

Ref. SISSA 78/2007/EP  
 Ref. IPPP/07/83  
 Ref. CPT/07/166  
 hep-ph/xxxxxxx

# Majorana Neutrinos, Neutrino Mass Spectrum and the $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 10^{-3}$ eV Frontier in Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay

S. Pascoli<sup>a</sup> and S. T. Petcov<sup>b 1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*IPPP, Department of Physics, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom*

<sup>b</sup>*Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, I-34014 Trieste, Italy  
 Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste, I-34014 Trieste, Italy*

## Abstract

If future neutrino oscillation experiments show that the neutrino mass spectrum is with normal ordering,  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ , and the searches for neutrinoless double beta  $((\beta\beta)_{0\nu})$  decay with sensitivity to values of the effective Majorana mass  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 10^{-2}$  eV give negative results, the next frontier in the quest for  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will correspond to  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 10^{-3}$  eV. Assuming that massive neutrinos are Majorana particles and their exchange is the dominant mechanism generating  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay, we analyse the conditions under which  $|\langle m \rangle|$ , in the case of three neutrino mixing and neutrino mass spectrum with normal ordering, would satisfy  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 0.001$  eV. We consider the specific cases of i) normal hierarchical neutrino mass spectrum, ii) of relatively small value of the CHOOZ angle  $\theta_{13}$  as well as iii) the general case of spectrum with normal ordering, partial hierarchy and a value of  $\theta_{13}$  close to the existing upper limit. We study the ranges of the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  and/or of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , for which  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 0.001$  eV and discuss the phenomenological implications of such scenarios. We provide also an estimate of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  when the three neutrino masses and the neutrino mixing originate from neutrino mass term of Majorana type for the (left-handed) flavour neutrinos and  $\sum_j^3 m_j U_{ej}^2 = 0$ , but there does not exist a symmetry which forbids the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay.

---

<sup>1</sup>Also at: Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

# 1 Introduction

The experiments with solar [1, 2, 3], atmospheric [4], reactor [5, 6] and accelerator neutrinos [7, 8] have provided during the last several years compelling evidence for the existence of neutrino oscillations caused by nonzero neutrino masses and neutrino mixing. The neutrino oscillation data (see also [9, 10]) imply the presence of 3-neutrino mixing in the weak charged lepton current (see, e.g. [11]):

$$\nu_{lL} = \sum_{j=1}^3 U_{lj} \nu_{jL}, \quad l = e, \mu, \tau, \quad (1)$$

where  $\nu_{lL}$  are the flavour neutrino fields,  $\nu_{jL}$  is the field of neutrino  $\nu_j$  having a mass  $m_j$  and  $U$  is the Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata (PMNS) mixing matrix [12],  $U \equiv U_{\text{PMNS}}$ .

In spite of the remarkable progress made, first, in demonstrating experimentally the existence of neutrino oscillations and, second, in determining the pattern of neutrino mixing and the values of the two neutrino mass squared differences, responsible for the solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations, our knowledge in what concerns most of the basic aspects of neutrino mixing is very limited at present (see, e.g. [11]). We still do not know i) what the nature of neutrinos with definite mass is - Dirac or Majorana, ii) what type of spectrum neutrino masses obey, iii) what the absolute scale of neutrino masses is, iv) whether the CP-symmetry is violated in the lepton sector by the neutrino mixing matrix  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$ , v) what the value of the CHOOZ angle is - being the smallest mixing angle in the PMNS matrix, it controls (together with the Dirac CP-violating phase) the magnitude of CP-violation effects in neutrino oscillations, vi) whether the observed patterns of neutrino mixing is related to the existence of a new symmetry in Nature, etc.

Establishing whether the neutrinos with definite mass  $\nu_j$  are Dirac fermions possessing distinct antiparticles, or Majorana fermions, i.e. spin 1/2 particles that are identical with their antiparticles, is of fundamental importance for making progress in our understanding of the origin of neutrino masses and mixing and of the symmetries governing the lepton sector of particle interactions (see, e.g. [11]). It is well-known that the presence of massive Dirac neutrinos is associated with the existence of a conserved additive lepton number, which can be, e.g. the total lepton charge  $L = L_e + L_\mu + L_\tau$ . If the particle interactions do not conserve any lepton charge, the massive neutrinos  $\nu_j$  will be Majorana fermions (see, e.g. [13]).

The only feasible experiments having the potential of establishing the Majorana nature of massive neutrinos at present are the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiments searching for the process  $(A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z + 2) + e^- + e^-$  (for reviews see, e.g. [13, 14, 15, 16]). The observation of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay and the measurement of the corresponding half-life with sufficient accuracy, would not only be a proof that the total lepton charge is not conserved, but might provide also unique information on the i) type of neutrino mass spectrum [17, 18] (see also [19, 20]), ii) absolute scale of neutrino masses (see, e.g. [19]), and iii) Majorana CP-violating (CPV) phases [21, 18, 22, 23] (see also the related discussions in, e.g. [24, 25, 26]).

Under the assumptions of 3- $\nu$  mixing, of massive neutrinos  $\nu_j$  being Majorana particles, and of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay generated only by the (V-A) charged current weak interaction via the exchange of the three Majorana neutrinos  $\nu_j$  having masses  $m_j \lesssim$  few MeV, the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude has the form (see, e.g. [13, 18]):  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu} \cong \langle m \rangle M$ , where  $M$  is the corresponding nuclear matrix element (NME) which does not depend on the neutrino mixing

parameters, and  $\langle m \rangle$  is the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay effective Majorana mass,

$$|\langle m \rangle| = |m_1 |U_{e1}|^2 + m_2 |U_{e2}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{21}} + m_3 |U_{e3}|^2 e^{i\alpha_{31}}|. \quad (2)$$

Here  $|U_{ej}|$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , are the absolute values of the elements of the first row of the PMNS mixing matrix,  $|U_{e1}| = c_{12}c_{13}$ ,  $|U_{e2}| = s_{12}c_{13}$ ,  $|U_{e3}| = s_{13}$ ,  $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$ ,  $s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$ ,  $\theta_{12} \equiv \theta_\odot$ ,  $\theta_{23} \equiv \theta_A$  and  $\theta_{13}$  being the solar neutrino, atmospheric neutrino and CHOOZ mixing angles in the standard parametrisation of  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$  (see, e.g. [18]), and  $\alpha_{21}$ ,  $\alpha_{31}$  are the two Majorana CP-violation phases in  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$  [27, 28].

The experimental searches for  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay have a long history [14]. The best sensitivity was achieved in the Heidelberg-Moscow  $^{76}\text{Ge}$  experiment [29]:  $|\langle m \rangle| < (0.35 - 1.05) \text{ eV}$  (90% C.L.), where a factor of 3 uncertainty in the relevant NME (see, e.g. [30]) is taken into account. The IGEX collaboration has obtained [31]:  $|\langle m \rangle| < (0.33 - 1.35) \text{ eV}$  (90% C.L.). A positive signal at  $> 3\sigma$ , corresponding to  $|\langle m \rangle| = (0.1 - 0.9) \text{ eV}$ , is claimed to be observed in [32]. Two experiments, NEMO3 (with  $^{100}\text{Mo}$  and  $^{82}\text{Se}$ ) [33] and CUORICINO (with  $^{130}\text{Te}$ ) [34], designed to reach a sensitivity to  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim (0.2 - 0.3) \text{ eV}$ , set the limits:  $|\langle m \rangle| < (0.7 - 1.2) \text{ eV}$  [33] and  $|\langle m \rangle| < (0.2 - 0.9) \text{ eV}$  [34] (90% C.L.), where estimated uncertainties in the NME are accounted for. Most importantly, a large number of projects aim at a sensitivity to  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim (0.01 - 0.05) \text{ eV}$  [35]: CUORE ( $^{130}\text{Te}$ ), GERDA ( $^{76}\text{Ge}$ ), SuperNEMO, EXO ( $^{136}\text{Xe}$ ), MAJORANA ( $^{76}\text{Ge}$ ), MOON ( $^{100}\text{Mo}$ ), COBRA ( $^{116}\text{Cd}$ ), XMASS ( $^{136}\text{Xe}$ ), CANDLES ( $^{48}\text{Ca}$ ), etc. These experiments, in particular, will test the positive result claimed in [32].

The predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  depends strongly on the type of  $\nu$ -mass spectrum [17, 18], more precisely, on the type of hierarchy neutrino masses obey. Let us recall that the neutrino mass spectrum (in a standardly used convention) can be with *normal ordering*,  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ , or with *inverted ordering*,  $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$ . The first corresponds to  $\Delta m_A^2 \equiv \Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ ,  $|\Delta m_A^2| \sim (0.05)^2 \text{ eV}^2$  being the neutrino mass squared difference responsible for the (dominant) atmospheric neutrino oscillations; the second is realised if  $\Delta m_A^2 \equiv \Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$ . Depending on the  $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_A^2)$  and the value of the lightest neutrino mass, i.e., the absolute neutrino mass scale,  $\min(m_j) \equiv m_{\text{MIN}}$ , the neutrino mass spectrum can be

- i) *Normal Hierarchical* (NH):  $m_1 \ll m_2 < m_3$ ,  $m_2 \cong (\Delta m_\odot^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $m_3 \cong (\Delta m_A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\Delta m_\odot^2 \equiv \Delta m_{21}^2 \sim 0.009 \text{ eV}$  being the neutrino mass squared difference driving the solar  $\nu_e$  oscillations;
- ii) *Inverted Hierarchical* (IH):  $m_3 \ll m_1 < m_2$ , with  $m_{1,2} \cong |\Delta m_A^2|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\Delta m_\odot^2 = \Delta m_{21}^2$ ;
- iii) *Quasi-Degenerate* (QD):  $m_1 \cong m_2 \cong m_3 \cong m_0$ ,  $m_j^2 \gg |\Delta m_A^2|$ ,  $m_0 \gtrsim 0.10 \text{ eV}$ .

