M ichael P aw ellek

Institut fur Theoretische Physik III, Universitat Erlangen-Numberg, Staudtstr.7, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

E-m ail: michi@theorie3.physik.uni-erlangen.de

A bstract. We present an analytic result for the 1-loop quantum m ass correction in semiclassical quantization for the twisted ⁴-kink on S¹ without explicit knowledge of the uctuation spectrum. For this purpose we use the contour integral representation of the spectral zeta function. By solving the Bethe ansatz equations for the n = 2 Lame equation we obtain an analytic expression for the corresponding spectral discrim inant. We discuss the renorm alization issues of this m odel. An energetically preferred size for the compact space is nally obtained.

PACS num bers: 02.30.Gp, 02.30 Hq, 03.65.Sq

1. Introduction

It has been known for a long time, that on non-simply connected spaces there can exist besides the standard scalar elds topological twisted elds [1, 2]. Recently there is renewed interest in phenom enological and theoretical aspects of this kind of eld theory [3], especially for the twisted version of the ⁴-m odel with kink on S¹ [4, 5]. This model possess some interesting features, e.g. there appears a critical radius R₀ for the compacti ed dimension, so that for R < R₀ a twisted kink solution does not exist. Even more, for R > R₀ the twisted kink is energetically preferred compared to the constant eld con guration.

C om pact spaces are also important in superstring theories, which are consistent only in ten space-time dimensions. If these theories describe the observed physical world, one has to explain why six space dimensions remain compactied and unobservable small. There are proposals that a C asim ir energy with a nontrivial behaviour with respect to the size of the compact dimensions may play a signi cant role in their stabilization [6].

A ssum ing that in a (1+1)-dim. quantum eld theory the radius R and a mass scale 1=m are the only parameters with dimensions of length (c = h = 1) then from dimensional considerations the ground state energy has the general property E (R) = f (r)=R, where the scaling function f (r) only depends on the dimensionless parameter r = Rm. These scaling functions contain inform ation about the conform al eld theory reached for r ! 0, which is a UV - xpoint [4, 7].

To understand the quantum properties of the twisted ⁴-m odel in the sem iclassical regim e, one has to consider the 1-loop corrections to the ground state [10]. For $R < R_0$ the spectrum of the uctuation equation can be found in [4]. For $R > R_0$ the ground state is the twisted kink and one has to quantize the sm all uctuations in a spatial non-constant background, where the uctuation equation is the n = 2 Lam e equation,

which is a quasi-exactly solvable di erential equation [8, 9]. This means that only a nite subset of the (anti-)periodic spectrum is exactly known. Therefore only approximate expressions for the mass of the kink for R R_0 and R ! 1 were obtained so far [4].

In order to nd the m ass correction without explicit know ledge of the eigenvalues, we use the contour integral representation of spectral zeta functions [11, 12], where only an implicit know ledge of the uctuation spectrum, the spectral discriminant, is necessary to determ ine the 1-loop energy of a sm ooth background eld con guration (for an early attempt in this direction see [13, 14]). This method was successfully applied to C asim irrenergy calculations (for a review see [12] or [15]) or to the evaluation of functional determ inants [16, 17].

We construct the spectral discriminant of the n = 2 Lame equation in terms of Jacobi's elliptic functions [18] (the case n = 1 was solved in [13], which is the uctuation equation for the Sine-G ordon soliton on S¹) by solving a corresponding set of transcendental equations. These equations has been known for a long time [18] and are the Bethe ansatz equations for the n = 2 Lame equation [19], because the problem of solving a di erential equation is shifted to the equivalent problem of solving certain transcendental equations. A lthough the case n = 2 was considered in [14] an explicit construction of the spectral discriminant appropriate for num erical evaluations was missing there. Recently the n = 2 Lam e equation also appears in a m odel of Tachyon condensation in String theory [20]

The renorm alized expression for the 1-loop quantum m ass of the twisted kink in the sector $R > R_0$ obtained by this procedure interpolates continuously between the well known result for the ordinary kink of the ⁴-m odel [11, 21] for R! 1 and the ground state energy in the sector $R < R_0$ [4]. The physical energy which is the sum of the classical and renorm alized 1-loop contributions develops a minimum as function of R, which indicates the existence of an energetically preferred radius $R_{min} < R_0$.

2. Twisted scalar eld

In this section we review the classical twisted kink solution in the ⁴-theory [4, 5]. A fler that we discuss the special properties of the uctuation equation of the twisted kink, which is the n = 2 Lam e equation.

2.1. Classical solutions

We consider a self-interacting scalar eld (x) in two space-time dimensions with Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (0 \quad V(); \quad V() = \frac{1}{4} (2^{2} - \frac{m^{2}}{4})^{2}$$
(2.1)

with the spatial direction compactified with radius R. By choosing antiperiodic conditions for the scalar eld (x + R) = (x). the only allowed constant eld con guration is (x) = 0.

In order to nd x-dependent static solutions, one has to integrate the static eld equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} = V^0() = 0$$
 (2.2)

twice. A fter solving an elliptic integral (for details see e.g. [4, 5]) one gets

$$_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{\mathbf{p}-1} \frac{2k^{2}}{k^{2}+1} \operatorname{sn} \frac{m \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{k^{2}+1}}; \mathbf{k} ; \qquad (2.3)$$

where sn(x;k) is a Jacobi elliptic function. The elliptic modulus k depends on the Radius R of the compacti ed dimension:

$$R = \frac{2}{m} \frac{p}{1 + k^2} K(k); \qquad (2.4)$$

where K (k) is the complete elliptic integral of the rst kind. The energy of this classical eld con guration can be expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals of rst and second kind:

$$E(k) = \frac{m^3}{6} \frac{1}{(k^2 + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (k^2 - 1)(5 + 3k^2)K(k) + 8(k^2 + 1)E(k) : (2.5)$$

Remembering the properties of K (k), one sees that for k !~1 the spatial dimension becomes decompactied (R !~1) and the static solution (2.3) reduces to the well known 4 -kink solution

$$_{0}(\mathbf{x})^{k!}!^{1} \frac{m}{p} \tanh(\frac{m}{2}\mathbf{x});$$
 (2.6)

while the energy (2.5) becomes nothing else than the classical mass of the kink

$$E(k)^{k!} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^{b} \overline{2} m^{3}}{3}:$$
 (2.7)

For k ! 0 the amplitude of the kink is forced to become zero. This happens at the critical radius

$$R_0 = -\frac{1}{m}$$
(2.8)

The value of the energy

$$E (k = 0) = \frac{m^{3}}{4} = \frac{m^{4}}{4} R_{0}$$
 (2.9)

matches at the point $R = R_0$ with the energy of the constant eld con guration (x) = 0:

$$E_{=0}(R) = \frac{m^4}{4}R:$$
 (2.10)

2.2.1-Loop uctuations

To investigate the stability of static solutions and for sem iclassical quantization one has to expand the eld in the Lagrangian into a static part and a uctuating part $(x;t) = _{0}(x) + e^{i t} (x)$ [21], where the small uctuations have also to be antiperiodic

$$(x + R) = (x)$$
: (2.11)

For the determ ination of the uctuation spectrum in the M inkow skivacuum without non-trivial boundary conditions, one has to expand about $_0 = \frac{m}{P}$, which are the true vacuum states. The uctuation equation is then given by

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (x) = {}^2 (x)$$
(2.12)

when introducing the momentum -like parameter $2 = 2m^2$. Thus the elementary excitations in this vacuum have a mass 2m.

