## C reation and M anipulation of A nyons in the K itaev M odel

Sebastien Dusuel<sup>1</sup>, Kai Phillip Schmidt<sup>2</sup>, Y and Julien Vidal<sup>3</sup>, z

<sup>1</sup>Lycee Louis Thuillier, 70 Boulevard de Saint Quentin, 80098 Am iens Cedex 3, France

<sup>2</sup>Lehrstuhl fur theoretische Physik, Otto-Hahn-Stra e 4, D-44221 Dortm und, Germ any

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Theorique de la Matiere Condensee, CNRS UMR 7600,

Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

W e analyze the e ect of local spin operators in the K itaev m odel on the honeycom b lattice. W e show, in perturbation around the isolated-dim er lim it, that they create A belian anyons together with ferm ionic excitations which are likely to play a role in experiments. W e derive the explicit form of the operators creating and m oving A belian anyons without creating ferm ions and show that it involves multi-spin operations. Finally, the important experimental constraints stem m ing from our results are discussed.

PACS num bers: 75.10.Jm ,05.30.Pr

Anyons are particles which obey quantum statistics different from bosons and ferm ions [1]. In condensed m atter physics, such weird objects are expected to arise, for instance, in fractional quantum Hall systems [2], p-wave superconductors [β], or Josephson junctions arrays [4], but have never been directly observed yet. W ithin the last few years, anyons have also been shown to be of primer interest for topological quantum computation [5]. Following Kitaev's sem in alwork related to this problem atics [6], several spin system swith emerging Abelian and non-A belians anyons have been proposed [7, 8], together with experim ental proposals susceptible to capture their fascinating braiding properties [9, 10, 11]. In this perspective, Kitaev's honeycomb model [7] which only involves two-spin interactions seems easier to realize than the toric code m odel [6] based on four-spin interactions.

As shown by K itaev using 4th order perturbation theory, the honeycom b m odel can be m apped onto the toric code, thus proving the existence of anyons in the model [7]. However, orders lower than 4 also bring their physical contributions and deserve a careful treatm ent, especially when considering correlation functions and, more importantly, the action of spin operators. The aim of this Letter is to shed light on these issues and to bring som e insights for experim ents. W e show that the original spin operators applied onto the ground state create both anyons and ferm ions and we give the explicit form of an operator creating anyons without ferm ions. At lowest nontrivial order, this operator involves a superposition of states involving one-spin and three-spin operations on the ground state. To conclude, we discuss the constraints raised by our results for experim ents in optical lattices.

The model | Kitaev'shoneycomb (orbrickwall) model is a two-dimensional spin-1=2 system described by the Hamiltonian

where the  $_{i}$ 's are the usual Pauli matrices at site i. W ithout loss of generality [7], in the following, we assume

J 0 for all and  $J_z = J_x; J_y$ .

For our purpose, it is convenient to m ap the spin m odel (1) on the honeycom b lattice onto an e ective spin hardcore boson problem on a square lattice. This m apping is achieved via the following rules

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{x}{_{i}} = \overset{x}{_{i}} (b_{i}^{y} + b_{i}) ; & \overset{x}{_{i}} = b_{i}^{y} + b_{i}; \\ \overset{y}{_{i}} = \overset{y}{_{i}} (b_{i}^{y} + b_{i}) ; & \overset{y}{_{i}} = i \overset{z}{_{i}} (b_{i}^{y} \ b_{i}); \\ \overset{z}{_{i}} = \overset{z}{_{i}} ; & \overset{z}{_{i}} = \overset{z}{_{i}} (1 \ 2b_{i}^{y}b_{i}); \\ \end{array}$$
(2)

where  $b_i^{\vee}$  ( $b_i$ ) is the creation (annihilation) operator of a hardcore boson at site i of the square lattice depicted in Fig.1 and  $_i$  the Paulim atrices of the elective spin at the same site. Here, we have attached each site of the honeycom b lattice ( or ) to the z-dimer it belongs to.

