

Copulas: compatibility and Fréchet classes

Fabrizio Durante¹, Erich Peter Klement¹,
José Juan Quesada-Molina²

¹ Department of Knowledge-Based Mathematical Systems
Johannes Kepler University, A-4040 Linz, Austria
e-mails: fabrizio.durante@jku.at, ep.klement@jku.at

² Departamento de Matemática Aplicada
Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain
e-mail: jquesada@ugr.es

Abstract

We determine under which conditions three bivariate copulas C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are compatible, viz. they are the bivariate marginals of the same trivariate copula \tilde{C} , and, then, construct the class of these copulas. In particular, the upper and lower bounds for this class of trivariate copulas are determined.

Keywords: Fréchet class, Fréchet bounds, Copula, Compatibility.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62H05; 60E05.

1 Introduction

For many years, a problem of interest to statisticians has been the construction of multivariate distribution functions (briefly, d.f.'s) with given univariate marginals and some useful properties such as a simple analytic expression and a statistical interpretation.

One of the possible extensions of this problem is to construct n -dimensional d.f.'s with k given m -dimensional marginals, $1 \leq m < n$ and $1 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{m}$. For example, given two bivariate d.f.'s F_{12} and F_{23} , one may wish

to construct, if they exist, trivariate d.f.'s F such that F_{12} and F_{23} are, respectively, the d.f.'s of the first two and the last two components of the random triplet associated with F ; the class of such functions F is called *Fréchet class* of F_{12} and F_{23} . An even harder problem is to construct such an F when F_{13} is also given, viz. when the d.f. of the first and the last component of that random triplet is also known. In such cases, and in all the cases when the marginals are *overlapping*, the main problem is to determine a priori whether the given marginals are *compatible*, viz. they can be derived from a common joint distribution.

To the best of our knowledge, first results on the compatibility of three bivariate d.f.'s and on the corresponding Fréchet class were given by G. Dall'Aglia (1959) (compare also with (Dall'Aglia, 1972)), and L. Rüschendorf (1991a, 1991b). In section 3 of the book by Joe (1997), the author studied in detail this case and some of its possible extensions to higher dimensions.

In this paper, we aim at re-considering the foregoing problem in the class of d.f.'s whose one-dimensional marginals are uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$: such d.f.'s are called *copulas*: see (Joe, 1997) and (Nelsen, 2006). This restriction does not cause any loss of generality in the problem because, thanks to *Sklar's Theorem* (see (Sklar, 1959)), any multivariate d.f. can be represented by means of a copula and its one-dimensional marginals, and this representation is unique when the d.f. is continuous. Specifically, our goals are to:

- (i) determine under which conditions three bivariate copulas C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are *compatible*, viz. they are the bivariate marginals of some trivariate copula \tilde{C} ;
- (ii) construct the class of all trivariate copulas \tilde{C} with given bivariate marginals C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} , called the *Fréchet class* of C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} .

The main advantage of this approach completely based on copulas consists in the fact that it originates more intuitive and constructive procedures than in the previous literature (see the methods presented in section 3 and Theorem 4.1), which permit easily to improve some known bounds (see Theorem 5.3).

We would like to stress that the above problems have a great interest in the development of copula theory, as underlined for example by Schweizer and Sklar (1983). Moreover, we also expect consequences in statistical applications, mainly when one wants to build a stochastic model from some

knowledge about the kind of dependence exhibited by the involved random variables, and knows exactly certain marginal distributions. For example, constructions of d.f.'s with given marginals are of relevance for the modelling of multivariate portfolio and bounding functions of dependent risks, such as the value at risk, the expected excess of loss and other financial derivatives and risk measures (see (Rüschendorf, 2004) and (McNeil et al., 2005)).

In Section 2 we give some basic definitions, and then, we consider two constructions of copulas that will be useful in the sequel (Section 3). In Section 4 we present the characterization of the compatibility of three bivariate copulas, and we study the class of all trivariate copulas with given bivariate marginals (Section 5).

