3

BPS and non BPS 7D Black Attractors in M - Theory on K 3

ElHassan Saidi

1. Lab/UFR-Physique des Hautes Energies, Faculte Sciences, Rabat, Morocco,

2. G roupem ent N ationale de Physique des H autes Energies, GNPHE, point focal, LPHE, Faculte Sciences, Rabat, M orocco.

February 20, 2024

A bstract

W e study the BPS and non BPS black attractors in 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M -theory compacti ed on K3.Combining Kahler and complex m oduli in terms of SO (3) representations, we build the Dalbeault like (DL) basis for the second cohomology of K3 and set up the fundamental relations of the special "hyperKahler" geometry of the underlying moduli space of the 7D theory. W e study the attractor eqs of the 7D black branes by using the method of the criticality of the elective potential and also by using the extension of the so called 4D new attractor approach to 7D N = 2 supergravity. A comment, regarding a 6D /7D correspondence, along the line of Ceresole-Ferrara-Marraniused for 4D /5D [74], is made.

K ey words: 11D M – theory on K 3 and 7D N = 2 supergravity, A ttractor eqs and 7D black branes, Special hyperkahler geometry, 6D/7D correspondence.

C ontents

1 Introduction

h-saidi@fsr.ac.m.a

2	Black attractors in 7D Supergravity							
	2.1	Extre	n al 7D black attractors	6				
	22	U æfu	l properties of M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$	8				
		221	Metric of moduli space	8				
		222	Matrix formulation	11				
3	B la	B lack hole and black 3-brane 1						
	3.1	Extre	n al 7D black holes	16				
		3.1.1	Black hole potential	16				
		3.1.2	Criticality conditions	24				
	32	7D bl	ack 3-brane	27				
		321	E ective potential	28				
		322	Criticality conditions	29				
4	Fields and uxes in 7D supergravity							
	4.1	11D g	auge 3-form on K3	30				
	42	Two 7	DN = 2 supersymmetric representations	32				
		421	Supergravity multiplet $G_{7D,N=2}$	32				
		422	A belian gauge supermultiplets	33				
5	Deriving the f $_{a}$; $_{I}$ g basis of H 2 (K 3;R)							
	5.1	Gener	alon SKG of CY3	35				
	52	A special basis of H $_2$ (K 3; R)						
		521	Supersymmetric representation constraints	38				
		522	The dual of f_{a} ; Ig	39				
	5.3	M ore	on the basis $f_a; Ig \ldots$	42				
		5.3.1	The isotriplet _a	42				
		532	The 19-uplet I	44				
		533	Deformation tensor a_{II}^{b}	47				
6	SHG: the basic relations							
	6.1	Funda	mental relations	49				
		6.1.1	Gauge invariant constraint eqs	49				
		6.1.2	Inertial coordinate frame	53				
	62	M etric	c of the m oduli space	56				
		621	Factorization of the metric $g_{a_{IbJ}}$	56				
		622	Expression of g_{aIbJ} in terms of the vielbeins \ldots	57				

7	New attractor approach in 7D				
	7.1	Further on criticality method			
	72	ractor eqs	63		
		721	Extending the new attractor approach to 7D \ldots	63	
		722	Solving the attractor eqs	66	
8	Conclusion and discussion				
	8.1	6D /7D	correspondence	69	
9	Appendix				

1 Introduction

The study of black attractors [1]-[4] in the fram ework of compacti cations of 10D superstrings and 11D M - theory has been a subject of great interest. New classes of solutions to the attractor equations (AEs) corresponding to BPS and non-BPS horizon geom etnies have been obtained [6]-[17]; and m any results regarding extrem al BPS and non BPS black holes in 4D extended supergravity theories and higher dimensional space times have been derived both in the absence and in the presence of uxes [18]-[30]; see also [63] and refs therein. Several features of special K ahler geometry (SKG) [31]-[41], governing the physics of extrem al 4D black holes, have been uplified to higher dimensions; in particular to 5D and 6D with the underlying special real (SRG) and special quaternionic¹ (SQG) geometries respectively [46]-[54].

In this paper, we contribute to this matter; in particular to the issue concerning the extrem al 7D black attractors as well as to the special hyperK ahler geom etry² (SHG) underlying the physics of these extrem al 7D black objects. More precisely, we study the BPS and non BPS black attractors in 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M - theory compactified on K3 by using both the criticality condition method as well as the so called "new attractor" approach introduced by Kallosh in the fram ework of 4D N = 2 supergravity and which we generalize here to the 7D theory.

One of the key steps of this study is based on the use the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy

¹ In this paper, we will use the conventional notions: SRG, SKG, SHG, SQG. They should be put in one to one correspondences with the number of real scalars in the abelian vector multiplets of the non chiral N = 2 supersymmetric theory in 5D, 4D, 7D and 6D respectively.

²the "special hyperkahler geometry" (SHG) should be understood in the sense it has three K ahler 2-form s $_{a} = (_{1};_{2};_{3})$ with an SO (3) symmetry.

symmetry of the moduli space of K 3

$$M_{7D}^{N=2} = \frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3) SO(19)} SO(1;1); \qquad (1.1)$$

to build a real 22 dim ensional "Dalbeault like" basis

$$f_{a; I}g_{I=1;I;I}^{a=1;2;3}$$
(1.2)

for the second real cohom ology group H² (K 3;R). The real2-form s _a and _I transform respectively in the representations (3;1) and (1;19) of the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy group of the m oduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$. The _a and _I m ay be compared with the complex (1 + h^{2;1}) D albeault basis

of H ³ (C Y 3; R) used in the compacti cation of type IIB superstring on C Y threefolds. W ith the f $_{a}$; Ig basis at hand, we set up the fundamental relations of the SHG of eq(1.1). We also study the attractor equations for 7D black holes and black 3-branes. The solutions of these eqs are obtained in the two above mentioned ways namely by directly solving the critically conditions of the black brane potential and also by extending the K allosh new attractor approach of 4D supergravity to the 7D supersymmetric theory.

Recall that in the case of extrem alblack hole (BH) in 4D N = 2 supergravity realized in terms of 10D type IIB superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds, the BH e ective scalar potential $V_{BH}^{N=2}$ (z; \overline{z} ; q; p) = $V_{BH}^{N=2}$ is given by the following positive function,

$$V_{BH}^{N=2} = e^{K} j_{Z} j_{j}^{2} + \prod_{i;j=1}^{N} g^{ij} Z_{i} \overline{Z_{j}} 0:$$
(1.3)

where $n_v = (1 + h^{2i})$ is the number of 1-form gauge elds. The function K = K ($z; \overline{z}$) and $g_{i\overline{j}}$ ($\theta_i \theta_{\overline{j}} K$ are respectively the Kahler potential and the metric of the moduli space M $_{4D}^{N=2}$ of the 4D supersymmetric theory. The function Z (\overline{Z}) is the holomorphic (antiholom orphic) central charge (N = 2 superpotential) and $Z_i = D_i Z$ is the matter central charges given by the covariant derivative Z with respect to the Kahler transform ations. The (geometric) charge Z and the matter ones Z_i are functions depending on the electric/magnetic charges of the black hole and the moduli z_i and $\overline{z_i}$ parameterizing M $_{4D}^{N=2}$.

Using the basis f $_{a}$; Ig and the uxes of the 4-form eld strength F $_{4}$ through the 4-cycles S_{1}^{2} with 2 H $_{2}$ (K 3; R) and the 2-sphere S_{1}^{2} in the 7D space time, we show, amongst others, that the 7D black hole (black 3-brane) potential reads as

$$V_{BH}^{7dN=2} = \begin{cases} X^{3} & \pi \\ X^{b} = 1 \end{cases} K^{ab} e^{2} Z_{a}Z_{b} & \frac{1}{3} \int_{IJ=1}^{IX} g_{ab}^{IJ} Z_{I}Z_{J} & 0; \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

where $n_v = b_2$ (K 3) = 22 is the number of M axwell gauge elds, is the dilaton param eterizing the SO (1;1) factor of M $_{7D}^{N=2}$ and g_{IJ}^{ab} () is the metric of the moduli space $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3) SO(19)}$ with xed value of the dilaton³ (d = 0). The fundam ental relations of the SHG of M $_{7D}^{N=2}$ are given by

$$K_{ab} = \begin{cases} R & & \\ R^{K_3} & a^{a} & b & \\ R^{K_3} & I^{a} & J & \\ R^{K_3} & I^{a} & J & \\ R^{K_3} & I^{a} & J & \\ R^{K_3} & D_{aI} & C^{A} D_{bJ} & K_{cd} & I; J = 1; ...; 19 \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

The eld matrices K_{ab} (;) = $e^{2} K_{ab}$ () and K_{IJ} (;) = $e^{2} K_{ab}$ () are symmetric realmatrices and the moduli space metric G_{aIbJ} (;) = $e^{2} g_{aIbJ}$ () with the remarkable factorization,

$$g_{aIbJ} = K_{IJ} \quad K_{ab}; \tag{1.6}$$

I.

and the at lim it g_{aIbJ} ! $IJ_{J} = IJ_{ab}$. Putting this relation back into (1.4), we can bring it to the remarkable form

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & X^{3} & X^{3} \\ K^{ab}Z_{a}Z_{b} + & G^{IJ}Z_{I}Z_{J} & 0; \\ ab = 1 & I = 1 \end{array}$$
(1.7)

with $G^{IJ} = K^{IJ}$ and K^{ab} and K^{IJ} are as in eqs(1.5).

The functions $Z_a = Z_a$ (;) and $Z_I = D_I^a Z_a$ are respectively the geometric and m atter central charges in 7D N = 2 supergravity; they play a quite similar role to the Z and $Z_i = D_i Z$ of the 4D N = 2 supergravity theory. Notice that the expression of the e ective potential $V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ for general 7D N = 2 supergravity has been institute considered by C ecotti, Ferrara and G irardello in [33]. In our present study, the eq (1.4) deals with 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M - theory on K 3 with K ^{ab} and g_{ab}^{IJ} as in eqs(1.5); and concerns the geometric derivation of the 7D black hole (3-brane) attractor solutions associated with eq (1.1).

We also determ ine the attractor eqs for the extrem al 7D black hole (3-brane) by extending the K allosh attractor approach. In this set up, the attractor eqs read in terms of the dressed charges Z_a and Z_I , the f_a; Ig basis and the matrix potentials K^{ab} and K^{IJ} (1.5) as follows,

$$H_2 = K^{ab}Z_{a b} + K^{IJ}Z_{I J}; \qquad (1.8)$$

where H₂ is the real2-form eld strength given by H₂ = $\frac{P_{12}}{1}p$ with p being integers and f g de ning the H odge basis of H² (K 3;R). By integration of this relation over the 2-cycles 2 H₂ (K 3;R), dual to f g, we get the explicit expression form of the attractor eqs.

 $^{^{3}}$ D ue to the factorization of the m oduli space of the 7D theory, the dependence in the dilaton appears as a multiplicative global factor.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we give some usefulm aterials regarding extrem al 7D black attractors and the param etrization of the moduli space (1.1) In section 3, we study the 7D black hole and the 7D black 3-brane by rst deriving the criticality conditions of the e ective potential and then solving the corresponding attractor eqs. In section 4, we analyze som e useful features of elds and uxes in 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M - theory on K 3; in particular the issue regarding the gauge elds and m atter representations with respect to 7D N = 2 supersymmetry as well as the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry of the moduli space (1.1). In section 5, we derive the basis f_{a} ; Ig by using physical arguments and describe the deformation tensor $b_{aI} = D_{aI}$ b of the metric of K 3. In section 6, we derive the fundamental relations of the special "hyperkahler" geometry of 11D M - theory on K3. In section 7, we develop the new attractor approach for the case of 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M theory on K3; and rederive the attractor eqs of the 7D black hole and black 3-brane. In section 8, we give a conclusion and make a discussion on 6D/7D correspondence along the eld theoretical line of Ceresole-Ferrara-Marraniused in [74] to deal with the 4D /5D correspondence. In the appendix, we revisit the fundam ental relations SKG of 4D N = 2supergravity. This appendix completes the analysis of sub-section 5.1 and allow s to make form alanalogies with the SHG relation underlying 7D theory.

2 Black attractors in 7D Supergravity

We start by giving useful generalities on the various kinds of the extrem al 7D black attractors in N = 2 supergravity theory. Then we describe the parametrization of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$. This step is important for the eld theoretic derivation of the H² (K 3;R) basis f _a; _Ig to be considered in section 5.

2.1 Extrem al 7D black attractors

Generally speaking, there are di erent kinds of extended supergravity theories in 7D space time [55]-[59]; the most familiar ones [55] have 2 $2^3 = 8 + 8$ conserved supersymmetric charges captured by two real eight components SO (1;6) spinors Q¹ and Q² that are rotated under the USP (2;R) automorphism group of the underlying 7D N = 2 superalgebra. A particular class of these theories is given by the compactications of 10D superstrings and 11D M -theory. There, the matter elds have an interpretation in terms of the coordinates of the moduli space of the compactied theory. Below, we will focus our attention mainly on the 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded 11D M -theory on K 3 with a moduli space given by eq(1.1). Like in the case of black holes in 4D and 5D

dimensions, the 7D e ective theory⁴ has also extrem alBPS and non BPS black attractors that we want to study here.

From the view of the eld theory set up, we generally consider the 7D extrem all black attractors that are static, spherically and asymptotically at background solutions of 7D N = 2 supergravity. These solutions breaks half $(\frac{1}{2}BPS)$ or the total sixteen supersymmetric charges.

In this case, we distinguish four basic kinds of extrem al 7D black p-brane attractors related amongst others by the usual electric/m agnetic duality captured by the identity,

$$p + p^0 = 3$$
: (2.1)

These black p-branes, which may be BPS or non BPS states, are classied as follows:

(1) a m agnetic 7D black hole, (0-brane) with 22 m agnetic charges fp g,

(2) an magnetic 7D black string, (1-brane), with a magnetic charge g_0 ,

- (3) a electric 7D black membrane, (2-brane) with an electric charge q_0 ,
- (4) an electric 7D black 3-brane, (3-brane) with 22 electric charges fq g.

These asymptotically at, static and spherical black p-branes have also near horizons geometries given by the product of AdS_{p+2} with the real sphere $S^{5 p}$,

$$AdS_{p+2} S^{5 p}$$
 with $p = 0;1;2;3;4:$ (2.2)

Below we shall mainly deal with the magnetic 7D black hole and its dual electric 7D black 3-brane. A swe will see later on, these two solutions can be elegantly embedded in M-theory compactication on K3.

The magnetic F-string and its dual electric black membrane can be also considered in the M-theory framework. They correspond respectively to M 5 wrapping K 3 (4-cycle) and M 2 lling two space directions in the 7D space time (0-cycle in K 3).

As noticed above, the extrem al 7D black hole and 7D black 3-brane attractors have either electric charges fq g or m agnetic charges fp g. These charges stabilize the static m oduli at horizon of the attractor.

$$'^{m} = '^{m} (r_{h}; p); m = 1; ...; 58,$$
 (2.3)

where r stands for the radial coordinate of the 7D space time and r_h is the horizon radius: $r_h = r_{horizon}$. The relation (2.3) follows as the solution of the attractor eqs given by the m inimization of the elective attractor potential (1.4) or also by using eq (1.8).

 $^{^4}M$ ore precisely, the correspondence is as 4D $\,$ 6D and 5D $\,$ 7D . The $\,$ rst ones have dyonic attractors, the second ones haven't.

2.2 U seful properties of M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$

We rst describe the self couplings of the scalars of the 7D N = 2 supergravity. Then, we make comments regarding the matrix parametrization of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$. These properties are useful to x the ideas and they are also relevant for the analysis to be developed in sections 5, 6 and 7.

2.2.1 Metric of moduli space

In eq(2.3), the fly eight eld variables $m(x) = m(x_0; \dots; x_6)$ with $m = 1; \dots; 58$, are the real scalar elds of the 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M -theory on K3. At the level of the supergravity component elds Lagrangian density $L_{7D}^{N=2}$, these 7D scalar elds have typical self interactions involving the space time eld derivatives (@ m). These interactions appear in $L_{7D}^{N=2}$ as follows,

$$L_{7D}^{N=2} = \frac{1p}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

In this relation, the 7 7 real matrix G (x) is the metric of the 7D space time with scalar curvature R; and the 58 58 real matrix G_{mn} ['] is the metric of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$ of the 11D M -theory on K3.

The eld variables $'^{m}$ can be then in agined as real local coordinates of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$ and the local eld coupling G_{mn} as the symmetric metric of M $_{7D}^{N=2}$,

$$dl^{2} = \int_{m,n=1}^{X^{58}} G_{m,n} d'^{m} d'^{n}; \qquad (2.5)$$

I

with $d'^{m} = dx$ ((Q'^{m})) and $G_{mn} = G_{mn}$ ('). Like in the case of the 4D N = 2 supergravity theory embedded in 10D type IIB superstring on CY 3s, it happens that the speci c properties of the eld metric,

$$G_{mn} = G_{mn} [' (x)];$$
 (2.6)

play also an important role in the study of BPS and non BPS 7D black attractors. It is then interesting to give som e useful properties regarding this metric and the way it may be handled.

First, notice that because of the factorization property of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$

$$M_{7D}^{N=2} = G_{0} \quad (G=H) ,$$

$$G_{0} = SO (1;1) ,$$

$$G = SO (3;19) ,$$

$$H = H_{1} H_{2} ,$$

(2.7)

and because of the isotropy sym metry of M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$

$$H_1 \quad H_2 = SO(3) \quad SO(19);$$
 (2.8)

it is convenient to split the 58 local coordinates $'^{m}$, in SO (3) SO (19) representations, like

 $'^{m} = ; a^{I}; a = 1;2;3; I = 1;...;19,$ (2.9)

where (aI) is a double index. In this splitting, the dilaton is an isosinglet of SO (3) SO (19); it will be put aside. The ^{aI}'s are in the (3;19) bi-fundamental, $_{a}^{I}$ in (3^t;19) and so on; they will be discussed below.

Notice also that in the coordinate frame (2.9), the length element dl^2 (2.5) reads as follows

$$dl^{2} = G \quad d \quad d \quad + 2G_{(aI)}d \quad d^{aI} + G_{(aI)}d^{aI}d^{bJ};$$
(2.10)

and the local $% \mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ eld metric tensor $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ decomposes like

$$G_{mn} = \begin{array}{c} G & G_{(bJ)} \\ G_{(aI)} & G_{(aI)(bJ)} \end{array} ; \qquad (2.11)$$

W e will see later on that the G $\,$, G $_{(a\,I)}\,$ and G $_{(a\,I)\,(bJ)}\,$ component $\,$ elds of the metric read as

$$G = e^{2} ,$$

$$G_{(bJ)} = G_{(aI)} = 0 ,$$

$$G_{(aI)(bJ)} = e^{2} g_{(aI)(bJ)} ,$$
(2.12)

where the 57 57 realmatrix $g_{(aI)(bJ)}$ is a function of the eld coordinates ^{aI},

$$g_{(aI)(bJ)} = g_{(aI)(bJ)}()$$
 (): (2.13)

To deal to the metric tensor of $\frac{S \circ (3;19)}{S \circ (3) S \circ (19)}$, we will also use the following relations

$$g_{IJ}^{ab} = K^{ac}K^{ad}g_{(cI)(dJ)} ,$$

$$g_{ab}^{IJ} = K^{IK}K^{JL}g_{(aK)(bL)} ,$$
(2.14)

they will be rederived rigourously later on,. In these relations, the symmetric matrices K^{ab} and K^{IK} appear then as eld metric tensors to rise and lower the corresponding indices. For simplicity, we will drop out the brackets for the bi-fundamentals (aI), (bJ); and write $g_{(aI)(bJ)}$ simply as g_{aIbJ} .

One of the remarkable results to be derived in this paper is that the metric tensor g_{IJ}^{ab} of $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3)}$ factorizes as

$$g_{IJ}^{ab}$$
 K ab K $_{IJ}$; (2.15)

where K $_{\rm ab}$ and K $_{\rm IJ}$ are as in eqs(1.5).

m

Notice moreover that performing a general coordinate transformation from a curved coordinate frame f^m g to an inertial one f^m g;

!
$$\frac{m}{}$$
 (); $m = aI;$ (2.16)

and putting back into eq(2.10), we can usually rewrite the local eld metric (2.6) as

$$g_{mn}() = \frac{X^{5/2}}{k!} () \frac{e^{\frac{k}{m}}}{e^{\frac{m}{m}}} \frac{d^{\frac{1}{m}}}{e^{\frac{m}{m}}} ,$$

$$k_{i}!=1$$

$$X^{5/2}$$

$$g_{mn}() \frac{e^{\frac{m}{m}}}{e^{\frac{k}{m}}} \frac{e^{\frac{n}{m}}}{d^{\frac{1}{m}}} ,$$

$$(2.17)$$

$$m_{i}:n=1$$

or equivalently like

$$G_{mn}() = \begin{array}{c} X^{57} & X^{57} \\ E_{m}^{\underline{i}} E_{n}^{\underline{j}} E_{n}^{\underline{j}} \\ \underline{ij}^{\underline{j}=1} \\ \underline{ij}^{\underline{j}=1} \\ \underline{ij}^{57} \\ \underline{ij}^{\underline{j}}() = \begin{array}{c} E_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{m}} E_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{n}} G_{mn} \\ \underline{m}_{m=1} \\ \underline{m}_{\underline{j}=1} \end{array}; \qquad E^{\underline{m}} = \begin{array}{c} X^{57} \\ E_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{m}} d'^{\underline{m}} \\ \underline{X}^{57} \\ \underline{ij}^{\underline{n}} \end{array}$$
(2.18)

where $E_m^{i} = E_m^{i}$ (';) is the vielbein with the usual properties; in particular

$$X^{57} = E_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{i}} E_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{n}} = m_{\underline{m}}^{n}; \qquad X^{57} = E_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{m}} E_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{j}} = \frac{\underline{j}}{\underline{i}}; \qquad (2.19)$$

Below, we shall think about the inertial coordinate frame f $\frac{m}{g}$ as the local coordinate of the tangent at space R ^{3;19} and about $\frac{m}{m}$ as the corresponding at metric

$$\underline{mn} = \begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & + & \underline{ab} & 0_{3 \ 19} \\ & & & \\ & 0_{19 \ 3} & & \underline{IJ} \end{array}$$
 (2.20)

The factorization (2.18) can be also done for the metric $g_{a\,IbJ}$ and its inverse $g^{cK \ dL}$. We have

with

$$E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}} = E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}} (;); \qquad {}^{bJ}; \qquad {}^{bJ}; \qquad (2.22)$$

and (aI) (resp. (aI)) referring to the curved (resp. inertial) coordinate indices and $E_{aI}^{\frac{cK}{aI}}$ to the vielbein linking the two frames.

M oreover, because of the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy sym m etry of M $_{7D}^{N=2}$, it also useful to introduce the "sm all" vielbeins e_a^c , e_1^K and their inverses,

$$e_{a}^{c}e_{\underline{c}}^{b} = {}_{a}^{b} ; e_{\underline{a}}^{c}e_{\underline{c}}^{b} = {}_{\underline{a}}^{b} ; e_{\underline{a}}^{c} = e_{\underline{c}}^{c} (;) ,$$

$$e_{\underline{I}}^{K}e_{\underline{I}}^{J} = {}_{\underline{I}}^{J} ; e_{\underline{I}}^{K}e_{\underline{K}}^{J} = {}_{\underline{I}}^{J} ; e_{\underline{I}}^{K} = {}_{\underline{I}}^{L} ; e_{\underline{I}}^{K} = {}_{\underline{I}}^{L} ; e_{\underline{I}}^{K} = {}_{\underline{I}}^{K} (;) .$$

$$(2.23)$$

W ith these e_a^c and $e_T^{\underline{K}}$ vielbeins, we can build new geom etrical objects; in particular the following ones,

$$K_{ab} = e_{a}^{c} e_{b}^{d} \underline{ad}; \qquad \underline{ab} = e_{a}^{c} e_{b}^{d} K_{cd};$$

$$K_{IJ} = e_{I}^{K} e_{J}^{L} \underline{KL}; \qquad \underline{IJ} = e_{I}^{K} e_{J}^{L} K_{KL};$$

$$(2.24)$$

where K $_{ab}$ and K $_{IJ}$ are precisely the matrices used in eqs(2.15). All these relations will be rigourously rederived later on in the SHG set up.

