D aniel W ohns Laboratory for E lem entary Particle Physics Comell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 (D ated: A pril 1, 2024)

The Hawking-Moss tunneling rate for a eld described by the Dirac-Born-Infeld action is calculated using a stochastic approach. We nd that the elect of the non-trivial kinetic term is to enhance the tunneling rate, which can be exponentially signi cant. This result should be compared to the DBI enhancement found in the Coleman-de Luccia case.

Quantum tunneling in gravity is a well studied subject. The Colem an-de Luccia instanton [1] plays an im portant role in cosm ology, e.g., in gauge theory phase transitions, in in ation and recently, in the cosm ic landscape. In IIB string theory, where the extra dimensions are compactied, the motion of D3 branes play a crucial role in brane in ation. As is well-known, the kinetic term of a D3 brane is given by the Dirac-Born-Infeld action. Recently, Brown, Sarangi, Shlaer and Weltman showed that Coleman-de Luccia tunneling with a DBI action can be signi cantly enhanced when com pared to that with a canonical kinetic term [2]. Hawking-Moss tunneling arises in the sam e contexts as C olem an-de Luccia tunneling when the potential barrier is broad instead of narrow. In m any cases of interest the potential is not known. A natural question to ask is what is the impact of a DBI action on the Hawking-Moss tunneling rate. Potential applications include both in ation and vacuum selection [3], [4], [5]. During the observable stage of ination observations disfavor large eld space velocities for the in aton in models with a DBI action [3]. For this reason we focus on the case where the eld space velocity is sm all throughout the tunneling event.

H awking and M oss used a Euclidean approach in [6] to calculate the tunneling rate in de Sitter space from a m etastable state A to over a wide barrier with a maximum at B to the true m inimum of the potential C.Two constant instanton solutions (;x) =  $_{A}$ , (;x) =  $_{B}$  satisfy the classical equations of m otion. C laim ing that the tunneling rate is the exponential of the di erence in the Euclidean action of these two instanton solutions  $P_{A!C} = \exp((S(_{A}) - S(_{B})))$  Hawking and Moss found the tunneling rate to be

$$P_{A!C} \exp \frac{3M_{P}^{4}}{8} \frac{1}{V_{A}} \frac{1}{V_{B}} :$$
 (1)

Several problem s have been pointed out with this approach in the appendices of [7],[4]. The instanton solution () =  $_{\rm B}$  does not interpolate between the m etastable state and the true vacuum. How ever since the Euclidean scale factor b() = H<sup>1</sup> sin(2 H) vanishes at = 0 and = H<sup>1</sup> large m odi cations can be made to the instanton solution (;x) =  $_{\rm B}$  near the endpoints without changing the action signi cantly although the m odi ed solution will no longer solve the classical equations of motion. This problem is particularly severe when there are

severalm etastable vacuua between the initialm etastable state and the true vacuum. Naively we could pick any of the instanton solutions sitting at a localm axim um between the initial metastable state and the true vacuum to calculate the tunneling rate but generically the result depends on this choice of a localm axim um. A dditionally the actions S ( $_{\rm A}$ ) and S ( $_{\rm B}$ ) are both in nite since they are constant in all of Euclidean spacetime. The actions can be made nite by only considering tunneling in a Hubble-sized patch, but we then lose inform ation about the rest of the universe. For these reasons we will not use the Euclidean approach of [6].

We nd that the tunneling rate is modied to be

$$P_{A!C} = \exp \frac{3M_{p}^{4}}{8} - \frac{1}{V()} + \frac{(+1)(-1)}{2(V())^{2}}T()$$

when the in atom is described by the DBI action. Here H is the Hubble parameter given by H  $^2$  ( ) =  $\frac{8}{3M_{\rm p}^2}$ , T is the warped brane tension, and

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{T(1)}} = \frac{1}{C_{s}} - 1$$
(3)

where  $c_s$  is the sound speed. For small brane tensions T ()=V () 1 the tunneling rate in Eq.(2) is enhanced over the rate in Eq.(1). In the limit that = 1 Eq. (2) reduces to Eq.(1). O ur results are valid in the limit that the curvature of the potential is small compared to the Hubble scale, that the energy density is dominated by the potential for the entire region in eld space through which the tunneling occurs, and that the in aton has a small eld space velocity so that > 1.