2 Preliminaries

Let n be in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq 2$, and denote by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ any point in \mathbb{R}^n . An n -dimensional copula (shortly, n -copula) is a mapping $C_n : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (C1) $C_n(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ whenever $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^n$ has at least one component equal to 0;
- (C2) $C_n(\mathbf{u}) = u_i$ whenever $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^n$ has all components equal to 1 except the i -th one, which is equal to u_i ;
- (C3) C_n is n -increasing, viz., for each n -box $B = \times_{i=1}^n [u_i, v_i]$ in $[0, 1]^n$ with $u_i \leq v_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$V_{C_n}(B) := \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \times_{i=1}^n \{u_i, v_i\}} (-1)^{N(\mathbf{z})} C_n(\mathbf{z}) \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $N(\mathbf{z}) = \text{card}\{k \mid z_k = u_k\}$.

We denote by \mathcal{C}_n the set of all n -dimensional copulas ($n \geq 2$). For every $C_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and for every $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^n$, we have that

$$W_n(\mathbf{u}) \leq C_n(\mathbf{u}) \leq M_n(\mathbf{u}), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$W_n(\mathbf{u}) := \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i - n + 1, 0 \right\}, \quad M_n(\mathbf{u}) := \min\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}.$$

Notice that M_n is in \mathcal{C}_n , but W_n is in \mathcal{C}_n only for $n = 2$. Another important n -copula is the product $\Pi_n(\mathbf{u}) := \prod_{i=1}^n u_i$.

We recall that, for C and C' in \mathcal{C}_2 , C' is said to be greater than C in the *concordance order*, and we write $C \preceq C'$, if $C(u_1, u_2) \leq C'(u_1, u_2)$ for all $(u_1, u_2) \in [0, 1]^2$. Moreover, for D and D' in \mathcal{C}_3 , D' is said to be greater than D in the *concordance order*, and we write $D \preceq D'$, if $D(\mathbf{u}) \leq D'(\mathbf{u})$ and $\overline{D}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \overline{D}'(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^3$, where \overline{D} is the survival copula of D defined on $[0, 1]^3$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = & 1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + D(u_1, u_2, 1) + D(u_1, 1, u_3) \\ & + D(1, u_2, u_3) - D(u_1, u_2, u_3). \end{aligned}$$

For more details about copulas, see (Joe, 1997) and (Nelsen, 2006).

Notice that, for each C_n in \mathcal{C}_n , there exist a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) and a random vector $\mathbf{U} = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$, U_i uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that C_n is the d.f. of \mathbf{U} (see (Billingsley, 1995)). As a consequence, for each $C_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and for each permutation $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, the mapping $C_n^\sigma : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$C_n^\sigma(u_1, \dots, u_n) = C_n(u_{\sigma_1}, \dots, u_{\sigma_n})$$

is also in \mathcal{C}_n . For example, if $C_3 \in \mathcal{C}_3$, then the mapping $C_3^{(1,3,2)}$ given by

$$C_3^{(1,3,2)}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = C_3(u_1, u_3, u_2)$$

is also in \mathcal{C}_3 . In particular, for each $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$, we write $C_2^{(2,1)} = C_2^t$, which is called the *transpose* of C_2 .

Definition 2.1. Let C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} be in \mathcal{C}_2 . C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are said to be *compatible* if, and only if, there exists $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}_3$ such that, for all u_1, u_2, u_3 in $[0, 1]$,

$$C_{12}(u_1, u_2) = \tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, 1), \quad (2.3)$$

$$C_{13}(u_1, u_3) = \tilde{C}(u_1, 1, u_3), \quad (2.4)$$

$$C_{23}(u_2, u_3) = \tilde{C}(1, u_2, u_3). \quad (2.5)$$

In such a case, C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are called the *bivariate marginals* (briefly, 2-marginals) of \tilde{C} .

