## N onlinear R ealization of N = 2 Superconform al Symmetry and Brane E ective Actions

Lu-X in Liu Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA liule physics.purdue.edu

A bstract. Due to the incom patibility of the nonlinear realization of superconform al symmetry and dilatation symmetry with the dilaton as the compensator eld, in the present paper it shows an alternative mechanism of spontaneous breaking the N=2 superconform al symmetry to the N=0 case. By using the approach of nonlinear transformations it is found that it leads to a space-lling brane theory with W eyl scale W (1,3) symmetry. The dynamics of the resulting W eyl scale invariant brane, along with that of other N am bu-G oldstone elds, is derived in terms of the building blocks of the vierbein and the covariant derivative from the M aurer-C artan one-form s. A general coupling of the matter elds localized on the brane world volume to these NG elds is also constructed.

#### I. Introduction

In 1960s, being a powerful tool nonlinear realization method was used to study the low energy dynam ics of the chiral sym m etry [1], especially for the case when the full symmetry was spontaneously broken and the partners of the Goldstone bosons became massive and decoupled from the dynamics of the NG bosons. Later on, in Ref.[2] a general approach of nonlinear realization was given in terms of compact sem isimple Lie groups, in which the full symmetry group was realized in terms of the nonlinear transform ations of the NG elds which were promoted from the exponential param eters of the C oset representative elements, and these transform ations were isom orphic to the left action of the general group elements on the Coset. Therefore, the transform ations of the NG elds, together with that of the spectator elds which transform linearly under the unbroken subgroup H, give a complete representation of the full symmetry group. The resulting phenom enological Lagrangian, which is model independent, becomes an elective theory at energies far below the scale of spontaneous symmetry breaking. Nonlinear realization was also extended to include spacetime symmetries [3, 4], in which the spacetime translational generators and the broken symmetry generators transform independently under the stability group. Recently, extensive research work of nonlinear realization has been extended to describe the dynam ics of the brane theories [5, 6, 7]. Consider a topological defect embedded in a target space. Its world volume then has the symmetries of the unbroken stability subgroup, whileas its long wave oscillations into the co-dimensional (super) space are described by the N am bu-G oldstone (G oldstino) m odes associated with those broken symmetries [6, 7].

In this paper, we construct the nonlinear realization of spontaneously broken N = 2 superconform alogm metry by using W eyl scale invariant brane theories. As for the extended SUSY theory which includes more than one spinor supercharge, it is possible that it may arise from higher dimensional theories in some supersymmetric models or in some elective theories derived from higher dimensions via dimensional reductions. It is also well known that it plays an important role in understanding nonperturbative aspects of supersymmetric theories [8]. In the present context, it has been discussed that the N = 2 superconform alogm metry could be spontaneously broken by dilerent approaches [9]. It may be partially broken down to the N = 1 Su-

2

per Poincare sym m etry or to N = 2 Super Poincare sym m etry. Since supersym m etry m ust be broken for a realistic theory, in the present paper we consider the case when N = 2 superconform all sym m etry is totally broken down to N = 0 supersym m etry.

It has been pointed out that the nonlinear realizations of supersymmetry and dilatation symmetry are not compatible when the dilaton is taken as the compensator eld [10]. Therefore, in the present paper, one of its purposes is to show an alternative mechanism that describes the total supersymmetry breaking of the N = 2 superconform algroup. As it shows below, it would lead to a theory with W eyl scale invariant symmetry.

Consider a model, a space lling brane embedded in D = 4 spacetime. Taking the static gauge, the spacetime coordinates x therefore line up with the variables

which parameterize the brane world volume, i.e. = x (we take static gauge in what follows of this paper). The target superspace has the N = 2 superconform al symmetry, and the embedded submanifold has unbroken W eylW (1;3) symmetry, which is formed by the set fP ;D;M g. Then the low energy elective action of the system, which is scale invariant under the transformation of  $! e^d$ , can be described by long wave oscillations of the brane into the superspace associated to the G rassmann coordinate directions ;, as well as the dynamics of the N am bu-G oldstone mode corresponding to broken generator A of the internal space.

On the other hand, the purpose of the paper is to explore more features of the wellknown A dS = C F T correspondence [11, 12]. In the spirit of the A dS = C F T correspondence, following the outline sketched by this paper one can ind it paves a way to embed a probe brane into a A dS S background and realize the supersymmetric isometry of the target space. A sociated with the brane, it is expected that there would be no destabilized terms due to the branes oscillations into the transverse spatial directions. We hope that would shed some light on the appealing aspects of the A dS = C F T correspondence in terms of the brane world scenarios.

The paper is organized as follows. First, in section II, we introduce the N=2 superconform all algebra, and construct the Coset structure in terms of the unbroken W (1;3) subgroup. The general in nitesim altransform ations of the coordinates, as well as that of the Q<sub>i</sub> type Goldstinos, are introduced through the action of the full group elements on the Coset representatives, whileas in section III the S<sup>i</sup>

3

type G oldstino spinors are proved to be super uous and are eliminated by in posing covariant constraints. A loo in that section, it follows that the vierbein and the covariant derivative of the NG eld can be derived by means of the M aurer-C artan one-forms. The elective scale invariant brane action is then constructed in terms of these building blocks. In section IV, the general coupling of the matter elds localized on the brane world volume to these NG modes is introduced. The infeasibility of taking the Lorentz group as the stability group for the spontaneous breaking of the full group is also pointed out, which is due to the fact that the nonlinear realization of the N = 2 superconform all symmetry and the dilatation symmetry are not compatible when considering the dilaton eld as the compensator eld.