The existence of significant and robust lower bounds on  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the cases of IH and QD spectra [17] (see also [19]), given respectively <sup>2</sup> by  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.01 \text{ eV}$  and  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.03 \text{ eV}$ , which lie either partially (IH spectrum) or completely (QD spectrum) within the range of sensitivity of the next generation of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiments, is one of the most important features of the predictions of  $|\langle m \rangle|$ . At the same time we have  $|\langle m \rangle| \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$  in the case of NH spectrum [23]. The fact that  $\max(|\langle m \rangle|)$  in the case of NH spectrum is considerably smaller than  $\min(|\langle m \rangle|)$  for the IH and QD spectrum opens the possibility of obtaining information about the type of  $\nu$ -mass spectrum from a measurement of  $|\langle m \rangle| \neq 0$  [17]. More specifically, a positive result in the future generation of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiments with  $|\langle m \rangle| > 0.01 \text{ eV}$  would imply that the NH spectrum is strongly

---

<sup>2</sup>Up to small corrections we have in the cases of two spectra [17]:  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim \Delta m_A^2 \cos 2\theta_\odot$  (IH) and  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim m_0 \cos 2\theta_\odot$  (QD). The possibility of  $\cos 2\theta_\odot = 0$  is ruled out at  $\sim 6\sigma$  by the existing data [36, 37], which also imply that  $\cos 2\theta_\odot \gtrsim 0.26$  at  $2\sigma$  [37]. We also have  $\Delta m_A^2 \gtrsim 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  at  $3\sigma$  (see further).

disfavored (if not excluded). For  $\Delta m_A^2 > 0$ , such a result would mean that the neutrino mass spectrum is with normal ordering, but is not hierarchical. If  $\Delta m_A^2 < 0$ , the neutrino mass spectrum would be either IH or QD.

If the future  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiments show that  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV, both the IH and the QD spectrum will be ruled out for massive Majorana neutrinos. If in addition it is established in neutrino oscillation experiments that the neutrino mass spectrum is with *inverted ordering*, i.e. that  $\Delta m_A^2 < 0$ , one would be led to conclude that either the massive neutrinos  $\nu_j$  are Dirac fermions, or that  $\nu_j$  are Majorana particles but there are additional contributions to the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude which interfere destructively with that due to the exchange of light massive Majorana neutrinos. However, if  $\Delta m_A^2$  is determined to be positive in neutrino oscillation experiments, the upper limit  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV would be perfectly compatible with massive Majorana neutrinos possessing NH mass spectrum, or mass spectrum with normal ordering but partial hierarchy, and the quest for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  would still be open.

If indeed in the next generation of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiments it is found that  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV, while the neutrino oscillation experiments show that  $\Delta m_A^2 > 0$ , the next frontier in the searches for  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay would most probably correspond to values of  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 0.001$  eV. Taking  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0.001$  eV as a reference value, we investigate in the present article the conditions under which  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the case of neutrino mass spectrum with normal ordering would be guaranteed to satisfy  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.001$  eV. We consider the specific cases of i) normal hierarchical neutrino mass spectrum, ii) of relatively small value of the CHOOZ angle  $\theta_{13}$  as well as iii) the general case of spectrum with normal ordering, partial hierarchy and a value of  $\theta_{13}$  close to the existing upper limit. We study the ranges of the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  and/or of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , for which  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.001$  eV and discuss the phenomenological implications of such scenarios.

In the present analysis we do not include the effect of the uncertainty related to the imprecise knowledge of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay nuclear matrix elements (see, e.g. [30]). We hope that by the time it will become clear whether the searches for  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will require a sensitivity to values of  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV, the problem of sufficiently precise calculation of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay nuclear matrix elements will be resolved <sup>3</sup>.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we present predictions for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  using the present  $2\sigma$  experimentally allowed ranges of values of the neutrino oscillation parameters and future prospective uncertainties in their values. In Section 3 we analyse the conditions under which  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the case of  $\nu$  mass spectrum with normal ordering would be guaranteed to satisfy  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.001$  eV. We consider the cases of i) normal hierarchical spectrum, ii) small  $\theta_{13}$ , and ii) spectrum with partial hierarchy. In Section 4 we give an estimate of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  when the three  $\nu$  masses and the neutrino mixing originate from neutrino mass term of Majorana type for the (left-handed) flavour neutrinos and  $\sum_j^3 m_j U_{ej}^2 = 0$ , but  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay is allowed. Section 5 contains the conclusions of the present analysis.

---

<sup>3</sup>Encouraging results, in what regards the problem of calculation of the NME, were reported in [30]. A possible test of the NME calculations is discussed in [38]. Let us note that nuclear matrix elements uncertainties do not affect the predictions for the effective Majorana mass parameter directly, but induce a spread on the values of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay half-life times which correspond to the predicted values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$ . Conversely, if a measurement of the half-life time is performed or a stringent bound is obtained, they would affect the experimentally determined value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  and the constraints following from the latter.

## 2 Neutrino Oscillation Data and Predictions for $|< m >|$

The existing neutrino oscillation data allow us to determine the parameters which drive the solar neutrino and the dominant atmospheric neutrino oscillations,  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 = \Delta m_{21}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \equiv \sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$ , and  $|\Delta m_A^2| = |\Delta m_{31}^2| \cong |\Delta m_{32}^2|$ ,  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ , with a relatively good precision, and to obtain rather stringent limits on the CHOOZ angle [39]  $\theta_{13}$  (see, e.g. [36, 37]). The best fit values and the  $2\sigma$  allowed ranges of  $|\Delta m_A^2|$ ,  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  read [37]:

$$(|\Delta m_A^2|)_{\text{BF}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \quad 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \leq |\Delta m_A^2| \leq 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2, \quad (3)$$

$$(\Delta m_{\odot}^2)_{\text{BF}} = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2, \quad 7.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \leq \Delta m_{\odot}^2 \leq 8.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2, \quad (4)$$

$$(\sin^2 \theta_{\odot})_{\text{BF}} = 0.32, \quad 0.28 \leq \sin^2 \theta_{\odot} \leq 0.37. \quad (5)$$

A combined  $3\nu$  oscillation analysis of the global neutrino oscillation data gives [37]

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.033 \text{ (0.050)} \quad \text{at} \quad 2\sigma \text{ (3}\sigma\text{)}. \quad (6)$$

The existing data allow a determination of  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  and  $|\Delta m_A^2|$  at  $3\sigma$  with an error of approximately 8%, 22%, and 17%, respectively [37]. Future oscillation experiments will improve considerably the precision on these basic parameters: the indicated  $3\sigma$  errors could be reduced to 4%, 12% [40, 41] and better than 5% [41, 42, 43] (see also the discussion in [11, 23] and the references quoted therein), and even to  $\sim 1\%$  for  $\Delta m_A^2$  [44]. “Near” future experiments with reactor  $\bar{\nu}_e$  can improve the current sensitivity to the value of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  by a factor of (5-10) (see, e.g. [45]), while future long baseline experiments will aim at measuring values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  as small as  $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-3}$  (see, e.g. [41, 43]).

The type of neutrino mass hierarchy, i.e.  $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_A^2)$ , can be determined by studying oscillations of neutrinos and antineutrinos, say,  $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_e$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ , in which matter effects are sufficiently large. This can be done in long base-line  $\nu$ -oscillation experiments (see, e.g. [46, 41, 43]). If  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \gtrsim 0.05$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} \gtrsim 0.50$ , information on  $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_{31}^2)$  might be obtained in atmospheric neutrino experiments by investigating the effects of the subdominant transitions  $\nu_{\mu(e)} \rightarrow \nu_{e(\mu)}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu(e)} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e(\mu)}$  of atmospheric neutrinos which traverse the Earth [47]. For  $\nu_{\mu(e)}$  (or  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu(e)}$ ) crossing the Earth core, new type of resonance-like enhancement of the indicated transitions takes place due to the (*Earth*) *mantle-core constructive interference effect (neutrino oscillation length resonance (NOLR))* [48]<sup>4</sup>. For  $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ , the neutrino transitions  $\nu_{\mu(e)} \rightarrow \nu_{e(\mu)}$  are enhanced, while for  $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$  the enhancement of antineutrino transitions  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu(e)} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e(\mu)}$  takes place, which might allow to determine  $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_{31}^2)$ . If  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is sufficiently large, the sign of  $\Delta m_A^2$  can also be determined by studying the oscillations of reactor  $\bar{\nu}_e$  on distances of  $\sim (20 - 40)$  km [51]. An experiment with reactor  $\bar{\nu}_e$ , which, in particular, might have the capabilities to measure  $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_A^2)$ , was proposed recently in [52]. According to [52], this experiment can provide a determination of  $|\Delta m_A^2|$  with an uncertainty of (3 – 4)% at  $3\sigma$ .

As is well-known, neutrino oscillations are not sensitive to the absolute scale of neutrino masses. Information on the absolute neutrino mass scale can be derived in  $^3\text{H}$   $\beta$ -decay experiments [53, 54, 55] and from cosmological and astrophysical data. The most stringent

---

<sup>4</sup>As a consequence of this effect the corresponding  $\nu_{\mu(e)}$  (or  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu(e)}$ ) transition probabilities can be maximal [49] (for the precise conditions of the mantle-core (NOLR) enhancement see [48, 49]). Let us note that the Earth mantle-core (NOLR) enhancement of neutrino transitions differs [48] from the MSW one. It also differs [48, 49] from the parametric resonance mechanisms of enhancement discussed in the articles [50].

upper bounds on the  $\bar{\nu}_e$  mass were obtained in the Troitzk [54] and Mainz [55] experiments:

$$m_{\bar{\nu}_e} < 2.3 \text{ eV} \quad \text{at 95\% C.L.} \quad (7)$$

We have  $m_{\bar{\nu}_e} \cong m_{1,2,3}$  in the case of the QD  $\nu$ -mass spectrum. The KATRIN experiment [55] is planned to reach a sensitivity of  $m_{\bar{\nu}_e} \sim 0.20 \text{ eV}$ , i.e. it will probe the region of the QD spectrum. Information on the type of neutrino mass spectrum can also be obtained in  $\beta$ -decay experiments having a sensitivity to neutrino masses [56]  $\sim \sqrt{|\Delta m_A^2|} \cong 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$  (i.e. by a factor of  $\sim 4$  better sensitivity than KATRIN [55]).

The CMB data of the WMAP experiment [57], combined with data from large scale structure surveys (2dFGRS, SDSS), lead to the following upper limit on the sum of neutrino masses (see, e.g. [58]):

$$\sum_j m_j \equiv \Sigma < (0.4\text{--}1.7) \text{ eV} \quad \text{at 95\% C.L.} \quad (8)$$

Data on weak lensing of galaxies, combined with data from the WMAP and PLANCK experiments, may allow  $\Sigma$  to be determined with an uncertainty of  $\sim 0.04 \text{ eV}$  [58, 59].