Notice that Π_2, Π_2, Π_2 are compatible, because they are the 2–marginals of Π_3 . Analogously, M_2, M_2, M_2 are compatible, because they are the 2–marginals of M_3 . The copulas W_2, W_2, W_2 , however, are not compatible (see (Schweizer and Sklar, 1983)).

Definition 2.2. Let C_{12}, C_{13} and C_{23} be in \mathcal{C}_2 such that they are compatible. The Fréchet class of (C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23}) , denoted by $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$, is the class of all $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}_3$ such that (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) hold.

3 Two constructions of copulas

In this section, we introduce two constructions of copulas that shall be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 3.1. Let A and B be in \mathcal{C}_2 and let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family in \mathcal{C}_2 . Then the mapping $A *_{\mathbf{C}} B : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$(A *_{\mathbf{C}} B)(u_1, u_2) = \int_0^1 C_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(t, u_2) \right) dt \quad (3.1)$$

is in \mathcal{C}_2 .

For a family $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{C}_2 , $A *_{\mathbf{C}} B$ is called the \mathbf{C} –product of the copulas A and B . Given $C \in \mathcal{C}_2$, if $C_t = C$ for every t in $[0, 1]$, then we shall write $A *_{\mathbf{C}} B = A *_C B$. Notice that, if $C_t = \Pi_2$ for every t in $[0, 1]$, then the operation $*_{\Pi_2}$ is the product for copulas studied in (Darsow et al., 1992).

Proposition 3.2. Let A and B be in \mathcal{C}_2 and let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family in \mathcal{C}_2 . Then the mapping $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B : [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$(A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B)(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \int_0^{u_2} C_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(t, u_3) \right) dt \quad (3.2)$$

is in \mathcal{C}_3 .

Proof. It is immediate that $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B$ satisfies (C1) and (C2). In order to prove (C3) for $n = 3$, let u_i, v_i be in $[0, 1]$ such that $u_i \leq v_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since A is 2–increasing, we have that $A(v_1, t) - A(u_1, t)$ is

increasing in $t \in [0, 1]$, and, therefore, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A(v_1, t) \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial t}A(u_1, t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Analogously, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B(t, v_3) \geq \frac{\partial}{\partial t}B(t, u_3)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B}([u_1, v_1] \times [u_2, v_2] \times [u_3, v_3]) \\ &= \int_{u_2}^{v_2} V_{C_t} \left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}A(v_1, t) \right] \times \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B(t, u_3), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}B(t, v_3) \right] \right) dt \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

For a family $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{C}_2 , $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B$ is called the \mathbf{C} -lifting of the copulas A and B . Given $C \in \mathcal{C}_2$, if $C_t = C$ for every t in $[0, 1]$, we shall write $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B = A \star_C B$. Notice that, if $C_t = \Pi_2$ for every t in $[0, 1]$, then the operation \star_{Π_2} was considered in (Darsow et al., 1992) and (Kólesárová et al., 2006). Notice that the copula given by (3.2) has an interpretation in terms of mixtures of conditional distributions (see section 4.5 of (Joe, 1997)). Moreover, we easily derive the following result, which, as a byproduct, also proves Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A and B be in \mathcal{C}_2 and let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family in \mathcal{C}_2 . Then the 2-marginals of $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B$ (that are 2-copulas) are A , $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B$ and B , respectively.*

Finally, we show a result that will be useful in next section, concerning the concordance order between two 3-copulas generated by means of the \mathbf{C} -lifting operation.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ and $\mathbf{C}' = \{C'_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be two families in \mathcal{C}_2 . If $C_t \preceq C'_t$ for every t in $[0, 1]$, then, for all A and B in \mathcal{C}_2 , $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B \preceq A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B$.*