2.2.2 M atrix form ulation

In the above analysis, we have used 58 = 1+57 curved coordinates f; $_{bJ}g$ to param eterize the m oduli space SO (1;1) $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3) SO(19)}$. These 58 eld coordinate variables are independent variables; but exhibit non linear interactions captured by the m etric tensor G_{mn} of the m oduli space.

A di erent, but equivalent, way to dealw ith the param etrization of $M_{7D}^{N=2}$ is to consider a constrained linear matrix formulation. This formulation is useful in the analysis of the criticality conditions of the 7D black attractor potential and in the study SHG of the moduli space vacua of 7D N = 2 supergravity. Let us give som e details on this approach. The idea of the matrix formulation is based on siting in a local patch U of the curved moduli space M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$, do the calculations we need; and then use general coordinate transform ations (2.16) to cover M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$.

To begin, consider a local patch U of the group manifold SO (1;1) SO (3;19) together with a realmatrix $R = \ln M$ where,

The matrix R , or equivalently M , captures too much degrees of freedom as needed by M $_{7D}^{\rm N\,=\,2}$ since,

dim [SO (1;1) SO (3;19)] =
$$1 + \frac{22 \ 21}{2}$$
; (2.26)

that is 232 is real degrees of freedom. The reduction of this number down to 1 + 57 is ensured by gauging out the degrees of freedom associated with the isotropy subsymmetry SO (3) SO (19) SO (3;19). This means that the matrix M should obey the identications,

with 0 2 SO (3) SO (19):

(a) constraint eqs

Because of the property (2.7), the matrix M factorizes as the tensor product

$$M = P L \qquad (2.28)$$

with

P 2 SO
$$(1;1)$$
 End $\mathbb{R}^{1;1}$ (2.29)

and

L 2 SO
$$(3;19)$$
 End $\mathbb{R}^{3;19}$: (2.30)

The 2 2 realmatrix P and the 22 22 realmatrix L satisfy the orthogonality group relations,

$$P^{t}_{2} P^{t} = P^{t}_{2}$$
 (2.31)

$$L^{t}_{22 22}L = {}_{22 22};$$
 (2.32)

where

$$_{2 2} = \text{diag}(+1; 1)$$
; $_{22 22} = \text{diag}(+++;)$ (2.33)

are respectively the metric tensors of the at $R^{1,1}$ and $R^{3,19}$ spaces.

(b) solving eq (2.31)

The orthogonality constraint equation $P^{t}_{2} {}_{2}P = {}_{2}{}_{2}$ is solved like

$$P() = \begin{cases} \cosh & \sinh \\ \sinh & \cosh \end{cases} = e^{J}; \qquad 2 R; \qquad (2.34)$$

with $J = \ln P$ and

$$J = \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}; \tag{2.35}$$

being the generator of SO (1;1).

The condition $L^{t} L =$ and the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry require however more analysis. Below, we give details

(c) solving the condition (2.32) First notice that the the condition $L^{t} L = 0$ on the matrix L- can be interpreted in term s of invariance of vector norm s in $\mathbb{R}^{3;19}$. The matrix L⁻__ rotates real vectors v- of $\mathbb{R}^{3;19}$,

$$L_{-}: v = 2 R^{3;19}$$
 ! $L_{-}v = 2 R^{3;19}$ (2.36)

Invariance of the norm v- requires the condition (2.32); i.e. L 2 SO (3;19). Then, we use the (3;19) signature of the R^{3;19} space to decompose the realmatrix L as follows !

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}; \quad L^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{t} & C^{t} \\ B^{t} & D^{t} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.37)$$

with A (A^t) and D (D^t) being respectively 3 3 and 19 19 invertible square matrices (detA detD \neq 0); while B (C^t) and C (B^t) are 3 19 and 19 3 rectangular matrices (bi-fundamentals).

Next, we put (2.37) back into $L^{t} L = to end with the following constraint eqs on the sub-matrices A, B, C and D:$

$$A^{t}B = C^{t}D \qquad ; \qquad B^{t}A = D^{t}C \qquad , \qquad (2.38)$$
$$C^{t}C = A^{t}A \qquad I_{3} \qquad , \qquad B^{t}B = D^{t}D \qquad I_{19} \qquad , \qquad \qquad$$

where I_{d} stands for identity matrix in d-dimensions.

Observe that these constraint relations are invariant under transposition since

$$L^{t} L^{t} = L^{t} L; \qquad t = : \qquad (2.39)$$

The constraint eq(2.32) and eqs(2.38) capture then

$$\frac{22 \quad 23}{2} = 243; \tag{2.40}$$

conditions restricting the initial 484 initial number of degrees of freedom down to

484
$$253 = 231 = \dim SO(3;19)$$
: (2.41)

In the language of SO (3;19) group representations, the matrix L corresponds to the reducible representation $\underline{22}$ $\underline{22}^{t}$ which decomposes as

$$\underline{22} \quad \underline{22}^{t} = \underline{22} \quad \underline{22}^{t} \quad s \quad \underline{22} \quad \underline{22}^{t} \quad a : \tag{2.42}$$

The constraint relation $L^{t} L = corresponds to setting the symmetric part as in eqs(2.38). The latter may be solved in di erent manners. A particular way to do it is to choose the matrices A and D as follows$

A = I₃ ; =
$$q \frac{1 + \frac{2}{3}}{1 + \frac{2}{19}}$$
 ,
D = $\$I_{19}$; $\$ = 1 + \frac{2}{19}$, (2.43)

where is a non zero real number to be idential as the norm of B. Then solve the constraint eqs (2.38) as follows:

$$C^{t} = \frac{q}{8}B = \frac{19(3+2)}{3(19+2)}B$$
; $Tr(B^{t}B) = 2$: (2.44)

From this solution, we see that the degrees of freedom of the sub-m atrices A, C and D are completely expressed in terms of those 57 degree of freedom captured by B.

(d) Gauging out SO (3) SO (19) isotropy To get the appropriate constraint relations that x the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy sym m etry of the moduli space, it is interesting to use the (3;19) signature of $\mathbb{R}^{3;19}$ to decompose the SO (3;19) vectors

$$\underline{22} = (\underline{3};\underline{1}) \quad (\underline{1};\underline{19}) \quad ; \quad \underline{22}^{t} = \underline{3}^{t};\underline{1} \quad \underline{1};\underline{19}^{t} : \qquad (2.45)$$

Then, compute the two terms of eq(2.42). We have

$$\underline{22} \quad \underline{22^{t}}_{s} = \underline{3} \quad \underline{3^{t}}_{s}; \underline{1} \quad \underline{1}; \ \underline{19} \quad \underline{19^{t}}_{s}$$

$$\underline{3} \quad ; \underline{19^{t}} \quad \underline{3^{t}}; \underline{19} \quad ;$$

$$(2.46)$$

and

$$\frac{22}{22} \quad \underline{22}^{t} = \underline{3} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \quad \underline{1}^{t} \quad \underline{1}^{t} \quad \underline{19} \quad \underline{19}^{t} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \\ \underline{3} \quad \underline{31}^{t} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \\ \underline{19}^{t} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \\ \underline{19}^{t} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \\ \underline{19}^{t} \quad \underline{3}^{t} \\ \underline{19}^{t} \quad \underline{19}^{t} \quad \underline{19}^{t} \\ \underline{1$$

In this set up, the constraint eqs(2.38) and (2.27) split as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{3} & \underline{3}^{t} \end{bmatrix}_{\underline{s}} \qquad ! \qquad \text{identiy } I_{\underline{3}} ,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{19} & \underline{19}^{t} \end{bmatrix}_{\underline{s}} \qquad ! \qquad \text{identiy } \$I_{\underline{19}} ,$$

$$(2.48)$$

and

$$\frac{3;19^{t}}{2;19} \quad \frac{3^{t};19}{2} \quad (2.49)$$

Notice in passing that the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry can be usually used to set

Eqs(2.50) reduce the previous $231 = \dim SO (3;19)$ number of degrees of freedom down to

that is $231 \quad 3 \quad 171 = 57$.

To conclude this section, notice that a typical matrix M of the coset SO (1;1) $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3)}$ can be usually put in the form ⁵

$$M$$
 (;) = e L (): (2.52)

where stands for the dilaton. The matrix L_{-} () obeys the orthogonality constraint eq (2.32) and gauge symmetries under SO (3) SO (19) transformations. Two ways to deal with these constraints:

(i) solve the constraint eqs as we have done here above to nd at the end that the propagating degrees of freedom captured by L^- are given by

$$L_{-}^{-} = \begin{array}{c} I_{3} & ^{8}B \\ B^{t} & ^{8}I_{19} \end{array} ; \qquad (2.53)$$

with and % as in eqs(2.43-2.44). This way of doing is interesting from the view that it allows to x the ideas; it will be also used later on to motivate the basis f $_{a}$; $_{I}g$ (1.2) for the second real cohom ology of K 3. A swe will see in section 5, the eld moduli captured by eq(2.53) can be interpreted as the periods,

where the 2-cycle basis $B^{\frac{b}{3}}; B^{\frac{J}{2}}$ is the dual of $f_{a}; Ig$. The symbols $\frac{b}{a}$ and $\frac{J}{I}$ designate respectively the 3 3 and 19 19 identity matrices; i.e. $\frac{b}{a} = \frac{b}{a}, \frac{J}{I} = \frac{J}{I}$. (ii) use a manifestly matrix formulation based on the matrix $L_{-}^{-} = L_{\overline{a}}; L_{\overline{I}}$ constrained as

$$\underline{L}_{\underline{c}} \underline{L}_{\underline{d}} = \underline{\alpha} , \underline{L}_{\underline{c}} U_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{d}} \underline{L}_{\underline{d}} ,$$

$$\underline{L}_{\underline{K}} \underline{L}_{\underline{L}} = \underline{K}_{\underline{L}} , \underline{L}_{\underline{I}} U_{\underline{I}} U_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{J}} \underline{L}_{\underline{J}} ,$$

$$(2.55)$$

but without solving the constraints explicitly. These constraint eqs will be fulled by requiring full gauge invariance at the level of physical observables. This way of doing is powerful; we will use it in what follows to study the extrem al 7D black attractors.

3 Black hole and black 3-brane

In this section, we rst study explicitly the BPS and non BPS black holes in N = 27D supergravity theory. Then, we give the key relations for their dual 7D BPS and non BPS black 3-branes.

⁵N otice that the factorization M (;) = e L () takes regular values for nite and is singular for ! 1. This di culty will be avoided by restricting the analysis to nite.

3.1 Extrem al 7D black holes

In the 11D M -theory set up, 7D black holes are realized by wrapping a M 2 brane on the 2-cycles of K 3. Since dim H ² (K 3;R) = b_2 (K 3) = 22, the 7D N = 2 supergravity has U²² (1) abelian gauge symmetry and the black hole has 22 m agnetic charges p = $(p^1; :::; p^{22});$ but no electric charges q .

The magnetic charges p are given by the integral of the real 4-form $\;$ ux density F $_4$ through the 4-cycles basis S_1^2 ,

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z \\ F_4 & ; \end{bmatrix} = 1; :::; 22:$$
(3.1)

In this relation, the real 4-form F_4 is the gauge invariant eld strength associated the RR gauge eld 3-form C_3 of the M 2 brane; i.e.

$$F_4 = dC_3$$
: (3.2)

The 2-cycle basis is a basis of 2-cycles of K 3, dual to the H odge 2-form s , and the compact real surface S_1^2 is a large radius 2-sphere contained in the 7D space time. For simplicity, we shall use the norm alization

Z
$$d^2s = 1;$$
 (3.3)
 s_1^2

where the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ has been absorbed in the measure d^2s . The eld moduli $\binom{m}{h}$, at the horizon $r = r_h$ of the the static and spherical 7D black hole attractor, are determined by the charges p of the black hole

$${}^{m}_{h} {}^{m}_{r}_{h}; p^{1}; ...; p^{22}:$$
 (3.4)

The explicit relation between ${n \choose h}$ and the charges p can be determined by solving the criticality condition of the elective scalar potential eq(1.4); it will be given later on.

3.1.1 Black hole potential

Here, we give the explicit expression of the black hole potential in two coordinate fram es of the moduli space. First in the inertial coordinate fram e f $\frac{m}{2}$ g where most of the calculations will be done. Then, we give the results in the curved fram e f' ^m g by using general coordinates transform ations on the moduli space.

(1) Inertial fram e

In the inertial coordinates fram $e^6 = m^{-1} = 0$; $\frac{aI}{7D} = 0$, the black hole effective potential is given by the simple relation,

$$V_{BH}^{7dN=2} = \sum_{a=1}^{X^{3}} Z_{\underline{a}} Z^{\underline{a}} + \sum_{I=1}^{X^{19}} Z_{\underline{I}} Z^{\underline{I}}$$
(3.5)

As required by supersymmetry, this function is a positive scalar potential induced by the central charges $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ of the 7D N = 2 supergravity theory. The central charges $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ are real functions on moduli space,

$$Z_{\underline{a}} = Z_{\underline{a}} (p; \underline{m}); \qquad Z_{\underline{I}} = Z_{\underline{I}} (p; \underline{m}); \qquad (3.6)$$

describing respectively the "geometric" and "matter" dressed charges. Their explicit expression are given by the following dressed magnetic charges

$$Z^{\underline{a}} = {}^{P} {}^{22} p - L^{\underline{a}} ,$$

$$Z^{\underline{I}} = {}^{P} {}^{22} p - L^{\underline{I}} .$$
(3.7)

The underlined indices _; <u>a</u> and <u>I</u> refer to the inertial (at) coordinates fram e f g; they are lowered and raised by the respective at metric tensors \underline{z} , \underline{ab} and \underline{IJ} of the at spaces $R^{3;19}$, R^3 and $R^{0;19}$,

$$\underline{z} = \underline{z} =$$

In (3.7), the $L^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{}}$ and $L^{\underline{}}_{\underline{}}$ are local eld living on M $_{7D}^{N=2}$;

$$L^{\underline{a}} = L^{\underline{a}} ; \underline{b} ; \qquad L^{\underline{I}} = L^{\underline{I}} ; \underline{b} ; \qquad (3.9)$$

with the factorization, (see footnote 6),

where the dependence in the dilaton is completely factorized as e $\$. The elds L^a and L^I live mainly on the group manifold

$$\frac{\text{SO (3;19)}}{\text{SO (3) SO (19)}};$$
(3.11)

⁶Because of the factorization of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$ as $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3)SO(19)}$ times SO (1;1), we will mainly deal with the state factor and thinking about ⁰ as just the dilaton . The constraint eq coming from the factor SO (1;1) does bring anything new; it will be solved as in eq(3.33) and in plemented directly.

and capture 57 propagating degrees of freedom . These matrices should be thought of as the matrices L of eq(2.37) constrained as,

$$L_{\underline{a}} \underline{z} L_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{z}} = \underline{ab} ,$$

$$L_{\underline{a}} \underline{z} L_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{z}} = 0 ,$$

$$L_{\underline{I}} \underline{z} L_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{z}} = \underline{IJ} .$$
(3.12)

A representation of the tensors $L_{\overline{a}}$ and $L_{\overline{I}}$ in terms of the coordinates $\frac{I}{a}$, solving the above orthogonality constraint eqs, is given by (2.53).

(a) Special properties of $V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ The black hole potential $V_{BH}^{7d,N=2}$ and its constituents exhibit a set of rem arkable features. W e list below the useful ones:

(i) isotropy sym m etry:

The dressed central charges $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ behave as real vectors under the SO (3) SO (19) gauge isotropy sym m etry of the m oduli space (4.19):

$$Z_{\underline{a}}$$
 (3;1); $Z_{\underline{I}}$ (1;19): (3.13)

They are de ned up to SO (3) SO (19) gauge transform ations,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}Z_{\underline{b}} & ; \\ Z_{\underline{I}} & V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}Z_{\underline{J}} & , \end{array}$$
(3.14)

where U and V are local orthogonal matrices; $U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}} = U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{b}}$ () and $V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}} = V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{J}}$ () with $U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}}U_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{b}} = \frac{\underline{b}}{\underline{a}}$, and $V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{K}}V_{\underline{K}}^{\underline{J}} = \frac{\underline{J}}{\underline{I}}$; they can be thought of as

$$U() = \exp \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & \vdots \\ T^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{a}}() & 2 \text{ SO } (3) , \\ & & X^{3} \\ V() = \exp \begin{array}{c} L^{\underline{I}} \#_{\underline{I}}() & 2 \text{ SO } (19) , \\ & & I^{\underline{I}} = 1 \end{array}$$
(3.15)

where $_a$ () and $\#_{\!I}$ () are the gauge group parameters and $T^{\underline{a}}$ and $L^{\underline{I}}$ the generators of SO (3) and SO (19) respectively. In the case $T^{\underline{a}}$, we have the following coordinate realization,

$$T_{\underline{a}} \quad "_{\underline{abc}} \stackrel{\underline{bd}}{=} \frac{\underline{cI}}{\underline{0}} \frac{\underline{dI}}{\underline{dI}}; \quad "_{\underline{abc}} =$$
(3.16)

where "abc is the usual 3d com pletely antisym metric tensor. A quite sim ilar relation can be written down for the L_{I} generators.

(ii) dressed matter charges

The geometric dressed charges $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and the matter ones $Z_{\underline{I}}$ are not completely independent. They are related to each others in a quite similar manner as in 4D N = 2 supergravity theory embedded in 10D type IIB superstring on CY3. In the 7D theory, the dressed charges $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ are related as follows

$$Z_{\underline{I}} = \stackrel{\underline{a}\underline{D}}{\underline{D}}_{\underline{a}\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{b}} ,$$

$$D_{\underline{a}\underline{I}} = \underline{0}_{\underline{a}\underline{I}} A_{\underline{a}\underline{I}} ,$$

$$(3.17)$$

$$\underline{0}_{\underline{a}\underline{I}} = \frac{\underline{0}}{\underline{0}}_{\underline{a}\underline{I}} ,$$

where the gauge connection

$$A_{\underline{aI}} = A_{\underline{aI}} ()$$
 (3.18)

is needed to compensate terms like ${}^{\underline{a}\underline{b}}U_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} = 0_{\underline{c}\underline{I}}U_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{d}}$ and ${}^{\underline{I}\underline{J}}V_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{K}} = 0_{\underline{a}\underline{K}}V_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{K}}$ arising from the gauge transformations (3.14).

Notice moreover that, using eq(3.17), we can rewrite the black hole potential as follows

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = \begin{array}{ccc} X^3 & X^3 & X^{19} \\ a_{b} Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}} & \underline{Cd} & \underline{IJ} (D_{\underline{aI}} Z_{\underline{b}}) (D_{\underline{CJ}} Z_{\underline{d}}) \end{array} ; (3.19)$$

C learly this expression is invariant under the gauge change (3.14) since $D_{aI}Z_{b}$ transform in covariant m anner. U sing the following relation, which will be derived in section 5,

$$D_{\underline{aI}}Z_{\underline{b}} = \frac{1}{3} \underset{\underline{ab}}{\underline{ab}}Z_{\underline{I}}; \qquad (3.20)$$

and putting back into eq(3.19) as well as using the identity

$$Z_{\underline{I}} = D_{\underline{CI}} Z^{\underline{C}}$$
(3.21)

we rediscover (3.5).

(iii) gauge invariant I_+ : the W einhold potential

The existence of two kinds of dressed charges geom etric and matter combined with the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry induce an interesting property. We distinguish two kinds of gauge invariants,

$$I_{1} = \frac{ab}{Z}_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}} \quad ; \quad I_{2} = \frac{IJ}{Z}_{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{J}} = \frac{IJ}{Z}_{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{J}} ; \quad (3.22)$$

or equivalently

$$I = I_1 \quad I_2$$
: (3.23)

The W einhold potential $V_{BH}^{7D, N=2}$ is one of these invariants namely I_+ . This is a positive number as required by supersymmetry. It is invariant under the SO (3) SO (19) gauge

symmetry (3.14).

The other gauge invariant I , which reads as follows,

has an inde nite sign and will be interpreted later on in terms of a gauge invariant constraint eq needed by the matrix formulation.

(iv) behaviors of V_{BH}^{7D} , N = 2

U sing (3.33), the black hole e ective potential (3.5) can be put in the remarkable factorization

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$$
 (;) = e² V (); (3.25)

щ

with V (), having no dependence in , given by

$$V() = \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & X^{19} & \vdots \\ \frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}} + & \frac{IJ}{2}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}} & \vdots \\ a_{\underline{b}=1} & I_{\underline{J}}I^{-1} \end{array}$$
(3.26)

Notice that the potential $V_{BH}^{7D, N=2}$ (;) has a very special dependence on the dilaton . A coording to the values of this eld, we distinguish the three following particular cases: () case ! 0:

For nite values of (see also footnote 5), say around $_0 = 0$, the behavior of the black hole potential is dom inated by the factor V $_{\rm bJ}$; i.e.

$$V_{BH}^{7d,N=2}$$
 (;) V(): (3.27)

() case ! 1:

In this case the behavior of the black hole potential is dom instead by the factor e^{+2j} and $V_{BH}^{7dN=2}$ could be approximated as follows

$$V_{BH}^{7d,N=2}$$
 (;) = $V_0 e^{+2j j}$; (3.28)

where V_0 is some xed value extrem izing eq(3.26). In the 11D M -theory compactication set up, this case corresponds to a K 3 m anifold with large volum e;

but sm all m etric deform ations.

() case ! +1 :

Here the behavior of the black hole potential is dom inated by the factor e $^{2j\ j}$ and $V_{B\,H}^{7d,N=2}$ m ight be approximated as follows

$$V_{BH}^{7dN=2}$$
 (;) = $e^{2j} \dot{V}_{0}$: (3.30)

This case corresponds to compactifying M -theory on a K 3 m anifold with sm all volum e

(b) gauge invariant I : the constraint eqs

The constraint eqs(3.12) combine altogether as follows

$$\underline{z} L \underline{L} \underline{L}^{\underline{z}} = \underline{ab} L \underline{L}^{\underline{b}} \underline{L}^{\underline{b}} \underline{IJ} L \underline{L}^{\underline{J}} = \underline{I}; \qquad (3.32)$$

and show that L_{-} is not an arbitrary 22 22 m atrix; but an orthogonal m atrix of SO (3;19). Eqs(3.32) x the undesired degrees of freedom.

It turns out that these constraint relations are gauge invariant under the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry. They also play an important role in the study of the criticality condition of 7D black hole and in the underlying "hyperKahler" special geometry. Let us show how these constraints can be brought to the form I and how they are used in the solving of the criticality condition.

Multiplying both sides of (3.32) by the bare magnetic charges p- and p-; then using eqs(3.7), which we rewrite as follow

$$Z^{\underline{a}} = p - L^{\underline{a}} () ; \qquad Z^{\underline{a}} = e \quad Z^{\underline{a}} () ,$$

$$Z^{\underline{I}} = p - L^{\underline{I}} () ; \qquad Z^{\underline{I}} = e \quad Z^{\underline{I}} () ,$$
(3.33)

we obtain the following remarkable relation between the dressed charges

$$X^{3} \qquad X^{19} \qquad \qquad \underline{X}^{19} \qquad \underline{X}^{19} \qquad \underline{X}^{1} \qquad \underline{X}^{19} \qquad \underline{X}^{1} \qquad \underline{X}^{1}$$

with

$$p^{2} = \underline{p} - p - = \underline{ab} p_{\underline{a}} p_{\underline{b}} \qquad \underline{IJ} p_{\underline{I}} p_{\underline{J}} : \qquad (3.35)$$

Eq(3.34), which reads also as

 $p^2 = e^2 I$; (3.36)

has no de nite sign since it can be positive, zero or negative. It is manifestly gauge invariant.