#### II. N on linear R ealization of the N = 2 Superconform al Sym m etry

The N = 2 Superconform all algebra is isomorphic to the simple Lie superalgebra su (2;2;2), real form of sl(4;2). Its algebra includes the conform all algebra:

$$\begin{bmatrix} M & ;M \end{bmatrix} = i( & M & + & M & & M & M & )$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & ;M \end{bmatrix} = i( & P & P & ); \begin{bmatrix} K & ;M \end{bmatrix} = i( & K & K & )$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & ;D \end{bmatrix} = iP & ; \begin{bmatrix} K & ;D \end{bmatrix} = iK & ; \begin{bmatrix} M & ;D \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & ;K \end{bmatrix} = 2i( & D & M & )$$

$$(1)$$

in which = (+; ; ; ; ). It also has two di erent types of spinor charges Q<sub>i</sub> and S<sub>i</sub>, and the commutation relations of these ferm ion-type charges with the conform algroup generators and the internal group SU (2) U (1)<sub>R</sub> generators have the form

$$[Q_{i};K] = \sum_{i}^{-};[S_{i}];K] = 0; [S_{i}];P] = -Q_{i}$$

$$[Q_{i};D] = \frac{1}{2}iQ_{i};[Q_{i};A] = \frac{1}{2}Q_{i};[S_{i}];D] = \frac{1}{2}iS_{i}];[S_{i}];A] = \frac{1}{2}S^{i}$$

$$[Q_{i};M] = \frac{1}{2}() Q_{i};[Q_{i}];[D_{i}];M] = \frac{1}{2}() -Q^{-}$$

$$[Q_{i};T_{j}^{k}] = {}_{i}^{k}Q_{j} \frac{1}{2}{}_{j}^{k}Q_{i};[S_{i}];T_{j}^{k}] = {}_{i}^{k}S_{j} \frac{1}{2}{}_{j}^{k}S_{i}$$

$$(2)$$

W hen N > 1, there is no central charge, and the (anti) com mutation relations among the ferm ion-type charges are

$$fQ_{i};Q_{g}^{j}=2_{i}^{j}P_{f};fS_{i}^{j};S_{j}^{j}=2_{i}^{j}-K$$

$$fQ_{i};Q_{j}g = 0;fQ_{i};S_{j}g = 0;fS_{i};S_{j}g = 0$$

$$fQ_{i};S_{j}g = {}^{j}[() M 2iD_{i} + {}^{j}\frac{1}{2}{}^{j}A); \qquad (3)$$

$$0 1$$
we take the notation " = "\_\_= " = "\_\_= 0 {}^{0}\frac{1}{1}A, and = \frac{1}{2}i(

). W here A is the generator of U  $(1)_{\rm R}$  , and the SU (2) generators  $T_{\rm i}^{\, {}_{\rm J}}$  satisfy

$$[\mathbf{T}_{i}^{j};\mathbf{T}_{k}^{1}] = {}^{1}_{i}\mathbf{T}_{k}^{j} {}^{j}_{k}\mathbf{T}_{i}^{1}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where i; j = 1; 2: Consider the case when the N = 2 superconform all symmetry is spontaneously broken down to the N = 0 case. We choose the unbroken subgroup as

in which W (1;3) is the W eyl group, form ed by the set fP ;D; M g[10]. Therefore, the group elements of the stability subgroup H are written as

$$h = e^{i(fD + m M + t_j^{i}T_i^{j})}$$
(6)

which is spanned by the set of generators fD ;  $M = T_i^j g$ , and from Eqs.(1, 2) we can nd these generators are automorphism of the broken generators Q;Q;S;S;K ; A which are associated with the collective coordinates ; ;&;&; and a respectively. Therefore, the Coset is shown to be

$$= G = H$$
(7)

In static gauge their representative elements can be parameterized as

$$= e^{ix P} e^{i[Q + Q]} e^{i(\delta S + \delta S)} e^{i K} e^{iaA}$$
(8)

The left action of the general in nitesim al elements of the full group G

$$g = e^{i(a P + qQ + qQ + sS + sS + b K + rA + M + dD + "_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j})}$$
(9)

on the C oset representative elements of Eq. (8) can be uniquely decomposed as the product of the C oset  $^{0}$  and the stability group elements of H, i.e.

$$g = {}^{0}h \tag{10}$$

Explicitly, we have

$$e^{i(a P + qQ + qQ + sS + sS + b K + rA + M + dD + "_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j})}e^{ix P} e^{i[Q + Q]}e^{i(\delta S + \delta S)}e^{i K} e^{iaA}$$
  
=  $e^{ix^{0} P} e^{i[Q + Q]}e^{i(\delta^{0}S + \delta^{0}S)}e^{i^{0} K} e^{ia^{0}A}e^{i(fD + m M + t_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j})}$  (11)

The in nitesimal transformation of the preferred elds can be derived up to the rst order by considering the variation g of the group elements g with

 $g = i(a p + qQ + qQ + sS + sS + bK + rA + M + dD + "_{i}^{i}T_{i}^{j})$  (12)

Hence, Eq.(10) becom es

$$(1 + g) = (+)(1 + h)$$
 (13)

furtherm ore

$${}^{1}$$
 g  ${}^{1}$  = h (14)

Then it is found

$${}^{i}i(a p + qQ + qQ + sS + sS + b K + rA + M + dD + "_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j}) {}^{1}$$
  
=  $i(fD + m M + t_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j})$  (15)

Consider the pure shift induced by Poincare translation in the four dimensional spacetime, i.e., taking  $g = e^{ia P}$ , it is found

$$x = a; = k = = a = 0$$
 (16)

and

$$f = m = t_i^i = 0$$
: (17)