Proof. It is immediate that $C_t \preceq C'_t$, for every $t \in [0, 1]$, implies $A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B \leq A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B$ in the pointwise order. Thus, we have only to prove that $\overline{A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B} \leq \overline{A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B}$. To this end, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B)(u_1, u_2, 1) &= (A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B)(u_1, u_2, 1) = A(u_1, u_2), \\ (A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B)(1, u_2, u_3) &= (A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B)(1, u_2, u_3) = B(u_2, u_3). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\overline{A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B}(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq \overline{A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ if, and only if,

$$(A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B)(u_1, u_3) - (A \star_{\mathbf{C}} B)(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq (A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B)(u_1, u_3) - (A \star_{\mathbf{C}'} B)(u_1, u_2, u_3),$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to

$$\int_{u_2}^1 C_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(t, u_3) \right) \mathfrak{t} \leq \int_{u_2}^1 C'_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(t, u_3) \right) \mathfrak{t},$$

and this is obviously true since $C_t \preceq C'_t$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. \square

Notice that the latter results are interesting in their own right. Specifically, they allow us to construct families of bivariate and trivariate copulas starting with known bivariate copulas (see (Durante et al., 2007) for details).

In the case of distribution functions with given densities, similar constructions were originally proposed by Joe (1996), and later developed in detail by Bedford and Cooke (2001, 2002), Aas et al. (2007) and Berg and Aas (2007). These constructions, which are formulated in the multivariate case, are based on a decomposition of a multivariate d -dimensional density ($d \geq 3$) into $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ bivariate copula densities.

4 Compatibility of bivariate copulas

In order to determine conditions under which three 2-copulas are compatible, we start by characterizing the class $\mathcal{C}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ of all 2-copulas C_{13} that are compatible with C_{12} and C_{23} .

Theorem 4.1. *Let C_{12} and C_{23} be in \mathcal{C}_2 . A 2-copula C_{13} is in $\mathcal{C}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ if, and only if, there exists a family $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{C}_2 such that*

$$C_{13} = C_{12} *_{\mathbf{C}} C_{23}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. If C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are compatible, then there exists $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}_3$ such that (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) hold. Then there exist a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and a random vector $\mathbf{U} = (U_1, U_2, U_3)$, U_i uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, such that, for all u_1, u_2, u_3 in $[0, 1]$,

$$\tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = P(U_1 \leq u_1, U_2 \leq u_2, U_3 \leq u_3), \quad (4.2)$$

and C_{12} is the copula of (U_1, U_2) , C_{13} is the copula of (U_1, U_3) and C_{23} is the copula of (U_2, U_3) . Then we have that

$$\tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \int_0^{u_2} C_t(P(U_1 \leq u_1 \mid U_2 = t), P(U_3 \leq u_3 \mid U_2 = t)) \mathfrak{t}, \quad (4.3)$$

where, for each $t \in [0, 1]$, C_t is the copula associated with the (conditional) distribution function of (U_1, U_3) given $U_2 = t$. But, by simple calculations, we also obtain that, almost surely on $[0, 1]$,

$$P(U_1 \leq u_1 \mid U_2 = t) = \frac{\partial C_{12}(u_1, t)}{\partial t}, \quad P(U_3 \leq u_3 \mid U_2 = t) = \frac{\partial C_{23}(t, u_3)}{\partial t}.$$

Therefore we can rewrite (4.3) in the form

$$\tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \int_0^{u_2} C_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{12}(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{23}(t, u_3) \right) dt,$$

and, hence, we obtain

$$C_{13}(u_1, u_3) = \int_0^1 C_t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{12}(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{23}(t, u_3) \right) dt,$$

and therefore Eq. (4.1) holds.

In the other direction, suppose that there exists $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{C}_2 such that $C_{13} = C_{12} *_{\mathbf{C}} C_{23}$. From Proposition 3.2, the function \tilde{C} given by

$$\tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (C_{12} \star_{\mathbf{C}} C_{23})(u_1, u_2, u_3)$$

is a 3-copula whose 2-marginals are, respectively, C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} , showing that they are compatible. \square

Note that the family $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is not completely arbitrary and depends, of course, on the copulas C_{12} and C_{23} .