Instead of the Euclidean approach we will use them ore clearly physically motivated stochastic approach of [8], [9], [10], [11]. The basic physical idea is that the quantum uctuations of the short wavelength components of the in aton eld act as a random force on the long wavelength parts. If the in aton is trapped in a metastable minimum, these uctuations can drive the eld up to a nearby maximum. From the maximum the in aton has a probability of order unity to roll classically to a di erent minimum.

W e consider a D 3 brane probe m oving in a type IIB

background described by the DBI action

$$S = d^{4}xa^{3} (t) (T \frac{\theta^{2}}{1 - \frac{\theta^{2}}{T}} T + V)$$
 (4)

where T is the warped D 3 brane tension, is the canonically normalized in aton, and V is the potential. In this theory has a speed limit because of the non-minimal form of the kinetic term in Eq.(4).

We follow closely the treatment of [8]. The essential physical idea is that if we divide the eld (x) into a long-wavelength part and a short-wavelength part, the short-wavelength part acts as a random force on the long-wavelength part.

The average value of the eld over the coordinate volum e  $b^3$  is given by

$$_{b} = \frac{1}{(2)^{3=2}} \frac{1}{b^{3}}^{Z} d^{3}x e^{\frac{1}{2}x j^{2} = 2b^{2}} (x):$$
 (5)

Assuming the metric is at, we can use the momentum space expansion

$$(x) = \frac{2}{(2)^{3-2}} \frac{d^3k}{a_k k} e^{ik x} + a^y_k e^{ik x}$$
(6)

to obtain

$$_{b} = \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} e^{k^{2}b^{2}=2} a_{k} k + a_{k}^{y} k$$
 (7)

Here  $a_k$  and  $a_k^y$  are the ordinary creation and annihilation operators satisfying  $[a_k; a_q^y] = (k \quad q)$ . For calculational simplicity we replace Eq. (7) with

$$_{b} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3=2}} (k+b^{1}) a_{k} k + a_{k}^{y} k$$
 (8)

We are interested in the macroscopic evolution of the eld on a de Sitter space background with metric  $ds^2 = a^2 (t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$ . A string theory construction of such a background metric is given in [7]. The coordinate length associated with the physical length scale > H<sup>-1</sup> of interest is  $b = \frac{1}{a}H^{-1}$  where 1. A more precise restriction on will be obtained below. If we let denote the value of averaged over this volume, then

$$= \frac{Z}{(2^{3}k)^{3=2}} (k + aH) a_{k} + a_{k}^{y} (9)$$

and the rate of change of is

$$= \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} (k + aH) (a_{k} - k + a_{k}^{y} - k)$$

$$+ aH^{2} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} (k + aH) (a_{k} - k + a_{k}^{y} - k)$$

$$\frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} (k + aH) (a_{k} - k + a_{k}^{y} - k + g(t)) : (10)$$

W e will see that g(t) plays the role of a random force due to the short-wavelength parts of  $\cdot$ .

To nd the equation of motion of we use the results of  $q[\underline{13}]$  to calculate the energy density. Using p = TT  $1 \frac{-2}{T}$  V and = 2X & p p where  $X = \frac{1}{2} (r)^2$  we obtain

$$= 2 X + T = T + V$$
: (11)