In the following, we set  $J_z$  = 1=2 so that the H am iltonian reads

$$H = \frac{N}{2} + Q + T_0 + T_{+2} + T_{2}; \qquad (3)$$

where N is the number of z-dimers,

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_{i}^{y} b_{i}; \qquad (4)$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \int_{x}^{X} J_x t_i^{i+n_1} + J_y t_i^{i+n_2} + h \varepsilon; \quad (5)$$

$$T_{+2} = \int_{i}^{X} J_{x} v_{i}^{i+n_{1}} + J_{y} v_{i}^{i+n_{2}} = T_{2}^{y}; \quad (6)$$

with hopping and pair creation operators t and v

We emphasize that this mapping is exact and simply relies on an alternative interpretation of the four possible spin states of a dimer (see [12, 13] for details). A key feature of this model is that H commutes with W<sub>p</sub> =  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & y & z \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = (1)^{y_L b_L + b_D^y b_D} \int_{L}^{y} \int_{U_R}^{z} \int_{D_R}^{y} y z$ ; with notations given in Fig.1, so that it describes a Z<sub>2</sub> gauge



FIG.1: (color online). Mapping of the honeycomb (brick-wall) lattice (a) onto an elective square lattice (b) with unit basis vectors  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . The numbering of the sites of a plaquette p is shown in both cases.

theory. Further and m ore interestingly, it is exactly solvable via several ferm ionization m ethods [7, 14, 15]. Its phase diagram consists in a gaplessphase for  $J_x + J_y > J_z$  and a gapped phase otherw ise. The latter contains high-energy ferm ions and low-energy Abelian anyons which are the focus of the present work.

Perturbation theory | Here, we focus on the isolateddimerlimit (Jz  $J_x; J_v$ ) and, following K itaev, we perform a perturbative analysis of the gapped phase. A reliable tool to investigate this problem is the continuous unitary transform ations (CUTs) method [16], used in its perturbative version [12, 17, 18]. W ithin this approach, the Hamiltonian (3) is transformed into an effective H am iltonian H e which conserves the num ber of bosons ( $[H_e; Q] = 0$ ). The cornerstone of the method is that H e is unitarily equivalent to H , i.e., there exists a unitary transform ation U such that H  $_{\rm e}~=$  U  $^{\rm y}$ H U . This implies that H and H  $_{\rm e}$  have the same spectrum but not the same eigenstates. At order 1, the full e ective H am iltonian reads  $H_e = N = 2 + Q + T_0$ , and leads to high-energy ferm ionic excitations made of a hardcore boson and a spin-string [12, 13]. At order 4, the e ective Ham iltonian in the low-energy (no ferm ion) subspace is the toric code H am iltonian [7]

$$\frac{\text{H}_{\text{e}} \, \dot{\textbf{j}}}{\text{N}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{J_{\text{x}}^2 + J_{\text{y}}^2}{2} + \frac{J_{\text{x}}^4 + J_{\text{y}}^4}{8} - \frac{J_{\text{x}}^2 J_{\text{y}}^2 \,^X}{2N} \,_{\text{p}} \,^\text{W}_{\text{p}} : (9)$$

A simple way to obtain this result is to study the action of H, in perturbation, on the low-energy eigenstates of the unperturbed H am iltonian  $(J_x = J_y = 0)$  which are ferrom agnetic con gurations of z dimers. However, we emphasize that the low-energy eigenstates of H are not solely built from these states, but involve high-energy (antiferrom agnetic) con gurations, even at order 1 [19]. This point is the source of the discrepancy between the correlation functions com puted in [20] and exact results [12, 21]. The perturbative expansion of the exact ground state spin-spin correlation functions at order 2 read

$$h_{i;i+n_{1}}^{x}$$
;  $i = J_{x}$ ;  $h_{i;i+n_{2}}^{y}$ ;  $i = J_{y}$ ; (10)

h 
$$_{i;}^{z}$$
  $_{i;}^{z}$   $_{i}^{z}$   $= 1$   $(J_{x}^{2} + J_{y}^{2})$ : (11)

These results clearly show that sticking to ferrom agnetic dimer con gurations fails to capture the form of the low – energy states [19, 20].

The Ham iltonian (9), known as the toric code [6], has its ground state in the vortex-free sector ( $W_p = +1;8p$ ) and contains Abelian anyons de ned as plaquette exci-1). The real challenge is obviously to tations (W  $_{p}$  = observe these anyons experim entally. In this perspective ultracold atoms in optical lattices are good candidates [9] although devices using polarm olecules have also been proposed [10]. Recently, several detection protocols in optical lattices based on the possibility to perform sequences of single-spin operations have been proposed [11, 22]. Unfortunately, although such operations create and move anyons, they also create ferm ionic excitations which are susceptible to spoil the detection process. Our aim is to show that this crucial fact, always neglected in previous studies, is ofm a prim portance for experim ents.