There is two basic ways to deal with this constraint relation. The rst way is to solve it as

$$X^{19} \qquad X^{3} \qquad X^{3} \qquad \qquad X^{3} \qquad$$

Then substitute back into eq(3.26) to end with the black hole potential factor

V () =
$$p^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{a,b=1}}^{X^3} \frac{ab}{2} Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}}$$
 : (3.38)

Since from (3.37), we should have

$$p^{2} + \sum_{\substack{a \neq b=1}}^{X^{3}} \mathbb{Z}_{\underline{a}} \mathbb{Z}_{\underline{b}} = 0$$
(3.39)

then we have

$$X^{3}$$

$$V() \qquad \qquad \frac{ab}{Z_{\underline{a}}} Z_{\underline{b}} \qquad 0: \qquad (3.40)$$

$$a_{\underline{b}} = 1$$

Moreover seen that $V() = 2^{p} \frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}}$, the critical points of the black hole potential factor $\frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}$ is completely controlled by the zeros of $\frac{ab}{2}[Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}}]$.

The second way to approach eq(3.34) is to keep it is; and use the Lagrange multiplier method to deal with it. The Lagrange multiplier method method as well as comments on the entropies for dual pairs of black attractors in 6D and 7D will be exposed in [61]. Expressing the variation of eq(3.34) as,

$$X^{3} \qquad X^{\underline{a}} T_{\underline{a}} = X^{\underline{1}9} \qquad (3.41)$$

$$A^{\underline{a}=1} \qquad I = 1$$

where the metric $\frac{ab}{a}$ and $\frac{IJ}{I}$ have been used and where we have set $T_a = Z_a$ and $T_I = Z_I$, then we have the following results:

Theorem 1

Denoting by $T_{\underline{a}} = Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $T_{\underline{I}} = Z_{\underline{I}}$ as in eq(3.41), then: the SO (3) scalar $Z^{\underline{a}}T_{\underline{a}} = 0$ if $Z^{\underline{I}}T_{\underline{I}} = 0$; that is the $Z^{\underline{I}}$ and $T_{\underline{I}}$ are normal real vectors in R^{19} . This happens in particular for: (i) $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0$ 8 I 2 I = f1; ...; 19g whatever the $T_{\underline{I}}$'s are, (ii) $T_{\underline{I}} = 0$ 8 I 2 I = f1; ...; 19g whatever the $Z_{\underline{I}}$'s are, (iii) $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0$ for I 2 J I and $T_{\underline{I}} = 0$ for I 2 I=J.

Inversely, the SO (19) scalar $Z^{I}T_{I} = 0$ if $Z^{a}T_{a} = 0$, that is the Z^{a} and T_{a} are normal vectors in \mathbb{R}^{3} . In particular: (iv) $Z_{\underline{a}} = 0$ 8 a 2 I = f1;2;3g whatever the $T_{\underline{a}}$'s are, (v) $T_{\underline{a}} = 0$ 8 a 2 I = f1;2;3g whatever the $Z_{\underline{a}}$'s are, (vi) $Z_{\underline{a}} = 0$ for I 2 J I and $T_{\underline{a}} = 0$ for I 2 I=J:

Notice that the variation of $Z^{\underline{a}}Z_{a}$ can be gauge covariantly expanded as

$$X \qquad X \qquad X \qquad X \qquad X \qquad (Z^{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{a}}) = X \qquad (Z^{\underline{a}} D_{\underline{bI}} Z_{\underline{a}}) r^{\underline{bI}}: \qquad (3.42)$$

By using the identities (320-321), we can bring this variation to the form

$$X^{3} \qquad Z_{\underline{b}} Z^{\underline{b}} = X^{\underline{l}9} \qquad Z_{\underline{I}} r Z^{\underline{I}}; \qquad r Z^{\underline{I}} = X^{3} \qquad Z_{\underline{b}} r \xrightarrow{\underline{bI}}; \qquad (3.43)$$

$$E^{\underline{b}} = 1 \qquad E^{\underline{b}} = 1$$

or equivalently

$$X^{3} \qquad X^{3} \qquad X^{19} \qquad \vdots Z_{\underline{b}}T^{\underline{b}} = Z_{\underline{b}} \qquad Z_{\underline{1}}T \qquad \underline{bI} \qquad \vdots b=1 \qquad b=1 \qquad I=1 \qquad (3.44)$$

It follows from the two last relations the result:

Corollary 2

(i) If $Z_{\underline{a}} \notin 0$ 8 a 2 f1;2;3g and $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0$ 8 I 2 I = f1;:::;19g, then $T^{\underline{a}} = 0$ 8 a (ii) the potential factor $P_{\underline{a},\underline{b}=1}^{3} \xrightarrow{a\underline{b}} Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}} + P_{\underline{I},\underline{J}=1}^{p} \xrightarrow{IJ} Z_{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{J}}$ has extrem als for: () $Z_{\underline{a}} = 0$ 8 a 2 f1;2;3g; 8 $Z_{\underline{I}}$ () $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0$ 8 I 2 f1;:::;19g; 8 $Z_{\underline{a}}$

(2) curved coordinates fram e

In the curved coordinates frame $f'^m g = '^0 = ;^{aI}$, the curved space relations analogue of the above inertial fram e ones are obtained, by using eqs(2.23), as follows:

$$Z_{\underline{a}} = e_{\underline{a}}^{C} Y_{c} ; Y_{c} = e_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{a}} ,$$

$$Z_{\underline{I}} = e_{\underline{I}}^{K} Y_{K} ; Y_{K} = e_{\underline{K}}^{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{I}} ,$$
(3.45)

where

$$\mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}}^{c} = \mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}}^{c}(;) ; \qquad ; \qquad \mathbf{e}_{\underline{I}}^{K} = \mathbf{e}_{\underline{I}}^{K}(;) , \qquad (3.46)$$

are the vielbeins introduced previously (2.23). They allow to move from the inertial frame to a generic curved one. Substituting the change (3.45) back into ${}^{\underline{a}\underline{b}}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}}$ and ${}^{\underline{I}\underline{J}}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}$, we get

and

$$\overset{\underline{IJ}}{=} Z_{\underline{I}} Z_{\underline{J}} = \overset{\underline{ab}}{=} e_{\underline{I}}^{K} e_{\underline{J}}^{L} Y_{K} Y_{L} ,$$

$$= K^{K L} Y_{K} Y_{L} .$$

$$(3.48)$$

Notice that

$$Y_{c} = Y_{c} (); \qquad Y_{K} = Y_{K} ():$$
 (3.49)

Sim ilar relations can be written down by using the inverse vielbeins e_{K}^{I} . M oreover, we have the following properties:

(i) the e ective potential (3.26) reads, in the curved coordinates fram e, as

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$$
 (;) = e² V (); (3.50)

where now V () is given by

$$V() = \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & X^{19} & !\\ K^{cd}Y_{c}Y_{d} & K^{KL}Y_{K}Y_{L} ; \\ C;d=1 & K;L=1 \end{array}$$
(3.51)

and where

$$K^{cd} = \frac{ab}{e_{\underline{a}}^{c}} e_{\underline{b}}^{d}$$
; $K^{KL} = \frac{IJ}{e_{\underline{I}}^{K}} e_{\underline{J}}^{L}$: (3.52)

(ii) putting eqs(3.47-3.48) back into eq(3.34), we get the gauge invariant constraint relation

$$X^{3} \qquad X^{29} K^{cd}Y_{c}Y_{d} + K^{K L}Y_{K}Y_{L} = p^{2};$$
(3.53)
c;d=1 K;L=1

The variation of this constraint eq gives

$$K^{ab}Y_{a} (D Y_{b}) = K^{IJ}Y_{I} (D Y_{J});$$
 (3.54)

with

$$D Y_{b} = (Y_{b}) + \frac{1}{2} K_{bc} \quad K^{cd} Y_{d} ,$$

$$D Y_{J} = (Y_{J}) + \frac{1}{2} K_{JK} \quad K^{K L} Y_{L} .$$
(3.55)

(iii) by implementing the dilaton , the relations (3.47-3.48) can be also put in the form

$$\frac{ab}{Z} \underline{a} Z_{\underline{b}} = K^{\alpha} Y_{c} Y_{d} = e^{+2} K^{\alpha} Y_{c} Y_{d} ,$$

$$\frac{IJ}{Z} \underline{z} \underline{Z}_{\underline{J}} = K^{K L} Y_{K} Y_{L} = e^{+2} K^{K L} Y_{K} Y_{L} ,$$

$$(3.56)$$

where we have set

$$Y_{c}(;) = e Y_{c}() ,$$

 $Y_{K}(;) = e Y_{K}() .$
(3.57)

3.1.2 Criticality conditions

In the inertial coordinate frame f g, the critically condition of the black hole potential takes a simple form ; it reads as follows:

$$V_{BH}^{7D \not N=2} = 2 \qquad \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & ! & ! \\ X^{3} & X^{19} & I \\ \underline{a} \not D = 1 & I \end{pmatrix} = 1 \qquad \begin{array}{c} X^{19} & I \\ \underline{I} J = 1 & I \end{pmatrix} = 0 \qquad (3.58)$$

This variation can rewritten form ally like

$$2 \qquad \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & & & & ! \\ 2 & & \overset{\underline{ab}}{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{a}} T_{\underline{b}} & + 2 & & \overset{\underline{IJ}}{\underline{J}} Z_{\underline{I}} T_{\underline{J}} &= 0: \qquad (3.59) \\ a; b=1 & & & I; J=1 \end{array}$$

where, in general,

In the case of 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M -theory on K 3, Z^{\frac{a}} and Z^{\frac{I}} are respectively given by e Z^{\frac{a}} () and e Z^{\frac{I}} () eqs β .33). So we have

$$\frac{\varrho Z^{\underline{a}}}{\varrho} = e Z^{\underline{a}} ; \qquad \frac{\varrho Z^{\underline{a}}}{\varrho \ \underline{bJ}} = e \frac{\varrho Z^{\underline{a}}}{\varrho \ \underline{bJ}} ; \qquad (3.61)$$

$$\frac{\varrho Z^{\underline{I}}}{\varrho} = e Z^{\underline{I}} , \qquad \frac{\varrho Z^{\underline{I}}}{\varrho \ \underline{bJ}} = e \frac{\varrho Z^{\underline{I}}}{\varrho \ \underline{bJ}} .$$

Classi cation of solutions of eq3.59)

The above theorem and corollary show that the black hole solutions associated with the critical points of eq(3.59) are of three kinds: a 1/2-BPS and two non BPS black holes; to which we refer to as type 1 and type 2.

The non degenerate solutions of eq(3.59) with black hole elective potential at horizon like

$$V_{BH}^{7d,N=2} > 0;$$
 (3.62)

and the A mow itt-D eser-M isner (ADM) m ass M $^2_{\rm ADM}$ bounded like,

$$X^{3} \qquad X^{3} \qquad X^{3} \qquad X^{19} \qquad \vdots \qquad X^{19} \qquad X^{19} \qquad \vdots \qquad X^{19} \qquad X$$

are given by:

(1) 1/2-BPS state.

This black hole state has eight supersymm etries and corresponds to,

$$(Z_1; Z_2; Z_3) \in (0; 0; 0);$$
 (3.64)

but

$$X^{3} \qquad \frac{ab}{2} Z_{\underline{a}} T_{\underline{b}} = 0; \qquad (3.65)$$

$$a = 1$$

and

$$(Z_{1}) = (Z_{1}; ...; Z_{19}) = (0; ...; 0) :$$
(3.66)

In this case the ADM $\,$ m ass M $_{\rm ADM}^{2}\,$ saturates the bound

$$M_{ADM} \stackrel{V}{\underset{a \neq b=1}{\overset{u}{\xrightarrow{}}}} \frac{1}{2\underline{a}Z_{\underline{b}}} : \qquad (3.67)$$

At the event horizon, the critical ADM mass (M $_{ADM}$)_h is obtained by extrem izing the e ective potential $V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ with respect to the scalar moduli $\frac{m}{}$. Using eq(3.64), we then have

$$0 < (M_{ADM})_{BPS} = (M_{ADM})_{h};$$
 (3.68)

where we set

$$(M_{ADM})_{BPS} = \begin{array}{c} V \\ U \\ U \\ U \\ Z \\ a; b=1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{ab} Z_{\underline{a}} Z_{\underline{b}} \\ horizon \end{array}$$
(3.69)

The lower bound of $(M_{ADM})_h$ is positive de nite. By using eq(3.34) and eq(3.7), we also have for the case ____p-p- \notin 0 and $p^d_{\underline{dh}}p^h$,

$$(Z^{\underline{a}})_{\text{horizon}} = p^{\underline{a}} \frac{\underline{j(p^{\underline{b}} \ \underline{bc} p^{\underline{c}} \ p^{\underline{J}} \ \underline{JK} \ p^{\underline{K}} \)}_{p^{\underline{d}} \ \underline{dh} p^{\underline{h}}} ,$$

$$L^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{horizon}} = p_{\underline{p}} p^{\underline{b}} \underline{bc} p^{\underline{c}} p^{\underline{J}} \underline{JK} p^{\underline{K}} ^{1} Z^{\underline{a}} .$$

$$(3.70)$$

(2) non BPS state: type 1

This is a non supersymmetric state corresponding to,

$$(Z_{1}) = (Z_{1}; :::; Z_{19}) \in (0; :::; 0); \qquad (3.71)$$

and

$$\frac{IJ}{I}Z_{I}T_{J} = 0; \qquad (3.72)$$

and m oreover

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{\underline{a}}) = (\mathbb{Z}_1; \mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_3) = (0; 0; 0):$$
(3.73)

In this case the critical ADM mass (M $_{ADM}$)_h is given by:

Х

Notice that since $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ are dened up to SO (3) SO (19) gauge symmetry eqs(2.18), we can usually perform a rotation to bring eq(3.71) to the form

$$(Z_{I}) = (Z_{1}; 0; :::; 0); \qquad (3.75)$$

with $(Z_{\underline{I}})_{I=1} \in 0$ and all others $Z_{\underline{I}}$ with $I \in 1$ equal to zero. Similar conclusion can made for $Z_{\underline{a}}$ or both $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$.

(3) non BPS state: type 2

This state is non supersymmetric and corresponds to

$$(Z_a) \in (0;0;0);$$
 ie $Z_a \in 0$ for some a 2 J f1;2;3g; (3.76)

and

$$\frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}}T_{\underline{b}} = 0$$
(3.77)

together with

$$(Z_{\underline{I}}) \in (0; ...; 0);$$
 ie $Z_{\underline{I}} \in 0$ for som e I 2 J⁰ f1; ...; 19g (3.78)

aswellas

$$X \qquad \qquad \underline{IJ} Z_{\underline{I}} T_{\underline{J}} = 0: \qquad (3.79)$$

This con guration leads to

For more details on this classi cation, see also the analysis of subsection 7.1. In the end of this discussion, notice that a similar and equivalent study can be done for the criticality condition by using the curved coordinates fram e f'^m g. The two m ethods are equivalent and are related by the identities $Z_{\underline{a}}() = \underline{e}_{\underline{a}}^{c}(;) Y_{c}()$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}() = e_{\underline{I}}^{K}(;) Y_{K}()$:

3.2 7D black 3-brane

The 7D black 3-brane is realized by wrapping the M 5 brane on the 2-cycles of K 3. The three remaining space directions llpart of the seven space time dimensions. The 3-brane is electrically charged under the U²² (1) gauge group symmetry of the N = 2 7D supergravity theory. The solutions for 7D black 3-brane are given by the dual of the previous black hole ones.

The electric charges

$$q = q^{1}; ...; q^{22};$$
 (3.81)

are given by the integral of the real 7-form $\,$ ux density F $_7$ through the basis of the 7-cycles S_1^5 , $\,$ $_Z$ $\,$ $_Z$

$$q = F_7; = 1; ...; 22; \qquad (3.82)$$

where the real 5-sphere S_1^5 is norm alized as,

$$Z = d^5 s = 1$$
: (3.83)

In the above relation, the real space time 7-form F_7 is the Hodge dual of the eld strength $F_4 = dC_3$ considered previously.

The black 3-brane potential

$$V_{3-\text{brane}}^{\text{7D} \mathbb{N}=2}$$
(3.84)

is obtained by dualizing the W einhold potential of the 7D black hole (3.5). This scalar potential can be de ned either by using the inertial coordinates frame f g or, in general, the curved one.

3.2.1 E ective potential

In the inertial coordinate fram e f g, the black 3-brane potential $V_{3-brane}^{7D \not N=2}$ reads as follow s,

$$V_{3-\text{brane}}^{7\text{D},N=2} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}X^{3}\\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} \\\underline{ab}\mathcal{B}_{\underline$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\underline{I}}$ are the dressed electric charges dual to the dressed magnetic Z_a and Z_I. They are given by,

$$2^{\underline{P}\underline{a}} = {}^{\underline{P}}_{22} q \underline{B}^{\underline{a}} ; \qquad \underline{B}^{\underline{a}} = \underline{B}^{\underline{a}} () ,$$

$$2^{\underline{P}\underline{I}} = {}^{22}_{=1} q \underline{B}^{\underline{I}} ; \qquad \underline{B}^{\underline{I}} = \underline{B}^{\underline{I}} () ,$$
(3.86)

where the $I\!\!P^a$ and $I\!\!P^I$ are related to the $L_{\underline{b}}$ and $L_{\underline{J}}$ of eqs(3.7) as follows

$$\mathbb{B}^{\underline{a}} \mathbb{L}_{\underline{b}} = \frac{\underline{a}}{\underline{b}}; \qquad \mathbb{B}^{\underline{I}} \mathbb{L}_{\underline{J}} = \frac{\underline{I}}{\underline{J}}: \qquad (3.87)$$

The matrices \mathbb{F}^{a} satisfy constraint relations sim ilar to those satisfy by $L_{\underline{J}}$. In particular, the analogue of (3.7) reads as

$$\underline{z} \mathbf{E}_{\underline{a}} \mathbf{E}_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{z}} = \underline{z} \mathbf{E}_{\underline{I}} \mathbf{E}_{\underline{J}}^{\underline{z}} \mathbf{E}_{\underline{J}}^{$$

W e also have the factorization of the dilaton,

$$\mathbf{\hat{E}}^{\underline{a}} = \mathbf{e}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{\hat{E}}^{\underline{a}}; \qquad \mathbf{\hat{E}}^{\underline{I}} = \mathbf{e}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{\hat{E}}^{\underline{I}}; \tag{3.89}$$

aswellas

$$\mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}} = e^{+} \mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}} ; \qquad \mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}} = \stackrel{P}{\underset{=1}{\overset{22}{p}} q \mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}} , \qquad (3.90)$$
$$\mathcal{E}^{\underline{I}} = e^{+} \mathcal{E}^{\underline{I}} ; \qquad \mathcal{E}^{\underline{I}} = \stackrel{P}{\underset{=1}{\overset{22}{p}} q \mathcal{E}^{\underline{I}} .$$

Putting these expressions back into eq(3.85), we obtain the factorization

$$V_{3-\text{brane}}^{7d,N=2}$$
 (;) = $e^{+2} V_3$ (); (3.91)

with

$$V_{3} = \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & X^{19} \\ \xrightarrow{ab} \mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{B}_{\underline{b}} + & \xrightarrow{IJ} \mathcal{B}_{\underline{I}} \mathcal{B}_{\underline{J}} : \\ \xrightarrow{ab=1} & I; J=1 \end{array}$$
(3.92)

M oreover, using the usual electric/m agnetic duality relation between the electric and m agnetic charges nam ely

it is not di cult to check that we have the following relations,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}} \quad \stackrel{\underline{a}}{\underline{b}}; \quad \mathcal{E}^{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}} \quad \stackrel{\underline{I}}{\underline{J}}; \tag{3.94}$$

de ning the duality between the dressed electric and magnetic charges.

3.2.2 Criticality conditions

The solutions of the criticality condition of eq(3.85) are quite similar to those obtained for the 7D black hole. In fact they are precisely the duals; and they may be obtained directly by making every where the substitution

$$e ! e^+$$
; $Z^{\underline{a}} ! \mathcal{E}_{\underline{a}}$; $Z^{\underline{I}} ! \mathcal{E}_{\underline{I}}$: (3.95)

The classi cation of the BPS and non BPS 3-branes is given by the dual of eqs(3.64-3.80). Then, we have:

(1) $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS black 3-brane: $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} \in (0;0;0)$; $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} = 0$; 81:

This is a supersymmetric state preserving eight supersymmetric charges and has a critical ADM mass as

$$0 < M_{ADM} = M_{ADM};$$
 (3.96)

with

(2) non BPS 3-brane: type 1, $\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{a}} = (0;0;0); \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{I}} \in (0;::::;0)$: This is a non supersymmetric state with critical ADM mass (M_{ADM})_h given by:

(3) non BPS 3-brane: type 2, $\mathcal{B}_{\underline{a}} \notin (0;0;0); \mathcal{B}_{\underline{I}} \notin (0;::::;0)$. Its critical ADM mass is given by

$$M_{ADM} = \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V \\ U \\ U \\ a_{a}b^{2}J^{0} \end{matrix}}_{a_{a}b^{2}J^{0}} \underbrace{\begin{matrix} X^{3} \\ B_{\underline{a}} & B_{\underline{b}} \end{matrix}}_{3-brane horizon} + \underbrace{\begin{matrix} X^{19} \\ IJ \\ IJ^{2}J^{0} \end{matrix}}_{3-brane horizon} ; (3.99)$$

where some (not all) of the geometric dressed charges as well as the matter ones are equal to zero.

4 Fields and uxes in 7D supergravity

In this section, we study the eld content of the 7D N = 2 supergravity. This analysis is not new; but it is useful for two things: First to x the ideas; in particular the issue regarding how the 7D eld spectrum is generated from 11D M -theory on K 3. Second, it allows to physically motivate the derivation of the D albeault like basis f_a; Ig (1.2) of H² (K 3; R) that we will develop in the next section.

W e consider the 11D -M -theory com pacti ed on K 3 determ ining an e ective 7D N = 2 supergravity at P lanck scale. Under com pacti cation on K 3, the eleven dimensional 3-form gauge eld $C_{M NP}^{11D}$ $x^{Q} = C_{M NP}^{11D}$;

$$C_{M N P}^{11D} = C_{M N P}^{11D} \times ; y^{i} ; y^{2} K 3;$$
 (4.1)

with

$$\begin{aligned} x^{Q} &= (x^{0}; :::; x^{10}) , \\ x &= (x^{0}; :::; x^{6}) , \\ y^{i} &= (x^{7}; :::; x^{10}) , \end{aligned}$$
 (4.2)

decom poses into:

(i) a 7D space time real 3-form gauge eld C (x) (the membrane gauge eld in 7D space time). It is dual to a rank 2-tensor B eld.

(ii) twenty two (22) 1-form gauge elds A (7D space time gauge particles).

As these gauge particles play a central role in this study, let us give m ore details.

4.1 11D gauge 3-form on K 3

The 7D N = 2 supergravity theory we are considering here is very special. It is the supersymmetric eld theoretic limit of the 11D M -theory on K3.

This 7D theory has an abelian U 22 (1) gauge symmetry captured by 22 M axwell type gauge elds

$$A = dx A (x);$$
 (4.3)

with gauge transform ation

$$A ! A + d " :$$
 (4.4)

The corresponding 22 gauge invariant eld strengths are

$$F_2 = dA$$
; $G_5 = F_2 = 1$; ;22: (4.5)

where

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \mathrm{dx} \, \mathrm{dx} \, \mathbf{F}_{[]}$$
(4.6)

The gauge invariant 5-form G_5 is the Hodge-dual of F_2 in seven dimension space time. For simplicity, we shall drop out the sub-indices 2 and 5,

$$F_2 ! F ; G_5 ! G :$$
 (4.7)

The gauge elds A follow from the compacti cation of the gauge 3-form

$$C_{3}^{11D} = dx^{P} dx^{N} dx^{M} C_{M N P}^{11D}; \qquad (4.8)$$

Denoting by H $_4^{11D}$ the gauge invariant 4-form eld strength of C_3^{11D} and by H $_7^{11D}$ the 11D Hodge dual of H $_4^{11D}$, then the 7D gauge elds A , F and G can be de ned as:

$$A = C_{3}; \quad F = H_{4}^{11D}; \quad G = H_{7}^{11D}; \quad (4.9)$$

where
$$2 H_2$$
 (K 3;R) is a real basis of 2-cycles.
The integration of the eld strength F (resp.G) throughout the sphere S_1^2 (resp. S_1^5) give the magnetic (resp. electric) charges p (resp.q),

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} Z & & Z \\ F & ; & q = \begin{bmatrix} G \\ s_1^2 \end{bmatrix} G :$$
(4.10)

Up on using eq(4.9), these magnetic and electric charges can be also put in the following way by using 11D gauge elds and the second hom ology basis of K3,

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ P & R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 4 & R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ R & R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 1 & R \end{pmatrix} +$$

The magnetic charges p and the electric ones q obey the usual D irac quantization (3.93).