Corollary 4.1. *For each C_{13} in $\mathcal{C}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ we have that*

$$C_{12} *_{W_2} C_{23} \preceq C_{13} \preceq C_{12} *_{M_2} C_{23}, \quad (4.4)$$

and these bounds are sharp.

Therefore, we obtain the following characterization.

Theorem 4.2. *Let C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} be in \mathcal{C}_2 . C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are compatible if, and only if, there exist three families of 2-copulas,*

$$\mathbf{C}_1 = \{C_t^1\}_{t \in [0,1]}, \quad \mathbf{C}_2 = \{C_t^2\}_{t \in [0,1]}, \quad \mathbf{C}_3 = \{C_t^3\}_{t \in [0,1]},$$

such that

$$C_{12} = C_{13} *_{\mathbf{C}_3} C_{32}, \quad C_{13} = C_{12} *_{\mathbf{C}_2} C_{23}, \quad C_{23} = C_{21} *_{\mathbf{C}_1} C_{13}, \quad (4.5)$$

where, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, $C_{ji} := C_{ij}^t$.

Proof. Notice that C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} are compatible if, and only if, $C_{12} \in \mathcal{C}(C_{13}, C_{23})$, $C_{13} \in \mathcal{C}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ and $C_{23} \in \mathcal{C}(C_{12}, C_{13})$. Now, the assertion can be proved by means of a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

In general, it is a difficult task to check whether a copula C_{13} is compatible with two copulas C_{12} and C_{23} . However, Corollary 4.1 gives us some information: in fact, in order to prove that $C_{13} \notin \mathcal{C}(C_{12}, C_{23})$, it suffices to find a point (u, v) in $[0, 1]^2$ such that $C_{13}(u, v)$ violates (4.4).

Example 4.1. Let C_{12} be the copula given by

$$C_{12}(u_1, u_2) = u_1 u_2 + u_1 u_2 (1 - u_1)(1 - u_2),$$

let C_{23} be equal to the product copula Π_2 , and let C_{13}^α be the Clayton copula given by

$$C_{13}^\alpha(u_1, u_3) = (u_1^{-\alpha} + u_3^{-\alpha} - 1)^{-1/\alpha}$$

for $\alpha \geq 0$. For a sufficiently large α , the above three copulas are not compatible. In fact, following Corollary 4.1, we have that

$$(C_{12} *_{M_2} C_{23})\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{7}{16},$$

while C_{13}^α tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ when α tends to $+\infty$.

Remark 4.1. Theorem 4.1 was originally formulated by Dall'Aglia (1959), where also Corollary 4.1 was presented (for the latter, see also (Rüschendorf, 1991a)).

5 Fréchet class of three bivariate copulas

Given three compatible 2-copulas C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} , we are now interested on the Fréchet class $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$ of all 3-copulas whose 2-marginals are, respectively, C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} . As before, we first consider the class $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ of all trivariate copulas whose 2-marginals C_{12} and C_{23} are known.

Theorem 5.1. Let C_{12} and C_{23} be in \mathcal{C}_2 . A 3-copula \tilde{C} is in $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ if, and only if, there exists a family $\mathbf{C} = \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{C}_2 such that

$$\tilde{C} = (C_{12} \star_{\mathbf{C}} C_{23}). \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, for every \tilde{C} in $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ and for all u_1, u_2 and u_3 in $[0, 1]$,

$$(C_{12} \star_{W_2} C_{23})(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) \leq (C_{12} \star_{M_2} C_{23})(u_1, u_2, u_3) \quad (5.2)$$

and the bounds are sharp.