It proves convenient to express [60] the three neutrino masses in terms of  $\Delta m_\odot^2$  and  $\Delta m_A^2$ , measured in neutrino oscillation experiments, and the absolute neutrino mass scale determined by  $\min(m_j) \equiv m_{\text{MIN}}$ <sup>5</sup>. In both cases of  $\nu$ -mass spectrum with normal and inverted ordering one has (in the convention we use):  $\Delta m_\odot^2 = \Delta m_{21}^2 > 0$ ,  $m_2 = (m_1^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For normal ordering,  $m_{\text{MIN}} \equiv m_1$ ,  $\Delta m_A^2 = \Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$  and  $m_3 = (m_1^2 + \Delta m_A^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , while if the spectrum is with inverted ordering,  $m_{\text{MIN}} = m_3$ ,  $\Delta m_A^2 = \Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$  and  $m_1 = (m_3^2 + |\Delta m_A^2| - \Delta m_\odot^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For the elements of the PMNS matrix  $|U_{ej}|^2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , as we have already indicated, the following relations hold:  $|U_{e1}|^2 = \cos^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13})$ ,  $|U_{e2}|^2 = \sin^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13})$ , and  $|U_{e3}|^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . Thus, given  $|\Delta m_A^2|$ ,  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\theta_\odot$  and  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $|<m>|$  depends on the lightest neutrino mass (absolute neutrino mass scale),  $m_{\text{MIN}}$ , the two Majorana phases  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{31}$ , present in the PMNS matrix and on the type of neutrino mass spectrum (see, e.g. [18]). For neutrino mass spectrum with normal ordering we have

$$\begin{aligned} |<m>| &= \left| m_{\text{MIN}} \cos^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}) + \sqrt{m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}) e^{i\alpha_{21}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + \Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\alpha_{31}} \right|, \quad m_{\text{MIN}} \equiv m_1. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For spectrum with inverted ordering a different expression is valid [21, 18]:

$$\begin{aligned} |<m>| &= \left| \sqrt{m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + |\Delta m_A^2| - \Delta m_\odot^2} \cos^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + |\Delta m_A^2|} \sin^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}) e^{i\alpha_{21}} + m_{\text{MIN}} \sin^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\alpha_{31}} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\cong \sqrt{m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + |\Delta m_A^2|} \left| \cos^2 \theta_\odot + \sin^2 \theta_\odot e^{i\alpha_{21}} \right| (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}), \quad m_{\text{MIN}} \equiv m_3. \quad (11)$$

---

<sup>5</sup>For a detailed discussion of the relevant formalism see, e.g. [18, 16].

In Eq. (11) we have neglected  $\Delta m_\odot^2$  with respect to  $(m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + |\Delta m_A^2|)$  and the term  $m_{\text{MIN}} \sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . According to the existing data, we have  $\Delta m_\odot^2 / (m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + |\Delta m_A^2|) \lesssim 0.032$ , and  $m_{\text{MIN}} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \ll (m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + |\Delta m_A^2|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos 2\theta_\odot$ . Actually, the term  $m_{\text{MIN}} \sin^2 \theta_{13}$  can always be neglected provided  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \ll \cos 2\theta_\odot$ . The expression for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the case of IH spectrum follows from Eq. (11) if  $m_{\text{MIN}}^2 \ll |\Delta m_A^2|$  and  $m_{\text{MIN}}^2$  is neglected with respect to  $|\Delta m_A^2|$ . For the QD spectrum we get:

$$|\langle m \rangle| = m_0 \left| (\cos^2 \theta_\odot + \sin^2 \theta_\odot e^{i\alpha_{21}}) (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}) + \sin^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\alpha_{31}} \right|, \quad (12)$$

$$\cong m_0 \left| \cos^2 \theta_\odot + \sin^2 \theta_\odot e^{i\alpha_{21}} \right| (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}), \quad (13)$$

where  $m_0 \equiv m_{\text{MIN}}$ ,  $m_1 \cong m_2 \cong m_3$ . Evidently, as long as  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \ll \cos 2\theta_\odot$ , the terms  $\propto \sin^2 \theta_{13}$  in  $|\langle m \rangle|$  play an insignificant role in the cases of neutrino mass spectrum with inverted ordering (i.e.  $\Delta m_A^2 < 0$ ), or of QD type (for any  $\text{sgn}(\Delta m_A^2)$ ). In what concerns the spectrum with normal ordering, the term  $\sqrt{m_{\text{MIN}}^2 + \Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13}$  can be crucial for determining the magnitude of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  if massive neutrinos are not QD, i.e. if  $m_{\text{MIN}}^2 \lesssim \Delta m_A^2$ , and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is sufficiently large (see further).

If CP-invariance holds, we have [61]  $\alpha_{21} = k\pi$  and  $\alpha_{31} = k'\pi$ ,  $k, k' = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In the case of CP-invariance the phase factors

$$\eta_{21} \equiv e^{i\alpha_{21}} = \pm 1, \quad \eta_{31} \equiv e^{i\alpha_{31}} = \pm 1, \quad \eta_{32} \equiv e^{i\alpha_{32}} = \pm 1, \quad (14)$$

as is well-known, have a simple physical interpretation [61, 13]:  $\eta_{ik}$  is the relative CP-parity of Majorana neutrinos  $\nu_i$  and  $\nu_k$ . Obviously,  $|\langle m \rangle|$  depends strongly on the Majorana CPV phase(s): the CP-conserving values of  $\alpha_{21} = 0, \pm\pi$  determine, for instance, the range of possible values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the cases of IH and QD spectrum.

We recall that the neutrino oscillation experiments are insensitive to the two Majorana CP-violation phases in the PMNS matrix [27, 62] – the latter do not enter into the expressions for the probabilities of flavour neutrino oscillations. It is interesting to note, however, that in addition of playing an important role in the predictions for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  and, correspondingly, of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay half-life, the Majorana phase(s) in  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$  can provide the CP-violation necessary for the generation of the baryon asymmetry of the Universe [63, 64] (see also [65]). The Majorana phases  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{32}$  can also affect significantly the predictions for the rates of (LFV) decays  $\mu \rightarrow e + \gamma$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow \mu + \gamma$ , etc. in a large class of supersymmetric theories with see-saw mechanism of  $\nu$ -mass generation [66].

First, we will update the predictions for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  as a function of  $m_{\text{MIN}}$  using as input the  $2\sigma$  ranges of values of  $\Delta m_A^2$ ,  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , obtained from the latest available set of neutrino oscillation data (see Eqs. (3), (4) and (5)). Since  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{31}$  cannot be determined in independent experiments, we treat them as free parameters taking values  $0 \leq \alpha_{21,31} \leq 2\pi$ . The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 1.

We report in Table 1 the maximal and minimal values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  for the normal hierarchical (NH) spectrum,  $m_1 \ll m_2 < m_3$ , for the inverted hierarchical (IH) spectrum,  $m_3 \ll m_1 \simeq m_2$ , and for the quasi-degenerate spectrum (QD),  $m_1 \simeq m_2 \simeq m_3 \geq 0.2$  eV.

In Fig. 2 we show the predicted ranges of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  using the present best fit values of the neutrino oscillation parameters and their prospective errors as discussed above. We assumed a  $1\sigma$  experimental error of 2%, 2% and 4% on  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\Delta m_A^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$ , respectively. For  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , we take  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.01$  and we consider the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty in the absolute value of 0.006. In Table 2 we give the maximal and minimal values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  for the three spectra, NH, IH and QD.

$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{min}}^{\text{NH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{max}}^{\text{NH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{min}}^{\text{IH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{max}}^{\text{IH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{min}}^{\text{QD}}$
0.7	4.8	11.3	51.5	44.2

Table 1: The maximal values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  (in units of meV) for the NH and IH spectra, and the minimal values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  (in units of meV) for the NH, IH and QD spectra, obtained using the  $2\sigma$  allowed values of the neutrino oscillation parameters. The results for the NH and IH spectra are for  $m_{\text{MIN}} = 10^{-4}$  eV, while those for the QD spectrum correspond to  $m_{\text{MIN}} = 0.2$  eV.

$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{min}}^{\text{NH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{max}}^{\text{NH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{min}}^{\text{IH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{max}}^{\text{IH}}$	$ \langle m \rangle _{\text{min}}^{\text{QD}}$
2.1 [1.5] (1.0)	3.5 [3.9] (4.4)	15.1 [15.0] (14.8)	50.1 [50.0] (49.1)	63.4 [60.7] (58.0)

Table 2: The maximal values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  (in units of meV) for the NH and IH spectra, and the minimal values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  (in units of meV) for the NH, IH and QD spectra, for the best fit values of the oscillation parameters and using the prospective errors discussed in the text. We take  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0$  [0.01] (0.02). The results for the NH and IH spectra are obtained for  $m_{\text{MIN}} = 10^{-4}$  eV, while those for the QD spectrum correspond to  $m_{\text{MIN}} = 0.2$  eV.

### 3 The $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 10^{-3}$ eV Frontier in $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -Decay

In the present Section we will analyse the conditions under which  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 10^{-3}$  eV in the case of neutrino mass spectrum with normal ordering. Before discussing the general case of arbitrary  $m_1$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  satisfying the presently existing experimental limits, we will consider two specific but physically interesting cases: i) negligibly small  $m_1$  (NH spectrum), and ii) relatively small  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , such that the term  $\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13}$  in Eq. (9) is strongly suppressed,  $\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 10^{-4}$  eV.

#### 3.1 Normal Hierarchical Spectrum

In the case of the normal hierarchical spectrum we have  $m_1 \ll m_{2,3}$  and therefore only the two heavier neutrinos,  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_3$ , contribute to the effective Majorana mass parameter. In this case  $m_2 \cong \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2}$ ,  $m_3 \cong \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2}$ , and the sum of neutrino masses reads:

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \cong 0.058 \text{ eV}. \quad (15)$$

The effective Majorana mass is given by:

$$|\langle m \rangle| \simeq \left| \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot (1 - \sin^2 \theta_{13}) + \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\alpha_{32}} \right|, \quad (16)$$

where  $\alpha_{32} \equiv \alpha_{31} - \alpha_{21}$  is the difference of the two Majorana CP-violating phases in  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$ . We will refer to the first term in the r.h.s. of Eq. (16) as the “solar term” due to its dependence on  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ , while to the second as the “atmospheric” one. The two terms in the expression for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  add constructively if  $0 \leq \alpha_{32} \leq \pi/2$ , while for  $\pi/2 < \alpha_{32} \leq \pi$  partial or complete cancellation between the “solar” and “atmospheric” terms can take place. The cancellation



is most effective in the case of CP-invariance and  $\alpha_{32} = \pi$ . The degree of cancellation is controlled by  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . For sufficiently small values of  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 0.01$ , the solar term dominates and  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is predicted to be in the few meV range,  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim (2 - 3) \times 10^{-3}$  eV. If  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is close to the present  $3\sigma$  bound [37],  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.05$ , the solar and the atmospheric terms in Eq. (16) are of the same order and a substantial cancellation can take place. We will analyse this possibility first qualitatively.