A lso, as for the pure shift  $g = e^{i(qQ + qQ)}$  in the superspace, we have

$$x = i(i q_{i} q_{i}^{i} _{i});$$

$$i = q^{i}; \quad i = q_{i}; \quad (18)$$

as well as  $\& = a = f = m = t_j^i = 0$ . Here <sup>i</sup> etc are the total variation of the elds, i.e. <sup>i</sup> = <sup>0</sup>(x<sup>0</sup>) (x), and the intrinsic variation of the Goldstino elds <sup>i</sup> is given by

$$_{in}$$
  $^{i} =$   $^{0}(x^{0})$   $(x^{0}) =$   $^{i}$   $(x^{0})$   $(x)$ 

$$= q^{i} + i(j q_{j} q^{j}_{j}) (q^{j}_{j}) (q^{j}_{j$$

which is just the Akulov-Volkov nonlinear transformation of the Goldstino elds [14, 15] for the extended Supersymmetries. Besides, by comparing the coecients of the Q<sub>i</sub> and P from both sides of Eq.(15) the general nonlinear transformations of the coordinates and the associated Goldstino elds can be derived as following (the Goldstino elds  $\&_i$  corresponding to S<sup>i</sup> are super uous and can be written as functions of  $_i(x)$ , see Eq.(32')):

$$x = a \quad i2(i \quad q_{i} \quad q^{i} \quad i) + i(i \quad d_{i} \quad d^{i} \quad i) + r^{i} \quad i$$

$$\frac{1}{3!}i(2^{i} \quad B_{4i} \quad 2A_{4}^{i} \quad i) \quad \frac{1}{3!}i(2^{i} \quad B_{5i} \quad 2A_{5}^{i} \quad i)$$

$$2 \quad x + (x^{0}b^{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 0^{0})(i \quad i \quad 0^{0} \quad i \quad 0^{0} \quad i)$$

$$\frac{1}{4!} \quad 2i(i \quad B_{6i} \quad A_{6}^{i} \quad i) + 2x \quad bx \quad x \quad x \quad b + d \quad x$$

$$+ "_{k}^{j}(2^{k} \quad j \quad i \quad k^{j} \quad i) + 2^{i} \quad x \quad s_{i} \quad 2x \quad s^{i} \quad i$$

$$(20)$$

and

$${}^{i} = q^{i} \quad i\frac{1}{2}r^{i} + ix \ s^{i} \quad + \frac{1}{2}A^{i}_{5} + \frac{1}{2}A^{i}_{4} \quad \frac{1}{2}i \qquad i \quad + \frac{1}{3!}A^{i}_{6} + \frac{1}{2}d^{i}$$

$$+ x \ b^{i} + ix \ b^{i} \qquad i^{n}_{k}({}^{k} {}^{i}_{j} \quad \frac{1}{2}{}^{k}_{j}{}^{i});$$

$${}^{i} = q_{i} + i\frac{1}{2}r_{i} + ix \ s_{i} \quad + \frac{1}{2}B_{5i} + \frac{1}{2}B_{4i} \quad \frac{1}{2}i \qquad i \quad + \frac{1}{3!}B_{6i} + \frac{1}{2}d \quad i$$

$$+ x \ b_{i} + ix \ b_{i} \quad + i^{n}_{k}({}^{j}_{j} {}^{k}_{i} \quad \frac{1}{2}{}^{k}_{j}{}^{i}_{i}) \qquad (21)$$

where the inhom ogeneous term s play in portant roles in the nonlinear transform ation and signal the spontaneous breaking of the extended supersymmetries. (see Appendix for de nitions of the A s and B s in these equations)

#### III. The E ective Action

The electric action can be derived by using the M aurer-C artan one-form swhich are expanded with respect to the su (2;2;2) generators:

$${}^{1}d = i(! {}^{a}P_{a} + !{}^{k}_{Q}Q_{k} + !{}_{Qk}Q^{k} + !{}_{Sk}S^{k} + !{}^{k}_{S}S_{k} + !{}^{a}_{k}K_{a} + !{}_{A}A$$
  
+ !{}\_{D}D + !{}\_{T}j^{i}T\_{i}^{j} + !{}^{ab}\_{M}M\_{ab}) (22)

### Explicitly, they have the form

$$\begin{split} & 1!^{a} = idx^{a} + i \quad d_{i} \quad d^{i} \quad {}_{i}; \\ & 1!_{0}^{a} = dx \quad \xi^{k} \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}ia} + id \quad ke^{\frac{1}{2}ia} \quad i( i \quad d_{i} \quad d^{i} \quad {}_{i})\xi^{k} \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}ia}; \\ & 1!_{0k} = dx \quad \xi_{k} \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}ia} + id \quad ke^{\frac{1}{2}ia} \quad i( i \quad d_{i} \quad d^{i} \quad {}_{i})\xi_{k} \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}ia}; \\ & 1!_{5k} = (i \quad dx \quad \xi_{k} \quad + \frac{1}{3!}A_{1k}\frac{1}{4} = idx) \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}A_{2k}\frac{1}{4}_{je} = id_{i} + \frac{1}{2}A_{3}A_{3k}\frac{1}{4}_{je} = id_{i} + \frac{1}{3!}A_{1k}\frac{1}{4} = idx) \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2k}^{k}\frac{1}{4}_{je} = id_{i} + \frac{1}{2}A_{3}A_{3k}\frac{1}{4}_{je} = id_{i} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1k}^{k}\frac{1}{a} = idx) \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2}^{k}\frac{1}{q^{i}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx) \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2}^{k}\frac{1}{q^{i}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx) \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2}^{k}\frac{1}{q^{i}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx) \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{i}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{k}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{k}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a} - idx} \quad e^{\frac{ia}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}B_{3}^{k}\frac{1}{q_{1}-id_{k}} + \frac{1}{3!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{a} - \frac{ia}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{2!}B_{1}^{k}\frac{1}{a}\frac$$

where the NG elds are the W eyl spinors <sup>i</sup>,  $\&_i$  and the axion a, corresponding to the broken generators  $Q_i$ ; S<sup>i</sup> and A respectively And is the independent collective coordinate in the Coset space. It is not treated as the dynamical eld [16,17]. In addition, not all the NG elds are independent elds. Note from Eq.(2) that

$$[S_i;P] = -Q_i$$
(24)

Or alternatively, we have

$$[s_{-}^{i}S_{i}^{-} + s_{i}S^{i};P] = s_{-}^{i} - Q_{i} + s_{i} - Q^{-i}$$
(25)

