

Role of Four-Quark Condensates in QCD Sum Rules

R. Thomas¹, T. Hilger², B. Kämpfer^{1,2}

¹ Forschungszentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, PF 510119, 01314 Dresden, Germany

² Institut für Theoretische Physik, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

February 9, 2022

Abstract

The QCD sum rule approach to the in-medium behavior of hadrons is discussed for ω meson, nucleon and D meson. Emphasis is devoted to the impact of four-quark condensates and to order parameters of spontaneous symmetry breaking.

1 Introduction

QCD sum rules [1] represent one approach towards understanding the masses of hadrons. Hadronic parameters, among them the masses, are linked to expectation values of QCD operators, the condensates. The nonzero values of condensates point to a complicated structure of the QCD vacuum, i.e., of the ground state of strong interaction. There are a few particularly important condensates: (i) The chiral condensate, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, is connected with the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry. Together with the explicit chiral symmetry breaking by nonzero quark masses m_q , the pion mass m_π is related via the Gell–Mann–Oakes–Renner relation $m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2 \propto -m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ [2] to the pion decay constant f_π and the chiral condensate. (ii) The gluon condensate $\langle (\alpha_s/\pi) G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ (with $G_{\mu\nu}$ as chromodynamic field strength tensor; $\alpha_s = g_s^2/(4\pi)$ is the strong coupling) is anchored in the QCD trace anomaly and the breaking of the dilatation symmetry. (iii) The quark-gluon condensate $\langle \bar{q} g_s \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} q \rangle \propto \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ may also be considered as order parameter of chiral symmetry [3]. There are (infinitely) many other condensates which may be ordered according to their mass dimension. These quantities occur in the evaluation of the current-current correlator by means of an operator product expansion (OPE). Since organized as corrections in increasing powers of inverse hadron momentum, the (poorly known) higher-order condensates are expected to be of minor importance, so that the few low-dimension condensates suffice.

However, there are special circumstances, for instance in the Borel transformed QCD sum rules for light vector mesons, in which the low-order condensates are numerically suppressed. Specifically, the chiral condensate enters in the renormalization invariant combination $m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ being a tiny contribution due to the smallness of m_q . Instead, the four-quark condensates become important. In a flavor-symmetric vacuum, there are 10 independent four-quark condensates [4], all with highly unsettled values. The situation becomes even more dramatic when extending the QCD sum rule approach to a strongly interacting medium [5]. At finite baryon density n and temperature T the condensates are modified, as exhibited in Fig. 1 for the chiral and the gluon condensate, and further condensate structures arise. This modification is expected to be reflected in hadron properties [6]. In particular, the approach to chiral symmetry restoration was thought to be measurable by investigating hadrons

embedded in a strongly interacting medium [7]. The QCD sum rule approach provides, however, a more involved relation. The in-medium modifications of light vector mesons depend crucially on the density dependence of a certain combination of four-quark condensates [8]. In a strongly interacting medium, there are 44 independent four-quark condensates in the u, d sector [4]; if flavor symmetry is satisfied this number reduces to 20. These enter the QCD sum rules for different hadrons in different combinations. Therefore, one can hope that a systematic investigation of various hadrons may shed light on the important four-quark condensate combinations.




Figure 1: Density and temperature dependence of chiral condensate (left panel) and gluon condensate (right panel). The employed approximation is reliable only for small values of T and n [9]. Note the different slopes in n direction.

Formally, four-quark condensates are QCD ground state expectation values of Hermitian products of four quark operators which are to be Dirac and Lorentz scalars, color singlets and are to be invariant under parity and time reversal (the latter for equilibrated systems). Physically, the four-quark condensates quantify the correlated production of two quark-antiquark pairs in the physical vacuum. In contrast to the square of the chiral (two-quark) condensate, which accounts for uncorrelated production of two of these pairs, the four-quark condensates are a measure of the correlation and thus expose the complexity of the QCD ground state. Especially, deviations from factorization, i.e. the approximation of unknown four-quark condensates in terms of the squared chiral condensate, justified in the large N_c limit [10], represent effects of these more involved correlations.