Consider the “extreme” case of  $\alpha_{32} = \pi$  and  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$ <sup>6</sup>. This requires [18, 19, 26]

$$|\langle m \rangle| = 0 : \quad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta m_{\odot}^2}}{\sqrt{\Delta m_A^2}} \sin^2 \theta_{\odot}, \quad (17)$$

where we have neglected  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$  with respect to  $\Delta m_A^2$ . Taking the best fit values of  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  and  $\Delta m_A^2$ , determined from the analysis of the currently existing neutrino oscillation data, we get  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.057$ , which is ruled out by the data. Using the  $2\sigma$  and  $3\sigma$  ranges of allowed values of the same three parameters, we find respectively  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.046$ , which is close to the current  $3\sigma$  upper limit on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.041$ . Thus, in order for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  to be strongly suppressed,  $|\langle m \rangle| \ll 10^{-3}$  eV,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  should have a value close to the existing  $3\sigma$  upper limit. If we use the current  $2\sigma$  ( $3\sigma$ ) upper limit on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.033$  (0.050), and the present best fit values of  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  and  $\Delta m_A^2$ , we find for  $\alpha_{32} = \pi$  that  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 1.1$  (0.2)  $\times 10^{-3}$  eV. If  $0 \leq \alpha_{32} \leq 5\pi/6$ , we obtain  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 1.5$  (1.3)  $\times 10^{-3}$  eV. It follows from this simple analysis that if, in the future high precision measurements of  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  and  $\Delta m_A^2$ , the currently determined best fit values of these parameters will not change and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is found to have a value  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 0.01$  (0.03), the effective Majorana mass will satisfy  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 2.2$  (1.2)  $\times 10^{-3}$  eV for any  $\alpha_{32}$ . For, e.g.  $0 \leq \alpha_{32} \leq 5\pi/6$ , we have  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$  eV for any  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  allowed at  $3\sigma$  by the existing data. Values of  $\alpha_{32} \neq 0$  in the indicated range are required for the generation of the baryon asymmetry of the Universe in the “flavoured” leptogenesis scenario, in which the requisite CP-violation is provided exclusively by the Majorana phase (difference)  $\alpha_{32}$  [63].

We will perform next a similar analysis of the conditions under which  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 10^{-3}$  eV, taking into account the current and prospective uncertainties in the measured values of the relevant neutrino oscillation parameters. The minimal predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$ ,  $|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}}$ , is obtained in the case of CP-conservation and opposite CP-parities of the two relevant neutrinos and can be evaluated as

$$|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}} = |\langle m \rangle|_- - n\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_-), \quad (18)$$

where  $|\langle m \rangle|_-$  is the predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  obtained using the best fit values of the oscillation parameters,  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_-)$  is the error on  $|\langle m \rangle|$  and  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Using the propagation of errors and assuming that the errors on the oscillation parameters

---

<sup>6</sup>We postpone the discussion of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay in the case of  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  to Section 4.

of interest are small and independent, we obtain the 1- $\sigma$  error on  $|\langle m \rangle|$  for any  $\alpha_{32}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(|\langle m \rangle|) \simeq & \frac{1}{2|\langle m \rangle|} \left( \sin^4 \theta_{13} \Delta m_A^2 \left( \sin^2 \theta_{13} \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} + \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot \cos \alpha_{32} \right)^2 \delta^2(\Delta m_A^2) \right. \\ & + \Delta m_\odot^2 \sin^4 \theta_\odot \left( \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot + \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \cos \alpha_{32} \right)^2 \left( 4\delta^2(\sin^2 \theta_\odot) + \delta^2(\Delta m_\odot^2) \right) \\ & \left. + 4 \left( \sin^2 \theta_{13} \Delta m_A^2 - \Delta m_\odot^2 \sin^4 \theta_\odot + \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2 \Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot \cos \alpha_{32} \right)^2 \sigma^2(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here  $\delta(\sin^2 \theta_\odot)$ ,  $\delta(\Delta m_\odot^2)$  and  $\delta(\Delta m_A^2)$  are the relative errors on the oscillation parameters  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  and  $\Delta m_A^2$ ,  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13})$  is the absolute error on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , and we have used the fact that  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \ll 1$ . We have assumed (see Section 2 and Fig. 2) and will use in our further analysis (see Section 2) the following values of the errors:  $\delta(\sin^2 \theta_\odot) = 4\%$ ,  $\delta(\Delta m_\odot^2) = 2\%$  and  $\delta(\Delta m_A^2) = 2\%$ . For the chosen values  $\delta(\sin^2 \theta_\odot)$ ,  $\delta(\Delta m_\odot^2)$  and  $\delta(\Delta m_A^2)$ , the error on  $\Delta m_\odot^2$  gives a subdominant contribution in comparison with that on the solar mixing angle and we neglect it in the following discussion.

If CP-invariance holds we have  $\alpha_{32} = 0, \pi$  and Eq. (19) simplifies to:

$$\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm) \simeq \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2 \sin^4 \theta_\odot \delta^2(\sin^2 \theta_\odot) + \frac{\sin^4 \theta_{13} \Delta m_A^2}{4} \delta^2(\Delta m_A^2) + \Delta m_A^2 \sigma^2(\sin^2 \theta_{13})}, \quad (20)$$

where we have neglected  $\sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot$  with respect to  $\sqrt{\Delta m_A^2}$ . In Eq. (20)  $|\langle m \rangle|_\pm$  refers to  $\eta_{32} = \pm 1$ . The contribution of the error on  $\Delta m_A^2$  in  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm)$  is suppressed by the factor  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  and can also be neglected, while the errors on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  and on  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  can give sizable contributions to  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm)$  and both should be taken into account. For the current best fit values of the oscillation parameters,  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm)$  is given to a good approximation by  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm) \simeq \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sqrt{(0.057\delta^2(\sin^2 \theta_\odot)) + \sigma^2(\sin^2 \theta_{13})}$ . It is clear from this expression that for an error on  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  of 4–8%, the two terms in  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm)$  are of the same order if  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) = 0.004$ , while for  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) \gtrsim 0.006$  the error on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  typically gives the dominant contribution in  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm)$ .

For neutrinos of equal CP-parities, i.e.  $\alpha_{32} = 0$ , the mean value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is predicted to be in the few meV range and the expected relative error  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_\pm)$  varies between 7% and 15%, depending on the specific values of errors and best fit values of the parameters. If the neutrinos  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_3$  have opposite CP-parities, i.e.  $\alpha_{32} = \pi$ , the mean value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is smaller as partial cancellation between their contributions to  $|\langle m \rangle|$  can take place. In this case the error on  $|\langle m \rangle|$  can become as large as 30%–40%.

If CP-symmetry is broken, the full expression for  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|)$ , Eq. (19), should be used. It can be shown, however, that  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|) < \max(\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_+), \sigma(|\langle m \rangle|_-))$ .

Using Eq. (20) in the case of  $\eta_{32} = -1$ , we can study analytically the condition on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  which guarantees that the predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is larger than 1 meV. Neglecting the dependence on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  in  $\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|)$ , we find an approximate solution for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ :

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} < \frac{\sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot - 1 \text{ meV} - n \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2 \sin^4 \theta_\odot \delta^2(\sin^2 \theta_\odot) + \Delta m_A^2 \sigma^2(\sin^2 \theta_{13})}}{\sqrt{\Delta m_A^2}}. \quad (21)$$

In Fig. 3 we show the values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  versus  $\Delta m_A^2$  for which  $|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}} = 1$  meV is satisfied for  $n=1, 2, 3$  (dash-dotted, dashed, dash-double-dotted lines). We use the best fit value of  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  and two values of the error on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . If  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is larger than the shown values, a strong cancellation between the two contributions to  $|\langle m \rangle|$  can take place and  $|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}} < 1$  meV. This would imply that, depending on the value of  $\alpha_{32}$ , there are predicted values of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  both smaller and larger than the future reference sensitivity used in this analysis. The possibility for a future experiment to find a positive signal of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay would depend on the unknown value of  $\alpha_{32}$ .

The limiting value of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is in the 0.01–0.03 range. The precise value depends critically on the error on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ : for  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) \simeq 0.004$  (0.008), we have  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.02$  (0.01). The limit on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  depends also on  $\Delta m_A^2$ , as can be easily understood from Eq. (21): the larger  $\Delta m_A^2$ , the smaller the bound on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . The value of  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  controls the magnitude of the first term in  $|\langle m \rangle|$  and therefore plays an important role in Eq. (21). We show the dependence on  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  in Figs. 4 and 5. The smaller the value of  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$ , the smaller  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  for which one can have  $|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}} < 1$  meV. If  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot = 0.26$  and  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) \simeq 0.004$ , we have  $|\langle m \rangle| > 0.001$  eV for values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.01$ . If, however,  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) \simeq 0.008$ , one can have  $|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}} < 1$  meV even if the (mean) value of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$ . On the contrary, for  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot = 0.40$ , a large part of the relevant parameter space is already excluded by the present data [37] and we get  $|\langle m \rangle| > 0.001$  eV for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.03$  (0.02) in the case of  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) = 0.004$  (0.008).

The preceding rather detailed analysis shows that  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 0.001$  eV typically for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim (0.01 - 0.02)$ . Values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \gtrsim (0.01 - 0.02)$  are within the sensitivity of the two reactor experiments Double-CHOOZ [67] and Daya Bay [68], which are under preparation, and of the currently operating and future long baseline neutrino oscillation experiments, MINOS [8], OPERA [69], T2K and NO $\nu$ A [46]. The results of these experiments will be crucial for establishing whether the effective Majorana mass  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the case of NH neutrino mass spectrum is limited from below and for determining its lower limit.