Every quantization about a non-trivial background $_0(x)$ will induce a potential U (x) in the uctuation equation compared to the M inkow skivacuum :

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U(x) \quad (x) = {}^2 (x):$$
 (2.13)

U sing $_0 = 0$ and $_0$ (x) given by (2.3) for R < R $_0$ and R > R $_0$ respectively, one can nd the corresponding potentials as

$$U(x) = 3m^{2}; R < R_{0};$$

$$U(x) = \frac{6m^{2}k^{2}}{1+k^{2}}sn^{2} \quad \frac{m x}{1+k^{2}}; k \qquad 3m^{2}; R > R_{0}: \qquad (2.14)$$

For $R < R_0$ the energy eigenvalues are trivially found as

$$_{n} = \frac{(2n+1)^{2}}{R^{2}} {}^{2} m^{2}$$
: (2.15)

In order to have a stable conguration, all eigenvalues n have to be positive. It is easily seen that this is the case only for $R = R_0$. It follows that for $R > R_0$ the constant eld conguration is unstable.

For $R > R_0$ the $\mbox{ uctuation equation is the the } n = 2$ Lam e equation in Jacobian form

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + n(n+1)k^2 sn^2(x;k) \quad (x) = h(x); \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$h = \frac{2}{m^2} + 3 \quad (1 + k^2) \tag{2.17}$$

and $x = m x^{\frac{p}{1+k^2}}$. The term $n(n + 1)k^2 sn^2(x)$ interpreted as a potential in a Schroedinger equation is called nite-gap potential since the spectrum has n forbidden bands [22]. The rst ve eigenvalues are known (with $= =m^2$) [9]:

$$_{1;5} = 1 \quad 2 \frac{\frac{p}{1 - k^2 (1 - k^2)}}{k^2 + 1}; \quad _2 = 0; \quad _3 = \frac{3k^2}{1 + k^2}; \quad _4 = \frac{3}{1 + k^2}:$$
(2.18)

Besides the lowest eigenvalue, these are the endpoints of the forbidden bands. The corresponding eigenfunctions are Lam e polynomials (e.g. $_2(x) = cn(x)dn(x)$). Out of this we eigenfunctions only $_2$ and $_3$ have the required anti-periodicity. The eigenfunctions $_n(x)$ for n > 5 are called transcendental Lam e functions and can be written as in nite power series in Jacobi elliptic functions. The corresponding eigenvalues $_n$ as function of the elliptic modulus are not exactly known [23, 9].

3. Spectral zeta functions

In order to x the notation we give in this section a short sum m ary of zeta function regularization and the integral representation of spectral zeta functions [12, 16, 17]. For the eigenvalue problem

$$D(x;) = (x;)$$
 (3.1)

with a second order di erential operator $D = Q_x^2 + V(x)$ and properly chosen boundary conditions, the set of eigenvalues f $_{i}g_{i2N}$ is discrete and bounded from below. If (3.1) is a uctuation equation obtained by a semiclassical expansion the 1-loop energy contribution to the classical solution is given by

$$E_{1 \ loop} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{i}}{n} p_{n} \frac{p_{i}}{n}; \qquad (3.2)$$

In quantum eld theories this expression is divergent and has to be regularized. In zeta function regularization one works with the spectral zeta function form ally de ned by

with $Re(s) > s_0$, where s_0 depends e.g. on the numbers of dimensions. The parameter

with dimension of mass is introduced in order that the energy has the correct dimension for all values of s. One can show [24, 25], that $_{\rm D}$ (s) has a well-de ned analytic continuation as merom orphic function over the whole complex plane s 2 C. The 1-bop contribution to the energy of a classical eld con guration in zeta function regularization is then de ned as the value of the analytic continuation of $_{\rm D}$ (s) at s = $\frac{1}{2}$:

$$E_{1 \text{ loop}} = \frac{1}{2} D (1=2):$$
 (3.4)

For renorm alization we will apply the large m ass subtraction scheme, which is widely used in Casim ir energy calculations [15]. For a physical eld with m ass m one expects that all quantum uctuations will be suppressed in the limit of large m ass m, because for a eld with in nite m ass the quantum uctuations should vanish. So one expects that for m ! 1 there are no 1-loop corrections at all and a good renorm alization condition is [26, 15]

$$E_{ren}$$
 ! 0; for m ! 1 : (3.5)

W ith this prescription at hand one can identify and subtract the divergent (when $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is a pole of _D (s)) contributions E_{div} (s) from $E_{1 \text{ loop}}$ (s) and the renorm alized energy is then given by

$$E_{ren} = \lim_{s! \frac{1}{2}} E_{1 loop}(s) E_{div}(s)]:$$
 (3.6)

This can be achieved by introducing counterterms in the Lagrangian, which have to cancel the E_{div} parts [15].

In principle this can be applied to our problem for $R < R_0$ since we know the spectrum in this case (see (2.15)). But later we will see that after the analytical continuation the m ! 1 limit is not directly accessible.

As discussed in the last section the complete set of eigenvalues for the Lame equation under (anti-)periodic boundary conditions is unknown, so representation (3.3) of the spectral zeta function is of no use for our problem in the case $R > R_0$. We need a representation of the zeta function, where only an implicit know ledge of the eigenvalues is necessary (see [12, 15] or [13]). A ssum e we have a function (), whose zeros of n-th order are at the positions $_i > 0$ of the n-fold degenerate eigenvalues of the spectral problem under consideration:

$$() = 0$$
, eigenvalue of D: (3.7)

Such a function is called the spectral discrim inant. Then one can write the spectral zeta function as a contour integral_

$$_{D}$$
 (s) = $\frac{1}{2 \text{ i}}^{1+2s} \text{ d}^{s} \text{R}$ (); (3.8)

with resolvent R () = $\frac{d}{d}$ ln (). The integrand has a branch cut along the negative real axis and poles at the positions of the zeros of (). The contour runs counterclockwise from +1 + i" to the smallest eigenvalue, crosses the realaxis between zero and the smallest eigenvalue and returns to +1 i". Using the residue theorem, one obtains the original de nition of the zeta function (3.3).

A slight modi cation is needed, when the spectrum contains a zero mode $_0 = 0$, which means that () and R() have a zero and pole at = 0, respectively, the starting point of the branch cut. In this case one rede nes

()!
$$()$$
; R()! R() $\frac{1}{2}$ (3.9)

in previous equations.