As mentioned above, the chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ is often considered an order parameter for the $SU(N_f)_A$ chiral symmetry of QCD and, therefore, its density and temperature dependence is investigated extensively. The change of $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, however, might partially originate from virtual low-momentum pions and thus could not clearly signal partial restoration of chiral symmetry in matter [11]. The interpretation of four-quark condensates as order parameters for spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry is still an open issue. In [12] a specific combination of four-quark condensates arising from the difference between vector and axial-vector correlators is proposed as such an alternative parameter. This combination (shown to agree with vacuum factorization in the analysis of τ decay data [13]) is distinct from the four-quark condensates in the nucleon channel as well as from the combination in the ω sum rule [4]. For instance, in vacuum QCD sum rules for the nucleon [4] the four-quark condensate combination contains a chirally invariant part when assuming flavor symmetry (ψ is a flavor vector)

$$\left[2(2t^2 + t + 2) \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \rangle + (3t^2 + 4t + 3) \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \psi \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \psi \rangle \right] - \frac{3}{4} [\text{color structures with } \lambda^A],$$

since the condensates $\langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \psi \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \psi \rangle$ are invariants w.r.t. the $SU(N_f)_A$ transfor-

mation $\psi \rightarrow \psi' = \exp\{i\beta_\alpha T^\alpha \gamma_5\}\psi$ and contains another part

$$\left[3(t^2 - 1) \left(\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\bar{\psi}\psi \rangle + \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi \rangle \right) \right] - \frac{3}{4} [\text{color structures with } \lambda^A]$$

including condensates which break the $SU(N_f)_A$ symmetry. The vanishing of the four-quark condensates in this second part would restore the broken $SU(N_f)_A$ symmetry. The combinations are weighted by polynomials of the mixing parameter t allowing general forms of the nucleon interpolating field. In the preferred case $t = -1$ (the Ioffe current for the nucleon) only the chirally invariant part survives and thus this remainder cannot be used as an order parameter. Additional insight into the change of four-quark condensates and their role as order parameters of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking could be acquired from other hadronic channels.

Nevertheless, when identifying chiral symmetry restoration with degenerating vector (V) and axial-vector (A) spectral functions the four-quark condensates occur as order parameter [6, 14]

$$\int dq q^3 (\Pi_V(q) - \Pi_A(q)) = -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha_s \langle \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle \quad (1)$$

with

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \langle (\bar{u}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\lambda^a u - \bar{d}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\lambda^a d)^2 \rangle - \langle (\bar{u}\gamma_\mu\lambda^a u - \bar{d}\gamma_\mu\lambda^a d)^2 \rangle$$

showing (i) that four-quark condensates are important for chiral symmetry restoration in the sense of degeneracy of vector and axial-vector currents, and (ii) that such formulations refer to moments, i.e., weighted integrals.

Our contribution is organized as follows. In section 2, we consider a dispersion relation being the starting point of the QCD sum rule approach. Section 3 is devoted to specific cases: The status of the ω meson is recapitulated (3.1) and the nucleon is discussed (3.2). The case of the D meson as an example for the light-heavy flavor sector is dealt with (3.3, where a brief remark is made on the J/ψ as example for the heavy-heavy sector). The summary can be found in section 4.

2 Contemplation on Dispersion Relations

Let be given the analytical properties of the correlation function $\Pi(q_0)$ of a selected hadron (actually, all hadronic excitations with a given set of the same quantum numbers), such that it can be expressed through its spectral function $\Delta\Pi(\omega)$ via the dispersion relation

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega - q_0} = \Pi(q_0). \quad (2)$$

This leads to the celebrated form employed in QCD sum rules. The l.h.s. contains the hadronic spectral function, while the r.h.s. is evaluated, for large Euclidian energies q_0 and fixed momenta \vec{q} , by means of the OPE. We assume for the moment being that the r.h.s. is settled. In order to attempt the isolation of the contribution of the lowest hadronic excitation one can perform the following chain of reformulations. Define even ("e") and odd ("o") contributions