Single-spin operations | Let us rst discuss the action of single-spin operations on the ground state and focus on  $_{i}^{z} = _{i;}^{z}$ . This operator is used, in Refs. [11, 23], as the basic tile to create two anyons on left and right plaquettes of the site i [see inset in Fig. 2 (left)]. As m entionned above the main problem is that it does not sim ply transform the ground state jDi (belonging to the vortex-free sector) into the low est-energy state of the twovortex con guration one seeks to obtain. To make this statem ent quantitative, we consider the spectral weights

$$I_n = \int_{k}^{X} f_1; p_2; p_3; n; k j_1; p_1; j_2; \qquad (12)$$

which obey the sum rule  $_{n}^{P}$  I<sub>n</sub> = 1. Here, jfpg;n;kidenotes the eigenstate of H in a sector given by an anyon conguration W<sub>p</sub> = 1, and n high-energy quasiparticles with quantum numbers k. Plaquettes p<sub>1</sub> and p<sub>2</sub> are neighbors of i and depend on = y;z (see insets of Fig. 2). W ith these notations, the eigenstates of H<sub>e</sub> are given by U<sup>y</sup>jfpg;n;ki. To compute I<sup>z</sup><sub>n</sub>, one is thus led to determ ine how the observable  $_{i}^{z}$  is renormalized under the unitary transformation U. W ithin the CUT form alism, this can be achieved e ciently order by order in perturbation [13, 24]. At order 1, one gets

$$U_{i}^{y}U = _{i}^{z} 1 + J_{x}v_{i n_{1}}^{i} + J_{y}v_{i n_{2}}^{i} + h.c.$$
; (13)

which clearly shows that pairs of quasiparticles are created or annihilated. In addition, one can see that the action of  $\frac{z}{i}$  on the ground state yields a superposition of states with the appropriate two-vortex con guration, but with di erent number of quasiparticles. We computed the renorm alization of  $\frac{z}{i}$  up to order 6 but we emphasize that quasiparticles arise at order 1 which makes this phenom enon strongly relevant. The perturbative expansion of U<sup>Y</sup>  $\frac{z}{i}$ U allows a straighforw and calculation of the overlap probability which reads at order 6:  $I_0^z = 1$ 



FIG.2: (color online). Behavior of the spectral weights  $I_n^z$  (left) and  $I_n^y$  (right) for ferm ion numbers n = 0;2;4, as a function of the coupling  $J = J_x = J_y$  for  $J_z = 1=2$ . Grey plaquettes in the insets  $p_1^{z(y)}$  and  $p_2^{z(y)}$  show where anyons are created under the action of  $\frac{1}{i}$  (left) and  $\frac{1}{y}$  (right).

with

$$I_{2}^{z} = J_{x}^{2} + J_{y}^{2} + \frac{3}{2} J_{x}^{4} + J_{y}^{4} + 4J_{x}^{2}J_{y}^{2} + \frac{7}{2} J_{x}^{6} + J_{y}^{6} + \frac{43}{2} J_{x}^{2}J_{y}^{4} + J_{x}^{4}J_{y}^{2} :$$
(14)

N ote that only even n contributions are nonvanishing. In addition, we found that  $I_n^z_4 = 0$  at order 6, showing the sum rule is fullled.

Multiple-spin operations | The same approach can be used to analyze the action of  $\stackrel{y}{_{i}} = \stackrel{y}{_{i}}, \stackrel{x}{_{i}}$  on the ground state, which creates two anyons on the up and down plaquettes of the site i [see inset in Fig. 2 (right)] as well as quasiparticles. As previously, we computed the spectral weights up to order 6 and obtained:  $I_0^y = 1$   $I_2^y$   $I_4^y$  with

$$I_{2}^{y} = J_{x}^{2} + J_{y}^{2} + \frac{3}{2} J_{x}^{4} + J_{y}^{4} + \frac{7}{2} J_{x}^{6} + J_{y}^{6} + 3 J_{x}^{2} J_{y}^{4} + J_{x}^{4} J_{y}^{2} ; \quad (15)$$

$$I_4^{Y} = J_x^2 J_y^4 + J_x^4 J_y^2 :$$
 (16)

The behavior of  $I_n^z$  and  $I_n^y$  are plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of the parameter  $J_x = J_y = J$ . One can directly observe the growing weight (for increasing J) of the excited states after the operations  $_z$  or  $_y$  have been applied on the ground state.