In the case of a NH spectrum, for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$ , only one contribution in  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is relevant, the other two being suppressed by the negligible values of  $m_1$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . In this case there is no dependence of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  on  $\alpha_{32}$ . If  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  has a value close to the existing upper limit, a sufficiently accurate measurement of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  could allow to distinguish the two possible CP-parity patterns or establish CP-violation. Here, we study what would be the requirements in order to have sensitivity to CP-violation. We perform a simplified analysis in which we retain for both CP-parity patterns only the dominant term in the theoretical error on  $|\langle m \rangle|$ :

$$\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|) \simeq \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}). \quad (22)$$

The existence of a "just-CP-violating" region [18], signaling the possibility to search for CP-violation, requires the allowed regions for the CP-conserving cases  $\eta_{32} = 1$  and  $\eta_{32} = -1$  not to overlap. This condition is satisfied provided

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} > n \sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}), \quad (23)$$

where  $n$  is the number of  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13})$  considered. For example, for  $\sigma(\sin^2 \theta_{13}) = 0.004, 0.008$  and  $n = 2$ , we have  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} > 0.008, 0.016$ . In this case, in principle, it would be possible to distinguish the two CP-parities patterns or find CP-violation due to a Majorana CP-violating phase. CP-violation would be established if the experimentally allowed value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is

within the "just-CP-violating" region, once the experimental error on  $|\langle m \rangle|$ ,  $\Delta$ , and the nuclear matrix elements uncertainties are taken into account. Even if Eq. (23) is satisfied, this is a formidably challenging task. In the most optimistic case of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  having a value close to the present  $3\sigma$  bound,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \simeq 0.05$ , for a nuclear matrix element uncertainty  $\zeta = 1.5$  on  $|\langle m \rangle|$ , an error not larger than  $\Delta = 0.5$  meV would be required. The width of the "just-CP-violating" region decreases rapidly with  $\theta_{13}$  and for smaller values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  the error required on  $|\langle m \rangle|$  would be even smaller.

### 3.2 The Case of Small $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$

Consider next the possibility of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  having a rather small value, such that  $\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq 2 \times 10^{-4}$  eV  $\ll 10^{-3}$  eV. For  $m_1^2 \ll \Delta m_A^2$  this conditions is fulfilled if  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-3}$ , while if, e.g.  $m_1 \cong 0.05$  eV, it is satisfied provided  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ . These values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  can be tested, e.g. in future long baseline neutrino experiments with superbeams, beta beams and at neutrino factories [41, 43].

We set  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$  for simplicity in the following discussion. The expression for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  simplifies to:

$$|\langle m \rangle| = \left| m_1 \cos^2 \theta_\odot + \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot e^{i\alpha_{21}} \right|. \quad (24)$$

For NH neutrino mass spectrum, i.e. for  $m_1 \ll \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot / \cos^2 \theta_\odot \cong 4 \times 10^{-3}$  eV, we always have  $|\langle m \rangle| \cong 3 \times 10^{-3}$  eV. If, however,  $m_1^2 \gtrsim \Delta m_\odot^2$ , the neutrino mass spectrum will not be hierarchical. There are two possibilities.

i) For  $m_1^2 \gg \Delta m_\odot^2 \cong 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$  eV<sup>2</sup>, we get

$$|\langle m \rangle| \cong m_1 \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 2\theta_\odot \sin^2 \frac{\alpha_{21}}{2}} \gtrsim m_1 \cos 2\theta_\odot. \quad (25)$$

Taking  $m_1 \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-2}$  eV and the  $2\sigma$  ( $3\sigma$ ) lower limit on  $\cos 2\theta_\odot$ ,  $\cos 2\theta_\odot \geq 0.26$  (0.20), we find  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 5.2$  (4.0)  $\times 10^{-3}$  eV. In this case  $m_2 = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2} \gtrsim 2.2 \times 10^{-2}$  eV, and the sum of neutrino masses satisfies:

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \gtrsim 9.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}. \quad (26)$$

ii) If, however,  $m_1^2 \sim \Delta m_\odot^2$  and  $\alpha_{21} \sim \pi$ , a cancellation between the two terms in Eq. (24) is possible and  $|\langle m \rangle|$  can be strongly suppressed,  $|\langle m \rangle| \ll 10^{-3}$  eV. Consider the extreme case of  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  (for a more detailed discussion of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay in the case of  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  see Section 4). For  $\alpha_{21} = \pi$ , it is realised if [19, 26]

$$|\langle m \rangle| = 0 : \quad m_1 = m_2 \tan^2 \theta_\odot. \quad (27)$$

Using the relation  $m_2 = (m_1^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we find that  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  can hold in the case being studied if  $m_1^2 = \Delta m_\odot^2 \sin^4 \theta_\odot / \cos^2 2\theta_\odot \cong 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$  eV<sup>2</sup>, where we have used the best fit values of  $\Delta m_\odot^2$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$ . This implies that  $m_1 \cong 4.6 \times 10^{-3}$  eV,  $m_2 \cong 10^{-2}$  eV, and, correspondingly,

$$m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \cong 6.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}. \quad (28)$$

It is not difficult to convince oneself, however, that if  $\alpha_{21} = \pi$ , one obtains  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim \mu$  for

$$m_1 \gtrsim \frac{\mu}{\cos 2\theta_\odot} \left[ \cos^2 \theta_\odot + \sin^2 \theta_\odot \sqrt{1 + \mu^{-2} \Delta m_\odot^2 \cos 2\theta_\odot} \right], \quad (29)$$

where the reference value  $\mu = 10^{-3}$  eV in the case of interest. Using the best fit values of  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  we get  $m_1 \gtrsim 6.6 \times 10^{-3}$  eV. For the sum of neutrino masses we obtain  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \gtrsim 6.7 \times 10^{-2}$  eV.

This qualitative analysis shows that if  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$  and the sum of neutrino masses satisfies  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \gtrsim 7 \times 10^{-2}$  eV, we will have  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 10^{-3}$  eV for any  $\alpha_{21}$ .

### 3.3 Spectrum with Partial Hierarchy

As is well-known [19], in the case of neutrino mass spectrum with partial hierarchy we can have  $|\langle m \rangle| \ll 1$  meV and even  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$ . However, this requires that the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  has a value in the rather narrow interval,  $m_1 \sim (\text{few} \times 10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$  eV. As a consequence, the sum of neutrino masses should also lie within a specific interval. Here we analyze the values of  $m_1$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  for which the indicated strong cancellation in  $|\langle m \rangle|$  would not take place and we would have  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 1$  meV.

For the neutrino mass spectrum under discussion, all the three contributions to  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in Eq. (9) are relevant. We consider the effect of cancellations between the three terms in the case of CP-invariance, in which there are four different neutrino CP-parity patterns. We will denote them as  $+++$  ( $+- -$ ) if  $\alpha_{21,31} = 0$  ( $\pi$ ), and  $++-$  ( $+ - +$ ) when  $\alpha_{21} = 0$  ( $\pi$ ) while  $\alpha_{31} = \pi$  (0). The prediction in the case of CP-violation will lie within the ones obtained for CP-conservation. Obviously, if both  $0 \lesssim \alpha_{21} \lesssim \pi/2$  and  $0 \lesssim \alpha_{31} \lesssim \pi/2$ , there will be no mutual compensation between the three terms in Eq. (9) and we would have  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-3}$  eV.

For each CP-parity pattern, we analyse what are the values of  $m_1$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  which would guarantee  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 1$  meV or, conversely, which would be implied by a negative result for a search of neutrinoless double beta decay with a sensitivity of 1 meV, in the hypothesis of Majorana neutrinos. The effective Majorana mass parameter would be predicted to be smaller than 1 meV, if a sufficient cancellation between the three terms in the r.h.s. of Eq. (9) takes place.

Here we use  $\mu = 1$  meV as a reference value for  $|\langle m \rangle|$ , but similar results can be obtained for other values of  $\mu$  in the few meV range<sup>7</sup>. The central value of  $m_1$  can be found by solving Eq. (9) with  $|\langle m \rangle| = 1$  meV, while the error on  $m_1$  is obtained by propagating the errors on the oscillation parameters:

$$\sigma(m_1) = \left( \frac{\partial |\langle m \rangle|}{\partial m_1} \right)^{-1} \sigma(|\langle m \rangle|) \simeq \frac{\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|)}{\cos^2 \theta_{\odot} \pm \frac{m_1 \sin^2 \theta_{\odot}}{\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{\odot}^2}}} . \quad (30)$$

The degree of cancellation between the three terms in  $|\langle m \rangle|$  depends on the neutrino CP-parity pattern. The results for  $m_1$  for the different CP-parity patterns are presented in Fig. 6 for three values of  $\theta_{\odot}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot} = 0.26, 0.32, 0.40$ , using the prospective relative errors of 2%, 2% and 4% for  $\Delta m_A^2$ ,  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  and the absolute error of 0.006 on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ .

We can understand the results in Fig. 6 by performing a simplified analysis neglecting  $\sigma(m_1)$ . We study each CP-parity pattern separately. In the following, we will use the present best fit values of  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$ ,  $\Delta m_A^2$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$ , unless otherwise indicated.

---

<sup>7</sup>Let us note that a similar analysis for  $\mu = 0$  was performed in Ref. [19].

- For the CP-parity pattern  $(+++)$ , no cancellation takes place and we will have  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 2.5$  meV for any allowed value of  $\theta_{13}$  and  $\theta_\odot$ . A negative search for neutrinoless double beta decay with a sensitivity of few meV, such that  $|\langle m \rangle|_0 < |\langle m \rangle|_+ - n\sigma(|\langle m \rangle|)$ , where  $|\langle m \rangle|_0$  is the experimentally determined value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  and  $|\langle m \rangle|_+$  corresponds to NH spectrum and  $\eta_{32} = +1$  (see Section 3.1), would strongly disfavour (if not rule out) this possibility.
- In the  $(++-)$  case, a significant cancellation can take place only if the atmospheric term,  $\sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , is of the same order as the sum of the first two terms in the r.h.s. of Eq. (9). We can have  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq \mu = 1$  meV for given  $m_1$  provided  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  satisfies

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq \frac{m_1 \cos^2 \theta_\odot + \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2} \sin^2 \theta_\odot - \mu}{\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_A^2}}. \quad (31)$$

The above inequality is always fulfilled for  $m_1 \gtrsim \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2}$ . For  $m_1 \ll \sqrt{\Delta m_\odot^2}$ , this condition becomes  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq (\sin^2 \theta_{13})_0$  with

$$(\sin^2 \theta_{13})_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_\odot^2}{\Delta m_A^2}} \sin^2 \theta_\odot - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\Delta m_A^2}}. \quad (32)$$

It is satisfied for the best fit values of  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$  and  $\Delta m_A^2$ , while if one uses the  $3\sigma$  allowed ranges of these parameters, the inequality implies  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 0.026, 0.036, 0.051$  for  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot = 0.26, 0.32, 0.40$ . These values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  are close to the present  $3\sigma$  upper bound. In summary, for values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq (\sin^2 \theta_{13})_0$ ,  $|\langle m \rangle|$  is guaranteed to be larger than 1 meV for any  $m_1$ .