Depending on the behaviour of R () at in nity, for suitable values of s the contour can now be deformed to lie just above and below the branch cut. One gets [13]

$$_{D}(s) = \frac{\sin(s)}{s} + \frac{1}{2s} d^{-s} R(s) = \frac{\sin(s)}{s} d^{-s} R(s) + \frac{1}{2s} d^{-s} R($$

In terms of the momentum variable 2 this expression is rewritten as

$$p_{\rm D}(s) = \frac{\sin(s)}{p_{\rm 2m}} \frac{1+2s}{p_{\rm 2m}} d(s^2 - 2m^2) {}^{\rm s}R(s); \qquad (3.11)$$

w ith

$$R() = 2 R() j_{2m^{2}}$$
 (3.12)

In deriving (3.10) we have changed ! , which corresponds to ! i. So the correct substitution of the integration variable in (3.10) is $^2 = + 2m^2$ to get (3.11).

4. Construction of the spectral discrim inant

In this section we construct the analytic expression for the spectral discriminant (h) for the standard n = 2 Jacobi form of the Lam e equation, which is given by

$$\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + 6k^2 sn^2 (x;k)f(x) = hf(x):$$
(4.1)

A check with (2.13) and (2.16) shows, that h is related to 2 by (see (2.17))

h =
$$\frac{2}{m^2}$$
 + 3 (1 + k²): (4.2)

A fler we have found the discrim inant for (4.1) we only have to substitute (4.2) into the found expression to get the discrim inant we are physically interested in.

For second order di erential operators $d_x^2 + V(x)$ with periodic potential V (x + R) = V(x) the discriminant (h) is an entire function of h and has the general form [13, 14, 22, 27, 28]

$$(h) = 2\cos(Rp(h)) = 2;$$
 (4.3)

where the negative and positive signs correspond to periodic and antiperiodic solutions, respectively and p(h) is the quasi-m om entum dened by

$$f_h(x + R) = e^{-ip(n)} f_h(x)$$
: (4.4)

The resolvent for the antiperiodic spectrum is then given by

. . .

$$R(h) = \tan \frac{R}{2}p(h) p^{0}(h)$$
: (4.5)

The general solution for (4.1) is given by [18]

$$f(x) = \frac{H(x + 1)H(x + 2)}{(x)^{2}} e^{x(Z(1)+Z(2))};$$
(4.6)

(H (x); (x) and Z (x) are the Jacobi eta, theta and zeta function respectively) if the additional parameters $_1$; $_2$ full the following two transcendental equations:

$$sn(_{1})cn(_{1})dn(_{1}) + sn(_{2})cn(_{2})dn(_{2}) = 0;$$

(cn(_{1})ds(_{1}) + cn(_{2})ds(_{2}))² n²(_{1}) n²(_{2}) = h: (4.7)

These equations are now adays [19] called Bethe ansatz equations of the n = 2 Lam e potential. The periodic properties of Jacobi's eta, theta and zeta functions [18, 29] in ply

$$f(x + 2K) = f(x)e^{2iKp(1;2)}$$
 (4.8)

with the quasim on entum

$$p(_{1};_{2}) = iZ(_{1}) + iZ(_{2}):$$
(4.9)

In order to nd the dependence of the quasimom entum in terms of the eigenvalue parameter hwe solve the Bethe ansatz equations (4.7). These equations can be written in terms of sn-functions only:

$$2k^{2}sn^{4} \ _{1} \ 2(1+k^{2})(sn^{2} \ _{1} \ sn^{2} \ _{2}) \ k^{2}sn^{2} \ _{1}sn^{2} \ _{2} \ k^{2}sn^{4} \ _{2} \ hsn^{2} \ _{2} + 2 = 0;$$

$$2k^{2}sn^{4} \ _{2} + 2(1+k^{2})(sn^{2} \ _{1} \ sn^{2} \ _{2}) \ k^{2}sn^{2} \ _{1}sn^{2} \ _{2} \ k^{2}sn^{4} \ _{1} \ hsn^{2} \ _{1} + 2 = 0;$$

The solutions of this equations are found to be

$$\operatorname{sn}^{2}_{1} = \frac{4(1+k^{2})}{6k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} \operatorname{r} \frac{1}{g_{2}(k)} + \frac{1}{3}(h-2(1+k^{2}))^{2}; \quad (4.10)$$

$$\operatorname{sn}^{2}_{2} = \frac{4(1+k^{2})}{6k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}(h - 2(1+k^{2}))^{2}; \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$g_2(k) = \frac{4}{3}(1 \quad k^2(1 \quad k^2)):$$
 (4.12)

Next we elim inate the dependence of the quasi-m on entum on $_1$; $_2$ in favour of h $_2$ 0 s ______1 3

$$p(h) = iZ \,^{4} sn^{-1} \,^{0} \frac{4(1+k^{2})}{6k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} g_{2}(k) \frac{1}{3}(h - 2(1+k^{2}))^{2}A \,^{5} + 2 \,^{0} s \frac{1}{3}(h - 2(1+k^{2}))^{2}A \,^{5} + iZ \,^{4} sn^{-1} \,^{0} \frac{4(1+k^{2})}{6k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} \frac{r}{g_{2}(k)} \frac{1}{3}(h - 2(1+k^{2}))^{2}A \,^{5} (4.13)$$

and the spectral discrim inant for the antiperiodic eigenfunctions is given by

$$(h) = 2\cos(2K (k)p(h)) + 2 = 4\cos^2(K (k)p(h)):$$
(4.14)

Because we are interested only in the zero points of this function, the prefactor of 4 is not essential in the following and can be om itted. The resolvent R (h) can then be written as

$$R(h) = K(k) \tan[K(k)p(h)]p^{0}(h); \qquad (4.15)$$

where the rst derivative of the quasi-m om entum is given by

$$p^{0}(h) = \frac{i}{2} \frac{(h_{1})(h_{2})}{(h_{1} + h_{1})(h_{2})(h_{2} + h_{3})(h_{1} + h_{4})(h_{1} + h_{5})}$$
(4.16)

with

$$a_{1;2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} + \frac{5}{2}k^2 + 1 \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{2}{3}g_2(k) + \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \quad \frac{(2-k^2)}{3} \quad \frac{2}{3}(4.17)$$

$$b_2 = 1 + k^2; \quad b_3 = 1 + 4k^2; \quad b_4 = 4 + k^2;$$

S

$$h_{5,1} = 2(1+k^2) \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad k^2(1-k^2)$$
(4.18)

where h_i are the eigenvalues at the endpoints of the forbidden bands and $_i$ are the rst two local extrem a of (h), which lie inside the two forbidden bands.

The quasi momentum p(h) and its derivative $p^{0}(h)$ are double-valued functions with branch points $h_{i}; i = 1; ::; 5$ and 1 and branch cuts along $(1;h_{1};h_{2};h_{3}];h_{4};h_{5}]$. For values of h on the cuts one has p(h + i'') = p(h i'') for "! 0 and therefore the functions (h) and R (h) are single valued and have no cuts in the complex plane.

A lthough for the resolvent (4.15) all ve known eigenvalues are needed, it has only poles at points, which are the eigenvalues of the corresponding anti-periodic eigenfunction, whose sequence starts with h_2 and h_3 .