Consider the commutator of NG elds <sup>i</sup> of the broken Supercharges  $Q_i$  with Eq.(25),

$$[[s_{-}^{i}S_{i}^{-} + s_{i}S^{i}; P_{i}]; \quad ^{i}] = [s_{-}^{i} - Q_{i} + s_{i} \quad _{Q}^{-i}; \quad ^{i}]$$
(26)

The VEV of the right-hand side can be found from the in nitesimal supersymmetric transformation of i:

$$< j[s_{-}^{i} - Q_{i} + s_{i} _{Q} - i; ^{i}]j > s_{-}^{i} -$$
 (27)

Hence, applying the Jacobin identity to the left-hand side of Eq. (26) yields

< 
$$j[s_{i}^{i}S_{i}^{-} + s_{i}S^{i}; i0^{i}] > s_{i}^{i} - \in 0$$
 (28)

On the other hand, the NB  $elds \&^i$  of the broken Supercharge S<sub>i</sub> have the in nitesim al supersymmetric transformation properties

< 
$$j[s_{i}^{i}S_{i}^{-}+s_{i}S^{i};\&_{j}^{i}]j > s_{i}^{i} \in 0$$
 (29)

Therefore, from Eqs.(28,29) we can conclude that

$$i \ell i k^i - (30)$$

0 r it can be re-w ritten as

$$s_{-}^{i} = \frac{1}{4}i\theta = -$$
 (31)

Consequently,  $\&^i$  are not independent NG elds and can be written as a function of the elds <sup>i</sup>. In order to eliminate them from the elds ective action, consider the covariant constraints on the one-form s  $!_{Q}^{i}$ , i.e.,  $!_{Q}^{i} = 0$ . Hence, it is found

$$k_{-}^{i} = \frac{1}{4} i e_{a}^{1} 0 \quad i^{a}$$
(32)

where  $e_a^{1}$  is the inverse of the verbein (see Eq.(33) for de nition). This is just the inverse Higgs mechanism [4]. Sim ilarly, from  $!_{Q} = 0$ , it amounts to

$$k_{i} = \frac{1}{4}ie_{a}^{1} 0_{i}^{a}$$
 (32<sup>0</sup>)

Therefore, the realN am bu-G oldstone (G oldstino) degrees of freedom are these elds

<sup>i</sup> and a. And the elective action of these modes can be constructed by using the building blocks of verbein and covariant derivatives from the one-form s of Eq.(23).

Consider the covariant coordinate one-form  $s!^a$ , which can be decomposed with respect to the brane world volume coordinate dimensional one-form sd as  $!^a = d e^a$ . The verbein is therefore given by

$$e^{a} = \frac{\varrho x^{a}}{\varrho} \quad i( \stackrel{i}{a} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho} \stackrel{i}{a} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho} \stackrel{i}{a}_{i})$$
(33)

where  $\mathbf{x}^{a} = (\mathbf{x}^{0}; \mathbf{x}^{1}; \mathbf{x}^{2}; \mathbf{x}^{3})$ , and it becomes

$$e^{a} = \stackrel{a}{=} i(\stackrel{i}{=} 0 \stackrel{i}{=} 0 \stackrel{i}{=} i)$$
(33<sup>0</sup>)

in static gauge = x. Hence, the local Lorentz invariant interval becomes

$$ds^2 = g d d = {}_{ab}!^a!^b$$
 (34)

where the metric  $g = {}_{ab}e^{a}e^{b}$ . We use Latin letters a; b etc to represent the local tangent coordinate indices, and G reek letters ; etc for these of the general coordinates in what follows. We also take the static gauge unless explicitly indicated otherwise. In order to construct the covariant derivative of the eld a, since dx =  $!^{a}e_{a}^{1}$ , the one-form s  $!_{A}$  can be re-written as  $!_{A} = !^{a}D_{a}a$ , in which

$$D_{a}a = e_{a}^{1} ((0 a i20^{i} \xi_{i} + i2\xi^{i} (0 i + i\xi^{i} \circ (j^{j} 0^{0} j (0^{j} j^{0}))))) = i\xi^{i} \xi_{i} \circ (j^{j} 0^{0} j (0^{j} j^{0})) + (\xi^{i} \xi_{i} + \xi^{i} \xi_{i}))$$
(35)

On the other hand, under the action of g of Eq.(10), the M aurer-C artan one-form s transform according to

$${}^{0}{}^{1}d {}^{0} = h ({}^{1}d )h {}^{1} + hdh {}^{1}$$
 (36)

It thus follows that the dilatation transformation properties of the verbein and covariant derivative in the local Lorentz reference frame are found to be

$$!^{a} ! e^{d}!^{a}$$

$$e^{a} ! e^{d}e^{a}$$

$$D_{a}a ! e^{d}D_{a}a$$
(37)

i.e., their scale dimensions are 1; 2; and 1 respectively. In addition, considering the transform ation of Eq.(37), they are found to be SU (2) singlets.

Introduce an auxiliary (intrinsic) metric G whose scale dimension is 2 as induced by the scale transformation of  $! e^d$  on the brane world volume. The local Lorentz invariant interval ds<sup>2</sup> has the form

$$ds^2 = G \quad d \quad d \tag{38}$$

which has scale dimension 2 as a result of scale transform ation  $ds^2$  !  $e^{2d}ds^2$ .