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{\omega \Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} = \Pi^e(q_0^2) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\Pi(q_0) + \Pi(-q_0)), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} = \Pi^o(q_0^2) \equiv \frac{1}{2q_0} (\Pi(q_0) - \Pi(-q_0)) \quad (4)$$

and split the integrals with respect to the low-lying hadron under consideration in the intervals $0 \cdots \omega_+$ for positive energy and $\omega_- \cdots 0$ for negative energy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_-}^0 d\omega \omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\omega_+} d\omega \omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} \\ = \Pi^e(q_0^2) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\omega_-} d\omega \omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_+}^{\infty} d\omega \omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} \equiv R_e, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_-}^0 d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\omega_+} d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} \\ = \Pi^o(q_0^2) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\omega_-} d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_+}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} \equiv R_o. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The integrals on the r.h.s. can be converted into expressions similar to the OPE by exploiting the semi-local duality hypothesis. Defining further the moments

$$E_- = \frac{\int_{\omega_-}^0 d\omega \omega \Delta\Pi(\omega) (\omega^2 - q_0^2)^{-1}}{\int_{\omega_-}^0 d\omega \Delta\Pi(\omega) (\omega^2 - q_0^2)^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad E_+ = \frac{\int_0^{\omega_+} d\omega \omega \Delta\Pi(\omega) (\omega^2 - q_0^2)^{-1}}{\int_0^{\omega_+} d\omega \Delta\Pi(\omega) (\omega^2 - q_0^2)^{-1}}, \quad (7)$$

the even and odd sum rules can be rephrased to formulate relations which rather depend on ratios than purely on absolute spectral integrals. In a combined resulting sum rule

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\omega_+} d\omega \frac{\Delta\Pi(\omega)}{\omega^2 - q_0^2} = \frac{R_e - E_- R_o}{E_+ - E_-} \quad (8)$$

the integral over the negative energy contribution seems to be "eliminated". (This contribution, however, is still included in the ratio E_- .)

In the special case of an anti-symmetric spectral function, $\Delta\Pi(\omega) = -\Delta\Pi(-\omega)$, e.g. for ρ^0 and ω , one obtains with $\omega_- = -\omega_+$ that $E_+ = -E_-$, $R_o = 0$ and (using $s = \omega^2$) $\int_0^{s_+} ds \frac{\Delta\Pi(s)}{s - q_0^2} = R_e$. Therefrom one can define a normalized moment for the Borel transform

$$\bar{m}^2(n, \mathcal{M}^2, s_+) \equiv \frac{\int_0^{s_+} ds \Delta\Pi(s, n) e^{-s/\mathcal{M}^2}}{\int_0^{s_+} ds \Delta\Pi(s, n) s^{-1} e^{-s/\mathcal{M}^2}}, \quad (9)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the Borel mass. Determined by the ratio of R_e and its logarithmic derivative, this quantity is model independent (but suffers from the ad hoc introduced continuum threshold s_+). Its meaning becomes obvious for a pole ansatz, $\Delta\Pi(s) = F\delta(m^2 - s)$, where $\bar{m}(n, \mathcal{M}^2, s_+) = m$ follows.

In the generic case, however, the excitation spectrum of particles and anti-particles in a medium is asymmetric, and the dispersion relation (2) alone seems not to allow separate model-independent statements about the low-lying excitation at positive energy. This appears as a severe limitation of this form of the QCD sum rule approach.

3 Distinct Probes for QCD Condensates

3.1 ω meson

The ω and ρ^0 mesons, including their mixing, have been dealt with in [8, 15] in detail. In [8] the combined four-quark condensates contributing to the OPE terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{u} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \lambda^A u \bar{u} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \lambda^A u \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{d} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \lambda^A d \bar{d} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \lambda^A d \rangle + \langle \bar{u} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \lambda^A u \bar{d} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \lambda^A d \rangle \\ & + \frac{2}{9} \langle \bar{u} \gamma_\mu \lambda^A u \bar{d} \gamma^\mu \lambda^A d \rangle + \frac{1}{9} \langle \bar{u} \gamma_\mu \lambda^A u \bar{u} \gamma^\mu \lambda^A u \rangle + \frac{1}{9} \langle \bar{d} \gamma_\mu \lambda^A d \bar{d} \gamma^\mu \lambda^A d \rangle \\ & = \frac{112}{81} \left(\kappa_\omega^{\text{vac}} \langle \bar{q} q \rangle_{\text{vac}}^2 + \kappa_\omega^{\text{med}} \langle \bar{q} q \rangle_{\text{vac}} \frac{\sigma_N}{m_q} n \right) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

in the QCD sum rule for the ω meson have been assigned a strong density dependence, at least $\kappa_\omega^{\text{med}} = 4$. Otherwise the numerically large Landau damping term would push up the weighted strength [16]. In contrast, the experiment [17] finds a lowering of the ω strength. Fig. 2 exhibits the density dependence of the mass parameter (9). Note that this parameter coincides only in zero-width approximation with the ω pole mass squared; in general it is a normalized moment of $\text{Im}\Pi^\omega$ to be calculated from data or models.