5. The 1-loop contributions

In this section we derive the renorm alized 1-loop contributions to the ground state of the twisted ⁴-theory. First we discuss the regularization of the energy in the sector $R < R_0$ where only = 0 is permitted and argue that the large mass renorm alization condition (3.5) cannot be applied as usual. Then we consider the twisted kink sector $R > R_0$ and nd the renorm alized 1-loop contribution to its mass by using (3.5). A flerwards we go back to the sector $R < R_0$ and use the condition that the renorm alized energies in both sectors have to match for $R = R_0$.

5.1. Regularization in the sector $R < R_0$

W e will nd two equivalent expressions for the regularized ground state energy in this sector. The rst one is obtained by analytical continuation by a binom ial expansion of the original expression for the zeta function [4, 30]. The second one is the renorm alized integral representation of the 1-loop energy for $R < R_0$ and is a new result of this work. In [4] the case R ! 0 was discussed.

We start with the uctuation spectrum (2.15) for $R < R_0$ which is given by (2.15). The corresponding spectral zeta function

converges for $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$. As discussed in section 3, we need for the 1-loop energy the value of the the zeta function at $s = -\frac{1}{2}$, which lies outside the convergence region. The analytical continuation by a Mellin transformation is not possible because of the negative sign in front of m^2 . What we can do is a continuation by a binomial expansion. Following the steps given in Appendix A one nds (see also [30] for a discussion of the series with $n = (n + c)^2 + m^2$)

$$D_{D}(s) = 2 \qquad \frac{R}{2} \qquad \frac{2^{S} X^{k}}{k!} \qquad \frac{(s+k)}{k!} \qquad \frac{m R}{2} \qquad \frac{2^{K}}{2} \qquad (2^{2s+2k} \qquad 1) \qquad (2s+2k); \qquad (5.2)$$

where (x) is the Riem ann zeta function. This is the analytical continuation of $_{\rm D}$ (s) to the region Re(s) < $\frac{1}{2}$. One sees in mediately from the pole of the Riem ann zeta function at x = 1, that $_{\rm D}$ (s) has poles at s = $\frac{1}{2}$ k, k 2 N₀. We are particularly interested in the singularity at s = $\frac{1}{2}$. With s = $\frac{1}{2}$ + ", we can make a Laurent expansion around " = 0 for $_{\rm D}$ (s) to get the divergence explicit. The result reads:

$$\sum_{D} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2}{R} \left(1\right) + \frac{m^{2}R}{2} \frac{1}{2s+1} + 1 \qquad \ln \frac{2R}{2} + 1 + \frac{4}{R} \frac{\chi^{2}}{n=2} \frac{(n-\frac{1}{2})}{n! (-\frac{1}{2})} \frac{mR}{2} \frac{2n}{2} (2^{2n-1} - 1) (2n-1);$$
 (5.3)

where is the Euler constant.

At this point one wants to use the renorm alization prescription (3.5). If we naively do this, we have to discard the term with squared brackets. But this is not correct. We cannot apply the renorm alization prescription here, because this expression is only valid for m R < . A fler renorm alization in the sector R > R₀ we will revisit expression (5.3).

W e turn to the integral representation of $_D$ (s), described in section 3. For $R < R_0$ the complete set of eigenfunctions $_n$ is known and thus the corresponding spectral discriminant ():

$$n = \frac{(2n+1)}{R} = m^2$$
, () = $\cos^2 \frac{R}{2} = m^2$; (5.4)

The integral representation is given by (3.10) with

R () =
$$\frac{R}{2} \frac{\tanh \frac{R}{2} \frac{p}{m^2}}{m^2}$$
 (5.5)

In this expression we have already deform ed the integration contour from the poles on the positive real axis to the branch cut along the negative real axis. This is valid for $\frac{1}{2} < \text{Re}(s) < 1$ and m R < . The restriction m R < is necessary since for xed radius R the rst eigenvalues (5.4) become negative when m R become larger than

and the corresponding poles of R () $m \; ove \; into \; the branch \; cut which \; m$ akes the integral representation invalid.

For better comparison with other results in the literature we nally switch to the momentum integration variable : π

$$_{D} (s) = \frac{1+2s}{2s} \frac{\sin(s)}{p} \frac{2}{2m} d (2 2m^{2}) ^{s}R();$$
 (5.6)

with

R () =
$$\frac{R \tanh \frac{R}{2} p - 2 - 3m^2}{p - 2 - 3m^2}$$
; (5.7)

The limitation $\frac{1}{2} < \text{Re}(s)$ follows from the divergent behaviour of the integral for ! 1. The asymptotic expansion of R () for ! 1 is found to be given by

R()! R
$$\frac{3m^2R}{2^2}$$
 + O(⁴): (5.8)

Inserting these rst two terms of the asymptotic expansion separately into (5.6) one nds two terms where simple poles are hidden for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ as can be seen after a Laurent expansion for $s = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ around $\mathbf{u} = 0$:

$$\lim_{s! \to \frac{1}{2}} E_{div}^{(1)}(s) = R \frac{\sin(s)}{2} + 2s + \frac{1}{2} d (2 - 2m^2)^{-s} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{m^2 R}{4} \frac{2}{2s+1} + 2s + \frac{p - \frac{1}{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p - \frac{1}{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3m^2 R}{4} - \frac{1}{2s+1} + \frac{1}{s!} + \frac{1}{2} + 1 - \ln \frac{p - \frac{1}{2}}{m} + \frac{1}{2} +$$

Again, we have made the divergences explicit, but cannot apply immediately the renormalization condition (3.5), since these results were derived from an expression valid form R < ... W e will revisit (5.6) after renormalization in the sector $R > R_0$.

5.2. Regularization and renorm alization in the sector R > R $_{0}$

Now we come to the interesting case $R > R_0$. In this sector we have to use the integral representation of the spectral zeta function, because only we eigenvalues of a discrete in nity set are exactly known. The relation between the physical eigenvalues $\frac{2}{1}$ of section 2 and the m athem atical eigenvalues h_i of section 4 is

$$^{2} = m^{2} \qquad 3 + \frac{h}{1 + k^{2}}$$
 : (5.10)

W ith the results of our work in section 4 (see (4.13) and (4.16)) we can immediately write down the integral representation for $\frac{1}{2} < \text{Re}(s) < 1$ of our spectral zeta function as

$$p_{\rm D}(s) = \frac{1+2s}{2s} \frac{\sin(s)}{p_{\frac{2}{2m}}} d(s^2 - 2m^2) + \frac{2}{2s^2} d(s^2 - 2m^2) + \frac{2}{2s^2} d(s^2 - 2m^2) d(s^2 - 2m^$$

with

$$R() = R \tanh \frac{R}{2}p() \frac{(2+1)(2+2)}{(2+2)(2+2)(2+2)(2+2)(2+2)(2+2)(2+2)}: (5.12)$$