Then the e ective scale invariant action of the brane world volum e is given by

$$I_{0} = \frac{f_{s}^{2}}{2}^{Z} d^{4} \stackrel{p}{j \det G}_{j} \frac{1}{4}^{2} G_{ab} e^{a} e^{b} f^{2}$$

$$= \frac{f_{s}^{2}}{2}^{Z} d^{4} \stackrel{p}{j \det G}_{j} \frac{1}{4}^{2} G_{ab} (\frac{e^{a}}{e^{a}} - i(\frac{a}{e^{a}} - \frac{e^{a}}{e^{a}} - i))$$

$$= \frac{e^{a} x^{b}}{e^{a}} - i(\frac{a}{e^{a}} - \frac{e^{a}}{e^{a}} - \frac{e^{a}}{e^{a}} - i) f^{2}$$
(39)

in which det G = det G and the tensor G with scale dimension is 2 is the inverse of G. The part inside the square brackets has a scale dimension 2. It can be concluded that Eq.(39) is W eyl scale invariant under the transform ation of !  $e^d$  . Obviouely, when the spinors <sup>i</sup> are set to zero, it reduces to the W eyl

scale invariant bosonic action [18]:

$$I_{\rm B} = \frac{f_{\rm s}^2}{2} d^4 \frac{p}{j \det G} \frac{1}{j} \frac{1}{4} G = \frac{e^2 x^{\rm a}}{2} \frac{e^2 x^{\rm b}}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$
(40)

In static gauge, Eq.(39) becom es

$$I_{0} = \frac{f_{s}^{2}}{2} d^{4}x^{p} \frac{1}{j \det G j} [\frac{1}{4}G_{ab}(a^{a} i(a^{a}) (a^{a}) (a^{a$$

Besides, the spinors <sup>i</sup> transform as a SU (2) doublet, i.e.

$${}^{\text{Di}} = e^{(it_{k_{0}}^{j_{0}}T_{j_{0}}^{k_{0}})_{j}^{i} j}$$
(42)

where the SU (2) operators  $T_{j^0}^{i^0}$ , with properties  $(T_j^i)^y = T_i^j$ , have the matrix representation  $(T_{j^0}^{i^0})_j^i = \frac{i}{j^0} \frac{i^0}{j} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{i^0}{j^0} \frac{i}{j}$ . Besides, the spinors i can be found transforming as SU (2) covariant vectors:

$${}_{i}^{0} = e^{(it_{k}^{j0}T_{j0}^{k0})_{i}^{j}} {}_{j}$$
(43)

It is thus obvious that i( $^{i b}$ ( $^{i b}$ ( $^{i b}$ ) is SU (2) invariant. As a result, the action of Eq.(41) is both scale and SU (2) invariant.

W hen considering the dynam ics of the Goldstinos i alone, the auxiliary eld G can be eliminated from Eq.(41) after applying its equation of motion

$$G = e^{a}e^{b}_{ab}$$
(44)

where is an arbitrary constant. Then after plugging Eq.(44) into Eq.(41), it reduces to 7

$$I_0^0 = -\frac{f_s^2}{2} d^4 x \, det e^a$$
 (45)

in which the coe cient f<sub>s</sub> is related to the SUSY broken scale. It is just the A kulov-Volkov action for the case of the extended supersymmetries. Similarly, applying the equation of motion of G to the W eyl scale invariant bosonic action of Eq.(40) will lead to the norm all Nambu-G oto action

$$I_{B}^{0} = \frac{f_{s}^{2}}{2} d^{4} \quad \det(\frac{\theta x^{a}}{\theta} \frac{\theta x^{b}}{\theta})$$
(46)

As for the elective scale invariant action of the SU (2) singlet, i.e. the NG eld a, it can be constructed by using the covariant derivative of Eq.(35):

$$I_{1} = T_{A} \overset{p}{d^{4}x} \overset{p}{jdet} G \overset{p}{F} (G ; e^{a}) \overset{ab}{} D_{a} a D_{b} a \qquad (47)$$

where the compensator function  $F(G; e^{a})$  has the scale dimension 2, and the coe cient and T<sub>A</sub> is related to breaking scale of A symmetry. Hence, the complete e ective action of the elds <sup>i</sup> and a is given by

$$I = I_{0} + I_{1}$$

$$= d^{4}xT_{A}^{p} \overline{jdetG} f (G ; e^{a})^{ab}D_{a}aD_{b}a$$

$$\frac{f_{s}^{2}p}{2} \overline{jdetG} j \frac{1}{4}G (i (i (i (e_{i} (e_{i}$$

IV.Coupling to Matter Fields

Consider the presence of matter elds localized on the brane world volum e. They actually behave as the spectator elds, transform ing covariantly under the unbroken subgroup H. Their coupling to those NG elds can be obtained by introducing covariant derivatives of the matter elds. Consider matter elds  $_{\rm A}$ , in which A represents any internal or Lorentz index. It has a N-dimensional representation of the group SU (2), i.e.

$${}_{A} ! {}_{A} = e^{it_{j}^{\perp}(T_{j}^{\perp})_{A}^{B}} {}_{B}$$
(49)

likew ise, its H erm itian conjugate transform s as

<sup>A</sup> ! <sup>CA</sup> = e 
$$i t_1^{-1} (T_j^{-1})_B^{-A} = B$$
 (50)

And under the full unbroken subgroup  ${\rm H}$  , them atter  $\mbox{ eld would transform according to}$ 

$$! \quad {}^{0} = e^{i(fD + m \quad M \quad + t^{1}_{j}T^{J}_{i})}$$
(51)

A coordingly, its covariant derivative is given by

$$D = (0 + i\frac{1}{2}!^{ab} + id!_{D} + i!_{T_{j}}^{i}T_{i}^{j})$$
(52)

Where  $_{ab}^{X}$  is the representation of the operators M<sub>ab</sub>, and d is the scale dimension of the matter eld. The connections  $!^{ab}$ ,  $!_{D}$  and  $!_{T_{i}}^{j}$  are given by the one-form s  $!_{M}^{ab} = dx !^{ab}$ ,  $!_{D} = dx !_{D}$  and  $!_{T_{i}}^{j} = dx !_{T_{i}}^{j}$  respectively. They transform inhom ogeneously according to Eq.(36). Explicitly, the transform ation of the spin connection  $!^{ab}$ 

$$!^{ab} = 2^{b} a + \frac{1}{2} (\xi^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \xi^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \xi^{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \xi^{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \xi^{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i} \delta_{i}) + i(\theta^{i} a^{b} \xi_{i}) + i(\theta$$

is found to have the synthesis form of the general coordinate transform ation of Eq.(20) and the inhom ogeneous transform ation under h according to Eq.(36):

$$!_{0}^{\circ cd} = \frac{dx}{dx_{0}^{\circ}} (!_{0}^{a^{\circ}b^{\circ}} c_{a^{\circ}}^{c} b^{\circ} 0 m^{cd})$$
(54)

where the  $^{c}_{d}$  m atrix is de ned by the ordinary Lorentz transform ation,  $x^{a^{0}} = ^{a^{0}}_{b}x^{b}$ ; and the inhom ogeneous term can be derived from that of Eq.(36) as following

$$hdh^{1} = e^{i(fD + m M + t_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j})}de^{-i(fD + m M + t_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j})}$$
$$= idm^{ab}M_{ab} \quad idfD \quad idt_{j}^{i}T_{i}^{j}$$
(55)

which has been expanded up to the leading order of in nitesimal transformation of g in Eq.(9).

