

Microcavity polaritons in disordered exciton lattices

Michal Grochol and Carlo Piermarocchi

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824 USA
(Dated: February 20, 2024)

We investigate the interaction of excitons in a two dimensional lattice and photons in a planar cavity in the presence of disorder. The strong exciton-photon coupling is described in terms of polariton quasi-particles, which are scattered by a disorder potential. We consider three kinds of disorder: (a) inhomogeneous exciton energy, (b) inhomogeneous exciton-photon coupling and (c) deviations from an ideal lattice. These three types of disorder are characteristic of different physical systems, and their separate analysis gives insight on the competition between randomness and light-matter coupling. We consider conventional planar polariton structures (with excitons resonant to photon modes emitting normal to the cavity) and Bragg polariton structures, in which excitons in a lattice are resonant with photon modes at a finite angle satisfying the Bragg condition. We calculate the absorption spectra in the normal direction and at the Bragg angle by a direct diagonalization of the exciton-photon Hamiltonian. We found that in some cases weak disorder increases the light-matter coupling and leads to a larger polariton splitting. Moreover, we found that the coupling of excitons and photons is less sensitive to disorder of type (b) and (c). This suggests that polaritonic structures realized with impurities in a semiconductor or with atoms in optical lattices are good candidate for the observation of some of the Bragg polariton features.

PACS numbers: 71.36.+c, 78.20.Bh, 78.67.Hc, 71.55.-i, 78.40.Pg

I. INTRODUCTION

There is currently a considerable interest in the physics of strongly coupled light-matter systems. Examples include experiments on the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of microcavity polaritons,^{1,2,3,4} which are mixed states of excitons and photons (see Refs. 5 and 6) and on the strong coupling of cavity photons and quantum dot excitons.^{7,8,9} In order to combine the properties of matter states in a lattice and planar photon modes, we have recently investigated a structure consisting of an array of quantum dots in a planar cavity,¹⁰ as schematically shown in Fig. 1. This particular geometry for light-matter coupling can be realized in many different ways using either semiconductor-based systems (quantum dots, impurities, metallic gates) or atomic systems, such as atoms in optical lattices. Experimental and theoretical investigations show that impurity bound excitons can have very small inhomogeneous broadening and strong confinement energy (e.g. of the orders of 50 meV^{11,12}). Moreover, ordered arrays of single dopants,¹³ as well as the control of a single impurity using scanning tunneling microscopy have been experimentally demonstrated.^{14,15}

Polaritons in structures similar to the one in Fig. 1, but involving photonic crystals¹⁶ or optical lattices^{17,18} have been recently investigated theoretically. Many of these structures have planar spatial periodicity, which leads to very interesting and novel properties. We have recently explored theoretically Bragg polariton modes at some special symmetry points of the Brillouin zone boundaries.¹⁰ These zone-edge Bragg polaritons can have extremely small effective masses, typically three orders of magnitude smaller than conventional cavity polaritons, and behave effectively as Dirac quasiparticles, similar to




FIG. 1: Scheme of the system studied. A lattice of quantum dots or impurities is embedded in a planar microcavity. The energy, position, and oscillator strength of the localized excitons fluctuates from site to site.

light-mass (relativistic) electrons in graphene, which have been experimentally investigated recently.^{19,20} Polaritons with Dirac dispersion could have interesting applications to the physics of polariton BEC and superfluidity, as well as for spin-coupling control.²¹

In Fig. 1 we show disorder effects due to fluctuations in the quantum dot size and position. These disorder effects are the main focus of this paper. The effect of disorder on polaritons has been extensively studied in the quantum well-microcavity case (see Ref. 5 and references therein). It was found that if the potential fluctuations due to the disorder are comparable with the Rabi splitting then the two polaritonic peaks disappear and one inhomogeneously broadened peak remains in the absorption spectrum. Furthermore, a similar behavior has been theoretically predicted for an ensemble of two-level systems coupled to a single photon mode.²² The dynamics of the wave packet and its localization in disordered one-dimensional cavity has been studied too.²³ In this paper, we investigate the effect on polariton modes of energy, oscillator strength, and position fluctuations. The

numerical results are discussed and compared to a perturbation theory approach. The paper is organized as follows: The theory is introduced in Sec. II, followed by results and discussion in Sec. III. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. IV.