4.2 Two 7D N = 2 supersymmetric representations

From the view of the 7D N = 2 supergravity, the 22 gauge elds A do not carry the same supersymmetric quantum numbers. It happens that the A and the corresponding eld strengths F and F split into triplets and 19-uplets as shown below,

$$A = A^{a} \qquad A^{\perp} ; \qquad (4.12)$$

and

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^{a} \quad \mathbf{F}^{I} ; \qquad (4.13)$$

aswellas

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^{a} \qquad \mathbf{F}^{I} : \qquad (4.14)$$

The component elds A^a , F^a and F^a transform as real vectors under SO (3); but like real scalars under SO (19).

Similarly, the component elds A^{I} , F^{I} and F^{I} transform as real scalars under SO (3); but like real vectors under SO (19).

This property translates the fact that the 22 abelian gauge elds belong to two di erent 7D N = 2 supersymmetric representations, namely the 7D N = 2 supergravity multiplet, denoted as,

$$G_{7D, N} = 2;$$
 (4.15)

and the 7D N = 2 gauge multiplets

$$(V_{7D,N=2})^{I}; I = 1; ...; 19:$$
 (4.16)

Below we comment brie y these two representations:

4.2.1 Supergravity multiplet $G_{7D,N=2}$

The component elds content of the 7D N = 2 supergravity multiplet $G_{7D, N} = 2$ reads as follows:

Bosons: G (x); C (x);
$$A^{a}(x)$$
; (x)
(4.17)
Fermions: ¹ (x); ² (x); ¹ (x); ² (x)

The rst line refers to the 7D bosonic elds; they describe respectively the 7D graviton G , the 7D antisymmetric 3-form gauge eld C , the space time 1-form gauge elds triplet A $^{\rm a}$ and the 7D dilaton .

The second line refers to the 7D ferm ionic eld partners namely:
(i) two 7D gravitinos
$$1; 2$$

(ii) two 7D gravi-photinos ($1; 2$):

Both of these ferm ionic elds form isodoublets of the USP_R (2;R) autom orphism symmetry⁷ of the 7D N = 2 superalgebra.

4.2.2 A belian gauge supermultiplets

The component elds content of the nineteen 7D N = 2 abelian gauge supermultiplets $V_{7D\ N}^{\rm I}$ = 2 is given by

Bosons:
$$A^{I}$$
; aI , (4.18)
Fermions: 1I ; 2I .

Each multiplet V_{7D} N = 2 consists of :

(i) a 7D gauge eld A , which is a singlet under the USP (2;R); (ii) two 7D ferm ions ¹; ² form ing an isodoublet under the USP (2;R) automorphism sym m etry of the 7D N = 2 superalgebra (iii) three 7D scalar elds⁸ ^a = ¹; ²; ³ form ing an USP (2;R) isotriplet. The gauge elds (4.18) capture di erent quantum numbers of the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy sym m etry of the moduli space M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$

$$M_{7D}^{N=2} = G \quad SO(1;1); \qquad G = \frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3) \quad SO(19)}; \qquad (4.19)$$

where SO (3) should be thought of as the R-sym m etry group USP (2;R). For the m atter multiplet ($V_{7D, N=2}$, see footnote 8), we have

and

Fermions: 11; 21 (2;19); (4.21)

where (s;19), with s = 1;2;3, refer to SO (3) SO (19) representations. A quite similar classication can made for the elds of the supergravity multiplet $G_{7D,N=2}$. The quantum numbers of the supergravity elds under the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy

⁷The autom orphism group USP (2;R) of the 7D N = 2 superalgebra is related to the SO (3) isotropy symmetry factor of the moduli space. The hom on orphism is given by the usual relation $x^{()} = x^{a}(_{a})$ mapping the adjoint of USP (2;R) to the 3-vector of SO (3).

 $^{^8}For\,\sin p\,licity,\,we$ shall refer to the gauge multiplet $V_{7D}^{N\,=\,2}$ as matter and to the gravity $G_{7D}^{N\,=\,2}$ as geom etry.

symmetry is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
G & (1;1) , \\
B osons: & C & (1;1) , \\
A^{a} & (3;1) , \\
& (1;1) , \\
\end{array} \tag{4.22}$$

and

Fermions:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & (2;1) & (4,23) \\ (1 & 2) & (2;1) & (4,23) \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that all the elds of $G_{7D, N=2}$ are scalar under SO (19); but can be either isosinglets, isodoublets or isotriplets under SO (3) USP (2;R).

In what follows, and in order to alleviate the notations, we shall drop out the 7D spinor index (R om an character). We will use the index (in M ath character) to refer to the isospin 1/2 representation of the USP (2;R) symmetry group. The two gravitinos, the two gravi-photinos and the nineteen gaugino doublets will be collectively written as follows

$$= {}^{1}; {}^{2} : = {}^{1}; {}^{2} : = {}^{1}; {}^{2} : = {}^{1}; {}^{2} : = {}^{1}; {}^{2} : = {}^{1}; {}^{2}: = {}^$$

where the space time spinor index has been dropped out. We also have the relation between USP (2;R) and SO (3;R);

$${}^{aI} = {X^2 \ a \ ()I} ; a = 1;2;3; (4.25)$$

where ⁽⁾ stands for the symmetric part of

5 Deriving the f $_{a}$; Ig basis of H² (K 3;R)

In this section, we use physical arguments to construct one of the basis tools to deal with the special hyperK ahler geometry (SHG) of the 11D M – theory on K3. This construction concerns the derivation of a "Dalbeault like" basis f_a; _Ig of the second real cohomology of K3. This is a real 22 dimensional 2-form basis of H² (K3;R)

$$f_{a}; _{I}g; a = 1;2;3; I = 1;:::;19;$$
 (5.1)

with the particularity of combining both the K3Kahler 2-form

$$^{0} = ^{(1;1)};$$
 (5.2)

and the associated complex holom orphic and antiholom orphic 2-form s

$$^{+} = ^{(2;0)}; = ^{(0;2)};$$
 (5.3)

in an SO (3) isotriplet

$$a = +; 0; : (5.4)$$

This operation corresponds naively to combining the Kahler $t_I = z_I^0$ and complex deformation z_I moduli of the metric of K3 into 19 isotriplets $\stackrel{a}{I}$ with a = 0; . The 10 up bt 2 form a_I which turn out to be equal to the correspond derivative of $\stackrel{a}{I}$.

The 19-uplet 2-form s $_{\rm I}$, which turn out to be equal to the covariant derivative of $^{\rm a}$; i.e.

$$I = D_{aI} \stackrel{a}{;}$$
(5.5)

can be in agined as the real2-form generating SO (3) spherical deform ations of the metric of K 3.

To that purpose, we start by recalling some useful results on the special K ahler geometry (SKG) of 10D type IIB superstring on CY3s; in particular the role played by the D albeault basis of H³ (CY3;R). Then, we derive eq (5.1) by using constraint relations from 7D N = 2 supergravity theory. More analysis on the the special hyperK ahler geometry (SHG) set up using the basis (5.1) will be developed in the next sections.

5.1 Generalon SKG of CY 3

Following ??, the third real cohom ology H 3 (X $_3$; R) of the Calabi-Yau X $_3$ threefold can be H odge-decom posed along the third D albeault basis as follows,

$$H^{3}(X_{3};R) = H^{3;0}(X_{3}) + H^{2;1}(X_{3}) + H^{1;2}(X_{3}) + H^{0;3}(X_{3});$$
(5.6)

where the subscript s stands for the sem i-direct cohom ological sum due to non vanishing intersections.

The above H odge decomposition corresponds to make a change of basis from the usual real symplectic basis⁹ of H 3 (X $_3$;R) namely,

,

$$= 0; \dots; h^{2;1}$$
 (5.7)

to the Dalbeault basis

$$_{3}$$
; $D_{i 3}$; $\overline{D_{i 3}}$; $\overline{D_{i 3}}$; \overline{J} ; (5.8)

where $i = 1; \dots; h^{1;2}$ (C Y 3). In the above relation, $_3 2 H^{3;0}$ (C Y 3) and $\overline{_3} 2 H^{0;3}$ (C Y 3) stand respectively for the

 $^{^{9}}$ In this subsection and are 3-form s of H 3 (C Y 3); they should not be confused with the H odge basis of H 2 (K 3) denoted by the same letters.

usual holom orphic and antiholom orphic 3-form s on the Calabi-Yau threefold X $_3$. They are expressed in term s of and like

Here, the moduli space coordinate variables

 $z = z^{i}$; $\overline{z} = \overline{z}^{\overline{i}}$; $z^{0} = 1$; (5.10)

are the complex structure moduli describing the complex deformations of the metric of X $_{\rm 3}$ and

$$X (z); F (z);$$
 (5.11)

with the property

X ;F !
$$e^{f(z)}$$
 X ;F ; $\frac{\partial X}{\partial \overline{z}} = 0$; $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}} = 0$; (5.12)

is a basis of symplectic holomorphic fundamental periods of $_3$ around the 3-cycles A ;B , 7. 7.

$$X = {}_{A} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{B} {}_{C} {}_$$

Recall that the set of real 3-form s ; satisfy the sym plectic structure

7

where the inner product of two 3-form sF and G is de ned as

$$hF;Gi = F^G = hG;Fi:$$
 (5.15)

By Poincare duality of the 3-form s ; on the Calabi-Yau threefold, we also have the set of real 3-cycles

dual to (5.7) and generating the third real hom ology H $_3$ (C Y 3; R). The basis ; and its dual A ; B satisfy
W e also have the following fundam ental relations of special K ahler geom etry

$$3;\overline{3} = ie^{K}$$

 $D_{i}3;\overline{D_{i}3} = ig_{i\overline{i}}e^{K}$
(5.18)

together with (see also the appendix)

Recall also that the D albeault basis (5.8) of the cohom ology of CY 3 has been shown to be particularly convenient to deal with the two following things:

(1) the SKG of the 10D type IIB superstring on CY3; in particular in the study of the e ective scalar potential of 4D N = 2 supergravity and the characterization of the BPS and non BPS 4D black holes.

(2) the developm ent of the "new attractor" approach of the 4D N = 2 supergravity and 4D N = 1 supergravity with uxes [62, 63].

O ur purpose below is to build the analogue of the above relations for the SHG of the 11D M -theory on K3. Using special features of the H odge decom position of the second real cohom ology of K3, we show that the analogue of eq (5.8) is, in some sense, given by (5.1) where $_{a}$ is an real isotriplet and $_{I}$ is a real 19-uplet.

Because of the form alsim ilarity with eqs(5.8), we will sometimes refer to the basis (5.1) as the Dalbeault like basis for the second real cohomology of K3. Nevertheless, one should note that there is a basic di erence between eqs(5.8) and (5.1); the rst one deals with complex deform ations of CY3 while the second deals with the combined K ahler and complex deform ations of K3.

5.2 A special basis of H₂ (K 3;R)

In this subsection, we derive the D albeault like basis (5.1) by using special features of the underlying symmetries of the 7D N = 2 supergravity eld theory; in particular: (1) the splitting of the elds content of 7D N = 2 supergravity in two irreducible supersymmetric representations,

(2) the combination of the Kahler and complex deform ations of the metric of K3. This combination allows to group altogether the deform ations moduli into isotriplets.

These two properties are not completely independent; they are in fact di erent ways to state the implementation of the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry of the moduli space M $_{7D}^{N=2}$ in the supergravity eld theory.

5.2.1 Supersymmetric representation constraints

The 7D N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M -theory on K3 has several space time elds with diment quantum numbers. For instance, the 22 abelian gauge elds A - = dx A - with

$$A - = C_3^{11D}; = 1; ...; 22; \qquad (5.20)$$

belong to two di erent irreducible representations of 7D N = 2 supersymmetric algebra. These supersymmetric representations correspond to the gravity multiplet

$$G_{7D, N} = 2;$$
 (5.21)

and the gauge (m atter) supermultiplet

$$V_{7D,N=2}$$
: (5.22)

From eqs(4.17,4.18), we see that the gauge elds A - of eq(5.20) split into two basic sets (4.12):

(i) 3 gauge elds A $\frac{a}{r}$, belonging to the gravity multiplet $G_{7D, N=2}$.

(ii) 19 gauge elds A^I, belonging to the gauge multiplets $V_{7D,N=2}^{I}$.

Splitting the system A-

As noted before, the gauge elds A - and F - are not exactly what it seen by N = 2 supersymmetry in the 7D space time. W hat required by the irreducible representations of the 7D N = 2 superalgebra are precisely the gravi-photon isotriplet

$$A^{\underline{a}} = A^{\underline{a}} (\mathbf{x}) \tag{5.23}$$

and the Maxwell gauge elds

$$A^{\underline{I}} = A^{\underline{I}} (\mathbf{x}) \tag{5.24}$$

describing 19 "photons" in the gauge sector.

This means that the "physical quantities"; in particular the gauge elds $A^{\underline{a}}$ and $A^{\underline{I}}$ as well as the corresponding eld strengths $F^{\underline{a}}$ and $F^{\underline{I}}$ can be de ned as linear combinations of A – and F – as follows

$$A^{\underline{a}} = {}^{\underline{P}} {}_{\underline{2}} {}_{\underline{2}} Q^{\underline{a}} A - ,$$

$$F^{\underline{a}} = {}^{\underline{P}} {}_{\underline{2}} {}_{\underline{2}} Q^{\underline{a}} F - .$$
(5.25)

where the decomposition coecients $Q \stackrel{a}{=} = Q \stackrel{a}{=} ($) are local eld tensors whose interpretation will be given in a moment.

To x the ideas, think about the 22 22 m atrix, which can be split like

$$Q \underline{-} = Q \underline{a}; Q \underline{I}; \qquad (5.26)$$

as an orthogonalm atrix

$$Q = 2 SO(3;19)$$
: (5.27)

Similarly, the gauge elds A^{\perp} and the corresponding eld strengths F^{\perp} may be dened as well as linear combinations of A - and F - like,

$$A^{\underline{I}} = {}^{P} {}^{22} {}_{=1} Q^{\underline{I}} A - ,$$

$$F^{\underline{I}} = {}^{P} {}^{22} {}_{=1} Q^{\underline{I}} F - ;$$
(5.28)

where Q_{-}^{\perp} are as in eq(5.27). M oreover, inverting eqs(5.25-5.28) as follows,

$$A - = {P \atop a=1}^{P} L_{\underline{a}} A^{\underline{a}} + {P \atop I=1}^{I} L_{\underline{I}} A^{\underline{I}} ,$$

$$F - = {P \atop a=1}^{P} L_{\underline{a}} F^{\underline{a}} + {P \atop I=1}^{I9} L_{\underline{I}} F^{\underline{I}} ,$$
(5.29)

where the decomposition coe cients $L_{\frac{a}{a}}$ and $L_{\underline{I}}$ are local elds, we can get information on the matrices $Q_{\underline{I}}$ and $L_{\underline{I}}$.

Substituting the decom position (5.29) back into (5.25-5.28), we get the following relation

$$X^{3} Q^{\underline{a}} L_{\underline{a}} + X^{19} Q^{\underline{I}} L_{\underline{I}} + Q^{\underline{I}} L_{\underline{I}} = 1 \qquad (5.30)$$

$$a=1 \qquad I=1 \qquad I=1 \qquad I=1$$

Using the atmetric tensors ab = + ab and IJ = IJ of the inertial frame, we can put this relation into the form

$$Q^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{ab}}L^{\underline{b}}_{\underline{b}} + Q^{\underline{I}}_{\underline{IJ}}L^{\underline{J}}_{\underline{ab}} = _; , Q_{22 22}L = _{22 22}$$
 (5.31)

which is precisely the SO (3;19) orthogonality relation we have described in sections 2 and 3.

5.2.2 The dualoff _a; _Ig

7D N = 2 supersymmetry puts a strong constraint on the underlying SHG of the 7D supergravity theory. It requires a particular real 2-cycle basis of H₂ (K 3)

$$B^{a}; B^{I};$$
 (5.32)

which allows to de ne the gauge elds A a and A I of the supergravity theory like

Gravity:
$$A^{a} = {R \atop B^{a}} C_{3}^{11D}$$
; 3 gravi-photons
M atter: $A^{I} = {R \atop B^{I}} C_{3}^{11D}$, 19 abelian gauge elds

To get the relation between the new basis $B^{a}; B^{I}$ with a = 1; 2; 3, I = 1; ...; 19, and the old one

; = 1;:::;22;
$$(5.34)$$

considered previously, we proceed as follows:

(1) start from the gauge 3-form C_3^{11D} of the 11D theory and compactify on K 3. By using the 2-cycle basis, we get

$$A - = C_3^{11D}$$
; $A - = dx A -$: (5.35)

If instead of (5.34), we use the 2-cycle basis $B^{a}; B^{I}$, we end with the relations (5.33). (2) compare the two expressions by using (5.25-5.28); we obtain

$$A^{\underline{a}} = {}^{P} {}_{22} Q^{\underline{a}} R C_{3}^{11D} ,$$

$$F^{\underline{a}}_{2} = {}^{P} {}_{21} Q^{\underline{a}} R F_{4}^{11D} ,$$
(5.36)

and

$$A^{\underline{I}} = {}^{P} {}_{=1}^{22} Q^{\underline{I}} {}^{R} C_{3}^{11D} ,$$

$$F^{\underline{I}}_{2} = {}^{P} {}_{=1}^{22} Q^{\underline{I}} {}^{R} F^{11D}_{4} .$$
(5.37)

But, these relations read also as follows

$$A^{\underline{a}} = {R \atop B^{\underline{a}}} C_{3}^{11D} ; \qquad F_{2}^{\underline{a}} = {R \atop B^{\underline{a}}} F_{4}^{11D} ,$$

$$A^{\underline{I}} = {R \atop B^{\underline{I}}} C_{3}^{11D} ; \qquad F_{2}^{\underline{I}} = {R \atop B^{\underline{I}}} F_{4}^{11D} ,$$
(5.38)

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} B^{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P & 22 \\ = 1 Q \\ - 1 Q \\ - 1 \end{bmatrix} ;$$

$$B^{I} = \begin{bmatrix} P & 22 \\ = 1 Q \\ - 1 \end{bmatrix} Q \\ - 1 \end{bmatrix} ;$$
(5.39)

or equivalently

$$- = \sum_{a=1}^{X^3} L_{\underline{a}} [B^a] + \sum_{I=1}^{X^{19}} L_{\underline{I}} B^I :$$
(5.40)

These similarity transformations show that the gauge elds $A^{\underline{a}}; A^{\underline{I}}$ and the 2-cycle basis $B^{\underline{a}}; B^{\underline{I}}$ are related in same manner as do the gauge elds A - w ith the basis

Building the 2-cycle basis B^{a} ; B^{I}

The "physical" 3 gravi-photons $A^{\underline{a}}$ of the gravity multiplet and the 19 "physical" abelian gauge elds $A^{\underline{I}}$ of the matter multiplets can be dened in terms of the $B^{\underline{a}}$; $B^{\underline{I}}$ 2-cycle basis of H_2 (K 3; R). This is a real 22 dimensional canonical basis

$$B^{a};B^{\perp}; a = 1;2;3; I = 1;...;19;$$
 (5.41)

dual to f_a ; Ig and it is related to the old basis by eqs(5.39). Poincare duality associates eq(5.41) and eq(5.1) through the relation,

 $R = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ R^{B^{a} \ C} \\ R^{B^{a} \ C} \\ q \frac{B^{I} \ C}{\frac{3^{+2}}{3}} \\ q \frac{B^{I} \ C}{\frac{3^{+2}}{3}} \\ R^{B^{a} \ C} \\ q \frac{B^{I} \ C}{\frac{19^{+2}}{19}}, w \text{ ith } {}^{2} = P \\ R^{B^{a} \ I} \\ R^{B^{a} \ I} \\ B^{I} \ J \\ R^{B^{a} \ I} \\$

(5.41)) is the natural basis of the second real cohom obgy of K 3 (resp. H $_2$ (K 3; R)).

Checking eqs (5.1-5.41)

To check the naturalness of eqs (5.1-5.41), we compute the magnetic charges of the black hole and compare them with the results obtained in section 3.

W ith the gauge eld strengths $F_2^{\underline{a}}$; $F_2^{\underline{I}}$ de ned as in eqs(5.38), the "physical" magnetic charges are given by T_2 T_2

$$m^{\frac{a}{2}} = F_{\frac{2}{2}}^{\frac{a}{2}}; m^{\frac{1}{2}} = F_{\frac{2}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}:$$
 (5.43)

U sing eqs(5.25-5.28), we can put the above relations in the form involving the eld strength F_2 and the eld coordinates of the moduli space of the theory,

Then using the identity $\frac{R}{s_1^2}$ $F_2 = p$ -considered in section 3, the above relations can be reduced down to R P

C on paring the expressions with eqs(3.7), we nd that the physical magnetic charges m^a and m^I are precisely the dressed charges;

$$m^{\underline{a}} = Z^{\underline{a}}$$
; $m^{\underline{I}} = Z^{\underline{I}}$; (5.46)

involved in the supersymmetric transformations of the Fermi elds of the 7D N = 2 supergravity theory [64]-[66].

5.3 M ore on the basis f $_{a}$; $_{I}g$

The real 22 dimensional 2-form basis f $_{a}$; $_{I}$ g of H² (K 3;R) has also an interpretation in terms of the H odge decomposition of the second real cohom ology group of K 3,

$$H^{2}$$
 (K 3; R) = $H^{(2;0)}$ s $H^{(1;1)}$ s $H^{(0;2)}$: (5.47)

This Hodge decom position has a particular property which we comment below:

5.3.1 The isotriplet a

C om pared with the H odge decom position of the half dimensional cohomology H n (C Y n; R) of generic complex n dimension C alabi-Y au manifold, namely,

$$H^{n}(CY;R) = H^{(n;0)} {}_{s}H^{(n-1;1)} ::: {}_{s}H^{(1;n-1)} {}_{s}H^{(0;n)};$$
(5.48)

eq (5.47) is particular and m akes K 3 a very special C alabi-Y au m anifold. The point is that for the particular case of complex n = 2 C alabi-Y au surfaces, it happens that the holom orphic and anti-holom orphic 2-form s

$$(n;0)$$
; $(0;n)$; (5.49)

as well as the K ahler 2-form

belong all of them to the same cohom ology group. The property that $^{(2;0)}$, $^{(0;2)}$ and $^{(1;1)}$ are in the same second cohom ology of K 3 allows us to combine altogether the complex moduli

$$z_{i} = x_{i} + iy_{i} \quad z_{i}^{+}$$
; $\overline{z}_{i} = x_{i} \quad iy_{i} \quad z_{i}^{-}$; (5.51)

and the Kahler ones

$$t_i z_i^0$$
; (5.52)

to form SO (3) isotriplets

$$a_{i}^{a} = (t_{i}; x_{i}; y_{i})$$
 \$ $a_{i}^{a} = z_{i}^{0}; z_{i}^{+}; z_{i}$ (5.53)

Recall that these moduli are given by the following integrals

$$z_{i}^{+} = \frac{R}{R^{C_{i}}} + ; \qquad x_{i} = \frac{R}{R^{C_{i}}}Re + ,$$

$$z_{i} = \frac{R}{R^{C_{i}}} + ; \qquad y_{i} = \frac{R^{C_{i}}}{R^{C_{i}}}Re + ,$$

$$z_{i}^{0} = \frac{R^{C_{i}}}{C_{i}} + ; \qquad t_{i} = \frac{R^{C_{i}}}{C_{i}} + ,$$

$$t_{i} = \frac{R^{$$

where fC $_{i}$ g is a generic basis of real 2-cycles of K 3 and where we have set,

For later use, we also set

Re
$$^{+}$$
 ¹ ; Im ²: (5.56)

and,

$$hF;Gi = F^{G}; F;G2H^{2}(K3): (5.57)$$

The above inner product is bilinear and symmetric

Ζ

$$haF + bF^{0};Gi = ahF;Gi + bhF^{0};Gi , \qquad (5.58)$$

hF;Gi = hG;Fi .