Figure 2: The mass parameter defined in (9) and averaged within the Borel window as a function of the baryon density n at $T = 0$ for $\kappa_\omega^{\text{med}} = 4$ and condensates up to mass dimension 6 (solid curve). The effect of mass dimension 8 condensates is exhibited by the shaded area. For the sigma term σ_N and the vacuum condensate $\langle \bar{q} q \rangle_{\text{vac}} \equiv \langle \bar{q} q \rangle_{0,0}$ we employ standard values, while $\kappa_\omega^{\text{vac}}$ is adjusted to the vacuum ω mass. For details cf. [8].

3.2 Nucleon

The nucleon QCD sum rule is more involved since several Dirac structures need to be considered [18]: $\Pi(q) = \Pi_s(q^2, qv) + \Pi_q(q^2, qv)\not{q} + \Pi_v(q^2, qv)\not{v}$, i.e., besides the even and odd parts one has $\Delta\Pi_i$ with $i = s, q, v$, where v refers to the four-velocity of the medium. We evaluate the QCD sum rule by utilizing the ansatz

$$\Delta G(q_0) = \frac{\pi}{1 - \Sigma_q} \frac{\not{q} + M_N^* - \not{v}\Sigma_v}{E_+ - E_-} [\delta(q_0 - E_-) - \delta(q_0 - E_+)], \quad (11)$$

with the implication

$$(E_+ - E_-) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\omega_+} d\omega \Delta\Pi(\omega) e^{-\omega^2/\mathcal{M}^2} = -\frac{\lambda_N^2}{1 - \Sigma_q} (\not{q} + M_N^* - \not{v}\Sigma_v) e^{-E_+^2/\mathcal{M}^2}. \quad (12)$$

The quantities $E_\pm = \Sigma_v \pm \sqrt{M_N^{*2} + \vec{q}^2}$ are related, in the ansatz, to the scalar and vector contributions to the self-energy, $\Sigma_s = M_N^* - M_N$ and Σ_v . Figure 3 exhibits $\Sigma_{s,v}$ for special values of the density

dependence parameters $\kappa_s^{\text{med}} = 1.2$ $\kappa_q^{\text{med}} = -0.4$ $\tilde{\kappa}_v^{\text{med}} = 0.1$ entering the three independent four-quark condensate combinations

$$\langle \bar{u}\psi u\bar{d}d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma_\kappa u\bar{d}\sigma_{\lambda\pi}d\epsilon^{\kappa\lambda\pi\xi}v_\xi \rangle - \frac{3}{4} [\text{color structures with } \lambda^A] = \kappa_s^{\text{med}} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\text{vac}} \frac{3}{2}n, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \bar{u}\gamma_\tau u\bar{u}\gamma^\tau u \rangle - \langle \bar{u}\psi u\bar{u}\psi u/v^2 \rangle - \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma_\tau u\bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma^\tau u \rangle + \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\psi u\bar{u}\gamma_5\psi u/v^2 \rangle \\ & + 4 \langle \bar{u}\gamma_\tau u\bar{d}\gamma^\tau d \rangle - \langle \bar{u}\psi u\bar{d}\psi d/v^2 \rangle + 2 \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma_\tau u\bar{d}\gamma_5\gamma^\tau d \rangle + \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\psi u\bar{d}\gamma_5\psi d/v^2 \rangle \\ & - \frac{3}{4} [\text{color structures with } \lambda^A] = \frac{3}{2} \left(\kappa_q^{\text{vac}} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\text{vac}}^2 + \kappa_q^{\text{med}} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\text{vac}} \frac{\sigma_N}{m_q} n \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{4} \langle \bar{u}\gamma_\tau u\bar{u}\gamma^\tau u \rangle + \langle \bar{u}\psi u\bar{u}\psi u/v^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma_\tau u\bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma^\tau u \rangle - \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\psi u\bar{u}\gamma_5\psi u/v^2 \rangle \\ & -\frac{1}{4} \langle \bar{u}\gamma_\tau u\bar{d}\gamma^\tau d \rangle + \langle \bar{u}\psi u\bar{d}\psi d/v^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\gamma_\tau u\bar{d}\gamma_5\gamma^\tau d \rangle - \langle \bar{u}\gamma_5\psi u\bar{d}\gamma_5\psi d/v^2 \rangle \\ & -\frac{3}{4} [\text{color structures with } \lambda^A] = \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\kappa}_v^{\text{med}} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\text{vac}} \frac{\sigma_N}{m_q} n. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We use further $\kappa_q^{\text{vac}} = 0.8$ and assume equal continuum thresholds for the three components of the sum rule for contributions $i = s, q, v$. Changes of the self-energies under individual variations of κ_s^{med} , κ_q^{med} , $\tilde{\kappa}_v^{\text{med}}$ are reported in [4]. The variation of the genuine chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ causes only tiny changes.