Similarly, it follows from Eq.(23) that the connections  $!_D = dx !_D$  has the form

$$!_{D} = 2 \quad i(\delta^{i} \quad \delta_{i} + \delta^{i} \delta_{i} \ ) + 2 ( {}^{i} \delta_{i} + 2 \delta^{i} ( {}^{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_{j} ) + i2 ( {}^{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_{j} )$$

$$\delta^{i} \quad ( {}^{j} \circ {}_{j} \circ {}_$$

in which the non dynamical eld can be eliminated by imposing the covariant constraint on the covariant curl  $C^a$  [17], i.e.

$$C^{a} = 0 = D e^{a} D e^{a}$$

$$= 0 e^{a} + i\frac{1}{2}! a^{0}b^{0} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ a^{0}b^{0} \end{pmatrix} b^{a}e^{b} + i!_{D} e^{a} 0 e^{a}$$

$$i\frac{1}{2}! a^{0}b^{0} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ a^{0}b^{0} \end{pmatrix} b^{a}e^{b} i!_{D} e^{a}$$
(57)

Consider the scalar eld , which has trivial representation of M<sub>ab</sub>. Therefore, the covariant derivative becomes D = (@  $i!_{D} + i!_{T_{j}}^{i}T_{i}^{j}$ ). Then the scale invariant action of the scalar eld is shown to be

$$I = d^{4}x \det g D D$$

$$Z = d^{4}x \det e^{ab}e_{a}^{1}e_{b}^{1} (0 \quad i!_{D} + i!_{T}^{i}T_{i}^{j})$$

$$(0 + i!_{D} \quad i!_{T}^{i}T_{i}^{j}) \quad (58)$$

and the scalar eld has scale dimension  $1 \cdot C$  onsidering Eq.(49) and (50), it is also obviously SU (2) invariant.

Similarly, consider the ferm ion elds , which give a nontrivial representation of M<sub>ab</sub>, i.e.  $= \frac{1}{2}i(a_{ab} b_{ba})$ . Its covariant derivative is then found to be

 $D = (@ + i\frac{1}{2}!^{ab} \qquad i\frac{3}{2}!_{D} + i!_{T}^{i}_{J}T_{i}^{j}) \quad \text{Thus its scale and SU (2) invariant}$ action is given by  $Z \qquad I = d^{4}x \, \text{dete i } D \qquad Z \qquad = d^{4}x \, \text{dete i } a^{2}e_{a}^{1} (@ + i\frac{1}{2}!^{ab} \qquad i\frac{3}{2}!_{D} + i!_{T}^{i}_{J}T_{i}^{j}) \quad (59)$ 

in which the ferm ion eld has the scale dimension  $\frac{3}{2}$ . Therefore the total action of the matter elds coupling to these NG elds can be described by

$$I_{M} = I_{Z} + I$$

$$= d^{4}x \det( {}^{ab}e_{a}{}^{1}e_{b}{}^{1}(0 \quad i!_{D} + i!_{T}{}^{i}_{j}T_{i}^{j})$$

$$(0 + i!_{D} \quad i!_{T}{}^{i}_{j}T_{i}^{j})$$

$$+ i {}^{a}e_{a}{}^{1}(0 + i\frac{1}{2}!{}^{ab}{}^{X} \quad i\frac{3}{2}!_{D} + i!_{T}{}^{i}_{j}T_{i}^{j}) )$$
(60)

As a result, on the brane world volum e the unbroken symmetries H are realized linearly on the localized spectator elds, whileas the broken symmetries are realized nonlinearly through the N am bu-G oldstone (G oldstino) m odes a and <sup>i</sup>. On the other hand, the full symmetry can also be directly realized on the eld itself, such as the standard realization [15, 19]. One can start from the linear transformation of the matter elds under the stability group H, then promote it to describe the full symmetry by taking the parameters of h as these induced form the left action of G on the C oset representatives through Eq.(10). Hence the transformation of the elds can realize the full symmetry group G, but nonlinearly.

The W eyl scale invariant brane dynam ics has also been extensively studied in [18,20-23]. The action of Eq.(48), being a low energy e ective theory, describes the long wave oscillations of the brane into the G rassmann coordinates of the superspace along with the e ective dynam ics of the localized N am bu-G oldstone mode a corresponding to the broken A symmetry. Consequently, by using the approach of nonlinear realization, the total action of Eq.(48) gives us an elective theory describing the spontaneously breaking of a larger symmetry group, i.e. the N = 2 superconform al symmetry. In fact, the W eyl scale invariant brane action Eq.(41) is an extension of its bosonic counterpart action Eq.(40) to include the fermionic

sectors as a result of the full supersymm etry breaking. Therefore, the elds localized on the brane world volum e would be described by the W eyl scale symmetry theory [13, 24-27].

Besides, the internal symmetry SU (2) U  $(1)_R$  of the extended N = 2 Superconform all symmetry can also be broken down to U  $(1)_R$  [28]. Hence, corresponding to generators  $T_i^j$ , there would be three NG scalar elds present in the elective action along with other possible SU (2) broken terms. The existence of these NG particles would give rise to long range forces in nature, which may a ect astrophysical considerations such as contributing new mechanisms for energy loss from stars [19].