U sing the orthogonality relations,

$$h^{+}; {}^{+}i = 0$$
,
 $h^{-}; {}^{0}i = 0$, (5.59)
 $h^{-}; i = 0$,

and the identity

$$;^{+} = 2 \quad {}^{0}; \quad {}^{0}; \quad {}^{0}; \quad {}^{(5.60)}$$

required by SO (3) sym m etry, it is not dicult to see that we also have the orthogonality relations

$${}^{1}; {}^{2} = {}^{1}; {}^{0} = {}^{2}; {}^{0} = 0;$$
 (5.61)

together with

$${}^{1}; {}^{1} = {}^{2}; {}^{2} = {}^{0}; {}^{0}:$$
 (5.62)

Eqs (5.59-5.62) can be put altogether in the following relation

$$a_{i} b = a_{i}$$
(5.63)

where the real number can be determined by computing $=\frac{1}{3}$ ab ab.

5.3.2 The 19-uplet I

The metric of the complex surface K 3 has two kinds of deform ations: (i) C om plex deform ations z_{I}^{+} ; z_{I} captured by the periods of the holom orphic $^{+}$ and antiholom orphic 2-form s.

(ii) K ahler deform ations t_I captured by the periods of the K ahler 2-form ⁰. Here we want to show that the real 2-form $_I$ is given by the following SO (3) invariant

$$_{I} = D_{+I}^{+} + D_{I}^{+} + D_{0I}^{0};$$
 (5.64)

where D_{0; I} are covariant derivatives to be de ned later on.

(1) Complex holom orphic deform ations

The complex holomorphic deformations (5.54) with moduli z^{+1} are generated by the typical complex (1;1)-form $^{+}_{+1}$ following from the complex variation $^{+}$ of the holomorphic 2-form $^{+}_{+}$,

$${}^{+} = \sum_{\substack{I=1 \\ I=1}}^{X^{19}} {}^{+}_{+I} z^{+I}; \qquad {}^{+}_{+I} = D_{+I} {}^{+}: \qquad (5.65)$$

The gauge covariant derivative D_{+I}^{+} is dened in term of the gauge eld A_{+I} , associated with the coordinate transform ations of the moduli space of complex deform ations, as follows

$$D_{+I}^{+} = \frac{\theta}{\theta z^{+I}} A_{+I}^{+}$$
 (5.66)

Under a Kahler gauge transform ation with holom orphic gauge parameter f (z)

$$^{+}$$
 ! $e^{f(z)}$ $^{+}$; (5.67)

the covariant derivative D $_{\rm +\,I}$ $^+\,$ should transform in same m anner; i.e

$$D_{+I}^{+}$$
 ! $e^{f(z)} D_{+I}^{+}$: (5.68)

So we should also have

$$A_{+I}$$
 ! $A_{+I} + \frac{@f(z)}{@z^{+I}}$; (5.69)

Notice also that the complex moduli z^{+1} parameterize the complex 19 dimension manifold

$$\frac{\text{SO (2;19)}}{\text{SO (2)} \quad \text{SO (19)}};$$
(5.70)

which is a submanifold of the moduli space (4.19).

Notice moreover that we also have the following trivial variations

$$_{I}^{+} = \frac{_{+}^{+}}{_{Z}^{I}} = 0 ; \qquad _{0I}^{+} = \frac{_{+}^{+}}{_{U}^{\pm}} = 0: \qquad (5.71)$$

U sing the real notations,

$$= {}^{1} i {}^{2} ,$$

$$z {}^{I} = x^{I} i y^{I} ,$$

$$(5.72)$$

and the param etrization

$$f(z) = r(x;y) + i(x;y) ,$$

$$\frac{\frac{\theta}{\theta x}(x;y)}{\frac{\theta}{\theta x}} = \frac{\frac{\theta}{\theta x}(x;y)}{\frac{\theta}{\theta y}} ,$$

$$\frac{\frac{\theta}{\theta x}(x;y)}{\frac{\theta}{\theta x}} = \frac{\frac{\theta}{\theta x}(x;y)}{\frac{\theta}{\theta y}} ,$$

$$e^{f(z)} = e^{r(x;y)}e^{i(x;y)} ,$$
(5.73)

the K ahler gauge transform ation of real 2-form s $^{-1}$ and $^{-2}\,$ read as follows

where r(x;y) and (x;y) are respectively the local scale and local SO (2) transform ations.

(2) C om plex antiholom orphic deform ations

A long with the z^{+1} complex moduli, we have also the antiholom orphic moduli z^{-1} (5.54). They correspond to the variations,

$$_{I} = D _{I} = + _{+I} :$$
 (5.75)

W e also have

$$_{+1} = \frac{1}{z^{+1}} = 0$$
,
 $_{01} = \frac{1}{t^{1}} = 0$, (5.76)

which are just the complex conjugation of eqs (5.71).

W ith the above relations, one can de ne the complex deform ation tensor as

ı.

1

T

The trace of this deform ation tensor is SO (2) invariant and reads as follows

$$Tr_{SO(2)} = X^{a}_{aI} = X^{a}_{aI} I^{c}$$
 (5.78)

M oreover, using the decom position (5.72), we have the following identities

$$\frac{+}{z^{\dagger I}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{x^{I}} + \frac{-2}{y^{I}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{-2}{x^{I}} \frac{-1}{y^{I}} ;$$

$$\frac{-}{z^{I}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{x^{I}} + \frac{-2}{y^{I}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{-2}{x^{I}} \frac{-1}{y^{I}} ;$$
(5.79)

and

$$\frac{+}{z^{T}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{x^{T}} \frac{2}{y^{T}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{x^{T}} + \frac{-1}{y^{T}};$$
(5.80)
$$\frac{-}{z^{TT}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{-1}{x^{T}} \frac{2}{y^{T}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{x^{T}} + \frac{-1}{y^{T}};$$

from which we read

$$\frac{1}{x^{i}} = \frac{2}{y^{i}} \quad ; \quad \frac{2}{x^{i}} = \frac{1}{y^{i}} : \quad (5.81)$$

U sing the identities (5.79-5.81), we can rewrite the deform ation tensor $^{b}_{aI}$ in the real coordinate fram e as follows

$${}^{b}_{aI} = {}^{1}_{1I} {}^{2}_{1I} {}^{i}_{II} {}^{i}_{I} {}^{i}_{2I} {}^$$

The trace is

$$I = \frac{+}{z^{+}I} + \frac{-}{z^{T}} = \frac{-1}{x^{T}} + \frac{-2}{y^{T}} :$$
 (5.83)

(2) Kahler deform ations

The K ahler deform ations (5.54) of the metric of K 3 captured by the realm oduli and t^{I} are generated by the variation 0 of the K ahler 2-form ,

$${}^{0} = \begin{array}{c} X^{19} & ! \\ {}^{0} = & {}^{0} I t + {}^{0} I t + {}^{0} ; \\ {}^{I} = 1 \end{array} ; \qquad {}^{0} = \frac{0}{0} : \qquad (5.84)$$

By setting $t^{I} = z^{0I}$, we can put the above relation into the form ,

$${}^{0} = \begin{array}{c} X^{19} & \vdots \\ {}^{0} & 0 & Z^{01} & + & 0 \\ {}^{1} & 1 & z^{01} & + & 0 \end{array} ; \qquad (5.85)$$

with

where A_{0I} (t) is the gauge eld capturing the local scale transform ation

⁰ ! e ^{(t) 0};
$$A_{0I}$$
 ! $A_{0I} + \frac{0}{0t^{I}}$: (5.87)

The real deform ations t^{I} and parameterize the real 20 dimension manifold

$$\frac{SO(1;19)}{SO(19)} \quad SO(1;1):$$
(5.88)

This is a submanifold of the moduli space (4.19) and can be thought of as the transverse space to the space $\frac{\text{SO}(2;19)}{\text{SO}(2) \text{ SO}(19)}$ eq (5.70) in the full moduli space $\frac{\text{SO}(3;19)}{\text{SO}(3) \text{ SO}(19)}$ SO (1;1). We also have the analogue of eqs(5.71),

$${}^{0}_{+1} = {}^{0}_{z^{+1}} = 0 ,$$

$${}^{0}_{1} = {}^{0}_{z^{-1}} = 0 .$$
(5.89)

5.3.3 Deformation tensor ^b_{aI}

From the above analysis, we learn the two following remarkable properties: (1) the moduli $;t^{I};x^{I};y^{I}$ describing K ahler and complex deform ations of the metric of K 3 parameterize the space

SO (1;1)
$$\frac{SO (1;19)}{SO (19)}$$
 $\frac{SO (2;19)}{SO (2)}$; (5.90)

with isotropy symmetry SO (2) SO (19). as mentioned earlier. This is a sub-manifold of eq(4.19).

(2) the 3 3 deform ation m atrix ${}^{b}_{aI}$, capturing both the K ahler and complex deformations of the m etric of K 3, is generally given by

However, because of eqs (5.71, 5.76, 5.89), this matrix reduces to the diagonal form

Eq(5.92) captures the 1+ 57 deform ations of the metric of K 3; the dilaton can be exhibited by factorizing it as follows:

$${}^{\rm b}_{\rm aI} = {\rm e} ~~{\rm s}^{\rm b}_{\rm aI}$$
: (5.93)

However, seen that dim H² (K 3;R) = 22, and seen that three of the vector basis of H² (K 3; R) namely a have been already identied, it follows that the remaining nineteen 2-form s vector basis are given by

$$I = + I + + + I + + I + + I$$
(5.94)

This trace is precisely eq(5.64); and it reads, in the real notations, as follows

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} X^{2} & X \\ D_{aI} & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ D_{aI} & a \end{pmatrix} :$$
(5.95)

Notice that gauge transform ations

^o (t) !
$$e^{(t) \circ}(t)$$
,
⁺ (z) ! $e^{f(z) +}(z)$, (5.96)
(z) ! $e^{\overline{f(z)}}(\overline{z})$,

as well eqs(5.74) and (5.87), are not the most general one. The most general gauge change for the isotriplet 2-form a = a () should be like

^a !
$$e U_{b}^{a b}$$
 , (5.97)

where $U_b^a = U_b^a$ () is a local SO (3) gauge transform ation and exp [()] being a local scale factor.

SHG: the basic relations 6

The special hyperKahler geometry (SHG) of the moduli space of the 11D M -theory on K3 can be nicely described by specifying:

(1) the usual H odge 2-form basis f ; = 1; ...; 22g and its dual 2-cycle basis ofH₂ (K 3;R) satisfying Ζ (6.1)

(2) the new basis f_{a} ; $Igwith_{I} = D_{aI}^{a}$ and its dual 2-cycle basis $B^{a}; B^{I}$ considered in previous section.

:

The "old" real 2-form s basis f g and the "new" f $_{a}$; $_{I}$ g one are globally de ned on K 3; they generate the second real cohom ology group H 2 (K 3; R). The passage from the to the new basis f_{a} ; Ig is given, at each point $'^{m} = ;^{aI}$ of old Hodge basis the moduli space, by the sim ilarity transform ations

The expansion modes X_a (') and X_I (') are local elds on the moduli space and can be interpreted as the periods of $_a$ and $_I$ over the 2-cycles as shown below,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X_{a} (') = & R & \\ X_{I} (') = & R & \\ & & I & \\ \end{array}$$
(6.3)

The 2-form s $_{a}$ and $_{I}$ are de ned up to a local SO (3) SO (19) gauge transform ations,

with

$$U_{a}^{c}(')U_{c}^{b}(') = {}^{b}_{a},$$

$$V_{I}^{K}(')V_{K}^{J}(') = {}^{J}_{I},$$
(6.5)

where ' parameterizes a generic local point on M $\frac{N=2}{7D}$.

6.1 Fundam ental relations

The constraint eqs(5.59-5.63) describing the Kahler and complex deform ations of the metric of K3 can be reform ulated in an SO (3) SO (19) covariant manner by using the basis f_a; Ig and the symmetric inner products h_a; bi, h_I; Ji and so on. Notice that the inner product hF; Gi of generic local 2-form sF; G2 H² (K3; R) is de ned as

$$hF;Gi = F^{G}:$$
(6.6)

It is bilinear and symmetric.

6.1.1 Gauge invariant constraint eqs

Because of their local nature and because of their sym m etries, the constraint eqs (5.59 - 5.62) can be rew ritten as follow s:

$$h^{a}(;); {}_{b}(;)i = {}_{b}^{a},$$

$$h^{a}(;); {}_{I}(;)i = 0,$$

$${}_{I}(;); {}_{J}(;) = {}_{J}^{I}.$$
(6.7)

These relations are gauge invariant under the SO (3) SO (19) local gauge transform ations (6.4); thanks to the local orthogonality relations

Now, think about the ${}^{a}_{b}$ and ${}^{I}_{J}$ invariants as the products of the local eld m atrix K ${}_{ab}$ (resp. K ${}^{L}_{J}$) and its K ac inverse (resp. K IL);

$${}^{a}_{b} = K^{ac} (') K_{cb} (') = K^{ac} () K_{cb} () ,$$

$${}^{I}_{J} = K^{IL} (') K_{LJ} (') = K^{IL} () K_{LJ} () ,$$

$$(6.9)$$

with the $\,$ eld m atrices K $_{\rm ab}$ and K $_{\rm IJ}\,$ factorized like,

$$K^{\rm ac}(;) = e^{+} K^{\rm ac}() ; K_{\rm ab}(;) = e^{+} K_{\rm ab}() , \qquad (6.10)$$

$$K^{\rm IL}(;) = e^{+} K^{\rm IL}() ; K_{\rm LJ}(;) = e^{-} K_{\rm LJ}() .$$

Then put back into eqs(6.7), we can bring it to the following covariant form

M or eover, setting $_{a} = _{a}$ (;) and $_{I} = _{I}$ (;) as

$$_{a} = e \ \$_{a} () ; = e \ \$_{I} () ; (6.12)$$

the above eqs reduce further down to

$$h \$_{a}; \$_{b}i = K_{ab} ; \qquad \$^{a}; \$^{b} = K^{ab} ,$$

$$h \$_{a}; \$_{I}i = 0 , \qquad \$^{a}; \$^{I} = 0 , \qquad (6.13)$$

$$h \$_{I}; \$_{J}i = K_{IJ} ; \qquad \$^{I}; \$^{J} = K^{IJ} ,$$

where now the dependence into the dilaton eld has been completely factorized out. Besides locality, we learn from the above fundam ental relations, a set of special features; in particular the following.

M etric tensors and potentials

F irst notice that because of the following symmetry properties

$$a^{b} = b^{a} , \qquad (6.14)$$

$$a^{b} = a^{b} , \qquad (6.14)$$

the local eld m atrices K $_{\rm ab}$ and K $_{\rm IJ}$ are real and sym m etric

$$K_{ab} = K_{ba}$$
; $K_{IJ} = K_{JI}$: (6.15)

These rank two tensor elds play also the role of metric tensors that can be used to rise and lower the SO (3) and SO (19) indices as shown below:

$$_{a} = K_{ab} {}^{b}; \qquad _{I} = K_{IJ} {}^{J}:$$
 (6.16)

Under the SO (3) gauge transform ations ${}^{0}_{a} = U^{b}_{a}{}_{b}$, the matrix K ${}_{IJ}$ is invariant while K ${}_{ab}$ transform s like

$$K_{ab}$$
 ! $K_{ab}^{0} = U_{a}^{c}K_{cd}U_{b}^{d}$: (6.17)

Eq(6.17) shows that K $_{cd}$ captures three physical degrees of freedom (a 3-vector potential $_a$) since one can usually perform an appropriate SO (3) gauge transformation to put K $_{ab}$ in a diagonal form

$$K_{ab}^{0} = a_{ab}$$
: (6.18)

Proposition 3

(i) The 2-form isotriplet a and the matrix potential K_{ab} () are dened up to the SO (3) gauge symmetry eq(6.17).

(ii) The geometry of the moduli space of the 11D M -theory on K3 is characterized by a 3-vector potential ($_0$; $_1$; $_2$). These potentials release the hyperKahler structure that lives on K3. They could be thought of as the analogue of the Kahler potential of the specialKahler geometry of type IIB superstring on Calabi-Yau threefolds.

(iii) The real 3-vector potential $_a$ describes the "physical" degrees of freedom captured by the local eldmetric K_{ab} () de ning the intersections h_{a} ; b_{b} i. SHG is then speci ed by the isovector ($_{0}$; $_{1}$; $_{2}$):

Volume of K 3

The SO (3) invariant real volum e of K 3 reads as

$$V (K 3) = \frac{1}{3} K^{ab} h_{a}; \text{ bi:}$$
(6.19)

W e can write this volum e in di erent, but equivalent, ways:

First by using eq(62), we have, up on integrating over K3, the following result

$$V (K 3) = \frac{1}{3} K^{ab} (') X_{a} (') J (') X_{b} ('); \qquad (6.20)$$

where J (') will be de ned below and X_a (') as before.

M oreover, by using the rst relation of eqs(6.11), we nd that V (K 3) is given by the exponential of the dilaton eld

$$V (K 3) = e^{2}$$
: (6.21)

Notice that V (K 3) is non degenerate¹⁰ only for nite values of , see also footnote 5. Furtherm ore, using the third relation of eqs(6.11), the volum e V (K 3) is also invariant under SO (19) and can be expressed as well like,

$$e^{2} = \frac{1}{19} K^{IJ} h_{I}; \quad Ji = \frac{1}{19} K^{IJ} X_{I} (') J X_{J} ('):$$
 (6.22)

 $^{^{10}}V$ (K 3) = e² ! 0 for ! 1 and to in nity for ! 1.

C om paring eq (6.19) and eq (6.22), we end with the identity

$$\frac{1}{19}K^{IJ}h_{I}; \quad Ji = \frac{1}{3}K^{ab}h_{a}; \quad bi:$$
(6.23)

By substituting $I = D_{aI}^{a}$ into the third relation of eqs(6.11), we get

$$K_{IJ} = e^{+2} D_{aI}^{a}; D_{bJ}^{b} ,$$

$$= e^{+2} K^{ac}K^{bd} D_{aI}X_{c} J D_{bJ}X_{d} .$$
 (6.24)

Notice that we cannot pull out the covariant derivatives D_{aI} and D_{bJ} outside the inner product $D_{aI} = D_{bJ} = b$. As such the relation between the matrices K_{IJ} and K_{ab} is not trivial as in SKG eqs(9.24-9.28). It will be considered later on by using the vielbeins e_a^b and their derivatives.

SHG using old basis

The constraint relations (6.11) have been formulated in terms of the inner product of 2-form s $_{a}$ and $_{I}$. We can also rewrite these constraint eqs by using the Hodge basis f g as follows:

h ;
$$i = e^2 J$$
 ,
; $= e^{+2} J$, (6.25)
; $=$,

with

$$J J = ; J = J :$$
 (6.26)

The eld matrix J can be interpreted as the metric tensor to rise and lower the indices of the SO (3;19) vectors as

$$= \int_{-1}^{X^2} J$$
 : (6.27)

Eqs(6.25) are invariant under the local SO (3;19) gauge transform ations,

$$P('); P(')P(') = :$$
 (6.28)

U sing the expansions (6.2) and their inverse, which we write as

$$= \sum_{a=1}^{X^{3}} T^{a} (') + \sum_{I=1}^{X^{19}} T^{I} ('); \qquad (6.29)$$

we can work out the relations between the eld moduli X_a ('), X_I ('); T^a ('); T^I (') and the matrices K_{ab} , K_{IJ} and J .

First we have

$$X_{a} (')J X_{b} (') = K_{ab} (') ,$$

$$X_{a} (')J X_{J} (') 0 ,$$

$$X_{I} (')J X_{J} (') K_{IJ} ('): .$$
(6.30)

Sim ilarly,

$$K_{ab} (') T^{a} (') T^{b} (') + K_{IJ} (') T^{I} (') T^{J} (') = J ('):$$
(6.31)

By integrating eq (6.29) over the 2-cycle , we also have

$$X_{a}(')T^{a}(') + X_{I}(')T^{I}(') = ;$$
 (6.32)

showing that the matrix T^a ; T^I is just the inverse of X_a ; X_I .

6.1.2 Inertial coordinate fram e

To get m one insight into eqs(6.7-6.11-6.25-6.30) and also to m ake contact with the analysis of section 2, it is useful to new rite the above gauge invariant constraint eqs in the inertial coordinate frame f g.

Field matrix potentials U sing the vielbeins e_a^c , $e_I^{\underline{K}}$ and their inverses e_c^a , $e_{\underline{K}}^{\underline{I}}$, we can rewrite the eld matrices K_{ab} (') and K_{IJ} (') as

$$K_{ab}(') = e_{a}^{c}e_{b}^{a} \xrightarrow{\alpha} () ; e_{a}^{c} = e_{a}^{c}(';) ,$$

$$K_{IJ}(') = e_{I}^{K}e_{J}^{L} \xrightarrow{KL} () ; e_{I}^{K} = e_{I}^{K}(';) ,$$
(6.33)

where $_{ab}() = + _{ab}$ and $_{IJ}() = _{IJ}$. Similar factorizations may be done for the real 2-form s $_{a}$ and $_{I} = D_{aI}^{a}$. We have

U sing these relations, the gauge invariant constraint eqs read in the inertial coordinate frame f g as follows:

$$h_{\underline{a}}(';); \underline{b}(;) = e^{2} ab; ; \qquad \underline{a}(;); \underline{b}(;) = e^{+2} ab; , h_{\underline{a}}(;); \underline{I}(;) = 0; \qquad \vdots = 0; \qquad \underline{a}(;); \underline{I}(;) = 0; \qquad (6.35) h_{\underline{I}}(;); \underline{J}(;) = e^{2} ab; ; \qquad \underline{I}(;); \underline{J}(;) = e^{+2} ab; , (6.35)$$

Setting

we can reduce the above relations down to

$$h \$_{\underline{a}}(); \$_{\underline{b}}() i = \underline{ab} ; \qquad \$^{\underline{a}}(); \$^{\underline{b}}() = \underline{ab} , h \$_{\underline{a}}(); \$_{\underline{I}}(;) i = 0 ; \qquad \$^{\underline{a}}(); \$^{\underline{I}}() = 0 , \qquad (6.37) h \$_{\underline{I}}(); \$_{\underline{J}}() i = \underline{IJ} ; \qquad \$^{\underline{I}}(); \$^{\underline{J}}() = \underline{IJ} .$$

These relations are invariant under the transform ations

Below, we give explicit computations in the frame f g.

