arXiv:0711.2740v1 [hep-ph] 18 Nov 2007

Diffractive structure function F_L^D from fits with higher twist

Krzysztof Golec-Biernat [1,2†], *Agnieszka Łuszczak* [1]
[1]Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
[2]Institute of Physics, University of Rzeszów, Rzeszów, Poland

Abstract
We make predictions for the diffractive longitudinal structure function F_L^D to be measured at HERA, based on DGLAP based fits of diffractive parton distributions with twist–4 contribution. This contribution describes diffractive $q\bar{q}$ production from longitudinal photons and significantly changes predictions for F_L^D obtained in pure DGLAP analyses.

1 Introduction

We are interested in diffractive deep inelastic scattering (DDIS) at HERA which provide a very interesting example of processes with a clear interplay between hard and soft aspects of QCD interactions. In these processes, a diffractive system is formed which is separated in rapidity from the scattered proton. The most important observation made at HERA is that diffractive processes in DIS are not rare and constitute up to 15% of all deep inelastic scattering events [1–4].

After integration over the proton azimuthal angle, the diffractive cross section is given in terms two structure functions, F_2^D and F_L^D, which depend on four variables: Bjorken-x, photon virtuality Q^2 and two additional variables

$$x_{I\!P} = \frac{Q^2 + M^2 - t}{Q^2 + W^2}\,, \qquad t = (p - p')^2\,. \tag{1}$$

Here M is mass of the diffractive system, W is invariant energy of the gamma-proton system and p, p' are incident and scattered proton momenta. In our analysis, the diffractive structure functions are decomposed into the leading twist–2 and higher twist contributions

$$F_{2,L}^D \;=\; F_{2,L}^{D(tw2)} \;+\; F_L^{D(tw4)} \;+\; \ldots\,. \tag{2}$$

In the Bjorken limit, the leading part depends logarithmically on Q^2 while the twist–4 part is suppressed by additional power of $1/Q^2$. However, this contribution dominates over the twist–2 one for small diffractive masses, $M^2 \ll Q^2$, playing especially important role in DDIS. Physically, the twist–4 contribution is given by diffractively produced $q\bar{q}$ pairs from *longitudinally* polarized virtual photons. The effect of this contribution is particularly important for the diffractive longitudinal structure function F_L^D which is supposed to be determined from the HERA data.

† talk presented at EDS07

2 Twist–2 contribution

The twist–2 contribution is given in terms of diffractive parton distributions (DPD) through standard collinear factorization formulae [5–8]. In the next-to-leading logarithmic approximation we have

$$F_2^{D(tw2)}(x,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) \;=\; S_D + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left\{C_2^S \otimes S_D + C_2^G \otimes G_D\right\} \tag{3}$$

$$F_L^{D(tw2)}(x,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) \;=\; \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left\{C_L^S \otimes S_D + C_L^G \otimes G_D\right\} \tag{4}$$

where α_s is the strong coupling constant and $C_{2,L}^{S,G}$ are coefficients functions, known from inclusive DIS [9, 10]. The integral convolution is performed for the longitudinal momentum fraction and reads

$$(C\otimes F)(\beta) = \int_\beta^1 dz\, C\left(\beta/z\right) F(z)\,. \tag{5}$$

Notice that in the leading order, when terms proportional to α_s are neglected, $F_L^{D(tw2)} = 0$. The functions S_D and G_D are built from diffractive quark (q_D^f) and gluon (g_D) distributions

$$S_D(x,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) \;=\; \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} e_f^2\,\beta\left\{q_D^f(\beta,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) + \overline{q}_D^f(\beta,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t)\right\} \tag{6}$$

and

$$G_D(x,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) = \beta g_D(\beta,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t)\,. \tag{7}$$

From these equations we see that the new variable

$$\beta = \frac{x}{x_{I\!P}} = \frac{Q^2}{Q^2+M^2} \tag{8}$$

plays the role of the Bjorken variable in DDIS.

The DPD evolve with Q^2 with the DGLAP evolution equations [11] for which $(x_{I\!P},t)$ are external parameters. In this analysis we assume *Regge factorization* for these variables:

$$q_D^f(\beta,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) \;=\; f_{I\!P}(x_{I\!P},t)\; q_{I\!P}^f(\beta,Q^2) \tag{9}$$

$$g_D(\beta,Q^2,x_{I\!P},t) \;=\; f_{I\!P}(x_{I\!P},t)\; g_{I\!P}(\beta,Q^2)\,. \tag{10}$$

The motivation for such a factorization is a model of diffractive interactions with the pomeron exchange [12]. In this model $f_{I\!P}$ is the pomeron flux

$$f_{I\!P}(x_{I\!P},t) \;=\; N\,\frac{F_{I\!P}^2(t)}{8\pi^2}\,x_{I\!P}^{1-2\,\alpha_{I\!P}(t)}\,, \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha_{I\!P}(t) = \alpha_{I\!P}(0) + \alpha'_{I\!P}t$ is the pomeron Regge trajectory and

$$F_{I\!P}^2(t) \;=\; F_{I\!P}^2(0)\,\mathrm{e}^{-B_D|t|}\,, \tag{12}$$




Fig. 1: Twist-4 contribution from longitudinally polarized photons. Two gluons here model the pomeron exchange which is later unitarized and effectively described by the dipole cross section, see the text below.