O ther in portant quantities are string operators which are crucial in experim ents to perform braiding of anyons. To investigate the e ect of such multiple-spin operations, let us consider a string  $S = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ a=1m \end{bmatrix}_{i_a}^{z}$ , along a horizontal line of the original brickwall-lattice (see Fig. 3 with m = 3 for notations). When such a sequence is applied on the ground state jDi, the probability to nd the nal state in the low est-energy state with anyons at plaquettes



FIG.3: (color online). Action, in the vortex-free sector, of the string operator  $S = \begin{bmatrix} z & z \\ i_2, & i_2, \\ i_1, & z \end{bmatrix}$ . Each operator ips the two plaquettes adjacent to the z-dim er it is attached to but also creates ferm ionic excitations (not shown).

1 and (m + 1) is given, at order 2, by

$$ff1;m + 1g;0f5j0if^2 = 1 m (J_x^2 + J_y^2);$$
 (17)

which obviously coincides with  $I_0^z$  for m = 1. Note that we dropped the k index which is useless for states without ferm ions. This result shows that, at this order, the role played by the excited states is directly proportional to the length of the string.

Anyons without ferm ions | At this stage, we have shown that the action of the original spins  $_i$  on the ground state does generate unwanted excited states as can be seen at lowest nontrivial order in the perturbation theory. One may wonder how to create anyons without ferm ions. In other words, we wish to determ ine the operators that only ip two W  $_p$ 's and nothing else.

As an example, we focus on the operator creating two vortices at the left and right plaquettes of a given site i [see inset Fig. 2 (left)]. Let us denote this operator \_\_i, and show how to compute its perturbative expansion, \_\_ P\_\_\_\_\_(k), where \_\_i^{(k)} contains all operators of order k and thus associated to  $J_x^1 J_y^m$  (with l+m = k). The aim is to obtain \_\_ie = U^{y} \_iU = \_\_i^z which indeed leads to  $I_0^z = 1$ . At order 0, operators are not renorm alized so that one obviously has \_\_\_i^{(0)} = \_\_i^z. The renorm alization of \_\_i under the unitary transform ation U reads

$$i_{e} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ i_{e} \\ k2N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (k) \\ k_{2}N \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ k_{2}N \end{array} U^{y} \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (k) \\ i_{e} \\ k_{2}N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (k) \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (k) \\ k_{2}N \\ k_{2}N$$

where  $i_e^{(k);[1]}$  is of order (k + 1). Order 0 being already fulled, let us write this constraint at order 1, using Eq. (13) and  $i_e^{(k);[0]} = i_e^{(k)}$ 

$$0 = {}_{i_{e}}^{(0);[1]} + {}_{i_{e}}^{(1);[0]} = J_{x}v_{i_{n_{1}}}^{i} + J_{y}v_{i_{n_{2}}}^{i} + hc. + {}_{i}^{(1)}:$$
(19)

This equation yields  $_{i}^{(1)}$ , so that using the inverse m apping of Eq. (2) one nally gets, in the original spin language and at order 1

This expression shows that to create anyons without ferm ions, one must be able to build a delicate superposition of single spin- ip and three spin- ip states. Furtherm ore, the weights of the latter have to be ne-tuned since they depend on the precise values of the couplings which may be experimentally challenging. Note also that higher order corrections would even involve more spins.

Experimental discussion | Let us now put our results in an experimental perspective, setting, for simplicity,  $J_x = J_y = J$  and  $= J=J_z$ . Equation (17) shows that the repeated action of m spin- ips decreases the weight of the (pure) two-anyon state in the low-energy subspace. One should thus work with small enough values of . For a reasonable number m ' 25 of operations needed to perform a braiding of anyons, and assuming that m <sup>2</sup>=2 ' 1=2 [25] leads to conclusive experiments, one is led to choose . 02.

From a practical point of view, we also wish to mention that detecting anyons using ground-state two-spin correlation functions after an anyonic braiding is rather di – cult. Indeed, contrary to what is mentioned in [11], these functions do not change sign in the presence of anyons. The latter are only responsible for 4th order corrections in h $_{i;}^{z}$ ,  $_{i;}^{z}$  i whose leading term is of order 0 [12], and for 3rd order changes in h $_{i;}^{x}$ ,  $_{i+n_1}^{x}$ , i and h $_{i;}^{y}$ ,  $_{i+n_2}^{y}$ ; i whose leading term s are of order 1 [13]. In this respect, the more e cient and sophisticated set-up recently proposed in [22] is an interesting alternative.