The above theorem is simply obtained by reconsidering the proof of Theorem 4.1. Notice that the bounds (5.2) have also been obtained in (Rüschendorf, 1991a)(Proposition 7) (see also (Joe, 1997)(Theorem 3.10)).

Theorem 5.1 gives a powerful constructive way to determine all 3-copulas with two given bivariate marginals. For example, if $C_{12} = C_{23}$, the copulas given by (5.1) are all possible trivariate copulas that can be used in the construction of Markov chains of second order (see section 8.1 in (Joe, 1997)).

Moreover, we can also easily derive that, if either C_{12} or C_{23} are shuffles of Min, then $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{23})$ is formed just by one element (compare with (Durante et al., 2007), (Kolesárová et al., 2006)).

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, we can also state the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} be three compatible 2-copulas. A 3-copula \tilde{C} is in $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$ if, and only if, there exist three families of 2-copulas,*

$$\mathbf{C}_1 = \{C_t^1\}_{t \in [0,1]}, \quad \mathbf{C}_2 = \{C_t^2\}_{t \in [0,1]}, \quad \mathbf{C}_3 = \{C_t^3\}_{t \in [0,1]},$$

such that

$$\tilde{C} = (C_{13} \star_{\mathbf{C}_3} C_{32})^{(1,3,2)} = C_{12} \star_{\mathbf{C}_2} C_{23} = (C_{21} \star_{\mathbf{C}_1} C_{13})^{(2,1,3)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Now, we give pointwise lower and upper bounds for $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$.

Theorem 5.3. *For every $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$ and for all u_1, u_2, u_3 in $[0, 1]$, we have*

$$C_L(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq \tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq C_U(u_1, u_2, u_3), \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$C_L(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \max_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}} \{ (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k), (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k) + C_{ik}(u_i, u_k) - (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_k) \},$$

$$C_U(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \min_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}} \{ (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k), (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k) + C_{ik}(u_i, u_k) - (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_k) \},$$

and $\mathcal{P} = \{(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2), (2, 1, 3)\}$.

Proof. If $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$, then, from Theorem 5.2, there exist three families of 2-copulas, such that \tilde{C} can be expressed in the forms (5.3).

Since $W_2 \preceq C \preceq M_2$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}_2$, Proposition 3.4 ensures that, for each (i, j, k) in \mathcal{P} ,

$$(C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})^{(i,j,k)} \preceq \tilde{C} \preceq (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})^{(i,j,k)}.$$

Therefore, for each (i, j, k) in \mathcal{P} and $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^3$, we have that

$$(C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k) \leq \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) \leq (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k). \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\overline{(C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})}(u_i, u_j, u_k) \leq \widetilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \overline{(C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})}(u_i, u_j, u_k). \quad (5.6)$$

The left hand side of (5.6) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + C_{ij}(u_i, u_j) + C_{jk}(u_j, u_k) + (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_k) \\ & - (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k) \\ \leq & 1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + C_{ij}(u_i, u_j) + C_{jk}(u_j, u_k) + C_{ik}(u_i, u_k) - \tilde{C}(u_i, u_j, u_k). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (5.6) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + C_{ij}(u_i, u_j) + C_{jk}(u_j, u_k) + C_{ik}(u_i, u_k) - \tilde{C}(u_i, u_j, u_k) \\ \leq & 1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + C_{ij}(u_i, u_j) + C_{jk}(u_j, u_k) + (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_k) \\ & - (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k). \end{aligned}$$

Easy calculations show that these inequalities are equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) & \leq (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k) + C_{ik}(u_i, u_k) - (C_{ij} \star_{W_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_k), \\ \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) & \geq (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_j, u_k) + C_{ik}(u_i, u_k) - (C_{ij} \star_{M_2} C_{jk})(u_i, u_k). \end{aligned}$$