From Eq.(11) and the Friedman equations we nd that the equation of motion of f on the background is

$$+ \frac{3H}{2} -+ (2 - \frac{3}{2})\frac{r^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}V^{0} - \frac{(+1)(-1)}{2^{2}}T^{0} = 0$$
(12)

where <sup>0</sup> denotes a partial derivative with respect to . If =  $_0$  is a metastable state then we can write V () = V<sub>0</sub> +  $\frac{m^2}{2}$  ( $_0$ )<sup>2</sup>  $\frac{}{4}$  ( $_0$ )<sup>4</sup> in a neighborhood of =  $_0$ . A ssum ing T () is analytic in a neighborhood of =  $_0$  we write T () = T<sub>3</sub> ( $_0$  +  $\frac{2}{2}$  ( $_0$ )<sup>2</sup> + U sing these Taylor expansions we see that the mode  $_k$ approximately satis es the equation

$$\frac{3H}{2 + k} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{3} (m^{2} + h^{2} i_{k})$$

$$\frac{(1 + 1)(1 + 1)}{2 + 2} T_{3}(1 + 1) = 0 (13)$$

If the short-wavelength modes of are to act as a stochastic force on the spatially averaged , then these modes should obey the equation of a free eld. Form odes with k all only the rst three terms are important if three conditions are satis ed:

1) 
$$m^2 = {}^3$$
 (3=  ${}^2$  2) $k^2 = a^2$   
2)  $h^2 i = {}^3$  (3=  ${}^2$  2) $k^2 = a^2$   
3)  $\frac{(+1)(-1)}{2^2} T_3 (_2 + )$   ${}^2$ (3= 2) $k^2 = a^2$ .

The rst two conditions restrict the shape of the potential for which our approximation is valid. These conditions are weaker than the conditions for the validity of the Hawking-Moss tunneling rate (1) obtained by [8]. Our conditions reduce to the conditions of [8] when = 1. Condition 3 is always valid for small eld space velocities (su ciently close to = 1) and we know that > 1 in a metastable state. Condition 2 is always valid in the neighborhood of a metastable state because the elective square of the mass M<sup>2</sup> = m<sup>2</sup> h<sup>2</sup> i is always positive. This positivity combined with condition 1 implies

$$h^{2}i < m^{2}$$
 (3  $2^{3})k^{2}=a^{2}$  (14)

By [13] the expectation value of  $^{2}$  is given by

$$h^{2}i = \frac{3H^{4}}{8^{2} m^{2}}$$
(15)

which gives us a bound on

$$< \frac{8^{2}}{3} \frac{m^{4}}{H^{4}} = \frac{8^{2}}{3} (3^{3} - 2^{5})^{4}$$
: (16)

).

W hen our three conditions are satisfied the modes with k  $^{>}$   $\,$  aH satisfy the equation

$$_{k} + \frac{3H}{2} + (\frac{3}{2} - 2)\frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} = 0$$
 (17)

where  $a = e^{H t}$ . U sing the results of [13]

$$_{k} \qquad \frac{H}{(2k^{3})^{1=2}}$$
 (18)

at the sound horizon. Substituting the expansion of in momentum space into our equation of motion we nd

$$a_{k} = \frac{3H}{2} - k + \frac{3}{2} = 2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} = 2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} = 0 \quad (19)$$

The integral in Eq.(19) is equal to

$$\frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} (aH k) a_{k} + \frac{3H}{2} - k + \frac{3}{2} - \frac$$

because

Ζ

Ζ

7

$$\frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} (k = aH) a_{k} + \frac{3H}{2} - + \frac{3}{2} - k + \frac{3}{2} - k + \frac{3}{2} - k + \frac{3}{2} - k = \frac{3}{2} - 2 - k = e^{ik} + H C.$$
 (21)

vanishes identically by Eq.(17). In Eq. (19) the rst derivative term is the most important if > 1 which must be the case if the in atom starts out in a metastable state. We assume > 1 for the entire region in eld space through which tunneling occurs. Thus

$$\frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3=2}} (aH k) a_{k} - e^{ik} + H \mathcal{L}.$$

$$= \frac{1}{3H} (-V^{0} \frac{(+1)(-1)}{2} T^{0})$$
(22)