We end this section with some remarks about tem – perature issues in experiments, based on the orders of magnitude  $J_z=h=5kHz$  and J=h=1kHz [26] ( = 02). To perform anyonic interferom etry experiments [11, 22], the temperature of the system should be small enough to prevent therm al excitations of unwanted anyons. A s-sum ing therm al equilibrium, the typical low energy scale  $J_e = J_z$ <sup>4</sup>=16 appearing in front of the plaquette term in Eq. (9) leads to the constraint T  $J_e = k_B$  ' 20pK. Such a temperature is low er than recently reached tem – peratures [27], but this problem m ay be circum vented by working out of equilibrium.

To sum up, we have shown that unless experim entalists m anage to apply the operators given in Eq. (20), they will have to make sure the constraint  $= J=J_z \cdot 0.2$  is ful-

led. This constraint, arising from the renorm alization of spin operators at order 1 in perturbation, could lead to a problem atic upper bound on the tem perature. The latter indeed scales as <sup>4</sup> since the toric code H am iltonian arises as an elective H am iltonian of K itaev's honeycom b m odel only at order 4 in perturbation. Finally, let us m ention that the tim elevolution of the system between spin operations may also lead to an unwanted dynam ics

of the ferm ions but this di culty can be circum vented by freezing the system via an increase of the optical lattice barriers.

W e are indebted to Liang Jiang for fruitful discussions and for his help concerning experiments. KPS acknow  $\vdash$  edges ESF and EuroH orcs for funding through his EU – RYI.

E lectronic address: sdusuel@ gm ail.com

- <sup>Y</sup> E lectronic address: schm idt@ fkt.physik.uni-dortm und.de
- <sup>z</sup> E lectronic address: vidal@ lptm c.jussieu.fr
- [1] F.W ilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1144 (1982).
- [2] H.L.Storm er et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1953 (1983).
- [3] N.Read and D.Green, Phys.Rev.B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [4] B.Doucot, L.B. Io e, and J.Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 69, 214501 (2004).
- [5] http://www.theory.caltech.edu/people/preskill/ph229/.
- [6] A.Y.K itaev, Ann. Phys. 303, 2 (2003).
- [7] A.Kitaev, Ann.Phys. 321, 2 (2006).
- [8] H.Yao and S.A.Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 247203 (2007).
- [9] L.-M. Duan, E. Dem ler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 090402 (2003).
- [10] A. M icheli, G. K. Brennen, and P. Zoller, Nature Phys. 2, 341 (2006).
- [11] C. Zhang, V.W. Scarola, S. Tewari, and S.Das Sama, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 18415 (2007).
- [12] K.P.Schm idt, S.D usuel, and J.V idal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 057208 (2008).
- [13] J.V idal, K.P.Schm idt, and S.Dusuel, in preparation.
- [14] X.-Y.Feng, G.-M. Zhang, and T. Xiang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 087204 (2007).
- [15] H.-D. Chen and Z. Nussinov, J. Phys. A 41, 075001 (2008).
- [16] F.W eqner, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 3, 77 (1994).
- [17] J. Stein, J. Stat. Phys. 88, 487 (1997).
- [18] C. Knetter and G. S. Uhrig, Eur. Phys. J. B 13, 209 (2000).
- [19] J.V idal, K.P. Schm idt, and S.D usuel, arX iv:0801.4620.
- [20] C. Zhang, V.W. Scarola, S. Tewari, and S.Das Sama, arXiv:0801.4918.
- [21] G. Baskaran, S. M andal, and R. Shankar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 247201 (2007).
- [22] L. Jiang et al., Nature Phys., doi:101038/nphys943 (2008), arX iv:0711.1365.
- [23] J.K. Pachos, Ann. Phys. 322, 1254 (2007).
- [24] C.Knetter, K.P.Schm idt, and G.S.Uhrig, Eur. Phys. J.B 36, 525 (2003).
- [25] R em em ber that to recover the physical dimensions one has to replace J by  $J = (2J_z)$  in all form ulae.
- [26] S. Trotzky et al., Science 319, 295 (2008).
- [27] A.E.Leanhardt et al, Science 301, 1513 (2003).