Figure 3: Nucleon vector and scalar self-energies as a function of the baryon density n at $T = 0$ for a special choice of κ_s^{med} , κ_q^{med} , $\tilde{\kappa}_v^{\text{med}}$, see [4]. The self-energies from chiral effective field theory [19] (ChEFT, dash-dotted curves) are shown as well.

3.3 D meson

The D meson QCD sum rule is entered [20, 21] by the combination $m_c \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, i.e., the charm mass m_c acts as magnifier of the chiral condensate. The evaluation of the sum rule for the D^\pm , D_0 , \bar{D}_0 multiplet involves the renormalization of non-perturbative condensates in order to compensate the parts of perturbative contributions, which are dominated by the non-vanishing mass scales. These parts are of non-perturbative origin and thus should be covered by the appropriately defined non-perturbative condensates (usually called "non-normal ordered" condensates [22])

$$\langle \bar{q} \hat{O} (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) q \rangle = \langle : \bar{q} \hat{O} (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu) q : \rangle - i \int d^4p \left\langle \text{Tr} \left[\hat{O} \left(-ip_\mu - i\tilde{A}_\mu \right) S^{\text{per}}(p) \right] \right\rangle, \quad (16)$$

which quantify the non-perturbative regime [23]. The integral denotes the perturbatively calculated contribution [24]. The operator \hat{O} is a function of the covariant derivative and contains general Dirac structures, A is the gluon gauge field in fixed-point gauge and \tilde{A} its Fourier transform; $: \cdots :$ denotes normal ordering, and S^{per} is the quark propagator in the gluonic background field.

Application of this condensate renormalization cancels mass logarithms in OPE calculations [25] and causes a mixing between different types of condensates, e.g., the chiral condensate mixes with the gluon condensate (cf. also [22])

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = \langle : \bar{q}q : \rangle + \frac{3}{4\pi^2} m_q^3 \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{12m_q} \left\langle : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : \right\rangle + \dots \quad , \quad (17)$$

which relates in the heavy quark sector the heavy quark condensate (e.g. $\langle \bar{c}c \rangle$ for the charm condensate) to gluon condensates [24, 26]

$$m_c \langle \bar{c}c \rangle = -\frac{1}{12} \left\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \right\rangle - \frac{1}{m_c^2} \frac{1}{1440\pi^2} \langle g_s^3 G^3 \rangle + \dots \quad . \quad (18)$$

This is utilized in the QCD sum rule for the J/ψ meson entered by the combination $m_c \langle \bar{c}c \rangle$, which one expects to exhibit the weak density dependence of the gluon condensate, as shown in Fig. 1. In fact, the evaluation of the QCD sum rule for J/ψ shows only a tiny change of the in-medium mass [27].