is elastic formfactor which describes the pomeron–proton coupling. The diffractive slope is taken form HERA data, $B_D = 5.5$ GeV^{-2}, and $F_{I\!P}^2(0) = 54.4$ GeV^{-2} [7]. For the pomeron trajectory, $\alpha'_{I\!P} = 0.25$ GeV^{-2} but the intercept $\alpha_{I\!P}(0)$ is fitted to the analyzed data. The diffractive quark distributions are flavour independent, thus

$$q^f_{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2) \,=\, \overline{q}^f_{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2) \,\equiv\, \frac{1}{2N_f}\,\Sigma_{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2) \tag{13}$$

where N_f is a number of active flavours and $\Sigma_{I\!P}$ is singlet distribution. We fit $\Sigma_{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2)$ and $G_{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2)$ at an initial scale $Q_0^2 = 1.5$ GeV^2 to diffractive data from HERA, using the DGLAP evolution equations in the next-to-leading order approximation. We also include charm quark contribution into the analysis [13].

3 Twist–4 contribution

The twist–4 contribution describes diffractive production of the $q\overline{q}$ pairs from longitudinally polarized virtual photons, see Fig. 1. Although formally suppressed by $1/Q^2$, this contribution dominates over the twist–2 contribution for small diffractive masses, $M^2 \ll Q^2$ (or $\beta \to 1$) [14–16]. This is why it cannot be neglected in the analysis of diffractive DIS data.

We used the following form of the twist–4 contribution which has to be added to the diffractive structure functions $F_2^{D(tw2)}$ and $F_L^{D(tw2)}$ [17]:

$$F_{Lq\bar{q}}^{D(tw4)} = \frac{3}{16\pi^4 x_{I\!P}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-B_D|t|}\,\sum_f e_f^2\,\frac{\beta^3}{(1-\beta)^4}\int\limits_0^{\frac{Q^2(1-\beta)}{4\,\beta}} dk^2\,\frac{k^2/Q^2}{\sqrt{1-\dfrac{4\beta}{1-\beta}\dfrac{k^2}{Q^2}}}\,\phi_0^2 \tag{14}$$

with

$$\phi_0 \;=\; k^2 \int\limits_0^\infty dr\, r\, K_0\left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}kr\right) J_0(kr)\, \hat{\sigma}(x_{I\!P}, r) \tag{15}$$

where K_0 and J_0 are Bessel functions. Strictly speaking, formula (14) contains all powers of $1/Q^2$ but the twist–4 part, proportional to $1/Q^2$, dominates. The function $\hat{\sigma}(x_{I\!P}, r)$ in eq. (15) is the dipole–proton cross section which describes the interaction of a quark–antiquark dipole of transverse size r with the proton. Following [18], we choose

$$\hat{\sigma}(x_{I\!P}, r) \;=\; \sigma_0 \left\{1 - \exp\left(-r^2 Q_s^2(x_{I\!P})\right)\right\} \tag{16}$$

where $Q_s^2(x_{I\!P}) = (x_{I\!P}/x_0)^{-\lambda}\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$ is a saturation scale which provides energy dependence of the twist–4 contribution. The dipole cross section parameters, $\sigma_0 = 29\ \mathrm{mb},\ x_0 = 4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ and $\lambda = 0.28$, are taken from [18] (Fit 2 with charm). This form of the dipole cross section provides successful description of the inclusive and diffractive data from HERA.

In addition to the twist terms, we also consider a reggeon contribution, described in detail in [13], which improves fit quality through better dependence on $x_{I\!P}$.

4 Fit results

In our analysis, we use diffractive data on F_2^D (or reduced cross section σ_r^D) from the H1 [3, 4] and ZEUS [1, 2] collaborations. These data were obtained in different kinematical regions, using different methods of their analysis, thus we decided to analyse them separately. For each data set we performed two fits: with the twist–4 present and without this term (pure DGLAP fits). In this way, we obtained two sets of diffractive parton distributions which allow us to make predictions for the longitudinal structure function F_L^D. A full discussion of fit details is given in [13].

The diffractive parton distributions (DPD) from the analysis of H1 data are shown in Fig. 2. They are given in terms of the pomeron parton distributions which can be multiplied by the pomeron flux $f(x_{I\!P}, t)$ to obtain the DPD. We see that the singlet quark distributions from the two discussed fits are practically the same, while the gluon distributions are different. The gluon from the fit with twist–4 is stronger peaked near $\beta \approx 1$ than in the twist–2 fit. This somewhat surprising result can be understood by analyzing the logarithmic slope $\partial F_2^D / \partial \ln Q^2$ for fixed β. In the leading logarithmic approximation, we have from the DGLAP equations

$$\frac{\partial F_2^D}{\partial \ln Q^2} \sim \frac{\partial \Sigma_{I\!P}}{\partial \ln Q^2} = P_{qq} \otimes \Sigma_{I\!P} \;+\; P_{qG} \otimes G_{I\!P} \;-\; \Sigma_{I\!P} \int P_{qq} \tag{17}$$

where the negative term sums virtual corrections. For large β, the measured slope is negative which means that the negative term must dominate over the positive terms describing real emissions. The addition of the twist–4 contribution to F_2^D, proportional to $1/Q^2$, contributes negative value to the slope which has to be compensated by a larger gluon distribution near $\beta \approx 1$ in order to describe the same data.

