

Lepton Flavor Violating tau decay by R-Parity Violation in a Family Symmetry ¹

Yuji Kajiyama²

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Ravala 10, Tallinn 10143, Estonia

Abstract

In this talk, we investigate Lepton Flavor Violating (LFV) tau decay by R-Parity Violating (RPV) operators controlled by a non-Abelian discrete Q_6 family symmetry. We assume that only a family symmetry determines the whole flavor structure of a model, and the model indicates specific predictions of LFV tau decay processes by RPV operators. We predict $BR(\tau \rightarrow 3e)/BR(\tau \rightarrow 3\mu) \sim 4m_\mu^2/m_\tau^2$ in a Q_6 family symmetric model.

1 Introduction

Mass of matter particles and mixing angles between generations have been precisely measured. Mass in the quark and charged lepton sector has hierarchical structure, but only mass-squared differences are known in the neutrino sector. As for the mixing angles, quark sector has small, and lepton sector has large (nearly tribimaximal) mixing angles. The Standard Model (SM) or the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) explain these structure of masses and mixings because these models contain more parameters than observables in the Yukawa sector. Therefore they can not predict the structure. Family symmetry (flavor symmetry) is the most promising approach to determine the Yukawa structure and predict masses and mixings. In general, family symmetry determines the form of the Yukawa couplings and other interactions such as R-Parity Violating (RPV) operators, and it reduces the number of parameters of the model. Then such models can predict masses and mixings of particles, and other processes by the symmetry. In this talk we consider a supersymmetric standard model with non-Abelian discrete Q_6 family symmetry, and obtain some predictions of Lepton Flavor Violating (LFV) tau decay processes caused by RPV operators which are controlled by the family symmetry [1].

2 The Model

Q_6 group is a non-Abelian discrete subgroup of $SU(2)$ [2], and it has two two-dimensional and four one-dimensional irreducible representations (irreps.). In our model, three generations of matter fields are embedded into $\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$ dimensional irreps. of Q_6 group in a definite way. Moreover, both up and down type Higgs fields also have three generations which are embedded into irreps. in similar way, and they construct Q_6 invariant Yukawa interactions which give fermion mass matrices. After the electroweak symmetry breaking, mass matrix of the charged lepton sector is

$$M_e = m_\tau \begin{pmatrix} -A & A & B \\ A & A & B \\ C & C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

¹Talk given at Summer Institute 2007, Aug. 3-10, 2007, Fuji-Yoshida, Japan.

²yuji.kajiyama@kbfi.ee

with $A = 0.04206, B = 0.7057, C = 0.0002893$, and m_τ is tau lepton mass. One finds that diagonalization matrices U_{eL} and U_{eR} are approximately written as

$$U_{eL} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_e & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -\epsilon_e & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{2}\epsilon_e & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{eR} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \epsilon_\mu \\ -\epsilon_\mu & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where terms of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ are neglected, and small parameters ϵ_e, ϵ_μ are defined as

$$\epsilon_e = \frac{m_e}{\sqrt{2}m_\mu} = 3.42 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \epsilon_\mu = \frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau} = 5.94 \times 10^{-2}. \quad (3)$$

The upper-right 2×2 block of U_{eL} is the origin of maximal mixing of the atmospheric neutrino oscillation.

As for the neutrino sector, we assume that a see-saw mechanism takes place. However, we do not present the details of the neutrino sector here because there is no need to know it in the following analysis. We obtain some specific predictions of our model: (i) inverted mass hierarchy $m_{\nu_3} < m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}$, (ii) $|U_{e,3}| \simeq \epsilon_e$. See Refs. [3, 4] for details.

3 R-Parity Violation and LFV tau decay

In the MSSM case, RPV trilinear interactions contain 45 couplings. However in the Q_6 model, the form of RPV interactions are fixed and the number of the couplings are reduced by the symmetry. The RPV trilinear operators are

$$W_R = \lambda L_3 L_I E_I^c + \lambda'_1 L_I (i\sigma^2)_{IJ} Q_3 D_J^c + \lambda'_2 L_I (\sigma^1)_{IJ} Q_J D_3^c, \quad (4)$$

which contain only three couplings. The Baryon number violating interactions $U^c D^c D^c$ are forbidden by the family symmetry. Since these three couplings $\lambda, \lambda'_{1,2}$ can generate FCNC processes, they are constrained by experiments:

$$\lambda < 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \quad (\text{from } \mu \rightarrow eee) \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda'_{1,2} < 3.1 \times 10^{-3} \quad (\text{from } K^0 - \bar{K}^0 \text{ mixing}). \quad (6)$$

