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#### Abstract

. Infrared finite solutions for the gluon propagator of pure QCD are obtained from the gauge-invariant non-linear Schwinger-Dyson equation formulated in the Feynman gauge of the background field method. These solutions may be fitted using a massive propagator, with the special characteristic that the effective "mass" employed drops asymptotically as the inverse square of the momentum transfer, in agreement with general operator-product expansion arguments. Due to the presence of the dynamical gluon mass the strong effective charge extracted from these solutions freezes at a finite value, giving rise to an infrared fixed point for QCD.


## 1. Introduction

The systematic study of Schwinger-Dyson equations (SDE) in the framework of the pinch technique (PT) has led to the conclusion that the non-perturbative QCD dynamics generate an effective, mometum-dependent mass for the gluon, while preserving the local $S U(3)_{c}$ invariance of the theory [1, 2, 3]. This picture is further corroborated by lattice simulation and a variety of theoretical and phenomenological works [4. One of the most important consequences of this picture is that this dynamical mass tames the Landau singularity associated with the perturbative $\beta$ function, giving rise to a strong effective charge "freezing" at a finite value in the infrared. In this talk we report recent progress in the study of a non-linear SDE for the gluon propagator [3].

## 2. The non-linear SDE

The relevant SDE for $\Delta_{\mu \nu}(q)$ is shown in Fig.(1). Due to the special properties of the truncation scheme based on the PT [1, 5] (and its connection with the Feynman gauge of the background field method (BFM) [6]), this equation is gauge-invariant despite the omission of ghost loops or higher order graphs [2]. Dropping for simplicity the longitudinal momenta, i.e. setting $\Delta_{\mu \nu}(q)=-i g_{\mu \nu} \Delta\left(q^{2}\right)$, one looks for solutions where $\Delta\left(q^{2}\right)$ reaches a finite (non-vanishing) value in the deep infrared; such solutions may be fitted by "massive" propagators of the form $\Delta^{-1}\left(q^{2}\right)=q^{2}+m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$, where $m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is not "hard", but depends non-trivially on the momentum transfer $q^{2}$. The tree-level expressions for the three- and four-gluon vertices appearing in the two graphs of Fig.(1) are given in the first item of [6]. For the full three-gluon vertex, $\widetilde{\mathbb{\Gamma}}$, denoted by the white blob in graph $\left(a_{1}\right)$, we employ a gauge technique Ansatz, expressing it as a functional of $\Delta$, in such a way as to satisfy (by construction) the all-order Ward identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\mu} \widetilde{\mathbb{\Gamma}}_{\mu \alpha \beta}\left(q, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=i\left[\Delta_{\alpha \beta}^{-1}\left(p_{1}\right)-\Delta_{\alpha \beta}^{-1}\left(p_{2}\right)\right], \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The gluonic "one-loop dressed" contributions to the SDE.
characteristic of the PT-BFM. Specifically, we use the following closed form for the vertex [3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathbb{}}^{\mu \alpha \beta}= & \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\mu \alpha \beta}+i g^{\alpha \beta} \frac{q^{\mu}}{q^{2}}\left[\Pi\left(p_{2}\right)-\Pi\left(p_{1}\right)\right]-i \frac{c_{1}}{q^{2}}\left(q^{\beta} g^{\mu \alpha}-q^{\alpha} g^{\mu \beta}\right)\left[\Pi\left(p_{1}\right)+\Pi\left(p_{2}\right)\right] \\
& -i c_{2}\left(q^{\beta} g^{\mu \alpha}-q^{\alpha} g^{\mu \beta}\right)\left[\frac{\Pi\left(p_{1}\right)}{p_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\Pi\left(p_{2}\right)}{p_{2}^{2}}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\mu \alpha \beta}\left(q, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)_{\mu} g_{\alpha \beta}+2 q_{\beta} g_{\mu \alpha}-2 q_{\alpha} g_{\mu \beta}$, and $i \Pi\left(q^{2}\right)=\Delta^{-1}\left(q^{2}\right)-q^{2}$.
Defining the renormalization-group invariant quantity [5] $d\left(q^{2}\right)=g^{2} \Delta\left(q^{2}\right)$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{-1}(x)=K^{\prime} x+\tilde{b} \sum_{i=1}^{8} \widehat{A}_{i}(x)+d^{-1}(0), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{A}_{1}(x) & =-\left(1+\frac{6 c_{2}}{5}\right) x \int_{x}^{\infty} d y y \mathcal{L}^{2}(y) d^{2}(y) \\
\widehat{A}_{2}(x) & =\frac{6 c_{2}}{5} x \int_{x}^{\infty} d y \mathcal{L}(y) d(y) \\
\widehat{A}_{3}(x) & =-\left(1+\frac{6 c_{2}}{5}\right) x \mathcal{L}(x) d(x) \int_{0}^{x} d y y \mathcal{L}(y) d(y), \\
\widehat{A}_{4}(x) & =\left(-\frac{1}{10}-\frac{3 c_{2}}{5}+\frac{3 c_{1}}{5}\right) \int_{0}^{x} d y y^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2}(y) d^{2}(y), \\
\widehat{A}_{5}(x) & =-\frac{6}{5}\left(1+c_{1}\right) \mathcal{L}(x) d(x) \int_{0}^{x} d y y^{2} \mathcal{L}(y) d(y), \\
\widehat{A}_{6}(x) & =\frac{6 c_{2}}{5} \int_{0}^{x} d y y \mathcal{L}(y) d(y), \\
\widehat{A}_{7}(x) & =\frac{2}{5} \mathcal{L}(x) \frac{d(x)}{x} \int_{0}^{x} d y y^{3} \mathcal{L}(y) d(y), \\
\widehat{A}_{8}(x) & =\frac{1}{5 x} \int_{0}^{x} d y y^{3} \mathcal{L}^{2}(y) d^{2}(y), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=q^{2}$. The renormalization constant $K^{\prime}$ is fixed by the condition $d^{-1}\left(\mu^{2}\right)=\mu^{2} / g^{2}$, (with $\mu^{2} \gg \Lambda^{2}$ ), and $\mathcal{L}\left(q^{2}\right) \equiv \tilde{b} \ln \left(q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)$, where $\Lambda$ is QCD mass scale. Due to the poles contained in the Ansatz for $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}^{\mu \alpha \beta}, d^{-1}(0)$ does not vanish, and is given by the (divergent) expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{-1}(0)=\frac{3 \tilde{b}}{5 \pi^{2}}\left[2\left(1+c_{1}\right) \int d^{4} k \mathcal{L}\left(k^{2}\right) d\left(k^{2}\right)-\left(1+2 c_{1}\right) \int d^{4} k k^{2} \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(k^{2}\right) d^{2}\left(k^{2}\right)\right], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be made finite using dimensional regularization, and assuming that $m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ drops sufficiently fast in the UV [2].