In addition, it is noteworthy that if one takes the Lorentz group as the stability group instead, the dilatation symmetry is also spontaneously broken. Therefore, it will result an additional NG eld, the dilaton , whose intrinsic transformation yields

Therefore, the scale invariant e ective action of the Goldstino elds becomes

$$I_0 = T \quad d^4 x e^4 \quad dete$$
 (62)

where the dilaton is introduced as the compensator eld. From Eq.(33), it can be concluded that there is a constant term in dete which shifts the VEV and signals the spontaneous breaking of the SUSY. When considering together with the compensator eld e<sup>4</sup>, the VEV can be determined by estimating the value of  $\langle je^4 j \rangle$ , which becomes minimum when  $\langle j j \rangle$  goes to /. Therefore, due to the unbound of the VEV of the dilaton eld it follows that can not be a NG particle. It thus indicates the incompatibility of the nonlinear realization of the SUSY and the dilatation symmetry when taking dilaton as the compensator eld [10, 29]. It is interesting to note that if one takes the Lorentz group as the stability group and works on the superspace parameterized by the Coset space, it will lead to the supersymmetric theory (superbrane) as discussed in [5, 30]. However, this is not our case in the present context.

Besides, due to the absence of the dilaton in the elective action (41), according to the AdS=CFT correspondence, one may expect it is feasible to embed a probe brane in a supersymmetric AdS S space. And following the outline of the present paper,

the supersymmetric isometry group of the background space can be realized through the dynamics of the brane but with no destabilized terms resulting from oscillations in the transverse spatial directions. That would be instructive to explore more aspects about A dS=C F T correspondence. Further work about this correspondence is being investigated and it would be of interest to the theory of brane world scenarios as well.

The author thanks the support of the HEP group of Physics Department at Purdue University. The author also thanks M uneto N itta for som e useful com ments.

# Appendix

The As and Bs in Eqs.(20,21,23) are de ned as following:

(i)

$$e^{i(\&S + \&S)}a P e^{i(\&S + \&S)} = :::+ \frac{1}{3!}(A_{1k}S^k + B_1^kS_k) + :::$$
 (A.1)

where

$$A_{1k} = i(\delta^{i} \circ a^{\circ} \delta_{i} \delta^{i} \delta_{i} \circ a^{\circ})\frac{1}{2}\delta_{k}$$

$$+ 4i(\delta^{i} a \delta_{j} + \delta^{i}\delta_{j} a)\delta_{i} \delta^{j} + 2i\delta^{i} a \delta_{i}\delta_{k} \qquad (A 2)$$

$$B_{1}^{k} = i(\delta^{i} \circ a^{\circ} \delta_{i} \delta^{i} \delta_{i} \circ a^{\circ})\frac{1}{2}\delta^{k}$$

4i( 
$$\delta^{i}$$
 a  $\delta_{j}$  +  $\delta^{i}\delta_{j}$  a)  $\delta^{j}{}_{i}^{k}$  + 2i $\delta^{i}\delta_{i}$  a  $\delta^{k}$  (A.3)

(ii)

$$e^{i(\&S + \&S)} qQ e^{i(\&S + \&S)} = :::+ \frac{1}{2} (A_{2k}S^{k} + B_{2}^{k}S_{k}) + ::: (A 4)$$

where

$$A_{2k} = \frac{1}{2}q^{i} \quad \delta_{i}\delta_{k} + 4q^{i}\delta_{j}(\delta_{i} \frac{j}{k} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{j}{i}\delta_{k})$$
 (A.5)

$$B_{2}^{k} = \frac{1}{2}q^{i} \qquad \&_{i}\&^{k} \qquad 4q^{i}\&_{j}\&^{j}_{k} \qquad (A.6)$$

(iii)

$$e^{i(\&S + \&S)} qQ e^{i(\&S + \&S)} = :::+ \frac{1}{2} (A_{3k}S^{k} + B_{3}^{k}S_{k}) + :::$$
 (A.7)

where

$$A_{3k} = \frac{1}{2} k^{i} q_{ik} \qquad 4k^{j} q_{ik} k_{jk} \qquad (A.8)$$

$$B_{3}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{i} \qquad q_{i} \delta^{k} \qquad + 4 \delta^{j} q_{i} (\delta^{i} {}^{k}_{j} \quad \frac{1}{2} {}^{i}_{j} \delta^{k}) \qquad (A.9)$$

(iv)

$$e^{i(Q+Q)}sSe^{i(Q+Q)} = :::+ \frac{1}{2}(A_4^kQ_k + B_{4k}Q^k) + :::$$
 (A.10)

where

$$A_{4}^{k} = {}^{i} S_{i} \frac{1}{2}^{k} + 4 {}^{i} S_{j} ({}^{j} {}^{k} \frac{1}{2} {}^{j} {}^{k})$$
(A 11)

$$B_{4k} = {}^{i} S_{i} \frac{1}{2}_{k} \qquad 4^{i} S_{j i k} \qquad (A.12)$$

(v)

$$e^{i(Q+Q)}sSe^{i(Q+Q)} = :::+ \frac{1}{2}(A_5^kQ_k + B_{5k}Q^k) + :::$$
(A.13)

where

$$A_{5}^{k} = s^{i} \qquad \frac{1}{2}^{k} \qquad 4s^{i} j^{j} j^{k}$$
 (A.14)

$$B_{5k} = s^{i} \qquad \frac{1}{2}_{k} \qquad + 4s^{i}_{j} ( \begin{array}{c} j \\ i \\ k \end{array} \frac{1}{2}_{i}^{j} ( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ i \\ k \end{array} ) \qquad (A.15)$$