Using these inequalities and (5.5), we directly get (5.4). \square

In Theorem 3.11 in (Joe, 1997), the author provided an upper bound F_U and a lower bound F_L for $\mathcal{F}(C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_U(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \min\{C_{12}(u_1, u_2), C_{13}(u_1, u_3), C_{23}(u_2, u_3), 1 - u_1 \\ & - u_2 - u_3 + C_{12}(u_1, u_2) + C_{13}(u_1, u_3) + C_{23}(u_2, u_3)\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_L(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \max\{0, C_{12}(u_1, u_2) + C_{13}(u_1, u_3) - u_1, C_{12}(u_1, u_2) \\ & + C_{23}(u_2, u_3) - u_2, C_{13}(u_1, u_3) + C_{23}(u_2, u_3) - u_3\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

In the following result, we show that the bounds (5.4) improve the bounds given by (5.7) and (5.8).

Proposition 5.1. *Let C_{12} , C_{13} and C_{23} be three compatible 2-copulas. For every $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^3$, we have that $C_L(\mathbf{u}) \geq F_L(\mathbf{u})$ and $C_U(\mathbf{u}) \leq F_U(\mathbf{u})$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{u} be in $[0, 1]^3$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} C_L(\mathbf{u}) &\geq (C_{13} \star_{W_2} C_{32})(u_1, u_3, u_2) \\ &= \int_0^{u_3} W_2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{13}(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{32}(t, u_2) \right) dt \\ &\geq C_{13}(u_1, u_3) + C_{23}(u_2, u_3) - u_3, \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} C_L(\mathbf{u}) &\geq C_{12}(u_1, u_2) + C_{13}(u_1, u_3) - u_1, \\ C_L(\mathbf{u}) &\geq C_{12}(u_1, u_2) + C_{23}(u_2, u_3) - u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $C_L(\mathbf{u}) \geq 0$, it follows that $C_L(\mathbf{u}) \geq F_L(\mathbf{u})$ for every \mathbf{u} in $[0, 1]^3$.

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} C_U(\mathbf{u}) &\leq (C_{13} \star_{M_2} C_{32})(u_1, u_3, u_2) \\ &= \int_0^{u_3} \min \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{13}(u_1, t), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C_{32}(t, u_2) \right) dt \\ &\leq \min(C_{13}(u_1, u_3), C_{23}(u_2, u_3)), \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously, $C_U(\mathbf{u}) \leq C_{12}(u_1, u_2)$. Moreover, for every $\mathbf{u} \in [0, 1]^3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &(C_{12} \star_{W_2} C_{23})(u_1, u_2, u_3) + C_{13}(u_1, u_3) - (C_{12} \star_{W_2} C_{23})(u_1, u_3) \\ &\leq 1 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 + C_{12}(u_1, u_2) + C_{13}(u_1, u_3) + C_{23}(u_2, u_3), \end{aligned}$$

as a consequence of the fact that $\overline{(C_{12} \star_{W_2} C_{23})}(\mathbf{u}) \geq 0$. Thus $C_U(\mathbf{u}) \leq F_U(\mathbf{u})$ for every \mathbf{u} in $[0, 1]^3$. \square

Example 5.1. *From Theorem 5.3, if \tilde{C} is in $\mathcal{F}(\Pi_2, \Pi_2, \Pi_2)$, then, for every u_1, u_2 and u_3 in $[0, 1]$, we have*

$$C_L(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq \tilde{C}(u_1, u_2, u_3) \leq C_U(u_1, u_2, u_3),$$

where

$$C_L(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \max\{u_1 W_2(u_2, u_3), u_2 W_2(u_1, u_3), u_3 W_2(u_1, u_2)\}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$C_U(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \min\{u_1 M_2(u_2, u_3), u_2 M_2(u_1, u_3), u_3 M_2(u_1, u_2)\} \quad (5.10)$$

It is easy to check that, in this case, $C_L = F_L$ and $C_U = F_U$. These bounds were also obtained in (Rodríguez-Lallena and Úbeda-Flores, 2004), by making different calculations (compare also with section 3.4.1 in (Joe, 1997)). In particular, it was stressed in (Rodríguez-Lallena and Úbeda-Flores, 2004) that C_L and C_U may not be copulas.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. C. Genest and Prof. R.B. Nelsen for their comments on a first version of this manuscript. Moreover, the first author kindly acknowledges Prof. L. Rüschendorf for fruitful discussions and for drawing our attention to previous results in this context. The third author acknowledges the support by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) and FEDER, under research project MTM2006-12218.