The quasimomentum
$$p()$$
 is given by (we have set $p() = ip()$)

$$8 2 2s - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{m^{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{m^{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2k^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{m^{2}}\right)^{2} (1 + k^{2})^{2} (1 + k^{2})^{$$

as is obtained from (4.13) by the shift (rem embering the change ! i after moving the contour)

$$= \frac{2}{m^2} + 3 (1 + k^2):$$
 (5.14)

The ve known eigenvalues are given by

$${}^{2}_{1} = 2m^{2}; \quad {}^{2}_{2} = \frac{k^{2}}{1+k^{2}}m^{2}; \quad {}^{2}_{3} = \frac{1-2k^{2}}{1+k^{2}}m^{2};$$

$${}^{2}_{4;5} = 1 \quad \frac{2}{1+k^{2}}p \frac{p}{1-k^{2}(1-k^{2})}m^{2}: \quad (5.15)$$

The additional term in the integrand of (5.11) is necessary in order to eliminate the pole at = 0 before deforming the contour in the dening expression (3.8). The rst two local extrem alpoints of the spectral discriminant are $\begin{array}{c}
1\\
1
\end{array}$

$$_{1,2} = \frac{m^2}{2(1+k^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3\frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \\ K(k) \end{pmatrix} \qquad (4+k^2) \qquad 3 & \frac{2}{3}g_2(k) + \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} & \frac{2k^2}{3} & \frac{2}{3}A \\ \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.16)

The coe cients in the asymptotic expansion of R () for $\ ! \ 1$ can be written in terms of polynomials in $\ _{i}^{2}$ and $\ _{i}$:

R()!
$$r_0 + \frac{r_1}{2} + O(4);$$
 ! 1 (5.17)

with

$$r_{0} = R = \frac{2}{\#}^{p} \frac{1}{1 + k^{2}K} (k);$$

$$r_{1} = R_{1} + 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{X^{5}} \sum_{n=1}^{2} p \frac{3m}{k^{2} + 1} (k^{2} - 1)K (k) + 2E (k); (5.18)$$

where we have used (5.15) and (5.16). Inserting this asymptotic form back into (5.11) and making a Laurent expansion for $s = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ around = 0 we nd

$$\lim_{s! \frac{1}{2}} E_{div}^{(1)}(s) = \frac{r_0 m^2}{4} \frac{2}{2s+1} + 2 \ln \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{m};$$

$$\lim_{s! \frac{1}{2}} E_{div}^{(2)}(s) = \frac{r_1}{2} \frac{1}{2s+1} + 1 \ln \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{m}; \quad (5.19)$$

Applying the large mass subtraction condition (3.5), we have to discard these terms completely:

$$E_{ren} = \lim_{s! \frac{1}{2}} E_{1 \ loop}(s) \quad E_{div}^{(1)}(s) \quad E_{div}^{(2)}(s)^{1} :$$
 (5.20)

The zero mode cancelling term present in (5.11) becomes zero in the used regularization for s! $\frac{1}{2}$ and needs no further subtraction. We get as nalresult for the 1-loop contribution to the energy of the twisted kink in the sector $R > R_0$

$$E_{ren}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_{\frac{1}{2m}}}^{2} d^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{1}{2m^2} R(1) \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{r_1}{2}; \quad (5.21)$$

where R () and r_0 , r_1 are given by (5.12) and (5.18). Equation (5.21) is them ain result of this work. It gives the renorm alized 1-loop energy of the twisted kink depending in plicit on the Radius R by the elliptic modulus k. Before we discuss the num erical evaluation of the remaining integral we will carry out the renorm alization in the sector $R < R_0$.

5.3. Renorm alization in the sector R < R $_0$

W e have seen in section 5.1 that the large m ass renorm alization condition (3.5) cannot applied to (5.6) for $R < R_0$, but now we have a renorm alized result for the energy for $R > R_0$ and a natural renorm alization condition for $R < R_0$ is that the renorm alized energy for $R < R_0$ has to m atch at $R = R_0$ the renorm alized energy for $R > R_0$:

$$E_{\operatorname{ren};R < R_0}(R) ! E_{\operatorname{ren};R > R_0}(R_0) \text{ for } R ! R_0 :$$
(5.22)

W ith the results of Appendix B the quasi-m omentum for $R > R_0$ reduces to

$$p()! \frac{p}{2} \frac{3m^2}{3m^2}$$
 (5.23)

for $k \ ! \ 0$ which means R $\ ! \ R_0$. The eigenvalues $\ _i^2$ and the extrem algoints $\ _i$ in this lim it are

$${}^{2}_{1; 2; 2}; {}^{2}_{2}! 2m^{2}; {}^{2}_{3; 4; 1}! m^{2}; {}^{2}_{5}! 3m^{2}; k! 0: (5.24)$$

W ith these results we nd for (5.12) in this lim it

R()!
$$\frac{R_0 \tanh \frac{R_0}{2} \frac{P}{2} \frac{3m^2}{3m^2}}{3m^2}$$
; k! 0: (5.25)

By noting that in the lim it $k \ ! \ 0$ the asymptotic coe cients (5.18) become

$$r_0! = r_0; r_1! = \frac{3m}{2} = \frac{3m^2 R_0}{2};$$
 (5.26)

it is seen that the subtraction terms (5.19) coincide with the divergent terms (5.9) at $R = R_0$. Using now the improved renorm alization condition for $R < R_0$ we see that we have to subtract (5.9) completely from (5.6) and get

$$E_{ren}(R) = \frac{R}{2} \frac{{}^{Z_{1}}}{{}^{p}}_{\frac{7}{2m}} d \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{3m^{2}}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{1}{2m^{2}$$

which matches exactly the expression (5.21) when setting k = 0 and $R = R_0$.

Since the subtraction terms for $R < R_0$ are now identied as (see (5.9))

$$E_{div}^{(1)} + E_{div}^{(2)} = \frac{m^2 R}{4} - \frac{1}{2s+1} + 2 \ln \frac{p \frac{1}{2}}{m} : (5.28)$$

they have to be subtracted also from the expression of the analytically continued zeta function (5.3) obtained by binom ial expansion. We get

$$E_{ren}(R) = \frac{1}{R}(1) \frac{m^{2}R}{4} 1 + m^{2} \frac{p_{2m}R}{2} + \frac{2}{R} \frac{x^{4}}{n + 2} \frac{(n - \frac{1}{2})}{n!(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{m^{2}R}{2} (2^{2n-1} - 1) (2n-1); \qquad (5.29)$$

E quation (5.27) and (5.29) are representations of the same function E_{ren} (R) valid for mR < . As a byproduct we have therefore obtained the following interesting identity

$$\sum_{p=2}^{2} dx^{p} \frac{1}{x^{2} - 2} \frac{x \tanh \frac{r}{2} \frac{r^{p}}{x^{2} - 3}}{p \frac{r}{x^{2} - 3}} = 1 \frac{3}{2x^{2}} = \frac{2^{2}}{r^{2}} (1) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r^{2}} + \ln \frac{p \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{r^{2}}}{r^{2}} + \frac{2^{p} - x^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{(n \frac{1}{2})}{n!} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{r^{2}} (2^{2n} - 1) (2n - 1); (5.30)$$

where we have set $= m \times and r = Rm$.