(vi)

$$e^{i(Q+Q)}b K e^{i(Q+Q)} = :::+ \frac{1}{3!}(A_6^kQ_k + B_{6k}Q^k) + :::$$
 (A.16)

where

$$A_{6}^{k} = i [\frac{1}{2} ( i b^{\circ}_{i} \circ + b^{\circ}_{i} \circ _{i})^{k} 2b^{i}_{i} * + 4b ( i_{j} i_{j})^{j}_{i} * ] (A.17) B_{6k} = i [\frac{1}{2} ( i b^{\circ}_{i} \circ + b^{\circ}_{i} \circ _{i})_{k} 2b^{i}_{ik} \\ 4b ( i_{j} i_{j})^{i}_{k} * (A.18)$$

(vii) In Eq.(23), X is de ned as following

$$X = 2 \begin{bmatrix} d^{i} & \delta_{i} + \delta^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & i + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{i} & a^{0} & \delta_{i} & \delta^{i} & \delta_{i} & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2id^{i}\delta_{i} + 2i\delta^{i}d_{i} & i\delta^{i} & 0a^{0}\delta_{i} & i\delta^{i}\delta_{i} & 0a^{0} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{3!} 2i(B_{2}^{k} & \delta_{k} & \delta^{k} & A_{2k}) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3!} 2i(B_{3}^{k} & \delta_{k} & \delta^{k} & A_{3k}) + \frac{1}{4!} 2i(B_{1}^{k} & \delta_{k} & \delta^{k} & A_{1k}) \\ \xrightarrow{0} a \qquad (A.19)$$

where a = d d.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M G ell-M ann and M Levy, Nuovo C in 16:705,1960; S W einberg, PhysRev. 166, 1568, 1968; W A Bardeen and B W . Lee, PhysRev 177 2389, 1969.
- [2] S.Colem an, JW ess, and B. Zum ino, Phys. Rev. 177, 2239 (1969); C.Callan,
   S.Colem an, JW ess, and B. Zum ino, Phys. Rev. 177, 2247 (1969).
- [3] C. J. Isham, Abdus Salam, J.A. Strathdee, Annals Phys. 62:98-119, 1971; A.B. Borisov,
   V. J. Ogievetsky, Theor M ath Phys. 21:1179, 1975, Teor M at Fiz. 21:329-342,
   1974
- [4] EA. Ivanov and V.I. Ogievetsky, TeorM at Fiz 25:164-177, 1975
- [5] P.West, JHEP 0002, 24 (2000)
- [6] For example, see J. Hughes and J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B278, 147 (1986);
  E. Ivanov and S. Krivonos, Phys. Lett. B 453, 237 (1999) Erratum ibid.
  B657: 269, 2007; C. P. Burgess, E. Filotas, M. Klein, and F. Quevedo, J.
  High Energy Phys.0310 (2003) 41; F. Gonzalez-Rey, LY. Park, and M. Rooek, Nucl. Phys. B544, 243 (1999); TE. Clark, M. Nitta, T. ter Veldhuis,
  Phys.Rev D 70:125011, 2004; TE. Clark, S.T. Love, M. Nitta, T. ter Veldhuis
  , JM ath Phys.46:102304,2005
- [7] For example, for non-BPS branes, see T E.C lark, M.N itta and T.ter Veldhuis, PhysRev D 67: 085026, 2003; Lu-X in Liu, arX iv: 0711.4868 [hep-th]; Lu-X in Liu, arX iv: hep-th/0611212
- [8] For example, see N Seiberg and E W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 426, 19 (1994); Nucl.
   Phys. B 431, 484 (1994)
- [9] Y. Gotoh, T. Uem atsu, PhysLett B 420:69-76,1998; K. Kobayashi, K. Lee, T. Uem atsu, NuclPhysB 309:669, 1988;
- [10] TE.Clark and S.T.Love, PhysRev D 61:057902, 2000
- [11] For a review, see J.M aldacena, arX iv: hep-th/0309246; I.R.K lebanov, arX iv: hep-th/0009139

- [12] S.Bellucci, E. Ivanov and S.Krivonos, PhysRev D 66:086001, 2002; Erratum ibid D 67:049901,2003
- [13] M. Blago prvic, Gravitation and Gauge Symmetries, IDP Publishing, 2002
- [14 ] D.V.Volkov and V.P.Akulov, JETP Lett. 16, 438(1972); Phys. Lett. B46, 109(1973)
- [15] JW ess and JB agger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, Princeton, 1992
- [16] E.A. Ivanov and J.N iederle, PhysRev D 25, 988, 1982
- [17] E.A. Ivanov and J.N iederle, PhysRev D 25, 976, 1982
- [18] M.S.Alver and J.Barcelos-Neto, Europhys. Lett. 7, 395 (1988); 8, 90 (Erratum) (1989)
- [19] Lu-X in Liu, M od PhysLett A 20, 2545, 2005
- [20] A Aurilia, A Sm ailagic and E Spallucci, PhysRevD 51:4410, 1995
- [21] JAntonio Garcia, Roman Linares and JD avid Vergara, Phys. Lett. B 503, 154 (2001)
- [22] CAlvear, RAmorim and JBarcelos-Neto, Phys. Lett. B273, 415 (1991)
- [23] Lu-X in Liu, Phys. Rev. D 74, 45030 (2006)
- [24] R yoyu U tiyam a, P rogress of T heor. P hys.50, 2080, 1973; P rogress of T heor P hys.53, 565, 1975
- [25] Kenji Hayashi and T.Kugo, Progress of Theor. Phys. 61, 334, 1979
- [26] A.Bregman, Progress of Theor. Phys. 49, 667, 1973
- [27] Kenji Hayashi, M Kasuya and T Shirafuji, Progress of Theor. Phys. 57, 431, 1977
- [28] P.Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B149, 137, 1979
- [29] T.E.C. lark and S.T. Love, arX iv: hep-th/0510274

[30] SM. Kuzenko, Ian N. McArthur, PhysLettB 522:320, 2001; F. Dekluc, E. Ivanov, S. Krivonos, PhysLettB 529:233, 2002; P. Pasti, D P. Sorokin, M. Tonin, arX iv hep-th/9912076