Isopin gauge connection A_{aI} ()

The spin gauge connection on the moduli space is explicitly computed by help of the constraint eq

$$h_{a}(;);_{I}(;)i=0:$$
 (6.39)

Substituting

$$D_{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{a}}{=} \underline{Q}_{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{a}}{=} A_{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{a}}{=};$$
(6.40)

we rst obtain

$$h_{\underline{b}}A_{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{a}}{=} i = h_{\underline{b}}Q_{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{a}}{=} i:$$
(6.41)

M ore explicit expressions can be written down by using the following SO (3) group parametrization

$$U [()] = \exp_{\substack{P \ 3}} () ,$$

() = $\stackrel{P \ 3}{\underset{m = 1}{}} T_{\underline{m}} \stackrel{\underline{m}}{\underset{m = 1}{}} () ,$
(6.42)
$$A_{\underline{aI}} () = \stackrel{P \ 3}{\underset{m = 1}{}} T_{\underline{m}} A_{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{m}}{\underset{m = 1}{}} () ,$$

with $\frac{m}{m}$ () and $T_{\underline{m}}$ ($T_{\underline{m}}^{t} = T_{\underline{m}}$) are respectively the gauge group parameters and the corresponding so (3) Lie algebra generators. We have

$$(A_{\underline{aI}})_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}} = \int_{\underline{m}; a=1}^{X^{3}} A_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{m}} () (\underline{T}_{\underline{m}})_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{a}} = h_{\underline{b}} \underline{\theta}_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{aI}} \stackrel{\underline{a}}{:} :$$
(6.43)

U sing the vielbeins, this relation can be as well expressed as follows:

$$(A_{\underline{aI}})^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{b}} = e^{c}_{\underline{b}} \quad \frac{\partial e^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{c}}}{\partial \underline{aI}} = e^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{c}} \quad \frac{\partial e^{c}_{\underline{b}}}{\partial \underline{aI}} : \qquad (6.44)$$

W e can also compute the in nitesim al variation of the gauge $\;$ eld A $_{\rm aI}$ (). We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_{\underline{aI}} () & = & D_{\underline{aI}} () & , \\ A_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{m}} () & = & D_{\underline{aI}} & {}^{\underline{m}} () & , \end{array} \tag{6.45}$$

with

$$D_{\underline{aI}} = \underline{0}_{\underline{aI}} [\underline{A}_{\underline{aI}};] ,$$

$$D_{\underline{aI}} = \underline{0}_{\underline{aI}} \underline{f}_{\underline{nk}} A_{\underline{aI}}^{\underline{k}} n ,$$
(6.46)

where $f_{\underline{nk}}^{\underline{n}} = f_{\underline{kn}}^{\underline{n}}$ are the usual so (3) structure constants.

Relation between K $_{\rm IJ}$ and K $_{\rm ab}$ via the vielbeins Starting from the identity

$$K_{IJ} = D_{aI}^{a}; D_{bJ}^{b};$$
 (6.47)

and substituting

$$D_{aI} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{\underline{C}}{=} D_{aI} e^{\underline{a}}_{\underline{C}} ; \qquad (6.48)$$

we ist get

$$K_{IJ} = \underline{\alpha} D_{aI} \underline{e}^{a}_{\underline{c}} D_{bJ} \underline{e}^{b}_{\underline{d}} .$$
 (6.49)

By replacing $\frac{cd}{d} = K \frac{gh}{g} e_{h}^{c} e_{h}^{d}$, we can also put K $_{IJ}$ in the form

 $K_{IJ} = K^{gh} e_g^2 D_{aI} e_{\underline{c}}^a e_{\overline{h}}^{\underline{d}} D_{bJ} e_{\underline{d}}^b :$ (6.50)

Now using the identities

$$\mathbf{e}_{\underline{c}}^{a} \mathbf{D}_{aI} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{g}}^{c} = \mathbf{e}_{\underline{g}}^{c} \mathbf{D}_{aI} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{c}}^{a} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{e}_{\underline{h}}^{d} \mathbf{D}_{bJ} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{d}}^{b} = \mathbf{e}_{\underline{d}}^{b} \mathbf{D}_{bJ} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{h}}^{d}; \quad (6.51)$$

following from the variation of h^a; $gi = \frac{a}{g}$, we can bring eq(6.50) to the form

$$K_{IJ} = K^{gh} e_{\underline{c}}^{a} D_{aI} e_{\underline{g}}^{\underline{c}} e_{\underline{d}}^{b} D_{bJ} e_{\underline{h}}^{\underline{d}} : \qquad (6.52)$$

Then using

$$D_{\underline{cI}} = e_{\underline{c}}^{a} D_{aI} ; \quad D_{\underline{dI}} = e_{\underline{d}}^{b} D_{bJ}; \qquad (6.53)$$

the above relation reads as follows

$$K_{IJ} = K^{gh} D_{\underline{c}I} e_{g}^{\underline{c}} D_{\underline{d}J} e_{h}^{\underline{d}} ; \qquad (6.54)$$

or equivalently

$$K_{IJ} = \stackrel{ab}{=} e_{\underline{a}}^{g} D_{\underline{c}I} e_{g}^{\underline{c}} = e_{\underline{b}}^{\underline{h}} D_{\underline{d}J} e_{\underline{h}}^{\underline{d}}$$
(6.55)

Deriving the constraint eqs on the moduli $L_{\frac{a}{a}}$ To get the constraint eqs in the inertial coordinate frame f g, we begin by giving some useful results

where E and E- are vielbeins. The metric tensor J (') is mapped to

$$J (') = E - E - ();$$
 (6.57)

and the constraint eqs becom es

$$_{-i}^{i} = e^{2} _{-i}^{i} ;$$

 $-_{i}^{i} - e^{+2} _{-i}^{i} ,$ (6.58)
 $_{-i}^{i} - e^{-1} _{-i}^{i} .$

Expanding the 2-form s $\$ _a () and $\$ _I () in the 2-form basis f _g as follow s,

$$\begin{aligned} \$_{\underline{a}} &= \Pr \\ \$_{\underline{a}} &= \Pr \\ \bot_{\underline{a}} () ; \\ \$_{\underline{I}} &= L_{\underline{I}} () ; \end{aligned}$$
(6.59)

and integrating over the 2-cycles , we get

$$L_{\underline{a}}() = \begin{matrix} R \\ R \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} S_{\underline{a}} \\ R \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} (6.60) \\ R \end{matrix}$$

Substituting these expansions back into (6.37), we obtain

$$L_{\underline{a}}() _L_{\underline{b}}() = \underline{ab};$$

$$L_{\underline{a}}() _L_{\underline{I}}() = 0, \qquad (6.61)$$

$$L_{\underline{I}}() _L_{\underline{J}}() = \underline{IJ};$$

These relations, which are invariant under SO (3) SO (19) gauge change, are precisely the densing constraint equations of the moduli space of metric deformations of K 3.

6.2 Metric of the moduli space

We set give the expression of the metric g_{aIbJ} in terms of the matrix potentials K_{ab} and K_{IJ} . Then we give the expression of g_{aIbJ} in terms of the vielbeins e_a^c and their covariant derivatives.

6.2.1 Factorization of the metric g_{aIbJ}

We begin by recalling that the complex and K ahler deform ations of the metric of K 3 are captured by the deform ation tensor $^{c}_{aI}$ (5.91). In terms of this deform ation tensor, the metric g^{ab}_{IJ} reads in the curved coordinate frame as follows

where is a norm alization constant number which can be chosen as $= \frac{1}{2}$; with $\frac{1}{2}$ for the SO (3) sector and $\frac{1}{2}$ for SO (19). For simplicity, we set = 1: Using the relation $\frac{c}{aI} = D_{aI}$, we can also de ne the metric in terms of the inner product of the covariant derivatives of the isotriplet form like,

$$g_{aIbJ} = \sum_{c;d=0}^{X^2} K_{cd} D_{aI} c; D_{aI} d :$$
(6.63)

However, since in the case of 11D M -theory on K3, the deform ation tensor c_{aI}^{c} has only non zero diagonal terms (5.92),

$${}^{c}_{aI} = {}^{c}_{a I} ; \qquad {}^{I} = D_{aI} {}^{a}; \qquad (6.64)$$

the m etric g_{IJ}^{ab} gets reduced down to

$$g_{aIbJ} = \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & \vdots \\ K_{cd} & a_{b}^{c} & h_{I}; \\ c;d=1 \end{array}$$
(6.65)

M oreover, using the identity $K_{IJ} = h_{I}$; J_{i} , we get the rem arkable factorization

$$g_{aIbJ} = K_{ab}K_{IJ} :$$
 (6.66)

The metric g_{aIbJ} of the special hyperK ahler geometry of 11D M -theory on K3 is given by the product of K_{IJ} and K_{ab}. In the inertial frame f g, the vielbeins $\frac{c}{a}$ and e_{I}^{L} reduce to K roneker symbols (e_{a}^{c} ! $\frac{c}{a}$; e_{I}^{L} ! $\frac{L}{I}$) and the metric g_{aIbJ} ! $\frac{ab}{IJ}$.

6.2.2 Expression of g_{aIbJ} in terms of the vielbeins

The relation (6.66) can be rewritten in di erent, but equivalent, m anners. First, we can use the m etrics K $_{ab}$ and K $_{IJ}$ to write the m etric like

$$\begin{aligned} g_{IJ}^{ab} &= K_{IJ}K^{ab} , \\ g_{aIJ}^{b} &= K_{IJ}K^{a}_{a} &= K_{IJ}^{b}_{a} , \\ g_{a}^{bIJ} &= K^{IJ}K^{b}_{a} &= K^{IJ}^{b}_{a} , \\ g_{aI}^{bJ} &= K^{IJ}K^{b}_{a} &= J^{b}_{Ia} , \\ g_{aI}^{abJ} &= K^{J}_{I}K^{ab}_{a} &= J^{I}_{Ia} , \\ g_{I}^{abJ} &= K^{J}_{I}K^{ab}_{ab} &= J^{I}_{I}K^{ab} , \\ g_{ab}^{JI} &= K^{J}_{I}K_{ab} &= J^{I}_{I}K_{ab} , \\ g_{ab}^{IJ} &= K^{IJ}_{I}K_{ab} &= J^{I}_{I}K_{ab} , \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.67)$$

W e can also use this relation to express K $^{\rm IJ}$ (resp. K $_{ab})$ in term s of $g_{ab}^{\rm IJ}$ and K ab (resp. K $_{\rm IJ}$),

$$K^{IJ} = g^{IJ}_{ab}K^{ab} , \qquad (6.68)$$
$$K_{ab} = g^{IJ}_{ab}K_{IJ} .$$

In these relations, the metric g_{IJ}^{ab} can be interpreted as the bridge from K_{IJ} to K_{ab} and vice versa. Eq(6.66) tells us moreover that the vielbeins E_{aI}^{CK} , introduced in section 2 to factorize the metric like

$$g_{aIbJ} = E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}} E_{bJ}^{\underline{dL}} \underline{cd} \underline{KL};$$
(6.69)

get them selves factorized as shown below,

$$E_{aI}^{\underline{cK}} = e_{a}^{\underline{c}} e_{I}^{\underline{K}} : \qquad (6.70)$$

By substituting back in the previous relations, we get

$$g_{aIbJ} = e_a^c e_b^d \underbrace{e_J^c}_{cd} e_I^{\underline{K}} e_J^{\underline{L}} ; \qquad (6.71)$$

which is an equivalent way to state (6.66). Moreover using eq(6.55), we can also put the metric in the equivalent form

$$g_{aIbJ} = e_a^c e_b^d \quad D_{m I} e_g^m \quad D_{nJ} e_{\underline{h}}^n \quad \underline{cd} \stackrel{\underline{gh}}{\underline{cd}}$$
(6.72)

Eqs (6.66), (6.69), (6.71) and (6.72) are obviously equivalent.

7 New attractor approach in 7D

The elective potential of the 7D black hole and black 3-brane have been considered in section 3 by using the criticality method. In this section, we complete this study by developing the extension of the new attractor approach to 7D space time. We recall that new attractor approach has been instituted over the framework of 4 dimensional black hole physics and it is remarkably useful in dealing with uxes.[12, 63]

7.1 Further on criticality method

The e ective scalar potential $V_{eff} = V_{eff}$ (') of the 7D black attractors is given by the W einhold relation [64, 65]. This is a gauge invariant quadratic relation (3.5) in the dressed charges,

$$Z_a = e Z_a$$
; $a = 1;2;3$,
 $Z_I = e Z_I$; $I = 1;...;19$:
(7.1)

The charge Z_a and Z_I are the physical charges (5.46); they appear in the supersymmetric transformations of the gravitinos ¹; ², the gravi-photinos ¹; ² and the photinos ^I of the 7D N = 2 supergravity theory; eqs(4.17-4.18). They induce a matrix mass to the fermionic elds and play a crucial role in the attractorm echanism of the 7D black objects.

The idea of the attractor mechanism is that, at the event horizon of the 7D black objects, the attractor potential V_{eff} reaches its minimum and the real eld moduli $'^{m}$, which parameterize $\frac{SO(1;1) SO(3;19)}{SO(3) SO(19)}$, get xed by the magnetic (electric) bare charges p (q) of the 22 abelian gauge elds strengths F₂ (dual dual G₅). The gauge invariants elds F₂ and G₅ follow from the compactic cation of the 11D M – theory on K3

$$F_{2} = \begin{matrix} R \\ F_{4} \\ R \end{matrix},
 G_{5} = \begin{matrix} G_{5} \\ G_{5} \end{matrix},
 (7.2)$$

with uxes as

$$p- = \frac{R}{R^{S_1^2}} F_2^{-} ,$$

$$q- = \frac{R^{S_1^2}}{S_1^5} G_5^{-} ,$$

$$q p = 2 k ,$$

(7.3)

where the k 's are non zero integers; k 2 N . Notice that p- and q- are bare (undressed) charges; the physical ones are given by the dressed Z_a and Z_I which coincide exactly with magnetic $m^a; m^I$ and physical electric $e^a; e^I$. The latter are given by the uses of the (3 + 19) abelian gauge eld strengths

$$e^{a};e^{I}$$
. The latter are given by the uxes of the (3 + 19) abelian gauge eld strengths $F_{2}^{a};F_{2}^{I}$ and $G_{5}^{a};G_{5}^{I}$ of the 7D N = 2 supergravity theory. Using the relations

$$G_{5}^{a} = (F_{2}^{a}),
 G_{5}^{I} = F_{2}^{I},
 G_{5} = F_{2},
 (7.4)$$

we have,

$$m^{a} = \frac{R}{R^{S_{1}^{2}}} F_{2}^{a} , m^{I} = \frac{R}{R^{S_{1}^{2}}} F_{2}^{I} ,$$

$$e^{a} = \frac{R}{S_{1}^{5}} G_{5}^{a} , e^{I} = \frac{R}{S_{1}^{2}} G_{5}^{I} ,$$
(7.5)

obeying the electric/m agnetic quantization condition

$$m^{a}e_{b} = 2 k_{a} k_{b}^{a}$$
,
 $m^{I}e_{J} = 2 k_{I} J^{I}$,
(7.6)

where the k_a 's and the k_I 's are non zero integers. Recall that the relation between F_2^a ; F_2^I and F_2 (resp. G_5^a ; G_5^I and G_5) are related as follows,

$$F_{2}^{a} = \begin{array}{c} X^{22} \\ X^{a} (') F_{2} \\ F_{2}^{z} = \begin{array}{c} & X^{a} (') F_{2} \\ X^{22} \\ & X^{1} (') F_{2} \\ & = 1 \end{array}, \qquad G_{5}^{a} = \begin{array}{c} X^{22} \\ & X^{a} (') G_{5} \\ & X^{22} \\ & X^{2} \\ & X^{2$$

where X a (') and X I (') (resp $X^{e_{a}}$ and $X^{e_{I}}$) are as in eqs(-6.32). The attractor equations of the 7D black attractors can be obtained by extrem izing the e ective potential V_{eff} . This potential has a set of sym metries; in particular it is invariant under general coordinate transform ations m' ! m' (') in the moduli space $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3) SO(19)}$. Under the coordinate change,

with the convenient choice $^{0} =$, we have

$$V_{eff}$$
 (') = V_{eff} (). (7.9)

The attractor eqs can be stated in two di erent, but equivalent, ways. Either in the generic curved coordinate fram e f' g as

$$\frac{\frac{\text{@V_{eff}(;)}}{\text{@}}}{\frac{\text{@V_{eff}(;)}}{\text{@}^{\text{aI}}}} = 0 , \qquad (7.10)$$

or in the inertial coordinate fram ef; glike,

$$\frac{e_{V_{eff}}(;)}{e} = 0 ,$$

$$e_{a}^{b} e_{I}^{J} \frac{e_{V_{eff}}()}{e^{bJ}} = 0 .$$
(7.11)

For non singular e_a^b (';) and e_i^{J} (';), the last relation can be reduced down to

$$\frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial t} = 0:$$
 (7.12)

Leaving aside the condition¹¹ $@V_{eff}=@=0$, (see also footnotes 3,5 and 10), the solutions of eqs (7.12) x the eld moduli in terms of the bare charges p_. For the case of the 7D black hole, we have:

$$(')_{horizon} = f(p_{\underline{a}}; p_{\underline{I}}) , \qquad (7.13)$$

or equivalently in the inertial coordinate fram efglike

$$()_{\text{horizon}} = g(p_{\underline{a}}; p_{\underline{I}}) \quad . \tag{7.14}$$

(1) Potential in the inertial fram e

In the inertial coordinates frame f g, the 7D black hole potential $V_{BH}^{7D, N=2}$ (;) has a simple expression in terms of the geometric and matter charges $Z_{\underline{a}}$ () and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ () and can be factorized as follows,

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$$
 (;) = $e^2 V_{BH}$ (); (7.15)

¹¹Notice that $\frac{@V_{eff}(;)}{@} = 0$ requires $2e^2 V_{BH}$ () = 0 which is solved either by ! 1 whatever V_{BH} () is; or by = 0 nite and V_{BH} () = 0. These two cases are singular and so disregarded; see also footnotes 1 and 5.

with

$$V_{BH} () = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \underline{ab} \\ \underline{ab} \end{pmatrix} Z_{\underline{a}} () Z_{\underline{b}} () + \begin{pmatrix} X \\ \underline{IJ} \\ \underline{IJ} \\ \underline{IJ} \\ \underline{IJ} \end{pmatrix} Z_{\underline{I}} () Z_{\underline{J}} () :$$
(7.16)

Since $\frac{ab}{ab} = \frac{ab}{ab}$ and $\frac{IJ}{IJ} = \frac{IJ}{IJ}$, we also have

$$V_{BH} () = \begin{bmatrix} X & X \\ \frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}} () Z_{\underline{b}} () & \frac{IJ}{2}Z_{\underline{I}} () Z_{\underline{J}} () : \qquad (7.17)$$

Using the identity $Z_{\underline{I}} = D_{\underline{CI}} Z^{\underline{C}}$ where $D_{\underline{CI}}$ is the covariant derivative in the inertial coordinate frame, we can rewrite the black hole potential like

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = e^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ \frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}} + & \frac{IJ}{2}D_{\underline{cI}}Z^{\underline{c}}D_{\underline{dJ}}Z^{\underline{d}}A : \quad (7.18)$$

The criticality conditions of eq(7.12) has been studied in section 3; see eqs(3.58-3.80). There, it was shown the existence of three non trivial sectors: One of them describes a $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS state and the two others describe non BPS states referred to as type 1 and type 2. Below, we give a classic cation of these states by using the sign the sem i-norm

$$p^{2} = p_{\underline{a}} \stackrel{ab}{=} p_{\underline{b}} \quad p_{\underline{I}} \stackrel{\underline{IJ}}{=} p_{\underline{J}}$$
(7.19)

of the bare charge vector p_.

Notice that because of the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy symmetry, we can usually perform a particular special transform ations to simplify the above relations. Instead of dealing with the 3 + 19 m agnetic charges p_a and p_I , one can focus on two of them,

The SO (3) SO (19) invariance ensures that the results obtained by using the charges r and s are also valid for all others.

Besides the singular state associated with $p^2 = 0$ and the degenerate case where the dressed charges are equal zero, $Z_a = 0$ et $Z_I = 0$, we the following classication according to the values of the couple (r;s):

(a) $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS state with (r;s) = (r;0); rs = 0. This state has $p^2 > 0$ and corresponds to $Z_{\underline{a}} \in 0$ et $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0$. Entropy $S_{BPS}^{entropy}$ is proportional to p^2 ,

$$S_{BPS}^{entropy} + p^2$$
: (7.21)

(b) non BPS state type 1 with (r;s) = (0;s); rs = 0:

This non supersymmetric state has $p^2 < 0$ and corresponds to $Z_{\underline{a}} = 0$ and $Z_{\underline{I}} \in 0$: Entropy $S_{(N BPS)_1}^{entropy}$ is proportional to (p^2);

$$S_{(N BPS)_1}^{entropy}$$
 p²: (7.22)

(c) non BPS state type 2 with (r; s) and rs \neq 0.

This non supersymmetric state is characterized by p^2 which has an inde nite sign. It corresponds to,

$$Z_{\underline{a}} \notin 0 \quad a \ 2 \ J \quad I_{3} = f1; 2; 3g ,$$

$$Z_{\underline{a}} = 0 \quad a \ 2 \ (I_{3} = J) , ,$$

$$Z_{\underline{I}} \notin 0 \quad I \ 2 \ J^{0} \quad I_{19} = f1; ...; 19g ,$$

$$Z_{\underline{I}} \notin 0 \quad I \ 2 \ (I_{19} = J^{0}) .$$
(7.23)

The entropy $S_{(N BPS)_2}^{entropy}$ is proportional to $\dot{p}^2 j$.

(2) Potential in curved coordinate fram e To get the form of the potential in the curved coordinate fram e, we use the vielbeins $e_{\underline{a}}^{c}$ and $e_{\underline{I}}^{K}$ to rewrite $Z_{\underline{a}}$ and $Z_{\underline{I}}$ as

$$Z_{\underline{a}} = e_{\underline{a}}^{C} Y_{C} ;$$

$$Z_{\underline{I}} = e_{\underline{I}}^{K} Y_{K} = e_{\underline{I}}^{K} D_{CK} Y^{C} ,$$
(7.24)

where $Y_c = Y_c$ (') and $Y_K = Y_K$ (') are the dressed charges in the curved frame. By putting these relations back into $V_{B\,H}^{7D,N=2}$, we obtain $V_{B\,H}^{7D,N=2} = e^{-2} V_{B\,H}$ () with

$$V_{BH} () = \frac{ab}{e_a^c} e_{\underline{a}}^{d} Y_c Y_d + \frac{IJ}{I} e_{\underline{I}}^{K} e_{\underline{J}}^{L} (D_{cK} Y^c) D_{dK} Y^d .$$
(7.25)

Now, using the identities

$$K^{cd} = + \frac{ab}{a} e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{b}}^{d} ,$$

$$K^{KL} = \frac{IJ}{I} e_{\underline{I}}^{K} e_{\underline{J}}^{L} , \qquad (7.26)$$

$$= + \frac{ab}{a} e_{\underline{a}}^{g} D_{\underline{c}I} e_{\underline{g}}^{c} e_{\underline{b}}^{h} D_{\underline{d}J} e_{\underline{h}}^{d} ,$$

we can rewrite the black hole potential as follows:

$$V_{BH} () = K^{cd}Y_{c}Y_{d} K^{KL} (D_{cK}Y^{c}) D_{dK}Y^{d} :$$
 (7.27)

Furtherm ore, using the relation

$$K^{KL} = \frac{1}{3} K^{cd} g_{cd}^{KL}; \qquad (7.28)$$

ī

where $g_{cd}^{K \ L}$ is the metric of the moduli space, we end with the following form of the potential

$$V_{BH} () = \begin{cases} X^{3} \\ x_{a} = 1 \end{cases} K^{ab} Y_{a} Y_{b} \frac{1}{3} \int_{I, J=1}^{X^{19}} g_{ab}^{KL} (D_{cK} Y^{c}) D_{dK} Y^{d} : (7.29) \end{cases}$$

Notice that relaxing the the sum s^P $_{a,b=1}^{3}$ and ^P $_{I,J=1}^{19}$ respectively as ^P $_{a,b=1}^{r}$ and ^P $_{I,J=1}^{n}$ where r and n are positive de nite integers, the above equation appears as a particular relation of a general relation associated with the target space manifold

$$\frac{SO(r;n)}{SO(r) SO(n)}:$$
 (7.30)

However the above geom etric interpretation cease to be valid since K_{ab} and K_{IJ} can no longer be de ned as intersection m atrices and are not necessary symmetric. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that for the case r = 2 (resp r = 4), eq (7.29) could be related to the usual expression of the black hole potential in 4D (resp. 6D) N = 2 supergravity.

7.2 7D attractor eqs

We begin by recalling that in 4D N = 2 supergravity embedded in type IIB superstrings on CY3, one generally uses two di erent, but equivalent, approaches [63] to determ ining the black hole attractor eqs. These two methods are:

(1) the critically conditions approach based on computing the critical points of the black hole potential $V_{BH}^{4D} \stackrel{N}{}^{N=2} = 0$.