For given  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} > (\sin^2 \theta_{13})_0$ , we will have  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq \mu = 1$  meV if  $m_1$  satisfies  $m_1 \geq (m_1^A)_-$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1^A)_\pm &= \frac{1}{\cos 2\theta_\odot} \left[ \left( \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} + \mu \right) \cos^2 \theta_\odot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \pm \sin^2 \theta_\odot \sqrt{\left( \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} + \mu \right)^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2 \cos 2\theta_\odot} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In deriving Eq. (33) we have neglected  $m_1^2$  with respect to  $\Delta m_A^2$  and have taken  $\cos^2 \theta_{13} \simeq 1$ . The lower bound  $(m_1^A)_-$  of  $m_1$  in Eq. (33) increases with  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , but is rather small: for  $\mu = 10^{-3}$  eV,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.05$  and best fit values of the other relevant oscillation parameters we get  $(m_1^A)_- \cong 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$  eV.

- For the CP-parity pattern  $(+-+)$ , a partial cancellation can take place between the first and the second terms in Eq. (9); the cancellation would be significant only if  $m_1 \sim$  few meV. The second term in Eq. (9) would dominate and we would have  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq \mu = 10^{-3}$  eV only if  $m_1$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  are sufficiently small, more precisely, if  $0 \leq m_1 \leq -(m_1^A)_-$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq (\sin^2 \theta_{13})_0$ , where  $(m_1^A)_-$  and  $(\sin^2 \theta_{13})_0$  are given in Eqs. (33) and (32), respectively.

The sum of the first and third terms in Eq. (9) will dominate and will lead to  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq \mu = 10^{-3}$  eV for  $m_1 \geq (m_1^B)_+$ , where

$$(m_1^B)_\pm = \frac{1}{\cos 2\theta_\odot} \left[ \left( \mu - \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \right) \cos^2 \theta_\odot \pm \sin^2 \theta_\odot \sqrt{\left( \mu - \sqrt{\Delta m_A^2} \sin^2 \theta_{13} \right)^2 + \Delta m_\odot^2 \cos 2\theta_\odot} \right], \quad (34)$$

provided

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\Delta m_\odot^2}{\Delta m_A^2}} \sin^2 \theta_\odot + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\Delta m_A^2}}. \quad (35)$$

Given the experimental  $3\sigma$  upper bound  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.05$ , the second inequality is always satisfied for  $\mu = 10^{-3}$  eV. For  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$  (0.02) we get from Eq. (34):  $m_1 \gtrsim 6.6$  (4.7)  $\times 10^{-3}$  eV.

- Finally, consider the case  $(+ - -)$ . As the second and third term in the r.h.s. of Eq. (9) are summed constructively, a strong cancellation in  $|\langle m \rangle|$  can happen only for sufficiently large values of  $m_1$ . We get  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq \mu = 10^{-3}$  eV for  $0 \leq m_1 \leq -(m_1^B)_-$  and for  $m_1 \geq (m_1^A)_+$ , where  $(m_1^A)_+$  is given in Eq. (33). The maximal value of  $m_1$  determined by Eq. (34) can be rather large. More specifically, we have  $-(m_1^B)_- = 2.8$  (5.0)  $[7.6] \times 10^{-3}$  eV for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$  (0.025) [0.05]. For the minimal value of  $m_1$  determined by the inequality  $m_1 \geq (m_1^A)_+$ , we get for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$  (0.025) [0.05]:  $(m_1^A)_+ = 6.6$  (9.3)  $[12.1] \times 10^{-3}$  eV. In the latter case the sum of the neutrino masses is limited from below by  $(m_1 + m_2 + m_3) \gtrsim 6.8$  (7.2)  $[7.9] \times 10^{-2}$  eV. Both  $-(m_1^B)_-$  and  $(m_1^A)_+$  increase with  $\theta_{13}$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$ .

It follows from the preceding discussion that if a future highly sensitive  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiment does not find a positive signal down to  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 1$  meV, Majorana neutrinos would still be allowed, but the spectrum would be constrained to be with normal ordering and  $m_1$  would be bound to be smaller than  $\sim 10^{-2}$  eV. The CP-parity pattern  $(+++)$  will be strongly disfavored (if not ruled out) as well. If in addition it is found that  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 0.01$ , i) the CP-parity pattern  $(++-)$  will also be disfavored, and ii)  $m_1$  would be constrained to lie in the interval  $m_1 \sim (10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$  eV. No other future neutrino experiment will have the capability of constraining the lightest neutrino mass (and the absolute neutrino mass scale) in the meV range. Obviously, the above limits would hold only if massive neutrinos are Majorana particles. If the lightest neutrino has a mass in the interval  $m_1 \sim (10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$  eV, this can have important effects on the generation of the baryon asymmetry of the Universe in the “flavoured” leptogenesis scenario of matter-antimatter asymmetry generation [64].

## 4 $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -Decay in the Case of $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$

In the present Section we shall discuss briefly the possible implications of having  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  for the process of  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay. If  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  as a consequence of conservation of certain lepton charge, which could be, e.g.  $L_e$ ,  $L$ , or  $L' = L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ , the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will be strictly forbidden. However, in the case of neutrino mass spectrum with normal

ordering, one can have  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$ , as we have seen, as a consequence of an “accidental” relation involving the neutrino masses, the solar neutrino and CHOOZ mixing angles and the Majorana phase(s) in  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$ . For the spectrum of the normal hierarchical type, the relation of interest is given by Eq. (17), while if  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is negligibly small it is shown in Eq. (27). None of the two relations can be directly associated with a symmetry which forbids  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay. Thus, if  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  is a consequence of Eq. (17) or Eq. (27),  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will still be allowed. In what follows we will estimate the non-zero contribution to the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$  due to the exchange of the light massive Majorana neutrinos  $\nu_j$  in the case when  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  and there is no symmetry forbidding the decay.

Suppose that neutrino masses and mixing arise due to the Majorana mass term of the three flavour neutrinos:

$$\mathcal{L}^M(x) = -\frac{1}{2} m_{ll'} \bar{\nu}_{lR}^c \nu_{l'L} + h.c. \quad (36)$$

where  $\nu_{lR}^c = C(\bar{\nu}_{lL})^T$ ,  $l = e, \mu, \tau$ ,  $C$  being the charge conjugated matrix. We have  $m_{ll'} = m_{l'l}$ ,  $l, l' = e, \mu, \tau$  (see, e.g. [13]). The mass term in Eq. (36) is diagonalised using the congruent transformation:  $m = U^* m^d U^\dagger$ , where  $m^d = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$  is a diagonal matrix formed by the masses of the Majorana neutrinos  $\nu_j$  and  $U$  is the PMNS matrix<sup>8</sup>. The effective Majorana mass  $\langle m \rangle$  arises in  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$  from the virtual neutrino propagator (see, e.g. [13]):

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_j U_{ej}^2 \frac{m_j}{q^2 - m_j^2} = P_1 + P_3 + P_5 + \dots, \quad (37)$$

where

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_j U_{ej}^2 m_j = \frac{1}{q^2} \langle m \rangle, \quad (38)$$

$$P_3 = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_j U_{ej}^2 m_j \frac{m_j^2}{q^2}, \text{ etc.} \quad (39)$$

Here  $q$  is the momentum of the virtual neutrino and we have used the fact that  $m_j^2 \ll |q^2|$ . Typically one has for the average momentum of the virtual neutrino in  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay (see, e.g. [70]):  $|q^2| \sim (10 \text{ MeV})^2$ . As a consequence, the following inequalities hold  $|P_{2n+1}| \ll |P_1|$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Usually the terms  $P_3$ ,  $P_5$ , etc. are neglected in the expression for  $\mathcal{P}$ . The dominant term  $P_1 \propto \langle m \rangle$ , which leads to  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu} \propto \langle m \rangle$ . The  $q^{-2}$  factor in  $P_1$  gives rise to a Coulomb-like potential of interaction between the nucleons exchanging the virtual neutrino in the nucleus undergoing  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay.

Assume now that  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$ . In this case  $P_1 = 0$  and the dominant term in the expression for  $\mathcal{P}$ , Eq. (37), will be  $P_3$ . If  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0$  is not a consequence of a conservation of some lepton charge, we will have  $P_3 \neq 0$  and  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu} \neq 0$ , in general. However, unless the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude receives contributions from mechanisms other than the exchange of the light Majorana neutrinos  $\nu_j$ , the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay rate will be extremely strongly suppressed due to the fact that [70]  $m_j^2/|q^2| < 10^{-14}$ , where we have used  $m_j < 1 \text{ eV}$ . Although allowed,  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will be practically unobservable if the  $P_3$  term in  $\mathcal{P}$  gives the dominant contribution in  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ .

---

<sup>8</sup>We work in the basis in which the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal.



It is well-known (see e.g. [13]) that  $|\langle m \rangle| = |m_{ee}|$ , where  $m_{ee}$  is the  $ee$ -element of the Majorana mass matrix  $m$  of neutrinos, Eq. (36). If  $|\langle m \rangle| = |m_{ee}| = 0$ , the term  $\bar{\nu}_{eR}^c \nu_{eL}$  will effectively be “regenerated” at higher orders from the other terms in  $\mathcal{L}^M(x)$ , Eq. (36). The exchange of virtual  $\nu_e$  mediated by this term will lead to  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay. If we treat  $\mathcal{L}^M(x)$  as an “interaction” term<sup>9</sup> and use perturbation theory, the virtual neutrino propagator in the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude will have, to leading order in the parameters  $m_{ll'}$ , the following form:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{\tilde{m}^*}{q^2} + \dots, \quad (40)$$

where

$$\tilde{m} = m_{e\mu} m_{\mu\tau}^* m_{\tau e} + m_{e\mu} m_{\mu\mu}^* m_{\mu e} + m_{e\tau} m_{\tau\mu}^* m_{\mu e} + m_{e\tau} m_{\tau\tau}^* m_{\tau e}. \quad (41)$$

It follows from the expression for the mass parameter  $\tilde{m}$  that if  $m_{ee} = 0$ , we will have  $\tilde{m} = 0$  in the following cases [71, 72, 73]:

i)  $m_{e\mu} = m_{e\tau} = 0$ , ii)  $m_{e\mu} = m_{\tau\tau} = 0$ , iii)  $m_{e\tau} = m_{\mu\mu} = 0$ , iv)  $m_{\tau\mu} = m_{\mu\mu} = m_{\tau\tau} = 0$ . It is easy to see that the four cases in which  $\tilde{m} = 0$  correspond to the conservation of the following lepton charges [72]: i)  $L_e$ , ii)  $L_e - L_\tau$ , iii)  $L_e - L_\mu$ , iv)  $L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ . In all these four cases the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay is strictly forbidden. However, all four cases are ruled out by the existing neutrino oscillation data (see, e.g. [73, 74]). Thus, we can conclude that  $\tilde{m} \neq 0$  and therefore  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu} \neq 0$ .