In finite density QCD sum rules for the D meson, medium-specific condensates appear and introduce, via their mixing, further gluon condensates into the OPE. An example is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{q} \gamma_\mu i D_\nu q \rangle &= \langle : \bar{q} \gamma_\mu i D_\nu q : \rangle + \frac{9}{4\pi^2} m_q^4 g_{\mu\nu} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} + \frac{5}{12} \right) - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{48} \left\langle : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 : \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{18} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - 4 \frac{v_\mu v_\nu}{v^2} \right) \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_q^2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \left\langle : \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{(vG)^2}{v^2} - \frac{G^2}{4} \right) : \right\rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where μ is the renormalization scale, already introduced in (17). The complete reevaluation of the in-medium D meson QCD sum rules, in particular the complete OPE side up to mass dimension 6 for products of quark masses and condensates, is under investigation.

4 Summary

In summary we survey the QCD sum rules for the ω meson and the nucleon. The sum rules allow for a direct relation of hadron properties to QCD condensates which change at nonzero baryon density. The genuine chiral condensate has numerically a small influence in the Borel transformed sum rules. Instead, the four-quark condensates determine to a large extent the density dependence of these hadrons composed of light quarks. In contrast, in the heavy-light quark sector, e.g., represented by D mesons, the impact of the chiral condensate is expected to become noticeable. A systematic investigation of various hadron species is needed to investigate the role of the many four-quark condensates entering the QCD sum rules in different combinations.

Acknowledgements

The work is supported by BMBF 06DR136, GSI-FE and EU I3HP.

References

- [1] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 147 (1979) 385

- [2] G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86 (2001) 5008
- [3] T. Doi, N. Ishii, M. Oka, H. Suganuma, *Phys. Rev. D* 70 (2004) 034510
- [4] R. Thomas, T. Hilger, B. Kämpfer, *Nucl. Phys. A* 795 (2007) 19
- [5] A. I. Bochkarev, M. E. Shaposhnikov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 268 (1986) 220
- [6] T. Hatsuda, S.H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. C* 46 (1992) R34
- [7] G.E. Brown, M. Rho, *Phys. Rep.* 363 (2002) 85 and further references therein
- [8] R. Thomas, S. Zschocke, B. Kämpfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 (2005) 232301
- [9] R. Thomas, S. Zschocke, T. Hilger, B. Kämpfer, Invited talk at 44th International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics, Bormio, Italy, 29 Jan - 5 Feb 2006, hep-ph/0602163
- [10] S. Leupold, *Phys. Lett. B* 616 (2005) 203
- [11] M. Birse, *Phys. Rev. C* 53 (1996) 2048
- [12] S. Leupold, *J. Phys. G* 32 (2006) 2199
- [13] J. Bordes, C. A. Dominguez, J. Penarrocha, K. Schilcher, *JHEP* 02 (2006) 037
- [14] J.I. Kapusta, E.V. Shuryak, *Phys. Rev. D* 49 (1994) 4694
- [15] S. Zschocke, B. Kämpfer, *Phys. Rev. C* 70 (2004) 035207
- [16] S. Zschocke, B. Kämpfer, O.P. Pavlenko, *Eur. Phys. J. A* 15 (2002) 529; *Phys. Lett. B* 562 (2003) 57; B. Kämpfer, S. Zschocke, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 53 (2004) 317
- [17] D. Trnka et al. (CB-TAPS), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94 (2005) 192303
- [18] T.D. Cohen, R.J. Furnstahl, D.K. Kriegel, X.M. Jin, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 35 (1995) 221
- [19] O. Plohl, C. Fuchs, *Phys. Rev. C* 74 (2006) 034325
- [20] A. Hayashigaki, *Phys. Lett. B* 487 (2000) 96
- [21] P. Morath, W. Weise, S.H. Lee, 17th Autumn School; QCD: Perturbative or Nonperturbative? Lisbon, Portugal, 29 Sep - 4 Oct 1999, p. 425
- [22] S. Narison, *QCD as a Theory of Hadrons*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004
- [23] V.P. Spiridonov, K.G. Chetyrkin, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 47 (1988) 522
- [24] A.G. Grozin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 10 (1995) 3497
- [25] M. Jamin, M. Münz, *Z. Phys. C* 60 (1993) 569
- [26] D.J. Broadhurst, S.C. Generalis, *Phys. Lett. B* 165 (1985) 175
- [27] F. Klingl, S.-S. Kim, S. H. Lee, P. Morath, W. Weise, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999) 3396