(2) the so called new attractor approach using projections along the "geometric" and "m atter" directions of the D albeault basis of the third cohom ology of the CY3.

The rst method has been system atically used to deal with black objects in higher dimensional supergravity theories; in particular in the 5D and 6D space times.

In 7D N = 2 supergravity we are interested in here, assuming non degeneracy condition,

$$V_{BH}^{7D;N=2}$$
 $j_{V_{BH}=0} > 0;$ (7.31)

the critically conditions of the black hole potential reads as

$$V_{\rm BH}^{\rm 7D, N=2} = 2 \stackrel{\rm ab}{=} (Z_{\underline{a}}) Z_{\underline{b}} + 2 \stackrel{\rm IJ}{=} Z_{\underline{J}} (Z_{\underline{I}}) = 0 ,$$

$$Z_{\underline{a}} = \frac{{}^{\underline{\theta}Z_{\underline{a}}}}{{}^{\underline{\theta}}} + \frac{{}^{\underline{\theta}Z_{\underline{a}}}}{{}^{\underline{\theta}}} \stackrel{\rm cI}{=} 0 , \qquad (7.32)$$

$$Z_{\underline{I}} = \frac{{}^{\underline{\theta}Z_{\underline{I}}}}{{}^{\underline{\theta}}} + \frac{{}^{\underline{\theta}Z_{\underline{I}}}}{{}^{\underline{\theta}}} \stackrel{\rm cI}{=} 0 ,$$

and leads to the critical solutions (3.64-3.80) studied in section 3 and previous subsection. Below, we develop the new attractor approach of K allosh to the 7D black attractors.

7.2.1 Extending the new attractor approach to 7D

Here, we study the attractor eqs for the extrem al 7D black hole in the fram ework of the new attractor approach. The latter is given by extending the idea of [B] dealing with black holes in type IIB on CY 3-folds to the case of black attractors in 11D M -theory on

κЗ.

The attractor eqs are obtained by evaluating the H odge decom position identity (5.47) along the constraint eqs determ ining the various classes of critical points (3.64–3.80) of the potential. To get these eqs, we proceed as follows:

First, we consider from the eld strength $F_4 = dC_3$ in 11D M -theory compactied on K3 and compute its uxes as in eq(3.1) namely,

$$p = \frac{R}{s_1^2} F_4 , \qquad (7.33)$$

where p are integers. This relation can be decomposed in two equivalent ways; either as

$$p = \frac{R}{s_1^2} \quad F_4 = \frac{R}{s_1^2} F_2 , \qquad (7.34)$$

or like

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} R & R & R \\ s_1^2 & F_4 & H_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (7.35)

where we have set

$$F_{2} = \begin{matrix} R \\ F_{4} \\ R \\ H_{2} \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} R \\ R_{3^{2}} \\ R_{4} \end{matrix}$$
(7.36)

,

Since H $_2$ 2 H 2 (K 3;R), we also have the decomposition with respect to the basis

$$H_{2} = \sum_{p=1}^{X^{2}} p ; p = \sum_{s_{1}^{2}}^{Z} F_{2} :$$
(7.37)

The next step is to H odge decompose the real gauge invariant 2-form eld strength H $_2$ on the f $_a$; $_{I}$ g 2-form basis as

$$H_{2} = H^{a}_{a} + H^{I}_{I}; \qquad (7.38)$$

or equivalently like,

$$H_{2} = \&K^{ab} \qquad H_{2}^{a} \qquad _{a} \qquad _{b}^{b} + \{K^{IJ} \qquad H_{2}^{a} \qquad _{I} \qquad _{J};$$
(7.39)

where & and { are numbers which will be determined below.
Putting H₂ =
$$P_{=1}^{22}$$
 back into the right hand side of the above relation and using the following expressions,

$$X_{a} = \begin{matrix} R \\ R^{K3} \end{matrix} ^{a} , \qquad (7.40)$$
$$X_{I} = \begin{matrix} R^{K3} \\ K3 \end{matrix} ^{a} , \qquad (7.40)$$

we can rewrite H₂ like,

$$H_{2} = \&K^{ab} \qquad p X_{a} \qquad b + \{K^{IJ} \qquad p X_{I} \qquad J: \qquad (7.41)$$

The coe cients & and { can be determined by computing $$\mathbbmsc{Z}$$

in two ways and compare the results. On one hand, we have

$$R X X K_{K3}H_2^{\prime} = p X_c,$$

$$R X K_{K3}F_2^{\prime} = p X_L,$$

$$(7.43)$$

and on the other hand

$$R_{K3}H_{2}^{*}c = \&e^{2} pX_{c},$$

$$R_{K3}H_{2}^{*}L = \{e^{2} pX_{L}.$$
(7.44)

The identi cation of the two relations give,

$$\& = \{ = e^2 : (7.45) \}$$

Now using the dressed charges

$$Y_{a} = \begin{matrix} R & & X \\ {}_{K3}H_{2} & a = & p X_{a} \\ R & & X \\ Y_{I} = \begin{matrix} R & & X \\ {}_{K3}H_{2} & I = & p X_{I} \\ \\ {}_{K3}H_{2} & I = & p X_{I} \end{matrix},$$
(7.46)

with $Y_I = K^{ab}D_{aI}Y_b$, we can put the H odge decom position into the real2-form as follows,

$$H_{2} = e^{2} K^{ab}Y_{a b} + e^{2} K^{IJ}Y_{I J}$$
(7.47)

F inally, integrating both sides of (7.47) over the basis, we get the 7D black hole attractor eqs

$$p = K^{ab}Y_{a}X_{b} + K^{IJ}Y_{I}X_{J} :$$
 (7.48)

Notice that this equation can be put in other forms as given below. First by substituting K^{ab} = $e_c^a e_d^b \stackrel{\text{cd}}{=} K^{IJ} = e_{\underline{K}}^{I} e_{\underline{L}}^{J} \stackrel{\text{KL}}{=} and using Z_{\underline{c}} = e_{\underline{c}}^{a} Y_a, Z_{\underline{K}} = e_{\underline{K}}^{I} Y_{I}, eq (7.48)$ becomes

$$p = \underline{\alpha} Z_{\underline{c}} L_{\underline{d}} + \underline{K} L_{\underline{L}} Z_{\underline{K}} L_{\underline{L}}; \qquad (7.49)$$

where $L_{d}^{-}; L_{L}^{-}$ are as in eq(7.49).

Second, multiplying eq (7.49) p_ and sum m ing over , we rediscover the relations (3.34, 3.36) that we have used in section 3,

$$p^{2} = \frac{ab}{2}Z_{\underline{a}}Z_{\underline{b}} + \frac{IJ}{2}Z_{\underline{I}}Z_{\underline{J}}; \qquad (7.50)$$

with $p^2 = p_p$.

7.2.2 Solving the attractor eqs

Here we evaluate the fundam ental SHG identities along the constraints determ ining the various classes of critical points of the black hole (black 3-brane) potential in the moduli space. We show that the supersymmetry breaking at the horizon of the static, spherically symmetric extrem al black hole (3-brane) solution, can be traced back to the non-vanishing intersections between the eld strength H₂ and the components of the basis f <u>a</u> <u>I</u>g. We have:

(1) Supersymmetric $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS

This supersymmetric 7D attractor corresponds to the critical point $Z_{\underline{a}} \in (0;0;0)$ and $Z_{\underline{I}} = (0; ...; 0)$. Putting $Z_{\underline{I}} = 0.8 \text{ I } 2 \text{ I} = f1; ...; 19g$ back in eq(7.47), we not that the real 2-form H₂ of M-theory on K3 has vanishing components along the second cohom ologies H^(1;1) (K3) generated by $_{\underline{I}} = D_{a\underline{I}}^{a}$. As such the 2-form $(H_{2})_{\frac{1}{2}BPS}$ reduces down to,

$$(H_2)_{\frac{1}{2}BPS} = e^2 K^{ab} Y_{a \ b \ \frac{1}{2}BPS} ,$$

$$= e^2 \frac{cd}{Z_{\underline{c}} \ \frac{d}{2}BPS} .$$
 (7.51)

The BPS non degeneracy condition $(Z_{\underline{a}})_{\underline{1}_{BPS}} \in 0$ corresponds therefore to a condition of non orthogonality between H₂ and \underline{a}_{\prime}

```
(2) Non BPS type 1
```

р

This non supersymmetric attractor corresponds to the critical point $Z_a = (0;0;0)$; but $Z_I \in (0; :::; 0)$.

The real ux 2-form H $_2$ of M -theory on K 3 has non zero components along $_{\rm I}$; but no component along $_{\rm a}$,

$$R^{K_{3}}H_{2}^{a} = 0, \quad 8a = 1;2;3; \quad , \qquad (7.53)$$

$$R^{K_{3}}H_{2}^{a} = 0, \quad at \text{ least for one of the I's}.$$

Then, we have

$$(H_2)_{(NBPS)_1} = e^2 K^{IJ} Y_{I J}_{(NBPS)_1} ,$$

$$= e^2 \frac{IJ}{Z_{\underline{I}} J} (NBPS)_1 .$$
 (7.54)

(3) Non BPS type 2

This is a non supersymmetric attractor corresponding to the critical point $Z_a \in (0;0;0)$ and $Z_I \in (0; :::; 0)$. The real ux 2-form H $_2$ of M -theory on K 3 has at least one non zero component along $_a$ and at least one non zero component along $_{I}$,

$$R^{K_{3}}H_{2}^{a} = 0, \quad \text{at least for one of the a's}, \qquad (7.55)$$

$$R^{K_{3}}H_{2}^{a} = 0, \quad \text{at least for one of the I's}.$$

8 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper we have studied the extrem alBPS and non BPS black attractors in the seven dimensional N = 2 supergravity embedded in 11D M - theory on K3. The attractor eqs and their solutions have been treated by using both the criticality condition of the attractor potential (black hole and the dual black 3-brane) as well as by extending the 4D attractor approach of K allosh to N = 2 supergravity in 7D space time.

A fler having given some useful tools on ways to deal with the moduli space of the theory,

$$M_{7D}^{N=2} = \frac{SO(1;1) SO(3;19)}{SO(3) SO(19)};$$
(8.1)

we have described the brane realizations of the 7D black objects in terms of M 2 and M 5 branes wrapping 2-cycles of K 3. Then, we have studied explicitly the corresponding attractor mechanism : First, by using the critically condition method, in both inertial and curved frames f^m (x)g and f'^m (x)g of the moduli space (sections 3 and 7). Second, by extending the so called "new attractor approach" of K allosh (section 7).

M oreover, using speci c properties of the quantum numbers of the elds of the 7D theory, we have derived the 2-form basis eq(5.1) for the second real cohom ology of K 3,

$$f_{a}; Ig_{I=1;...;19}^{a=1;2;3} .$$
(8.2)

This basis, refereed to as the new basis of H 2 (K 3;R), exhibits manifestly the SO (3) SO (19) isotropy sym metry of the moduli space and plays an important role in the study the underlying special hyperK ahler geometry of 11D M -theory on K 3. The new basis, which could be also motivated by using properties of the P icard group of com plex curves in K 3 [67, 68], has been derived here from the two following physical arguments:

(i) the 7D N = 2 supergravity eld theory has two kinds of irreducible supersymmetric elds representations, namely the supergravity multiplet $G_{7D}^{N=2}$ eq (4.17) and the M axwellmatter supermultiplet $V_{7D}^{N=2}$ eq (4.18). Each one of these two representations contains its own abelian M axwell gauge elds: $G_{7D}^{N=2}$ has three 7D space time gauge elds

$$A^{a}(x); a = 1;2;3;$$
 (8.3)

while the gauge-m atter sector with the set $V_{7D}^{N=2}$, has nineteen

$$A^{I}(x); I = 1; ...; 19;$$
 (8.4)

constituting altogether the twenty two gauge elds of the underlying U²² (1) gauge invariance. This splitting allows to classify the eld strengths of the 7D supergravity theory into two kinds namely F^{a} and F^{I} ; and leads then to two types of physical gauge invariant (m agnetic) charges

$$m^{a} = F^{a}$$
; $m^{I} = F^{a}$; (8.5)

These magnetic black hole charges are precisely the dressed charges $Z^{\underline{a}}$ and $Z^{\underline{I}}$ of the extended brane version of the 7D N = 2 superalgebra [64, 69, 70, 72, 73].

(ii) the com pacti cation of 11D M - theory on K 3, together with the C alabi-Y au condition preventing 1-cycles, lead to the possibility to com bine both the K ahler m oduli

$$t^{I}$$
 z^{0I}

and the complex deform ations

$$z^{I}; \overline{z}^{I}$$
 $z^{+I}; z^{I}$

of the m etric of K 3 into nineteen isotriplets

$$a^{I} = z^{0I}; z^{+I}; z^{-I}; I = 1; ...; 19;$$
 (8.6)

which are nothing but the fly seven scalars of the nineteen M axwell-m atter gauge multiplets of the gauge sector of the supergravity theory. This combination is a very special property of the K 3 surface; which re ects in some sense its hyperK ahler nature; it has no analogue in higher dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Furtherm one, using the new basis f $_{a}$; $_{I}g \text{ of H}^{2}$ (K 3;R) and the deform ation tensor $_{aI}^{b} \text{ egs}(5.91-5.92)$ of the metric of K 3 as well as the symmetric inner product bF;Gi = $_{K_{3}}F \wedge G$, we have derived the fundamental relations (1.5-1.6) of the SHG geometry of the moduli space $\frac{SO(1;1) SO(3;19)}{SO(3)SO(19)}$; see also eqs(6.11-6.13).

By decomposing $_{a}$ and $_{I}$ with respect to the standard (old) basis Hodge of H 2 (K 3; R),

$$f g_{=1;...;22}$$
 (8.7)

we recover all usual constraint eqs of the 7D theory given in [64]; especially the canonical coordinates eqs(2.53-2.55), the dressed charges eqs(5.43-5.46) and the constraint eqs(6.30-6.32) described in section 2.

It is remarkable that the physical eld strength F a of the gravity multiplet and the eld strength F I of the M axwell-m atter multiplet are given by the linear combinations (5.25-5.29),

$$F^{\underline{a}} = \underbrace{L^{\underline{a}}_{-}F^{-}}_{=1}; \quad F^{\underline{I}} = \underbrace{L^{\underline{I}}_{-}F^{-}}_{=1}; \quad (8.8)$$

where F - is the compactified 4-form of the 11D M -theory on the 2-cycles basis 2 H₂ (K 3;R) Z Z

$$F_2 = F_4$$
; = : (8.9)

The decomposition coe cients $L = L_{\underline{a}}; L_{\underline{I}}$ are given by

Ζ

$$\underline{a} = L_{\underline{a}} ; \qquad \underline{I} = L_{\underline{I}}; \qquad (8.10)$$

and form precisely the SO (3;19) orthogonal eld matrix L — considered in section 2, eqs(2.31-2.32).

W ith the f $_{a}$; $_{I}$ g basis at hand, we have also extended the K allosh attractor approach to the case of 7D N = 2 supergravity. Then we have used this "extended new approach" to rederive the 7D black hole (7D black 3-brane) attractor eqs(7.47-7.49) and their solutions (7.51-7.55) which have been also classified in terms of the sign of p^{2} ; see eqs(7.19-7.23).

In the end, we would like to add that the compactication of the 7D N = 2 supergravity theory on a circle leads to 6D N = 2 non chiral supergravity. This is also equivalent to compactifying 10D type IIA superstring on K 3 [54] or the heterotic string on the 3-torus. Then, one can think about the analysis given in this paper as the uplifting of 6D N = 2 supergravity theory to the 7D; in analogy with the uplifting of 4D N = 2 supergravity theory to the 5D with real cubic prepotential [74, 75, 76, 50]. This property allows us to ask whether results concerning 4D /5D correspondence with cubic prepotential could be generalized to the 6D /7D case where we have a quadratic prepotential. Below, we give an heuristic exploration of this issue.

8.1 6D/7D correspondence

An interesting eld theoretical way to study the link between the 6D/7D BPS and non BPS attractors is to follow the analysis of Ceresole, Ferrara and Marrani (CFM) [74] concerning the 4D/5D correspondence and explore how it could be extended to get the 6D/7D correspondence for the black attractor potentials and their critical points. In the CFM eld theory set up, the extension

4D/5D correspondence ! 6D/7D correspondence , (8.11)

could, a priori, be done by st working out a dictionary regarding the links between the moduli spaces of the 4D, 5D, 6D and 7D supergravity theories.

Second, determ ine the various e ective potentials from which we may read the critical

points and their relations.

(1) Dictionary

A rst step in the way to 6D /7D correspondence can be made by working out the relation between the geometries of the underlying moduli spaces of 4D (resp. 5D) and 6D (resp. 7D) N = 2 supergravity theories. We have the following picture,

4D:SK Geom	etry !	6D: SQ Geometry	
l		1	(8.12)
5D: SR Geom	etry !	7D: SH Geometry	

where SQG and and SHG stands for special quaternionic and special hyperkahler geom etries respectively.

M uch about the 4D/5D \pm 6D/7D dictionary can be also learnt from the isotropy sym – m etries of the underlying N = 2 supergravity theories and from the way the elds have been generated from the 10D superstrings and M -theory com pactications. In the type IIA set up, we have

10D Type IIA/CY3	!	10D Type IIA/K3	
#		#	(8.13)
Uplift to 5D	!	Uplift to 7D	

These correspondences can be translated in the language of 2-form s on the corresponding moduli space as follows

$$B^{NS} + iJ \qquad ! \qquad B^{NS} + a_{a}$$

$$1 \qquad 1 \qquad (8.14)$$

$$J \qquad ! \qquad a$$

Here $B^{NS} + iJ$ is the complexied Kahler form with B^{NS} standing for the NS-NSB-eld of type II superstrings and give axions ⁱ up on integration over the 2-cycles C_2^i of the compact spaces, Z

$$i = B^{NS}$$
: (8.15)

Notice by the way that the table (8.13) can be also stated by starting from 11D M -theory on CY3 and on K3; then compactifying on a circle.

down lift to 4D	!	down lift to 6D	
I		TI CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OFICATOR OFICATOR OFICON OFICONO OFICIA OFICON OFICON OFICON OFICON OFIC	(8.16)
M -theory on CY3	!	M -theory on K 3	

U sing results of [74] and the analysis given in [54]; although more explicit and handleable expressions are still needed, we learnt that the CFM method could be applied to the 6D /7D case provided we can have the explicit expressions of the potentials in the special coordinate.

(2) Potentials

W ith the relations (8.12–8.15) in m ind, the second step to 6D/7D correspondence is to m in ic the CFM analysis of ref.[74]. There, the 5D black hole potential $V_{BH}^{5D N=2}$ is determined by using the known expression of $V_{BH}^{4D N=2}$ and putting constraints on the axions ⁱ (8.15) and the volume of the CY3.

The extension of the CFM eld theoretical method towards a 6D /7D correspondence can be done in a similar manner. For this purpose, we need to know the elective potential of 6D black attractors $V_{BH}^{6D} \stackrel{N=2}{\longrightarrow}$ in the special quaternionic coordinates on which we put constraints on the axions ⁱ (mainly ⁱ! 0; i = 1; :::22) and on the volum e of K 3. In the language of the moduli space group symmetries, the uplifting from 6D to 7D corresponds to the symmetry breaking

At the level of the scalar eld manifolds, the 6D! 7D uplifting is accompanied by the breaking $\frac{SO(4;20)}{SO(4) - SO(20)}$! $\frac{SO(3;19)}{SO(3) - SO(19)}$ reducing the dimension from real 80 dimension down to the real dimension 57 sub-manifold. This reduction corresponds then to xing 23 realm oduli and these are precisely given by the constraints on the axions, ⁱ! 0, i = 1;::22; and by xing the volume of K 3.

However, the know ledge of the explicit expression $V_{BH}^{6D,N=2}$ in the special quaternionic coordinates is some how problem atic; since it requires the know ledge of the explicit expression of the quaternionic metric $G_{mn}^{quaternion}$ of the moduli space¹² of 6D N = 2 supergravity,

$$M_{6D}^{N=2} = SO(1;1) \frac{SO(4;20)}{SO(4) SO(20)};$$
(8.18)

To our knowledge, the explicit expression of $G_{mn}^{\text{quaternion}}$ is still missing although it is suspected to be a real 80 dimensional generalization of the Taub-NUT metric of 4D Euclidean gravity. Thought lengthy and technical, the explicit expression of $G_{mn}^{\text{quaternion}}$ could be however derived by using harm onic superspace method [77]-[80]. The explicit expression of $G_{mn}^{\text{quaternion}}$ will be considered in a future occasion.

 $^{^{12}}$ the dilaton , captured by the SO (1;1) subgroup factor, is freezed in (8.18)

Nevertheless, partial results can be still given by using the W einhold potential (3.5) and the constrained matrix representation of sub-section 2.2. The 7D black hole potential $V_{BH}^{7D, N=2}$ can be put in a form quite similar to the $V_{BH}^{5D, N=2}$ corresponding one. Up on solving underlying constraints, $V_{BH}^{7D, N=2}$ can be expressed in terms of the special coordinates aI = eq(5.42) and the magnetic bare charges p^{a} and p^{I} .

To see how this can be done, we start from $V_{BH}^{7D,N=2}$ in terms of the dressed central charges Z^a and Z^I eq(3.5). Then, we put this potential in the quadratic form,

$$V_{BH}^{7D,N=2} = \frac{1}{2} M_{\underline{ab}} p^{\underline{a}} p^{\underline{b}} + M_{\underline{aJ}} p^{\underline{a}} p^{\underline{J}} + M_{\underline{Ib}} p^{\underline{I}} p^{\underline{a}} + M_{\underline{IJ}} p^{\underline{I}} p^{\underline{J}}; \qquad (8.19)$$

or equivalently like

$$V_{BH}^{7D, N=2} = \frac{1}{2} p^{a}; p^{\underline{I}} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{\underline{ab}} & M_{\underline{aJ}} & p^{\underline{b}} \\ M_{\underline{Ib}} & M_{\underline{IJ}} & p^{\underline{J}} \end{array}; \qquad (8.20)$$

where the 22 22 matrix M ____ is given by

$$M = 2 \begin{array}{c} X^{3} & \vdots & X^{19} \\ L^{\underline{C}} & \underline{C}^{\underline{d}} \\ C; d=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} L^{\underline{C}} & \underline{C}^{\underline{d}} \\ K; L=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} L^{\underline{K}} & \underline{K} \\ K; L=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} L^{\underline{L}} \\ K; L=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} K \\ K; L=1 \end{array}$$
(8.21)

with $L^{\underline{c}}_{\underline{c}}$ and $L^{\underline{K}}_{\underline{c}}$ as in eqs(3.10). Next, using the constraint eq(2.55), we can also rewrite the matrix M _____ as,

$$M = 2e^{2} + 2 L^{I}_{I,J=1} L^{I}_{J} L^{J}_{J}; \qquad (8.22)$$

where the dependence into the dilaton has been factorized. This expression can be simplied further by replacing L^{I} as in eq(2.54,5.42), which we rewrite as follows,

$$L_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & \frac{3+2}{2} & p & 1\\ \frac{3+2}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & q & \frac{19+2}{19} & \frac{3}{2} & A\\ \frac{3+2}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{19+2}{19} & \frac{1}{2} & A \end{pmatrix};$$
(8.23)

where $\frac{b}{I} = \frac{I}{b}^{t} = \frac{1}{IJ} \frac{ab}{a} \frac{J}{a}$ and $2 = \frac{P}{\frac{I}{a} \frac{a}{I}} = \frac{P}{\frac{aI}{aI}}$. Putting these relations back into (8.22), we get the explicit expression of the black hole potential in terms of the special coordinates .

The next step is to do the same thing for the potential of the 6D black hole $V_{BH}^{6D, N=2}$. Then, try to gure out the 6D /7D correspondence by following the method of Ceresole, Ferrara and Marrani. Progress in this direction will be reported elsewhere.
9 Appendix

In this appendix, we describe some useful relations regarding SKG in curved and the inertial frames. These relations complete the analysis of sub-section 5.1 and allows to make form all analogies with the analysis given in section 6 regarding the fundamental relations of SHG.