How large can the mass parameter  $\tilde{m}$  be? Using the relation  $m = U^* m^d U^\dagger$  and assuming that  $m_{ee} = \langle m \rangle = 0$ , it is not difficult to show that

$$\tilde{m}^* = \sum_j U_{ej}^2 m_j^3. \quad (42)$$

Thus, we recover the result obtained earlier by expanding the massive Majorana neutrino propagators in power series of  $m_j^2/q^2$ :

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{\tilde{m}^*}{q^2} + \dots = P_3 + \dots \quad (43)$$

where  $P_3$  is given in Eq. (39). Therefore the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will be extremely strongly suppressed if  $m_{ee} = 0$  and  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu} \neq 0$  is generated at higher order by the Majorana mass term, Eq. (36).

## 5 Conclusions

Present and future searches for neutrinoless double beta decay aim at probing lepton number violation and the Majorana nature of neutrinos with remarkable precision. A wide experimental program is currently under discussion. Experiments with a sensitivity to the effective Majorana mass parameter,  $|\langle m \rangle|$ , down to  $\sim (50 - 10)$  meV are in a stage of preparation or planning and will take place in the future. These experiments will provide valuable information on the neutrino masses and the nature of massive neutrinos.

---

<sup>9</sup>In this case  $\nu_{lL}(x)$  should be considered as zero mass fermion fields having the standard zero mass fermion propagator.

If future  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiments show that  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV, both the IH and the QD spectrum will be ruled out for massive Majorana neutrinos. If in addition it is established in neutrino oscillation experiments that the neutrino mass spectrum is with *inverted ordering*, i.e. that  $\Delta m_A^2 < 0$ , the absence of a signal in neutrinoless double beta decay experiments sensitive to  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 10$  meV would be a strong indication that the massive neutrinos  $\nu_j$  are Dirac fermions. At the same time the alternative explanation based on the assumptions that the massive neutrinos  $\nu_j$  are Majorana particles but there are additional contributions to the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude which interfere destructively with that due to the exchange of light massive Majorana neutrinos, would also be possible. However, if  $\Delta m_A^2$  is determined to be positive in neutrino oscillation experiments, the upper limit  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV would be perfectly compatible with massive Majorana neutrinos possessing *normal hierarchical* mass spectrum, or mass spectrum with *normal ordering but partial hierarchy*, and the quest for  $|\langle m \rangle|$  would still be open. Under such circumstances the next frontier in the searches for  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay would most probably correspond to values of  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 0.001$  eV.

Taking  $|\langle m \rangle| = 0.001$  eV as a reference value, we have investigated in the present article the conditions under which  $|\langle m \rangle|$  in the case of neutrino mass spectrum with normal ordering would satisfy  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.001$  eV. We have considered the specific cases of i) normal hierarchical neutrino mass spectrum, ii) of relatively small value of the CHOOZ angle  $\theta_{13}$ , as well as iii) the general case of spectrum with normal ordering, partial hierarchy and a value of  $\theta_{13}$  close to the existing upper limit. We have derived the ranges of the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  and/or of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , for which  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.001$  eV, and have discussed some related phenomenological implications. We took into account the uncertainties in the predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  due to the uncertainties in the measured values of the input neutrino oscillation parameters  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\Delta m_A^2$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$ . For the latter we have used the following prospective  $1\sigma$  errors: 2%, 2% and 4%, respectively.

In the present analysis we did not include the possible effects of the uncertainty related to the imprecise knowledge of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay nuclear matrix elements. We hope (perhaps optimistically) that by the time it will become clear whether the searches for  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will require a sensitivity to values of  $|\langle m \rangle| < 0.01$  eV, the problem of sufficiently precise calculation of the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay nuclear matrix elements will be resolved.

We have found that in the case of normal hierarchical (NH) neutrino mass spectrum we get  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 0.001$  eV for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim (0.01 - 0.02)$  and any value of the relevant Majorana phase (difference)  $\alpha_{32}$ , provided the currently determined best fit values of the solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillation parameters  $\Delta m_\odot^2$ ,  $\Delta m_A^2$  and especially of  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot$ , will not change considerably in the future high precision measurements (Fig. 3). For  $0 \leq \alpha_{32} \leq \pi/2$  one has  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  eV for any  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , while if  $\pi/2 < \alpha_{32} \leq 5\pi/6$ , we get  $|\langle m \rangle| \gtrsim 10^{-3}$  eV for any  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  allowed at  $3\sigma$  by the existing data. Values of  $\alpha_{32} \neq 0$  in the indicated ranges are required for the generation of the baryon asymmetry of the Universe in the “flavoured” leptogenesis scenario, in which the requisite CP-violation is provided exclusively by the Majorana phase (difference)  $\alpha_{32}$  [63].

We have investigated also the case when  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  has a rather small value,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ , but the neutrino mass spectrum is not hierarchical. We have found that in this case one has  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 10^{-3}$  eV for any value of the relevant Majorana phase  $\alpha_{21}$  if the sum of neutrino masses satisfies  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \gtrsim 7 \times 10^{-2}$  eV.

In the general case of neutrino mass spectrum with partial hierarchy (i.e. non-negligible lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$ ) and sufficiently large  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ , one finds  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 10^{-3}$  eV

typically for  $m_1 \lesssim \text{few} \times 10^{-3}$  eV and  $m_1 \gtrsim 10^{-2}$  eV (Fig. 6). In the second case the sum of neutrino masses satisfies  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \gtrsim 7.4 \times 10^{-2}$  eV. If a future highly sensitive  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay experiment does not find a positive signal corresponding to  $|\langle m \rangle| \geq 1$  meV, Majorana neutrinos would still be allowed, but the spectrum would be constrained to be with normal ordering and  $m_1$  to be smaller than  $\sim 10^{-2}$  eV. The CP-parity pattern (+++) will be strongly disfavored (if not ruled out) as well. If in addition it is found that  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 0.01$ ,  $m_1$  would be constrained to lie in the interval  $m_1 \sim (10^{-2} - 10^{-3})$  eV (for  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot} \sim 0.32$ ), and the CP-parity pattern (++-) will also be disfavored. No other future neutrino experiment, foreseeable at present, will have the capability of constraining the lightest neutrino mass (and the absolute neutrino mass scale) in the meV range. Obviously, the above constraints would hold only if massive neutrinos are Majorana particles. If the lightest neutrino has a mass in the interval  $m_1 \sim (10^{-3} - 10^{-2})$  eV, this can have important effects on the generation of the baryon asymmetry of the Universe in the “flavoured” leptogenesis scenario of matter-antimatter asymmetry generation [64].

We have provided also an estimate of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  when the three neutrino masses and the neutrino mixing originate from neutrino mass term of Majorana type for the (left-handed) flavour neutrinos and  $\sum_j^3 m_j U_{ej}^2 = 0$ , but there does not exist a symmetry which forbids the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay. Our results show that, although in this case the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay will be allowed, the corresponding effective Majorana mass parameter is determined by  $\sum_j^3 m_j^3 U_{ej}^2 / q^2$ , where  $q$  is the momentum of the virtual Majorana neutrino. For the average momentum of the virtual neutrino in  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay one typically has (see, e.g. [70]):  $|q^2| \sim (10 \text{ MeV})^2$ . As a consequence the contribution to the  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay amplitude  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$  due to the light Majorana neutrino exchange will be strongly suppressed:  $|\langle m \rangle| \ll 10^{-3}$  eV. Thus, if  $\sum_j^3 m_j U_{ej}^2 = 0$  and  $(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$ -decay is observed in an experiment with sensitivity to  $|\langle m \rangle| \sim 10^{-3}$  eV, that would imply the existence of contributions to  $A(\beta\beta)_{0\nu}$  due to mechanism(s) other than the three light Majorana neutrino exchange.

## 6 Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done at the Aspen Center for Physics during the 2007 Summer Program on Neutrino Physics. We acknowledge support by the European Network of Theoretical Astroparticle Physics ILIAS/N6 under the contract RII3-CT-2004-506222. This work was also supported in part by the INFN program on “Astroparticle Physics” as well as by the Italian MIUR (PRIN and Internazionalizzazione Programs) and the Yukawa Institute of Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto, Japan, within the joint SISSA–YITP research project on “Fundamental Interactions and the Early Universe” (S.T.P.).

## References

- [1] B. T. Cleveland *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **496** (1998) 505; J.N. Abdurashitov *et al.* [SAGE Collaboration], *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **95** (2002) 181 [astro-ph/0204245]; T. Kirsten *et al.* [GALLEX and GNO Collaborations], *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **118** (2003) 33; C. Cattadori, N. Ferrari and L. Pandola, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **143** (2005) 3.
- [2] S. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamkiokande Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **539** (2002) 179; J. Hosaka *et al.* [Super-Kamkiokande Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 112001.