A veraging this equation over the volum  $e b^3$  we nd that

$$-= \frac{1}{3H} \frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1}$$

where

$$g(t) = aH^2 \frac{d^3k}{(2)^{3=2}} (k+aH)a_k + a_k^y : (24)$$

Equation (23) is a Langevin equation with a random force g(t). The correlation functions hg(t)i,  $hg(t_1)g(t_2)i$ , etc. characterize the statistical properties of g(t). We

com pute these functions by averaging over the vacuum state ji that satis es  $a_k \ ji = 0$ . C learly all of the odd correlation functions vanish. The two-point correlation function is given by

$$hg(t_{1})g(t_{2})i = {}^{2}H {}^{4}a_{1}a_{2} \frac{d^{3}kd^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} (k \ e^{H}) (q \ e^{H})$$

$$= \frac{{}^{2}H {}^{6}a_{1}a_{2}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{dk}{k} (k \ e^{H}) (k \ e^{H})$$

$$= \frac{{}^{2}H {}^{6}a_{1}a_{2}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{a_{1}H} (e^{H} \ e^{H})$$

$$= \frac{{}^{2}H {}^{6}a_{1}a_{2}}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{a_{1}H} (e^{H} \ e^{H}) (k \ e^{H})$$

$$= \frac{H {}^{3}}{4 {}^{2}2} (t_{1} \ t_{2}) (t_{2} \ t_{2})$$

Ft gan be shown by induction that hg(t<sub>1</sub>) n)ġ(t hg(t<sub>i</sub>)g(t<sub>j</sub>)i for all even n where the sum is taken over all possible products of two-point functions. Therefore g(t) is a G aussian variable and Eq.(23) leads to the standard Fokker-P lanck equation

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{1}{3H} \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\theta V}{\theta} \frac{(+1)(-1)\theta T}{2} + D \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2}$$
(26)

with  $D = H^{3} = 8^{2} 2^{2}$ .

There is a nite probability for a particle initially in a metastable state at  $= _A$  to stochastically climb up the potential to a nearby maximum at  $= _B$ . Once at the maximum the particle has a probability of order unity to classically roll down to an adjacent minimum  $= _c$ . By integrating the Fokker-P lanck equation

$$\frac{0}{0t} = \frac{0}{0} \frac{1}{3H} \frac{0}{0} + D \frac{0^2}{0^2}$$
(27)

in [12] it was found that the mean time during which a particle initially at = A passes over the barrier at = B of height V is given by

t exp 
$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ B \\ A \end{bmatrix}$$
  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $\frac{V()}{3H()D()}$  (28)

up to some subexponential prefactors. Using the same technique and (26) we see that

7

t exp 
$$\frac{8^{2}}{3}$$
  $^{2}_{A}$   $^{B}_{A}$  d  $\frac{0}{2}$   $\frac{V}{H^{4}}$   $\frac{(+1)(-1)}{2H^{4}}T$  (29)

if the argum ent of the exponential is large. Equivalently the probability per unit volum e is given by

$$P_{A!C} = \exp(B_{HMDBI})$$

$$\exp(\frac{3M_{P}^{4}}{8} \frac{1}{V} \frac{(+1)(-1)}{2V^{2}}T = (30)$$

so the e ect of the DBI action is to modify the tunneling rate from the result of [6]  $\,$ 

$$P_{A \mid C} = \exp\left(\begin{array}{cc} B_{HM} \end{array}\right)$$
$$\exp\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{3M_{P}^{4}}{8} \\ \end{array}\right) \frac{1}{V(A)} \frac{1}{V(B)} \quad :(31)$$

For example in a warped type IIB compacti cation with uxes [7] and D7-branes wrapped on 4-cycles, the potential for a probe D 3-brance can have discrete m in im a in the angular directions of the com pact space [16]. If at a xed radial position at the bottom of the throat the D3-brane is in a false vacuum, it can tunnel in an angular direction. If we have T = (1:0) $10^5 \,\mathrm{M_{P}})^4$ V (  $_{\rm A}$  ) = (3:00  $10^4$  M  $_{\rm P}$  )<sup>4</sup>, V (  $_{\rm B}$  ) = (3:01  $10^4$  M  $_{\rm P}$  )<sup>4</sup>,  $_{\rm A}$  = 1:02, and  $_{\rm B}$  = 1:01, then  $B_{\rm HM}$  = $B_{\rm HMDBI}$ 3:91 so tunneling is exponentially faster than one would expectusing (31). This result could have important applications for in ation when the in atom is described by the DBIaction, or for vacuum selection in the landscape. We leave these in plications to future work.