References

- [1] Aas, K., Czado, C., Frigessi, A., and Bakken, H. (2007). Pair-copula constructions of multiple dependence. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, in press.
- [2] Bedford, T., Cooke, R.M. (2001). Probability density decomposition for conditionally dependent random variables modeled by vines. Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 32:245-268.
- [3] Bedford, T., Cooke, R.M. (2002). Vines - a new graphical model for dependent random variables. Annals of Statistics 30:1031-1068.
- [4] Berg, D., Aas, K. (2007). Models for construction of multivariate dependence. Working paper SAMBA/23/07.
- [5] Billingsley, P. (1995). Probability and Measure. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- [6] Dall'Aglio, G. (1959). Sulla compatibilità delle funzioni di ripartizione doppia. Rend. Mat. 18:385-413.
- [7] Dall'Aglio, G. (1972). Fréchet classes and compatibility of distribution functions. In: Symposia Mathematica, Vol. 9 (Convegno di Calcolo delle Probabilità, INDAM, Rome, 1971). London: Academic Press.

- [8] Darsow, W.F., Nguyen, B., Olsen, E.T. (1992). Copulas and Markov processes. *Illinois J. Math.* 36:600–642.
- [9] Durante, F., Klement, E.P., Quesada-Molina, J.J., Sarkoci, P. (2007). Remarks on two product-like constructions for copulas. *Kybernetika (Prague)* 43:235–244.
- [10] Joe, H. (1996). Families of m -variate distributions with given margins and $m(m-1)/2$ bivariate dependence parameters. In: Rüschendorf, L., Schweizer, B., Taylor, M.D., Eds., *Distributions with Fixed Marginals and Related Topics*.
- [11] Joe, H. (1997). *Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- [12] Kolesárová, A., Mesiar, R., Sempi, C. (2006). Three copulas and compatibility. In: Bouchon-Meunier, B., Yager, R., Eds., *Proceedings of IPMU 2006*, Vol. 1. Paris: Éditions E.D.K..
- [13] McNeil, A.J., Frey, R., Embrechts, P. (2005). *Quantitative Risk Management*. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press.
- [14] Nelsen, R.B. (2006). *An Introduction to Copulas*. New York: Springer.
- [15] Rodríguez-Lallena, J.A., Úbeda-Flores, M. (2004). Compatibility of three bivariate quasi-copulas: applications to copulas. In: López-Díaz, M., Gil, M.Á., Grzegorzewski, P., Hryniewicz, O., Lawry, J., Eds., *Soft Methodology and Random Information Systems*. Berlin: Springer.
- [16] Rüschendorf, L. (1991a). Bounds for distributions with multivariate marginals. In: *Stochastic Orders and Decision under Risk (Hamburg, 1989)*, IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser., 19, Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA.
- [17] Rüschendorf, L. (1991b). Fréchet bounds and their applications. In: Dall’Aglio, G., Kotz, S., Salinetti, G., Eds., *Advances in Probability Distributions with Given Marginals*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- [18] Rüschendorf, L. (2004). Comparison of multivariate risks and positive dependence. *J. Appl. Probab.* 41:391–406.
- [19] Schweizer, B., Sklar, A. (1983). *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. New York: North-Holland. (2nd edition: Mineola (NY): Dover Publications, 2006).
- [20] Sklar, A. (1959). Fonctions de répartition à n dimensions et leurs marges. *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris* 8:229–231.