- [3] Q.R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011302 (2002); B. Aharmim *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 055502 (2005).
- [4] Y. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1562; Y. Ashie *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 112005.
- [5] K. Eguchi *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 021802.
- [6] T. Araki *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081801 (2005); I. Shimizu (2007), talk at the 10th International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics, TAUP2007, Sendai, Sep 11-15 2007.
- [7] E. Aliu *et al.* [K2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081802 (2005); M. H. Ahn *et al.* [K2K Collaboration], hep-ex/0606032.
- [8] D. G. Michael *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 191801, and arXiv:0708.1495 [hep-ex].
- [9] A. Aguilar *et al.* [LSND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **64**, 112007 (2001).
- [10] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.* [The MiniBooNE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 231801 (2007).
- [11] S.T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys.B (Proc. Suppl.) **143**, 159 (2005) [hep-ph/0412410].
- [12] B. Pontecorvo, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. (JETP) **33** (1957) 549; *ibid.* **34** (1958) 247; *ibid.* **53** (1967) 1717; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28** (1962) 870.
- [13] S.M. Bilenky and S.T. Petcov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59** (1987) 67.
- [14] A. Morales and J. Morales, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **114** (2003) 141 (hep-ph/0211332).
- [15] C. Aalseth *et al.*, hep-ph/0412300.
- [16] S.T. Petcov, New J. Phys. **6** (2004) 109 (<http://stacks.iop.org/1367-2630/6/109>); Physica Scripta **T121** (2005) 94 (hep-ph/0504110); S. Pascoli and S.T. Petcov, hep-ph/0308034.
- [17] S. Pascoli and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **544** (2002) 239; *ibid.* B **580** (2004) 280.
- [18] S.M. Bilenky, S. Pascoli and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 053010 and 113003.
- [19] S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Lett. B **524** (2002) 319; S. Pascoli and S.T. Petcov, hep-ph/0111203.
- [20] S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Lett. B **558** (2003) 141; H. Murayama and Peña-Garay, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 031301.
- [21] S.M. Bilenky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1996) 4432.
- [22] S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Lett. B **549** (2002) 177.
- [23] S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and T. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. B **734** (2006) 24.
- [24] S.M. Bilenky *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **465** (1999) 193; V. Barger and K. Whisnant, Phys. Lett. B **456** (1999) 194; F. Vissani, JHEP **06** (1999) 022; M. Czakon *et al.*, hep-ph/0003161.

- [25] W. Rodejohann, Nucl. Phys. B **597** (2001) 110; K. Matsuda *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 093001; T. Fukuyama *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 013001; F.R. Joaquim, hep-ph/0304276; S. Choubey and W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 033016.
- [26] M. Lindner, A. Merle and W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 053005.
- [27] S.M. Bilenky, J. Hosek and S.T. Petcov, *Phys. Lett. B* **94** (1980) 495.
- [28] M. Doi *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **102** (1981) 323;  
J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 2227.
- [29] H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **100** (2001) 309.
- [30] V.A. Rodin *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **766** (2006) 107, (E) arXiv:0706.4304; Poves, talk given at the NDM06 International Symposium, September 3-8, 2006, Paris; E. Caurier *et al.*, arXiv:0709.2137 and arXiv:0709.0277 (nucl-th).
- [31] C.E. Aalseth *et al.*, *Phys. Atomic Nuclei* **63** (2000) 1225.
- [32] H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **586** (2004) 198.
- [33] A. Barabash *et al.*, *JETP Lett.* **80** 377.
- [34] S. Capelli *et al.*, [CUORICINO Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 142501.
- [35] F. Avignone, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **143** (2005) 233.
- [36] A. Bandyopadhyay *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **608** (2005) 115, and 2005 (unpublished).
- [37] T. Schwetz, arXiv:0710.5027 [hep-ph].
- [38] S.M. Bilenky and S.T. Petcov, hep-ph/0405237.
- [39] M. Apollonio *et al.* [CHOOZ Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **466**, 415 (1999); *Eur. Phys. J. C* **27**, 331 (2003).
- [40] S. Choubey and S.T. Petcov, *Phys. Lett. B* **594** (2004) 333; A. Bandyopadhyay *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 072002;
- [41] P. Huber *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 073014.
- [42] A. Donini *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **743** (2006) 41.
- [43] See, e.g. M. Freund *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **578** (2000) 27; A. Cervera *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **579** (2000) 17 [Erratum-ibid. B **593** (2001) 731]; V.D. Barger *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **485** (2000) 379; H. Minakata and H. Nunokawa, *JHEP* **0110** (2001) 001; P. Huber, M. Lindner and W. Winter, Nucl. Phys. B **645** (2002) 3; *ibid* **654** (2003) 3; A. Blondel *et al.*, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **37** (2006) 2077 [arXiv:hep-ph/0606111].
- [44] A. D. Bross *et al.*, arXiv:0709.3889 [hep-ph].
- [45] K. Anderson *et al.*, hep-ex/0402041 and the references quoted therein.
- [46] C. Albright *et al.*, physics/0411123; Y. Itow *et al.* [T2K Collaboration] hep-ex/0106019; D.S. Ayres *et al.* [NOvA Collaboration], hep-ex/0503053.

- [47] M.V. Chizhov, M. Maris and S.T. Petcov, hep-ph/9810501; J. Bernabéu, S. Palomares-Ruiz and S.T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys. B **669** (2003) 255; S. Palomares-Ruiz and S.T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys. B **712** (2005) 392.
- [48] S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **434** (1998) 321, (E) *ibid.* B **444** (1998) 584.
- [49] M.V. Chizhov and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 1096; Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 3979; Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 073003.
- [50] V.K. Ermilova *et al.*, Short Notices of the Lebedev Institute **5** (1986) 26; E.Kh. Akhmedov, Yad.Fiz. **47** (1988) 475; P.I. Krastev and A.Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. **B226** (1989) 341.
- [51] S.T. Petcov and M. Piai, Phys. Lett. B **533** (2002) 94; S. Choubey, S.T. Petcov and M. Piai, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 113006.
- [52] J. Learned *et al.*, hep-ex/0612022.
- [53] F. Perrin, Comptes Rendus **197** (1933) 868; E. Fermi, Nuovo Cim. **11** (1934) 1.
- [54] V. Lobashev *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **719** (2003) 153c.
- [55] K. Eitel *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **143** (2005) 197.
- [56] S.M. Bilenky, M.D. Mateev and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **639** (2006) 312.
- [57] D.N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** (2003) 175.
- [58] M. Tegmark, Phys. Scripta **T121** (2005) 153 [arXiv:hep-ph/0503257]; S. Hannestad, H. Tu and Y. Y. Y. Wong, JCAP **0606** (2006) 025.
- [59] W. Hu and M. Tegmark, Astrophys. J. Lett. **514** (1999) 65.
- [60] S.T. Petcov and A.Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B **322** (1994) 109.
- [61] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Lett. B **107** (1981) 77; S.M. Bilenky *et al.*, N.P. Nedelcheva and S.T. Petcov, Nucl. Phys. B **247** (1984) 61; B. Kayser, Phys. Rev. D **30** (1984) 1023.
- [62] P. Langacker *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **282** (1987) 589.
- [63] S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 083511; Nucl. Phys. B **774** (2007) 1.
- [64] E. Molinaro, S.T. Petcov, T. Shindou and Y. Takanishi, arXiv:0709.0413 [hep-ph].
- [65] S.T. Petcov and T. Shindou, hep-ph/0605204.
- [66] S. Pascoli *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **564** (2003) 241; S.T. Petcov, T. Shindou and Y. Takanishi, Nucl. Phys. B **738** (2006) 219; S.T. Petcov and T. Shindou, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 073006.
- [67] F. Ardellier *et al.* [Double Chooz Collaboration], hep-ex/0606025.
- [68] See, e.g., K. M. Heeger, talk given at Neutrino'06 International Conference, June 13 - 19, 2006, Sant Fe, U.S.A.
- [69] J.E. Campagne *et al.* [OPERA Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **143** (2005) 535 [hep-ex/0508045].

- [70] W. Haxton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 2431.
- [71] S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **110** (1982) 245.
- [72] C.N. Leung and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **125** (1983) 461; S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **115** (1982) 401.
- [73] M. Hirsch, S. Kovalenko and I. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. B **642** (2006) 106.
- [74] A. Merle and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 073012.




Figure 1: The predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  as a function of  $m_{\text{MIN}}$ , obtained using the  $2\sigma$  allowed ranges of  $\Delta m_{\text{A}}^2$ ,  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot}$  and  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ . For the NH and QD (and interpolating) spectra, the green regions within the black lines of a given type (solid, short-dashed, long-dashed, dash-dotted) correspond to the four different sets of CP-conserving values of the two phases  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{31}$ , and thus to the four possible combinations of the relative CP parities  $(\eta_{21}, \eta_{31})$  of neutrinos  $\nu_{1,2}$  and  $\nu_{1,3}$ :  $(+1, +1)$  solid,  $(-1, -1)$  short-dashed,  $(+1, -1)$  long-dashed, and  $(-1, +1)$  dash-dotted lines. For the IH spectrum, the blue regions delimited by the black solid (dotted) lines correspond to  $\eta_{21} = +1$  ( $\eta_{21} = -1$ ), independently of  $\eta_{31}$ . The regions shown in red correspond to violation of CP-symmetry.






Figure 2: The predicted value of  $|\langle m \rangle|$  (including a prospective  $2\sigma$  uncertainty) as a function of  $m_{\text{MIN}}$  for  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.01$ . See text for further details. For the NH and QD (and interpolating) spectra, the regions within the black lines of a given type (solid, short-dashed, long-dashed, dash-dotted) correspond to the four different sets of CP-conserving values of the two phases  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{31}$ , and thus to the four possible combinations of the relative CP parities  $(\eta_{21}, \eta_{31})$  of neutrinos  $\nu_{1,2}$  and  $\nu_{1,3}$ :  $(+1, +1)$  solid,  $(-1, -1)$  short-dashed,  $(+1, -1)$  long-dashed, and  $(-1, +1)$  dash-dotted lines. For the IH spectrum, the regions delimited by the black solid (dotted) lines correspond to  $\eta_{21} = +1$  ( $\eta_{21} = -1$ ), independently of  $\eta_{31}$ . The regions shown in red correspond to violation of CP-symmetry.




Figure 3: The coloured regions show the values of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  versus  $\Delta m_A^2$  for which  $|\langle m \rangle|_{\text{MIN}} < 1$  meV at 1 (2) [3]  $\sigma$  (region bounded from below by the dash-dotted (dashed) [dash-double-dotted] line for  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot} = 0.32$ . The error on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  is taken to be 0.004 (0.008) in the upper (lower) plot. The medium-grey (magenta) region is excluded by the present bound on  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  [37].




Figure 4: The same as in Fig. 3, but for  $\sin^2 \theta_{\odot} = 0.26$ .




Figure 5: The same as in Fig. 3, but for  $\sin^2 \theta_\odot = 0.40$ .




Figure 6: The grey (colour online) regions denote the ranges of  $m_{\text{MIN}}$  for which  $|\langle m \rangle| < 1$  meV and are delimited by thick (thin) lines at  $1$  ( $2$ )  $\sigma$ . The CP-conserving patterns are indicated by i) solid lines for the case  $++-$ , ii) dashed lines for the  $+ - +$  one, and iii) dashed-dotted lines for  $+ - -$ . The red triangular region requires CP-violation. The present best fit values for  $\Delta m_{\odot}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{\text{A}}^2$  are used. 29