5.4. The lim it R ! 1

For k ! 1 the twisted kink reduces to the standard ⁴-kink and (5.21) has to reproduce the standard mass correction formulas of the literature. In this lim it the ve eigenvalues $_{i}$ and extrem alpoints $_{i}$ of the spectral discriminant are

$${}^{2}_{1};{}^{2}_{5};{}_{2}!$$
 $2m^{2};$ ${}^{2}_{2};{}^{2}_{3};{}_{1}!$ $\frac{m^{2}}{2};$ ${}^{2}_{4}!$ 0; k! 1: (5.31)

For R ! 1 our result (5.21) has to m atch with the kink m ass calculated via the phase shift in [11]:

$$E_{ren} = \frac{X^2}{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{2m} d \frac{p}{2m} \frac{1}{2m} \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{2m} \frac{1}{2m}$$

with the derivative of the phase shift given by

$$\frac{d}{d} () = \frac{d}{d} \sum_{n=1}^{X^2} \ln \frac{+ n}{n} = 2 \frac{(n_1 + n_2)(2 - n_1 - 2)}{(2 - n_1^2)(2 - n_1 - 2)}$$
(5.33)

with

$$\sim_1 = \frac{m}{p_2}; \sim_2 = \frac{p}{2m}:$$
 (5.34)

By comparison of (5.21) in the lim it k ! 1 with (5.32) we nd the identity

$$\lim_{k! \to 1} R() + \frac{2}{m} \frac{p}{1 + k^2} K(k) = \frac{3^{k} \overline{2m} (2 m^2)}{(2 m^2) (2 2m^2)}; \quad (5.35)$$

which we have con med num erically.

5.5. Comment on Renormalization schemes

The physical results have to be independent of the renorm alization scheme. In the perturbative approach to renorm alizable quantum eld theories the renorm alization of the n-point functions is obtained by counter terms (see [32] for a discussion of this point in context of the Casim ir e ect). In ⁴ theory in (1+1) dimensions only the 2-point function requires in nite renorm alization at one loop by the condition of vanishing tadpole Feynm an graphs [21]:

$$m^{2} = \frac{3}{4} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{p}{k^{2} + 2m^{2}} \frac{dk}{k^{2} + 2m^{2}};$$
 (5.36)

In zeta function regularization this becomes

$$m^{2}(s) = \frac{1+2s}{4} \frac{3}{4} \int_{1}^{2} dk (k^{2} + 2m^{2})^{s};$$
 (5.37)

and after analytic continuation on gets for $s = \frac{1}{2} + "$ and "! 0:

$$m^2 = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2"} + \ln \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{m}$$
 (5.38)

The renorm alization of the kink mass in the case of the in nite line consists of [21]

$$E = E_{cl} + E_{1 \text{ loop}} \quad E_{vac} \quad E_{c:t}; \tag{5.39}$$



Figure 1. The renorm alized 1-loop energy E_{ren} (R) with m = 1; = 0:1

where E_{vac} is the divergent vacuum energy and $E_{c:t}$ is given by (after regularization)

$$E_{c:t:} = \frac{p \overline{2}m}{m} m^2 = \frac{3m}{p \overline{2}} \frac{1}{2"} + \ln \frac{p \overline{2}}{m} :$$
 (5.40)

In the previous section we used the large mass renorm alization which results in

$$E = E_{cl} + E_{1 \text{ loop}} \quad E_{div}^{(1)} \quad E_{div}^{(2)}$$
(5.41)

By (5.19) in the lim it k ! 1 one nds

$$E_{c:t} = E_{div}^{(2)} \frac{3m}{p-2}; \qquad E_v = E_{div}^{(1)} + \frac{3m}{p-2}$$
 (5.42)

W ith this identi cation the large mass renorm alization scheme used in this work is consistent with the standard n-point function renorm alization prescription (see also [33]).

6. D iscussion of num erical evaluations and physical im plications

In the following we present some numerical evaluations of our formulas derived in the last section. First we have to state the region of validity of our sem iclassical quantization. In units where h = 1, the dimensionless expansion parameter is $=m^2$ [21]. Our results are valid as long as $=m^2$ 1, we choose therefore = 0:1 and plotted the energy in units of m. A though only

$$E(R) = E_{cl}(R) + E_{ren}(R)$$
 (6.1)

is the physical measurable quantity we have plotted $E_{ren}(R)$ for its own. Figure 1 shows the 1-loop contribution $E_{ren}(R)$ given by (5.27) for $R < R_0$ and (5.21) for $R > R_0$. For small R this contribution behaves like the Casim ir energy of a free



Figure 2. The physical energy E (R) with m = 1; = 0:1

m assless scalar eld with anti-periodic boundary conditions as can also be seen from (5.29):

$$E_{ren}(R)! = \frac{1}{R}(1); R! 0:$$
 (6.2)

Before R approaches the critical radius R_0 the function develops a turning point and goes to negative energy values. At the critical radius R_0 a cusp appears.

For R $!~1~{\rm E}_{\rm ren}$ (R) approaches the value of the 1-loop energy of the standard kink [11, 21]:

$$E_{ren} ! \frac{1}{2^{2} \overline{6}} \frac{3}{\overline{2}} m = 0.4711m; k! 1:$$
 (6.3)

Figure 2 shows the physical energy (6.1) of the $= 0 \mod$ guration in the sector R < R₀ and the twisted kink for R > R₀. Since R₀ 1=m one expects additional higher loop uctuations which travel around the compact dimension and m ay signi cantly contribute and sm ooth out the cusp in an all loop result. Further we see that there exist an energetically preferred radius R_{m in} < R₀. Using the approximation (6.2) the stabilization radius is given by

$$R_{m in} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m^2} (= 0.31 \text{ for } m = 1; = 0.1):$$
 (6.4)

The stabilization radius exist since when we are going to smaller radius R the classical part of (6.1) becomes less and less in portant compared to the growing 1-loop C asim ir-like contribution (5.27).

Finally, the sector $R > R_0$ is dominated by the classical energy of the twisted kink. As one can see, the 1-loop corrections do not change the qualitative behaviour of the classical contribution.