These constraints are somewhat relaxed than those of the MSSM case. This comes from the family symmetry. Since eq.(4) is written in flavor basis, mixing matrices eq.(2) of lepton sector and those of quark sector appear when the fields are transformed into mass eigenstates, and these mixing matrices effectively work as additional suppression factor. For instance, vertex of $\tau_L - \tilde{\nu}_{3L} - e_R$ in mass eigenstate from the λ -term in eq.(4) is

$$-i\lambda \sum_{J=1,2} (U_{eR}^\dagger)_{1J} (U_{eL})_{J3} = i\lambda\epsilon_e, \quad (7)$$

where we have assumed that scalar mass matrix is diagonal and degenerated to forbid SUSY FCNC from soft SUSY breaking terms [3].

Now we will concentrate on the LFV decay processes $\ell_m^- \rightarrow \ell_i^- \ell_j^- \ell_k^+$. The operator $\lambda L_3 L_I E_I^c$ generates the decays $\ell_m^- \rightarrow \ell_i^- \ell_j^- \ell_k^+$ at tree level when $\lambda \neq 0$. The other two operators in Eq.(4), $\lambda'_{1,2} L Q D^c$, also generate the similar decay processes at one loop level through photon penguin diagrams, but we found that the bounds on $\lambda'_{1,2}$ are stronger than that on λ in eqs.(5) and (6). So we neglect contributions from $\lambda'_{1,2}$. Moreover, since there are three generations of

both up and down type Higgs doublet in this model, LFV processes mediated by the neutral Higgs bosons are generated at tree level. However, the branching ratio of the $\mu \rightarrow eee$ from these effects is $BR \sim 10^{-16}$ when the neutral Higgs boson mass is 100 GeV because of the smallness of the Yukawa couplings and mixing matrices as extra suppression factor. So, these contributions are also negligible compared to those from λLLE^c couplings unless $\lambda < 10^{-3}$. Therefore, we approximate that $\ell_m^- \rightarrow \ell_i^- \ell_j^- \ell_k^+$ processes are induced at tree level only by λ . In this approximation, the ratios of these processes are independent of λ , but depend on the mixing matrices $U_{eL(R)}$ which reflect the flavor structure of the model. Therefore we find some predictions of LFV decays $\ell_m^- \rightarrow \ell_i^- \ell_j^- \ell_k^+$ in our model:

$$\frac{BR(\tau \rightarrow eee)}{BR(\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu)} \simeq \frac{4\epsilon_\mu^2}{1 + \epsilon_\mu^2} = 0.014, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{BR(\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu e)}{BR(\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu)} \simeq \frac{1 - \epsilon_\mu^2 + 2\epsilon_e^2}{1 + \epsilon_\mu^2 - 2\epsilon_e^2} = 0.99, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (9)$$

Also, when $\lambda \sim 10^{-2}$, the $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ process has the largest Branching Ratio $BR(\tau \rightarrow 3\mu) \sim 8 \times 10^{-9}$, and this can be testable at future experiments such as super B factory and LHC³. Therefore if $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$ is observed with branching ratio $\sim 10^{-9}$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\mu e$ will also be observed almost at the same time.

4 Conclusions

We have considered phenomenology of R-parity violating interactions in non-Abelian discrete Q_6 family symmetric model. The family symmetry fixes the form of RPV operators as well as Yukawa interactions and mixing matrices. Since whole flavor structure is determined only by the family symmetry, lepton flavor violating processes induced by RPV operators are predicted as $BR(\tau \rightarrow 3e)/BR(\tau \rightarrow 3\mu) \simeq 0.014$, which reflects the family symmetry. Future experiments such as super B factory and LHC can test our predictions.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the ESF grant No. 6190 and postdoc contract 01-JD/06.

References

- [1] Y. Kajiyama, JHEP, **0704**, 007 (2007).
- [2] K. S. Babu and J. Kubo, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 056006 (2005).
- [3] E. Itou, Y. Kajiyama and J. Kubo, Nucl. Phys. **B743**, 74 (2006).
- [4] J. Kubo, A. Mondragón, M. Mondragón and E. Rodríguez-Jáuregui, Prog. Theor. Phys. **109**, 795 (2003); Erratum-ibid. **114**, 287 (2005); J. Kubo, Phys. Lett. **B578**, 156 (2004); Erratum-ibid. **B619**, 387 (2005); Y. Kajiyama, J. Kubo and H. Okada, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 033001 (2007).
- [5] K. Abe *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:0708.3272 [hep-ex].

³ For recent results of LFV tau decay into three leptons at Belle, see ref.[5].