II. THEORY

We are going to investigate a disordered planar lattice embedded in a planar microcavity structure. The role of the lattice is to localize excitons and the physical mechanism of localization will not be specified at this point. As discussed above, quantum dots, impurities, metal gates and optical lattices can be used to localize the exciton states. We further assume that only one excitonic level is present on each site, implying a strong localization. This also allows us to describe the exciton transfer process between sites using a tight-binding approach. The case of quantum dots has been extensively discussed in Ref. 10. We start with the Hamiltonian in second quantization ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_j \epsilon_j C_j^\dagger C_j + t_x \sum_{j;k \in \text{NB}} C_{j+k}^\dagger C_j + \sum_q \epsilon_q a_q^\dagger a_q + \sum_{jq} i g_{jq} e^{iqR_j} a_q^\dagger C_j + \text{h.c.}; \quad (1)$$

where C_j^\dagger is the exciton creation operator on the j^{th} site at position R_j with energy ϵ_j and exciton-photon coupling g_{jq} , t_x is the inter-site energy transfer between nearest neighbors sites, a_q^\dagger is the creation operator of the cavity photon mode with in-plane momentum q and energy ϵ_q . By writing the coupling constant as $g_{jq} e^{iqR_j}$ we can analyze separately the disorder effect induced by position fluctuations and oscillator strength fluctuations.

A. Disorder properties

We assume that the distance between localization sites is much larger than the effective exciton localization length on each site. This justifies why fluctuations are site-uncorrelated. For energy fluctuations, defined as $\epsilon_j = \epsilon_j + \delta\epsilon_j$, $\delta\epsilon_j$ being the average exciton energy, we have

$$\langle \delta\epsilon_j \delta\epsilon_i \rangle = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$\langle \delta\epsilon_m \delta\epsilon_n \rangle = \delta_{mn} \epsilon^2; \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ indicates averaging over a Gaussian ensemble. Similarly, we have for the oscillator strength disorder

$$\langle g_{jq} \delta g_{iq} \rangle = \langle g_{0q} \delta g_{0q} \rangle; \quad \langle g_{kq} \delta g_{lq} \rangle = \langle g_{kq} \delta g_{lq} \rangle = \delta_{kl} \epsilon_{0q}^2; \quad (4)$$

where we assume $g_{0q} = g e^{q^2 a^2} = g e^{q^2 a^2} = 4$ with the characteristic size of the site¹⁰ and

$$\frac{2}{g_{0q}} = \frac{2}{g} e^{(q^2 + q^2) a^2} = 4; \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{2}{g} = \hbar g^2 i$ is the on-site oscillator strength variance at $q = 0$. Finally, we obtain for the positional disorder

$$\langle R_j \rangle = \overline{R_j}; \quad \langle R_k R_l \rangle = \langle R_k \rangle \langle R_l \rangle = \overline{R_k R_l}; \\ \langle e^{iqR_j} \rangle = e^{iq \overline{R_j}} e^{q^2 \frac{2}{g} a^2} = 2; \quad (6)$$

where the positions $\overline{R_j}$ identify the ideal two dimensional lattice. Furthermore, we assume for simplicity that the different kinds of disorder are uncorrelated. This allows us to treat them separately in the perturbation approach described below.

B. Polariton scattering

We can separate the fluctuation-independent part of the Hamiltonian by defining the Fourier transform of the exciton operators as

$$C_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{q \in \text{1st BZ}} e^{iqR_j} C_q^\dagger; \\ C_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{q \in \text{1st BZ}} e^{-iqR_j} C_q; \quad (7)$$

where $\overline{R_j}$ corresponds to the j^{th} site of a two dimensional ideal lattice and N is the total number of sites. The sum over q states is restricted to the first Brillouin Zone (BZ) of the reciprocal lattice space. This fluctuation-independent Hamiltonian then takes the form

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_q \epsilon_q C_q^\dagger C_q + \sum_{q+Q} \epsilon_{q+Q} a_{q+Q}^\dagger a_{q+Q} \\ + \sum_Q (\overline{g}_{q+Q} a_{q+Q}^\dagger C_q + \text{h.c.}); \quad (8)$$

where Q is a reciprocal lattice vector, $\overline{g}_q = \frac{2}{N} g_{0q}$ is the renormalized coupling constant, and the exciton dispersion of a square lattice reads

$$\epsilon_{q+Q} = \epsilon_q - 2t_x (\cos(q_x a) + \cos(q_y a)); \quad (9)$$

where a is the lattice constant. We can then define the disorder coupling constants