One may worry about the balancing of classical and 1-loop contributions to the energy which results the minimum. The energy is form all an expansion in the

parameter = $\frac{1}{m^2}$

$$E(R) = \frac{1}{1}(R) + \binom{0}{0}(R) + \binom{X}{n}(R):$$
(6.5)

The balancing of the terms of order 1 and 0 is only valid if the higher loop contributions can be neglected. To see more clearly that this is indeed the case for smallenough radius R we expand the eld and rescale the coordinates x by

$$(x) = \frac{1}{p} = 0 + \tilde{}; \quad x = Rx :$$
 (6.6)

The uctuation action is then be written as (using $_0 = 0$ for $R < R_0$)

$$S = \int_{0}^{2} d^{2}x \frac{1}{2} e^{-\alpha} e^{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} m^{2-\alpha} \frac{1}{4} e^{-\alpha}$$
(6.7)

with the dim ensionless param eters

$$= R^{2}$$
; $m = mR$; $= \frac{1}{m^{2}} = \frac{1}{m^{2}}$ (6.8)

in contrast to the case of ⁴ on the in nite line, where only one dimensionless parameter exists. Now one can see that making the radius of the compactied dimension small (R ! 0) by holding and m xed is equivalent to decreasing the elective dimensionless coupling constant for the theory on the unit circle. In the lim it R ! 0 one ends up with a free G aussian action and the term s of O () can be neglected. So for some small $\hat{R} < R_{p}$ the only relevant term s are the classical and 1-bop contribution. Since $R_{m in}m$ (see (6.4)), by appropriate choose of m one can achieve $R_{m in} < \tilde{R}$ and the minimum is valid.

O fcourse a quantitative estimate of the higher loop e ects lies beyond the scope of this paper. Nevertheless a quantitative comparison of sem iclassical and exact results is in principle possible in the case of the sine-G ordon soliton on S^1 which is an integrable model [35]. The corresponding uctuation equation is the much simpler n = 1 Lam e equation [36]. This will be discussed elsewhere [37].

W hen $=m^2 > 0.88$ the energy of the twisted kink E (k) becomes negative for certain values of k (or R). Fortunately this happens outside of the region of validity $=m^2$ 1. A lthough negative energies are no problem as long as one talks about C asim ir energies, one runs into troubles if one wants to interpret E (k) as the mass of the twisted kink. It is known from the sine-G ordon model that for values of the coupling constant where the mass of the quantum soliton is formally negative the theory has no stable ground state [38].

7.Conclusion

We have constructed an integral representation of the 1-loop energy contribution of the twisted kink of ⁴-theory in sem iclassical quantization appropriate for num erical evaluations. We used special nite-gap properties of the uctuation equation, which is the n = 2 Lam e equation, to obtain an analytic expression for the spectral discrim inant

() and the related quasi-m om entum p(). A lthough the Lam e equation is a classic subject in m athem atical physics, an explicit expression for the quasi-m om entum in the case n = 2 as function of the eigenvalue parameter , was still m issing [14].

O ur renorm alized expressions of the 1-bop energy re ne some previously obtained results in [13, 14] and completes the discussion in [4] for twisted ⁴-theory in the

 $\sec tor\,R>R_0$. We have shown that the large mass renorm alization condition cannot be applied for $R< R_0$ because the analytic continuations by binom ial expansion and integral representation are only valid for mR<.

Therefore we have xed the energy renormalization in this sector after renormalization for $R > R_0$ by the condition that the renormalized energies have to be continuous at $R = R_0$. In the limit R ! 1 we have obtained the well known 1-loop energy of the standard ⁴-kink. A further observation we made is, that for $R < R_0$ a dynamical preferred radius $R_{m in}$ exist. The existence of a minimum in the energy is a result of the interplay between the classical and 1-loop energy contributions.

From the view point of identifying a mechanism for stabilizing extra dimensions one has to include gravity in our considerations and exam ine whether minima in the energy density appear [6]. A loo one can impose on (4.6) other boundary conditions as (anti-)periodic, e.g. orbifold compacti cation $S^{1}=Z_{2}$ and analyse the 1-loop e ects to the corresponding orbifold kinks [41, 42, 43, 44]. The uctuation equation remains the sam e, only the allowed eigenvalues will change by the di erent boundary conditions.

In order to nd the quasimom entum and the spectral discriminant for n > 2Lame equations, one has to solve a set of n Bethe ansatz equations, which become increasingly complicated for larger n [18]. At least the rst derivatives of the quasimom entum up to n = 5 are known explicitly [39]. These Lame equations can be understood as uctuation equations of kink solutions of some quantum eld theory on S^1 where the interaction V () is only known implicitly. In the limit R ! 1 then > 2 Lame equations will then lead to the re ectionsless potentials considered in [40, 34].

Appendix A. Analytical continuation by B inom ial expansion

Consider the spectral zeta function (5.1)

$$_{D}(s) = 2^{1+2s} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(2n+1)}{R} x^{\frac{2}{2}} m^{\frac{\pi}{2}} s$$
 (A.1)

For $\frac{m R}{2}$ < 1 we can expand (A.1) in binom ials:

$$_{D} (s) = 2 \qquad \frac{R}{2} \qquad \frac{2^{s} X^{k} X^{k}}{n = 0 k = 0} \frac{(1 \ s)}{k! \ (1 \ s \ k)} (1)^{k} \qquad \frac{R m}{2} \qquad \frac{2^{k}}{(2n + 1)^{2s \ 2k}} (2n + 1)^{2s \ 2k} (A \ 2)$$

In the region of absolute convergence, we can interchange the order of sum mation:

$$\sum_{D} (s) = 2 \qquad \frac{R}{2} \qquad \frac{2s X^{k}}{k! (s)} \qquad \frac{(s+k)}{k! (s)} \qquad \frac{m R}{2} \qquad \frac{2k}{(2^{2s+2k} - 1)} \qquad (2s+2k) A 3)$$

where we have used the following identities:

$$\frac{(1 \ s)}{(1 \ s \ k)} = (1)^k \frac{(s+k)}{(s)}$$
(A 4)

and

with the Riemann zeta function (s).

Appendix B. Spectral discrim inant in the lim it k! 0

From (4.10) one sees, that $_1$! iK ⁰ for k ! 0. We use therefore the following identities for Jacobi zeta function with in aginary argument:

r

$$Z (iu;k) = i \frac{sn (u;k^{0})dn (u;k^{0})}{cn (u;k^{0})} Z (u;k^{0}) \frac{u}{2K K^{0}} =$$

= i $\frac{sn (iu;k)dn (iu;k)}{cn (iu;k)} Z (u;k^{0}) \frac{u}{2K K^{0}} :$ (B.1)

From (4.10) one gets also

$$cn^{2}_{1} = \frac{2k^{2}}{6k^{2}} \frac{4+}{2k^{2}} \frac{1}{2k^{2}} \frac{1}{g_{2}(k)} \frac{1}{3}(2(1+k^{2}))^{2}; \quad (B 2)$$

$$dn^{2}_{1} = \frac{2}{6} \frac{4k^{2} + 1}{2} \frac{1}{2} g_{2}(k) \frac{1}{3}(2(1+k^{2}))^{2}:$$
 (B.3)