In Fig. 3, we show the diffractive structure functions resulting from the determined parton distributions. As expected, F_2^D is practically the same in both fits. However, the F_L^D curves are significantly different due to the twist–4 contribution (shown as the dotted lines). Let us emphasised that both sets of curves were found in the fits which well describe the existing data,




Fig. 2: Pomeron parton distributions: quark singlet (left) and gluon (right) from the H1 data. Solid lines: twist–2 fit; dashed lines: fit with twist–4 term.

especially in the region of large β where twist–4 is important. Thus, an independent *measurement* of F_L^D in this region would be very important for confirmation of the QCD approach to diffraction.

We summarize the effect of the twist–4 contribution in Fig. 4, showing the predictions for the diffractive longitudinal structure function. Ignoring this contribution, we find the two solid curves coming from the pure twist–2 analyses of the H1 (upper) and ZEUS (lower) data. With twist–4, the dashed curves are found, the upper curve from the H1 data and the lower one from the ZEUS data analyses. There is a significant difference between these predictions in the region of large β. We believe that this effect will be confirmed by the forthcoming analysis of the HERA data.




Fig. 3: Diffractive structure functions F_2^D (left) and F_L^D (right) from fits to the H1 data for $x_{I\!P} = 10^{-3}$. Solid lines: twist–2 fit; dashed lines: twist–(2+4) fit; dotted lines: twist–4 contribution.

5 Summary

We performed the analysis of the diffractive data from HERA, determining diffractive parton distributions. In addition to the standard twist–2 formulae, we also considered the twist–4 contribution. This contribution comes from the $q\overline{q}$ diffractive production from longitudinally polarized virtual photons and dominates for $M^2 \ll Q^2$ (large β). The twist–4 contribution leads to the diffractive gluon distribution which is stronger peaked at $\beta \approx 1$ than the gluon distribution from the pure twist–2 fits.

The main result of our analysis is a new prediction for the longitudinal diffractive structure function F_L^D. The twist–4 term significantly enhances F_L^D in the region of $\beta > 0.6$. A measurement of this function at HERA in the region of large β should confirm the presented expectations which are based on perturbative QCD calculations.




Fig. 4: Predictions for $F_L^{D(3)}$ for $x_{I\!P} = 10^{-3}$ and $Q^2 = 10$ GeV^2 from fits with twist–4 to the H1 (upper dashed line) and ZEUS (lower dashed line) data. The solid lines show predictions from pure twist–2 fits to the same data: from H1 (upper) and ZEUS (lower).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge support from the MEiN research grant 1 P03B 028 28 (2005-08), the Research Training Network HEPTools (MRTN-2006-CT-035505) and from the Polish-German Joint Project "Hadronic final states and parton distribution functions".

References

[1] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C38**, 43 (2004). hep-ex/0408009.

[2] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B713**, 3 (2005). hep-ex/0501060.

[3] H1 Collaboration, A. Aktas *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C48**, 749 (2006). hep-ex/0606003.

[4] H1 Collaboration, A. Aktas *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C48**, 715 (2006). hep-ex/0606004.

[5] L. Trentadue and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. **B323**, 201 (1994).

[6] A. Berera and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 4328 (1994). hep-ph/9403276.

[7] J. C. Collins, J. Huston, J. Pumplin, H. Weerts, and J. J. Whitmore, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 3182 (1995). hep-ph/9406255.

[8] A. Berera and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 6162 (1996). hep-ph/9509239.

[9] W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. **B97**, 437 (1980).

[10] W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, Zeit. Phys. **C11**, 293 (1982).

[11] J. C. Collins, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 094002 (1998). hep-ph/9806259.

[12] G. Ingelman and P. E. Schlein, Phys. Lett. **B152**, 256 (1985).

[13] K. Golec-Biernat and A. Luszczak (2007). hep-ph/0704.1608v3.

[14] M. Wusthoff, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 4311 (1997). hep-ph/9702201.

[15] J. Bartels, J. R. Ellis, H. Kowalski, and M. Wusthoff, Eur. Phys. J. **C7**, 443 (1999). hep-ph/9803497.

[16] K. Golec-Biernat and M. Wusthoff, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 114023 (1999). hep-ph/9903358.

[17] K. Golec-Biernat and M. Wusthoff, Eur. Phys. J. **C20**, 313 (2001). hep-ph/0102093.

[18] K. Golec-Biernat and M. Wusthoff, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 014017 (1999). hep-ph/9807513.