$$\delta\epsilon_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j e^{iqR_j} \delta\epsilon_j; \\ \delta g_{0q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j e^{i(q - q^0)R_j} (g_{jq} - \overline{g}_{0q}); \\ \delta g_{q^0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j (e^{iqR_j} - e^{iq^0 R_j}) e^{iq^0 R_j} \overline{g}_{0q}; \quad (10)$$

where the index \circ (p) indicates oscillator strength (position) disorder. The statistical properties of these functions are determined by their average value as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle &= 0; \\ \langle h_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\circ} \rangle &= 0; \\ \langle h_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\text{p}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{q}^0 + \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{q}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and correlations according to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{l}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}}; \\ \langle h_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\circ} h_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}^{\circ} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{q}^0, \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{k}^0 + \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}} \delta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}}; \\ \langle h_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\text{p}} h_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}^{\text{p}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{q}^0, \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{k}^0 + \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}} \delta_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}}; \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where \mathbf{K} is a reciprocal lattice vector and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &= \mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{q}^0 \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}}; \\ \mathbf{q}\mathbf{k} &= \mathbf{q}_0 \mathbf{k}_0 \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{q}^0 \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{-i\mathbf{k}^0 \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}}; \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The disorder terms for the three different mechanisms can then be written in a compact form as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^e &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{k}} \hat{h}_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{k}} C_{\mathbf{q}^+}^{\dagger} C_{\mathbf{k}}; \\ \hat{H}^{\circ(\text{p})} &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0} \left(h_{\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\circ(\text{p})} a_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} C_{\mathbf{q}^0} + h.c. \right); \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We can find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the disorder-free Hamiltonian by solving

$$\hat{H}_0 \mathcal{P}_{n\mathbf{q}} = \epsilon_{n\mathbf{q}} \mathcal{P}_{n\mathbf{q}}; \quad (15)$$

These are the disorder-free polariton states that can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{n\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}^0} \left(u_{n\mathbf{q}} C_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} + v_{n\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{Q}} a_{\mathbf{q}^0}^{\dagger} \right) \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{q}}; \quad (16)$$

where n is a band index, $v_{n\mathbf{q}}$ and $u_{n\mathbf{q}}$ are Hopfield coefficients,²⁴ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the exciton-photon vacuum. We can use these states and obtain an effective disorder potential for polaritons as

$$V_{nn^0\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\mathcal{J}} = \langle \mathcal{P}_{n\mathbf{q}} | \hat{H}_1^{(2)} | \mathcal{P}_{n^0\mathbf{q}^0} \rangle;$$

where \mathcal{J} (ϵ ; p ; g) labels energy, oscillator strength, and positional disorder, respectively. This gives for the energy disorder

$$V_{nn^0\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^e = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}^0} u_{n\mathbf{q}} u_{n^0\mathbf{q}^0}; \quad (17)$$

and for the oscillator strength (positional) disorder

$$V_{nn^0\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\circ(\text{p})} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}^0} \left(h_{\mathbf{q}^0\mathbf{q}}^{\circ(\text{p})} u_{n\mathbf{q}^0} v_{n\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{Q}} + h_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{q}^0}^{\circ(\text{p})} u_{n\mathbf{q}} v_{n^0\mathbf{q}^0} \right); \quad (18)$$

C. Absorption spectrum

The absorption spectrum at an angle determined by the in-plane \mathbf{q} of the cavity photon can be calculated using

the full propagator of the disordered system, which can be written in terms of the disorder-free polariton states as

$$\hat{G}(\omega) = \sum_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0} \mathcal{P}_{n\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathcal{P}_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} | \hat{G}_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) | \mathcal{P}_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} \rangle; \quad (19)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) &= G_{n\mathbf{k}}^0(\omega) G_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} \\ &+ G_{n\mathbf{k}}^0(\omega) T_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) G_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0}^0(\omega); \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$G_{n\mathbf{k}}^0(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - i}; \quad (21)$$

is the Green's function for disorder-free polaritons. T is the scattering T -matrix that can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T(\omega) &= \sum_j T^{(j)}(\omega); \\ T_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}^{(j)}(\omega) &= \langle \mathcal{P}_{n\mathbf{k}} | \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(j)}(\omega) | \mathcal{P}_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} \rangle; \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The imaginary part of the polariton propagator projected on a photon mode at a given wavevector \mathbf{j} gives the absorption spectrum at the corresponding emission angle as

$$A(\omega) = \text{Im} G(\omega); \quad (23)$$

with

$$G(\omega) = \langle \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{j}} | \hat{G}(\omega) | \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{j}} \rangle = \sum_{nn^0} v_{n\mathbf{k}} v_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} G_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega);$$