## 3. Results

The way to extract from $d\left(q^{2}\right)$ the corresponding $m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $g^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is by casting the numerical solutions into the form [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{g^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)}{q^{2}+m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)}, \quad g^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)=\left[\tilde{b} \ln \left(\frac{q^{2}+f\left(q^{2}, m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(q^{2}, m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)=\rho_{1} m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)+\rho_{2} \frac{m^{4}\left(q^{2}\right)}{q^{2}+m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)}+\rho_{3} \frac{m^{6}\left(q^{2}\right)}{\left[q^{2}+m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right]^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional form used for the running mass is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{m_{0}^{4}}{q^{2}+m_{0}^{2}}\left[\ln \left(\frac{q^{2}+\rho m_{0}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right) / \ln \left(\frac{\rho m_{0}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)\right]^{\gamma_{2}-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{2}=\frac{4}{5}+\frac{6 c_{1}}{5} ; \rho, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$, and $\rho_{3}$ are adjustable constants. Evidently, $m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is dropping in the deep ultraviolet as an inverse power of the momentum, as expected from general operatorproduct expansion calculations [7]. Note that $f\left(q^{2}, m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)$ is such that $f\left(0, m^{2}(0)\right)>0$; as a result, $g^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$ reaches a finite positive value at $q^{2}=0$, leading to an infrared fixed point [1, 8, , 9 .


Figure 2. Left: dynamical mass with power-law running, for $m_{0}^{2}=0.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $\rho=1.046$ in Eq. (8). Right: the running charge, $\alpha\left(q^{2}\right)=g^{2}\left(q^{2}\right) / 4 \pi$.
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