So (B.1) can be written as

$$Z (iu;k) = i \begin{pmatrix} 2 & v \\ u \\ t \\ t \\ t \\ \frac{4(1+k^2)}{6k^2} + \frac{1}{2k^2} \\ \frac{q}{g_2(k)} \\ \frac{1}{3}(2(1+k^2))^2}{\frac{q}{g_2(k)} \\ \frac{1}{3}(2(1+k^2))^2}{\frac{2k^2}{6k^2} \\ \frac{2k^2}{6k^2} \\ \frac{1}{2k^2} \\ \frac{1}{2k^2} \\ \frac{1}{2k^2} \\ \frac{q}{g_2(k)} \\ \frac{1}{3}(2(1+k^2))^2 \\ \frac{1}{3}(1+k^2) \\ \frac{1}{3}$$

s _____

$$\frac{2}{6} \frac{4k^2 + 1}{2} \frac{1}{g_2(k)} \frac{1}{3} (2(1+k^2))^2 \qquad Z(u;k^0) \frac{u}{2KK^0} = \frac{1}{2KK^0} (B.4)$$

For k ! 0 and u ! K ⁰ this reduces to
2 s
Z (iu;k) ! i⁴
$$\frac{2+}{6}$$
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{(4)}{3}$ 15 : (B.5)

The quasim om entum becom es

$$p()^{k!}!^{0} \frac{\frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \frac{2}{6} \frac{1}{4} \frac{\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} + 2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{4}$$

Finally the spectral discrim inant becomes

()!
$$\cos^2 \frac{p}{2}$$
: (B.7)

References

- Isham C J 1978 Twisted Quantum Fields in a Curved Space-Time, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 362, 383
- [2] Tom s D J 1980 Interacting twisted and untwisted scalar elds in a nonsimply connected space-time, Ann Phys. 129, 334
- [3] G randjean O and Ja e A M 2000 T wist elds and B roken Supersym m etry, J M ath P hys. 41, 3698
- [4] M ussardo G, R iva V, Sotkov G and D el no G 2006 K ink scaling functions in 2D non-integrable quantum eld theories, N uclP hys. B 736, 259

- [5] Sakam oto M, Tachibana M and Takenaga K 1999 Spotaneously broken translational invariance of com pacti ed space, PhysLett B 457, 33
- [6] G reene B and Levin J 2007 D ark energy and stabilization of extra dimensions, JHEP 11,096
- [7] Ravanini F 2001 Finite Size E ects in Integrable Quantum Field Theories, preprint hep-th/0102148
- [8] Turbiner A 1994 Quasi-exactly-solvable di erential equations CRC H andbook of Lie G roup Analysis of Di erential Equations vol 3 (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press) (preprint hep-th/9409068)
- [9] A rscott, Periodic D i emtial E quations, Pergam on Press, 196
- [10] Dashen R F, Hasslacher B, Neveu A 1975, Particle spectrum in model eld theories from sem iclassical functional integral techniques Phys. Rev. D 11, 3424
- [11] Bordag M 1995 Vacuum energy in smooth background elds, J.P.hys.A 28,755
- [12] Kirsten K 2000 Spectral functions in m athem atics and physics (Boca Raton: Chapman & H all/CRC)
- [13] Braden H W 1985 Periodic functional determ inants, J.Phys. A 18, 2127
- [14] Braden H W 1987 M ass corrections to periodic solitons, J.M ath.Phys. 28, 929
- [15] Bordag M 2001 New developments in the Casimire ect, Phys.Rept. 353, 1
- [16] Kirsten K and M cK ane A J 2003 Functional determ inants by contour integral m ethods, Annals Phys 308, 502
- [17] Kirsten K and Loya P 2007 C om putation of determ inats using contour integrals, preprint arX iv:0707.3755 [hep-th]
- [18] W hittaker E T and W atson G N 1905 M odern Analysis (C am bridge: C am bridge U niversity P ress)
- [19] Takem ura K 2003 The Heun equation and the Calogero-M oser-Sutherland System I: The Bethe ansatz m ethod, Commun. M ath. Phys. 235, 467
- [20] Brito F A 2005 Tachyon condensation on brane sphalerons, JHEP 08,036
- [21] Rajaram an R 1982 Solitons and Instantons (North Holland Publishing Company)
- [22] Novikov, M anakov, P izaevskii, Zakharov 1984 Theory of Solitons (New York: Consultants B ureau)
- [23] Erdelyi, Magnus, Oberhettinger, Tricom i 1953 Higher Transcendental Functions (New York: M cG raw Hill)
- [24] Seeley R T 1966 C om plex powers of an elliptic operator, Pure M ath. 10, 288
- [25] Elizalde 1994 Zeta-function regularization is uniquely de ned and well J. Phys. A 27, L299
- [26] Bordag M, Elizalde E, Kirsten K and Leseduarte S 1997 Casim ir energies for massive elds in the bag Phys. Rev. D 56, 4896
- [27] Kohn 1959 Analytic properties of Bloch waves and W annier functions, Phys. Rev. 115, 809
- [28] Smirnov F A 1998 Quasi-classical study of form factors in nite volume, preprint hep-th/9802132
- [29] Byrd P F and Friedman M D 1954 Handbook of elliptic integrals for engineers and physicists (Berlin: Springer)
- [30] K insten K 1992 C onnections between K elvin functions and zeta functions with applications, J.P.hys. A 25, 6297
- [31] Hochstadt H 1963 Function theoretic properties of the discrim inant of H ill's equation, M ath. Zeitschr. 82, 237
- [32] G raham N, Ja e R L, Khemani V, Quandt M, Scandurra M and W eigel H 2002 Calculating vacuum energies in renorm alizable quantum eld theories: A new approach to the Casim ir problem Nucl. Phys. B 645, 49
- [33] Bordag M, Goldhaber A S, van Nieuwenhuizen P and Vassilevich D 2002 Heat kernals and zeta-function regularization for the mass of the supersymmetric kink Phys. Rev. D 66, 125014
- [34] Boya L J and C asahorran J 1990 Q uantum m asses for a general fam ily of bidim ensional kinks Phys. Rev. D 41, 1342
- [35] Feverati G, Ravanini F, Takacs G 1999, Non-linear integral equation and nite volume spectrum of sine-G rodon theory Nucl. Phys. B 540, 543
- [36] M ussardo G , R iva V , Sotkov G 2004 Sem iclassical scaling functions of sine-G ordon m odel N uclP hys. B 699, 545
- [37] Pawellek M in preparation
- [38] Colem an S 1975 Quantum sine-Gordon equation as the massive Thirring model Phys. Rev. D 11,2088
- [39] G rosset M P and Veselov A P 2006 E lliptic Faulhaber polynom ials and Lame densities of states, International M athem atics Research N otices vol. 2006, 62120

- [40] Bordag M and Yurov A 2003 Spontaneous sym m etry breaking and re ectionless scattering data Phys. Rev. D 67, 025003
- [41] G rzadkow ski B and Toharia M 2004 Low-energy e ective theory from a non-trivial scalar background in extra dimensions Nucl. Phys. B686, 165
- [42] Cho H T 2005 Vacuum structure of two-dimensional ⁴ theory on the orbifold S⁼Z₂ Phys. Rev.D 72,065010
- [43] Toharia M and Trodden M 2008 M etastable kinks in the orbifold Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 041602
- [44] Toharia M and Trodden M 2008 Existence and stability of non-trivial scalar eld con gurations in orbifolded extra dim ensions Phys. Rev. D 77, 025029