [Erratum-ibid. D 29, 2135 (1984)].
[5] F. Plentinger and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Lett. B 625, 264 (2005); S. Antusch and S. F. King, Phys. Lett. B 631, 42 (2005); S. Luo and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B 632, 341 (2006); M. Hirsch, E. Ma, J. C. Romao, J. W. F. Valle and A. Villanova del Moral, Phys. Rev. D 75, 053006 (2007); X. G. He and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B 645, 427 (2007); A. Dighe, S. Goswami and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D 75, 073023 (2007); S. Antusch, P. Huber, S. F. King and T. Schwetz, JHEP 0704, 060 (2007); M. Lindner and W. Rodejohann, JHEP 0705, 089 (2007); K. A. Hochmuth, S. T. Petcov and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Lett. B 654, 177 (2007).
[6] A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D 68, 093002 (2003); W. Rodejohann,

- Phys. Rev. D 69, 033005 (2004); N. Li and B. Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 71, 017302 (2005); A. Datta, L. Everett and P. Ramond, Phys. Lett. B 620, 42 (2005); L. L. Everett, Phys. Rev. D 73, 013011 (2006). J. D. Bjorken, P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Rev. D 74, 073012 (2006).
[7] In deriving this formula, it is useful to expand in powers of the following form of the individual rotation matrices:

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{1} - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} :$$

[8] S. Pakvasa, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 31, 497 (1981); J. F. Beacom, N. F. Bell, D. Hooper, S. Pakvasa and T. J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 181301 (2003); ibid., Phys. Rev. D 69, 017303 (2004), and references therein.
[9] S. J. Parke, H. Nunokawa and R. Zukanovich Funchal, AIP Conf. Proc. 842, 889 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601208].
[10] A "universal" parameter related to B has been noted previously in the literature. It is called η in Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D 74, 013009 (2006), and η in W. Rodejohann, JCAP 0701, 029 (2007).
[11] S. Pakvasa, W. Rodejohann, T. J. Weiler, in preparation.
[12] P. Lipari, M. Lusignoli and D. Meloni, Phys. Rev. D 75, 123005 (2007).
[13] S. F. King, arXiv:0710.0530 [hep-ph].