4D N = 2 supergravity has been extensively studied in literature, it can be realized as the elective eld theory of 10D superstring II on Calabi-Yau threefolds. We rst review the fundam entals of the SKG geometry underlying its scalar manifold M $_{4D}^{N=2}$, with dim $_{C}$ M $_{4D}^{N=2}$ = n in curved frame. Then, we consider the same relations; but now in the inertial frame set up.

(1) SKG in curved fram e

To x the ideas, consider 10D superstring¹³ IIB on Calabi-Y au threefolds and let $(z^{+i}; z^{-i})_{i=1,...,n}$ be the local (special) coordinates of the M $_{4D}^{N=2}$ with n being the number of abelian vector supermultiplets that couple the supergravity multiplet. The metric g_{ij} of this K ahler manifold which, for convenience, we rewrite it as g_{i+j} , is given by.

$$g_{i+j} = 0 \quad i \quad 0 \quad i \quad 0 \quad j \quad K \quad ,$$

$$\frac{0}{(z^{+i})} = z_{i} \qquad , \qquad (9.1)$$

In this relation, $K = K (z^+; z)$ is the Kahler potential with the usual gauge transformation

K !
$$K + f z^{+} + f z ;$$
 (9.2)

where $f(z^{+})$ is an arbitrary holom orphic function. The abelian gauge transformation (9.2) leaves the metric g_{i+j} invariant since the variation $(a_{i+j}f(z^{+}) = 0)$. Let also

be respectively the H odge and D albeault basis of 3-form s of H 3 (C Y 3) with

$$= (+), (9.4)$$

$$= h^{2;1} (CY3), (9.4)$$

¹³In type IIA set up, the complex variables z^i are given by the moduli of the complexi ed K ahler 2-form $B^{NS} + iJ$ over the the 2-cycles C_2^i of H₂ (CY3).

and A ;B being the usual sym plectic basis of real 3-cycles given by eqs(5.17). Since both H odge and D albeault 3-form s are two independent basises of the third real cohom obgy of CY3, we have the following relation

$$= X F ,$$

 $_{i^{+}} = X_{i^{+}} F_{i^{+}} ,$ (9.5)
 $_{i} = X_{+i} F_{+i} ,$

with

$$X = {}^{R}_{R^{A}} , F = {}^{R}_{R^{B}} ,$$

$$X_{i+} = {}^{R^{A}}_{R} , F_{i+} = {}^{R^{B}}_{R} ,$$

$$X_{+i} = {}^{A}_{A} + i , F_{+i} = {}^{R^{B}}_{B} + i ,$$

$$(9.6)$$

and

$$X_{+} = X_{+} (z^{+}) , X = X (z) ,$$

$$F_{+} = F_{+} (z^{+}) , F = F (z) ,$$
(9.7)

U sing these 3-form s, we can de ne the fundam ental relations of the SKG in curved fram e:

(a) the Kahler potential

It is de ned by computing the volume (3;3)-form on the moduli space and reads as

$$R = ie^{K},$$

$$R^{CY3} + ^{} = 0,$$

$$R^{CY3} + ^{} = 0,$$

$$(9.8)$$

$$(9.8)$$

where K is the Kahler potential. The number i is required by the reality condition and antisymmetry $_{+}$ $^{-}$ = $^{-}_{+}$.

Notice that setting

$$z^{j} = x^{j} \quad iy^{j} \quad ,$$

$$\theta_{j} = \frac{\theta}{2\theta x^{j}} \quad i\frac{\theta}{2\theta y^{j}} \quad ,$$

$$= 1 \quad i_{2} \quad ,$$
(9.9)

we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{+j} + \mathcal{Q}_{+j} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{-j}}{\mathcal{Q}_{x^j}} + \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{-2}}{\mathcal{Q}_{y^j}} \quad . \tag{9.10}$$

To make contact with our analysis concerning the SHG analysis we have given in section 6, it is convenient to set

$$a = (+;); = (+);$$
 (9.11)

and rew rite the above relations collectively as follows

R

with $K_{ab} = K_{ba}$ and $"_{ab} = "_{ba}$. The relation $K_{ab} = e^{K} "_{ab}$ can be derived by solving the orthogonality constraint eqs to be given below.

Kahler transform ations (9.2) correspond to the following local change

Sim ilar transform ations are valid for the eld moduli eqs(9.7); they de ne the usual homogeneous coordinates transform ation that x the component X_{+}^{0} to one.

(b) the metric

Before giving the expression of the metric, it is useful to notice the three following properties:

(i) deform ation tensor: $_{aib}$ The holom orphy of the (3;0)-form $_{+}$ and the antiholom orphy of (0;3)-form in ply the constraint relations

$$Q_{\pm i} = 0$$
; $Q_{\pm} = 0$; (9.14)

These relations show that the set $_{i+}$ and $_{+i}$ can be enlarged by implementing the trivial objects,

$$_{+i+}$$
 $@_{+i+}$; $_{i}$ $@_{i}$: (9.15)

Generally speaking, we may consider the largest set

+ ,
$$ai+ = i+$$
 , (9.16)
, $ai = i$,

which can put be altogether like

where $_{aib}$ can be interpreted as the deform ation tensor. Clearly $_{aib} \notin 0$ for only form a + b = 0 since no (4;0)-nor (0;4)-form s can live on CY3.

(ii) gauge elds: Cai

The (2;1)-form s $_{i+}$ and their complex conjugate $_{+i}$ generate covariant complex deformations. They are defined as the covariant derivatives of $_{+}$ and $_{+i}$ as shown below,

where C $_{\rm i}$ are gauge elds associated with the Kahler transform ations. The abelian gauge elds C $_{\rm i}$ read in term of the Kahler potential K and

$$C_{i} = @_{i}K$$
; $C_{+i} = (C_{i});$ (9.19)

and transform as

$$\begin{array}{cccc} C_{i} & ! & C_{i} + Q_{i}f , \\ C_{+i} & ! & C_{+i} + Q_{+i}f , \end{array}$$
(9.20)

and are used to ensure the covariance

and can be extended to ai_{i+} and ai_{i+} with a = :

(iii) orthogonality relations

Because a and aib com e in various (p;q) – form s, we distinguish several orthogonality relations; in particular

$$\frac{R}{CY3} = 0, a;b;c = 0, (9.22)$$

and due to the identity $_{+j+} = 0 = _{i}$,

R

$$R^{CY3}$$
 $ib^{+}j^{+} = 0$, b^{-} ,
 R^{CY3} $i^{+}j^{+}j^{+} = 0$, b^{-} ,
 $CY3$ $i^{+}j^{+}j^{+}j^{-} = 0$, b^{-} .
(9.23)

W hat remains is precisely the intersection regarding complex deformations $_{i+}$ and their conjugates $_{+i}$ which we write as follows:

$$R_{CY3} + + j = iG_{i+,+j} .$$
(9.24)

A way to get the expression of $G_{i+,+j}$ in terms of the K ahler potential is to start from eq (9.8) and compute the second derivatives by using holom orphy properties. We have

$${\mathsf{R}}_{{\mathsf{CY}}_3}{\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}_{+}} {^{\diamond}}{\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}_{+}} = {\mathsf{i}}{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{K}} ({\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}}{\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}_{+}}{\mathsf{K}} {\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}_{+}}{\mathsf{K}} {\mathsf{K}} {\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}_{+}}{\mathsf{K}} {\mathsf{Q}}_{{\mathsf{i}}_{+}}{\mathsf{K}} {\mathsf{K}} {\mathsf{K}$$

which can be also put in the form

$${}^{R}_{CY_{3}} @_{i +} ^{Q}_{+j} + C_{i} C_{+j} {}^{R}_{CY_{3} +} ^{A} = ie^{K} (@_{i} @_{+j} K) .$$
(9.26)

where we have used the identities C $_i$ = 0 $_i K$. But the right hand side of above relation is precisely $_{\rm CY3}D$ $_i$ + ^ D $_{\rm +j}$. So we have

$$G_{i+;+j} = e^{K} (@_{i}@_{+j}K) = e^{K}g_{i+j}:$$
(9.27)

This relation can put in various equivalent form ; in particular like

I

$$G_{i+ \neq j} = e^{K} g_{i+j} ,$$

$$G_{ia \neq jb} = K_{ab} g_{i+j} ,$$

$$g_{i+j} = e^{K} G_{i+ \neq j} .$$
(9.28)

(2) SKG in inertial fram e

The above SKG relations can be rewritten in the inertial frame fw⁺; w g. The corresponding relations can be obtained by using vielbeins e_a^c , e_{ai}^{ck} and e_{ck}^{a} . The 3-form s $_{\rm c}$ and $_{\rm aib}$ in the inertial fram e as follows

$$\underline{a} = e_{\underline{a}}^{c} c , \quad \underline{a} = e_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{c}} c , \quad (9.29)$$

$$\underline{ai} = e_{\underline{ai}}^{\underline{ck}} c , \quad \underline{ai} = e_{\underline{ai}}^{\underline{ck}} c , \quad (9.29)$$

where $e_a^c = e_a^c$ (w ; z) and $e_{ai}^{ck} = e_{ai}^{ck}$ (w ; z). Substituting these identities back into eqs(??), we obtain R

$$R^{C_{Y3}} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{b}{=} = i''_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} , \qquad (9.30)$$

$$C_{Y3} \stackrel{\underline{k}\underline{a}}{=} \stackrel{\underline{+}\underline{b}}{=} = i''_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} \underline{k}\underline{l} ,$$

where

$$\mathbf{"}_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} = e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{a}}^{d} K_{\alpha d} = e^{K} e_{\underline{a}}^{c} e_{\underline{a}}^{d} \mathbf{"}_{\alpha d} ,$$

$$K_{\alpha d} = e_{\underline{c}}^{a} e_{\underline{d}}^{\underline{b}} \mathbf{"}_{\underline{a}\underline{b}} ,$$
(9.31)

and

$$\underline{kl} = \underline{e}_{\underline{k}}^{i} \underline{e}_{\pm \underline{l}}^{+j} \underline{g}_{i\pm j} , \quad \underline{g}_{i\pm j} = \underline{e}_{\underline{i}}^{k} \underline{e}_{\pm \underline{j}}^{\pm \underline{l}} . \quad (9.32)$$

From the above relations, we learn, amongst others, that the vielbeins e_a^c and e_c^a are given by

$$\mathbf{e}_{\underline{a}}^{c} = \mathbf{e}^{\frac{K}{2}} \mathbf{c}_{\underline{a}}^{c}$$
; $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{a}} = \mathbf{e}^{\frac{K}{2}} \mathbf{c}_{\underline{c}}^{\underline{a}}$; (9.33)

and carry half of the K ahler charge. In the inertial fram e fwg, the K ahler potential is

$$K w w^{+k} w_{\overline{k}} :$$
 (9.34)

The the metric g_{ij} reduces to the constant g_{ij} $\stackrel{k}{\underset{i}{jk}}$ and the gauge potentials C_i and $C_{\,\overline{i}}$ respectively to w $_{\,i}^{\,\ast}\,$ and w $_{\,i}\,$.

The D = 4 N = 2 covariantly holom orphic central charge function Z^{a} is de ned as

$$Z^{a} = e_{c}^{a} Z^{\underline{c}}; \qquad (9.35)$$

where $Z^{\underline{c}} = W^{\underline{c}}$ is equal to the usual relation "ab p-F b q_X b.

A cknow ledgem ent 4

I would like to thank A. Belhaj, L. B. Drissi and A. Sequi for discussions and an earlier collaboration in this area. This research work has been supported by the program Protars D12/25/CNRST

References

- S.Ferrara, R.Kallosh and A.Strom inger, N = 2 Extrem alB lack Holes, Phys. Rev. D 52, 5412 (1995), hep-th/9508072.
- [2] A. Strom inger, M acroscopic Entropy of N = 2 Extrem alB lack Holes, Phys. Lett. B 383, 39 (1996), hep-th/9602111.
- [3] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Supersymmetry and Attractors, Phys. Rev. D 54, 1514 (1996), hep-th/9602136.
- [4] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Universality of Supersymmetric Attractors, Phys. Rev. D 54, 1525 (1996), hep-th/9603090.
- [5] S.Ferrara, G.W. Gibbons and R.K allosh, Black Holes and Critical Points in Moduli Space, Nucl. Phys. B 500, 75 (1997), hep-th/9702103.
- [6] K.Goldstein, N. Iizuka, R.P. Jena and S.P. Trivedi, Non-Supersymmetric Attractors, Phys. Rev. D 72, 124021 (2005), hep-th/0507096.
- [7] A. Sen, Entropy Function for Heterotic Black Holes, JHEP 03, 008 (2006), hep-th/0508042.
- [8] R.Kallosh, New Attractors, JHEP 0512, 022 (2005), hep-th/0510024.
- [9] P.K. Tripathy and S.P. Trivedi, Non-Supersymmetric Attractors in String Theory, JHEP 0603,022 (2006), hep-th/0511117.
- [10] Stefano Bellucci, Sergio Ferrara, M urat G unaydin, A lessio M arrani, C harge O rbits of Sym m etric SpecialG com etries and Attractors, Int.J M od Phys. A 21 (2006) 5043– 5098, arX iv hep-th/0606209
- [11] M. A lishahiha and H. Ebrahim, Non-supersymmetric attractors and entropy function, JHEP 0603,003 (2006), hep-th/0601016.
- [12] R.Kallosh, N. Sivanandam and M. Soroush, The Non-BPS Black Hole Attractor Equation, JHEP 0603, 060 (2006), hep-th/0602005.
- [13] B.Chandraækhar, S.Parvizi, A.Tavanfar and H.Yavartanoo, Non-supersymmetric attractors in R² gravities, JHEP 0608, 004 (2006), hep-th/0602022.
- [14] D. Astefanesei, H. Yavartanoo, Stationary black holes and attractor mechanism, NuclPhysB794:13-27,2008, e-Print: arXiv:0706.1847 [hep-th]

- [15] J.P.Hsu, A.M aloney and A. Tom asiello, Black Hole Attractors and Pure Spinors, JHEP 0609,048 (2006), hep-th/0602142.
- [16] Payal Kaura, Aalok Misra, Fortsch Phys.54:1109-1141,2006 [e-Print: hep-th/0607132],
 Aalok Misra, Pram od Shukla, e-Print: arXiv:0707.0105, [hep-th].
- [17] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, On some properties of the Attractor Equations, Phys. Lett. B 635, 172 (2006), hep-th/0602161.
- [18] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and A. Van Proeyen, Duality Transform ations in Supersymmetric Yang-M ills Theories Coupled to Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 444, 92 (1995), hep-th/9502072.
- [19] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, The Symplectic Structure of N = 2Supergravity and Its Central Extension, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.46 (1996), hep-th/9509160.
- [20] K.Behmdt, G.Lopes Cardoso, B. de W it, R.Kallosh, D.Lust and T.Mohaupt, Classical and quantum N = 2 supersymmetric black holes, Nucl. Phys. B 488, 236 (1997), hep-th/9610105.
- [21] G W . M oore, Attractors and A rithm etic, hep-th/9807056
- [22] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, N = 8 non-BPS Attractors, Fixed Scalars and Magic Supergravities, arX iv:0705.3866.
- [23] S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, Black Hole Attractors in Extended Supergravity, arXiv:0708.1268
- [24] R.AhlLaam ara, A.Belhaj, L.B.Drissi, E.H. Saidi, Black Holes in Type IIA String on Calabi-Yau Threefolds with A ne ADE Geometries and q-Deformed 2d Quiver Gauge Theories, arX iv hep-th/0611289
- [25] S.Bellucci, S.Ferrara, A.Marrani, A.Yeranyan, d= 4 Black Hole Attractors in N=2 Supergravity with Fayet-Iliopoulos Terms, e-Print: arXiv:0802.0141 [hep-th],
- [26] Y i-X in Chen, Yong-Q iang W ang, F irst-order attractor ow equations for supersym m etric black rings in N = 2, D = 5 supergravity, e-P rint: arX iv:0801.0839 [hep-th]
- [27] Lalla Btissam Drissi, Houda Jehjouh, ElHassan Saidi, Topological Strings on Local Elliptic Curve and Non Planar 3-Vertex Form alism, e-Print: arX iv:0712.4249 [hepth],

- [28] Lalla Btissam Drissi, Houda Jehjouh, El Hassan Saidi, Generalized MacMahon G_d (q) as q-deformed CFT 2 Correlation Function, e-Print: arX iv:0801.2661 [hep-th]
- [29] Dum itru Astefanesei, Horatiu Nastase, Moduli ow and non-supersymmetric AdS attractors, e-Print: arX iv:0711.0036 [hep-th]
- [30] Bellucci, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, A. Shcherbakov, Splitting of Attractors in 1m odulus Quantum Corrected Special Geometry, e-Print: arXiv:0710.3559 [hep-th].
- [31] A. Strom inger, Special Geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 133, 163 (1990).
- [32] B.deW it and A.Van Proeyen, \Potentials and symmetries of general gauged N = 2 supergravity-Yang-M ills models," Nucl. Phys. B245 (1984) 89.
- [33] S.Cecotti, S.Ferrara, and L.G irardello, \Geometry of type II superstrings and the moduli of superconform al eld theories," Int. J.M od. Phys. A 4 (1989) 2475.
- [34] L.Castellani, R.D'Auria and S.Ferrara, Special Geometry without Special Coordinates, ClassQuant.Grav.7, 1767 (1990).
- [35] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, Special Kahler Geometry: an Intrinsic Formulation from N = 2 Space-Time Supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. B241, 57 (1990).
- [36] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre, Special and Quaternionic Isom etries: General Couplings in N = 2 Supergravity and the Scalar Potential, Nucl. Phys. B 359, 705 (1991).
- [37] L. Andrianopoli, M Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and T. Magri, N = 2 Supergravity and N = 2 Super Yang-M ills Theory on General Scalar Manifolds : Symplectic Covariance, Gaugings and the Momentum Map, J. Geom. Phys. 23, 111 (1997), hep-th/9605032.
- [38] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre, General Matter Coupled N = 2 Supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B476, 397 (1996), hep-th/9603004.
- [39] B. de W it, F. Vanderseypen and A. Van Proeyen, Symmetry Structures of Special Geometries, Nucl. Phys. B 400, 463 (1993), hep-th/9210068.
- [40] E. Cremmer and A. Van Proeyen, Classi cation of Kahler Manifolds in N = 2Vector Multiplet Supergravity Couplings, Class. Quant. Grav. 2, 445 (1985).

- [41] B.de W it, F.Vanderseypen and A.Van Proeyen, Symmetry Structures of Special Geometries, Nucl. Phys. B 400, 463 (1993), hep-th/9210068
- [42] M. Grana, Flux compacti cations in string theory: A comprehensive review, Phys. Rept. 423, 91, (2006), hep-th/0509003,
- [43] M.Grana, Flux compactications and generalized geometries, Class. Quant. Grav. 23, S883 (2006).
- [44] M.R.Douglas and S.Kachru, Flux compactication, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 733 (2007), hep-th/0610102.
- [45] S.Gukov, C.Vafa and E.W itten, CFT's from Calabi-Yau four-folds, Nucl. Phys. B 584, 69 (2000), Erratum -ibid. B 608, 477 (2001)], hep-th/9906070.
- [46] F. Larsen, The Attractor Mechanism in Five D in ensions, hep-th/0608191.
- [47] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, 4d/5d Correspondence for the Black Hole Potential and its Critical Points, arX iv:0707.0964
- [48] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, M. Trigiante, Non-BPS Attractors in 5d and 6d Extended Supergravity, arX iv:0709.3488
- [49] M.Gunaydin, G.Sierra and P.K.Townsend, Exceptional Supergravity Theories and the Magic Square, Phys. Lett. B133, 72 (1983).
- [50] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, The Geometry of N = 2 Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras, Nucl. Phys. B242, 244 (1984).
- [51] M.Gunaydin, G.Sierra and P.K.Townsend, Gauging the D = 5 Maxwell Einstein Supergravity Theories: More on Jordan Algebras, Nucl. Phys. B 253, 573 (1985).
- [52] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, More on d = 5 Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity: Symmetric Space and Kinks, Class. Quant. Grav. 3, 763 (1986).
- [53] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, C. M. Hull, S. Pakis, and H. S. Reall, \All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in ve dimensions," Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 4587 {4634, hep-th/0209114.
- [54] A.Belhaj, L.B.Drissi, E.H. Saidi, A. Segui, N = 2 Supersymmetric Black Attractors in Six and Seven D imensions, arX iv 0709.0398.
- [55] A.Salam and E.Sezgin, Phys. Lett. 126B (1983) 295.

- [56] T.Kugo and PK.Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B211 (1983) 157; Supergravity in Diverse D im ensions', Vols. 1 & 2, A. Salam and E. Sezgin, eds., North-Holland, W orld Scientic (1989).
- [57] PK Towensend, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys Lett B 125, (1983) 41
- [58] E. Bergsho, I.G. Koh, and E. Sezgin, Einstein Yang-Mills supergravity in seven dimensions, Phys Rev D 32 (1985) 1353
- [59] H.N ishino and S.Rajpoot, O ctonions, G2 Symmetry, Generalized Self-Duality and Supersymmetry in D imensions D less or equal to 8', hep-th/0210132.
- [60] H.Nishino and S.Rajpoot, Self-Dual Supergravity in Seven D in ensions with Reduced Holonom y G₂; PhysLett. B569 (2003) 102-112, arX iv hep-th/0306075.
- [61] ElHassan Saidiet al, On the Entropy of pairs of DualB lack Attractors, Lab/UFR PHE/0804, in preparation.
- [62] R.Kallosh, New Attractors, JHEP 0512, 022 (2005), hep-th/0510024.
- [63] S.Bellucci, S.Ferrara, R.Kallosh, A.Marrani, Extrem alBlack Hole and Flux Vacua Attractors, arX iv:0711.4547.
- [64] Laura Andrianopoli, Riccardo D'Auria, Sergio Ferrara, U {Duality and Central Charges in Various D in ensions Revisited, hep-th/9612105
- [65] Laura Andrianopoli, Riccardo D'Auria, Sergio Ferrara, Central Charges in Various D im ensions Revisited, hep-th/9608015
- [66] Sergio Ferrara, Alessio Marrani, Black Hole Attractors in Extended Supergravity, ArXiv: 0709.1268
- [67] Paul S. Aspinwall, K3 Surfaces and String Duality, TASI96(K3), arX iv hep-th/9611137.
- [68] Cesar G om ez, M -theory: Perspectives and Outbook, Proceeding of the W orkshop on G eom etry and Physics, Zaragoza, 1998, in Anales de Fisica, G eom etry and Physics, Eds M. A sorey and JF Carinena.
- [69] R. d'Auria, P. Fre, Nucl Phys B 201 (1982) 101
- [70] PK Townsend, p-brane dem ocracy, hep-th/9507048,

- [71] J.A. de Azcarraga, J.P. Gauntlett, J.M. Izquierdo and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Rev.Lett. 189B (1989) 2443
- [72] J.V. van Holten and A. Van Proyen, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 15 (1982) 3763
- [73] I. Bars, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 5203; hep-th/9604139, \Algebraic Structure of S-Theory" hep-th/9608061
- [74] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, 4d/5d Correspondence for the Black Hole Potential and its Critical Points, arX iv:0707.0964.
- [75] D.Gaiotto, A.Strom inger, and X.Yin, New connections between 4D and 5D black holes," hep-th/0503217.
- [76] D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger, and X. Yin, \5D black rings and 4D black holes," hep-th/0504126.
- [77] A.Galperin, E. Ivanov, V.Ogievetsky and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quantum, Grav.3 (1986) 625.
- [78] E. Sahraoui and E.H. Saidi, Class. Quantum . Grav. 16 (1999) 1,
- [79] A.ElHassouni, T.Lhallabi, E.G.Oudrhiri-Sa ani, E.H.SaidiHK Metrics Building In The (1+3) Representation. The Taub - Nut Case, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 41 (1989)351.
- [80] T. Lhallabi, E.H. Saidi, Two-D in ensional (4,0) Supergravity In Harmonic Superspace. The Action And The Matter Couplings. Nucl. Phys. B 335 (1990)689.