A fler com pleting this work the author becam e aw are of

- S.R.Coleman, \The Fate Of The False Vacuum .1.Semiclassical Theory, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2929 (1977).
- [2] A.R.Brown, S.Sarangi, B.Shlaer, and A.W ellm an, \A W rinkle in Colem an -De Luccia," Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 161601 (2007); arX iv hep-th/0706.0485.
- [3] R. Bean, S. E. Shandera, S.H. H. Tye, J. Xu, \Comparing Brane In ation to W MAP", JCAP 2007; [arX iv hep-th/0702107].
- [4] S.H. H. Tye, \A Renormalization Group Approach to the Cosmological Constant Problem," [arX iv hepth/arX iv:0708.4374].
- [5] D. Podolsky and K. Enqvist, \Etemal in ation and localization on the landscape," [arX iv:0704.0144v3].
- [6] S.W. Hawking and I.G. Moss, \Supercooled Phase Transitions In The Very Early Universe," Phys. Lett. B 110, 35 (1982).
- [7] S.Kachru, R.Kallosh, A.Linde and S.P.Trivedi, \De Sitter vacua in string theory," Phys. Rev. D 68, 046005 (2003), [arX iv hep-th/0301240].
- [8] A.S. Goncharov and A.D. Linde, \Tunneling in an expanding universe: Euclidean and Hamiltonian approaches," Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 17 369 (1987).
- [9] A. A. Starobinsky, \Stochastic DeSitter (In ationary) Stage in the Early Universe," in Field Theory, Quantum Gravity and Strings, edited by H.J.DeVega and N.

[17] in which a result is derived that agrees with Eq.(2) in the limit that T=V 1. See also [18] for a related discussion.

## A cknow ledgm ents

Iwould like to thank D.Balick, C.C saki, L.M cAllister, and J.Xu for useful and interesting discussions. I am especially grateful to S.H.H.Tye for suggesting the topic and for providing num erous suggestions on drafts. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under G rant PHY -0355005.

Sanchez (Springer New York 1986).

- [10] A.S.Goncharov, A.D.Linde and V.F.Mukhanov, \The G lobal Structure Of The In ationary Universe," Int. J. M od. Phys. A 2, 561 (1987).
- [11] A. D. Linde, Particle Physics and In ationary Cosmology, (Harwood, Switzerland 1990), [arXiv:hep-th/0503203]
- [12] A.A. Starobinskii, \Fundam ental'nye vzaim odeistviya," M oscow State Pedagogical Institute, M oscow, 55 (1984).
- [13] V. Mukhanov, \Physical Foundations of Cosm ology," C am bridge University Press, C am bridge, 2005.
- [14] S.H. H. Tye, \A new view of the cosm ic landscape," [arX iv hep-th/0611148].
- [L5] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, \Scalar Speed Limits and Cosmology: Acceleration from D-cceleration," Phys. Rev. D 70, 103505 (2004); [arXiv:hep-th/0310221].
- [16] D.Baumann, A.Dymarsky, I.R.K lebanov, and L.M cAllister, \Towards an Explicit M odel of D-brane In ation," [arX iv hep-th/0706.0360].
- [17] A. J. Tolley and M. W ym an, \Stochastic In ation Revisited: Non-Slow Roll Statistics and DBI In ation," [arX iv hep-th/0801.1854].
- [18] A. Brown, \Brane tunneling and virtual brane-antibrane pairs"; [arX iv:0709.3532v1].