We can explicitly average over the disorder conditions to obtain

$$\langle G(\omega) \rangle = \sum_{nn^0} v_{n\mathbf{k}} v_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} \langle G_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) \rangle;$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) \rangle &= G_{n\mathbf{k}}^0(\omega) G_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0} \\ &+ G_{n\mathbf{k}}^0(\omega) \langle T_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) \rangle G_{n^0\mathbf{k}^0}^0(\omega); \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In the case of disorder due to energy inhomogeneity, the ensemble averaging leads to the conservation of the momentum, $\langle T_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) \rangle = \langle T_{nn^0\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(\omega) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}$, since the averaging selects only diagonal terms in Eq. (12). We note that this is the same as in the case of one particle scattering on an impurity ensemble.²⁵ The diagonal elements of the T -matrix can be interpreted as an effective self-energy, $\epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} + \langle T_{nn\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \rangle$ and within the pole approximation ($\omega = \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}$), their real part gives a shift of the polariton levels. The shift can also be calculated using a direct perturbation theory approach as shown in the following section.

D . Perturbation theory

Here we calculate the corrections to the energy up to the second order in the disordered potential, using the statistical properties of the disorder derived above. We start with the first order energy shift for all three kinds of disorder

$$E_{nk}^{1,e} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{nk} \mu_{nk}^2; \quad E_{nk}^{1,o(p)} = 2\text{Re} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \mu_{nk}^{o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)}; \quad (25)$$

where μ_{nk} and μ_{nk+K} are defined in Eq. (14). The average value of the energy shift

$$\langle E_{nk}^{1,e} \rangle = 0; \quad (26)$$

$$\langle E_{nk}^{1,o} \rangle = 0; \quad (27)$$

$$\langle E_{nk}^{1,p} \rangle = 2\text{Re} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \mu_{nk} \mu_{nk+K}; \quad (28)$$

and variances are

$$\langle (E_{nk}^{1,e})^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{nk} \mu_{nk}^4; \quad (29)$$

$$\langle (E_{nk}^{1,o})^2 \rangle = 4\text{Re} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \mu_{nk}^{o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{nk}^{o(p)}; \quad (30)$$

$$\langle (E_{nk}^{1,p})^2 \rangle = 4\text{Re} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \mu_{nk} \mu_{nk+K} \mu_{nk+K} \mu_{nk}; \quad (31)$$

The second order energy shift in the non-degenerate case is given by

$$E_{nk}^{2,e} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n^0k^0} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \mu_{nk}^2 \mu_{n^0k^0}^2; \quad (32)$$

$$E_{nk}^{2,o(p)} = \sum_{n^0k^0} \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \left(\mu_{nk}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} + \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{o(p)} \mu_{nk}^{o(p)} \right); \quad (33)$$

In the case of energy disorder, average and variance can be calculated as

$$\langle E_{nk}^{2,e} \rangle = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n^0k^0} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \mu_{nk}^2 \mu_{n^0k^0}^2; \quad (34)$$

$$\langle (E_{nk}^{2,e})^2 \rangle = \frac{4}{N^2} \sum_{n^0k^0} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \mu_{nk}^4 \mu_{n^0k^0}^4; \quad (35)$$

The average value for the the oscillator strength and positional disorder are given by

$$\langle E_{nk}^{2,o(p)} \rangle = \sum_{n^0k^0} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \mu_{nk}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{o(p)}; \quad (36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{nk}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{o(p)} &= \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \left(\mu_{nk}^{1o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{1o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0+K}^{o(p)} + \mu_{nk}^{2o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{2o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0+K}^{o(p)} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k+K} \sum_{nk} \sum_{n^0k^0} \left(\mu_{nk}^{2o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{2o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0+K}^{o(p)} + \mu_{nk}^{1o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{1o(p)} \mu_{nk+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0+K}^{o(p)} \right); \quad (37) \\ \mu_{nk}^{1o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{1o(p)} &= \mu_{k+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{k+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{k^0+K^0}^{o(p)} \mu_{k^0+K^0}^{o(p)}; \\ \mu_{nk}^{2o(p)} \mu_{n^0k^0}^{2o(p)} &= \mu_{k+K}^{o(p)} \mu_{k^0+K^0}^{o(p)} \mu_{k^0+K^0}^{o(p)} \mu_{k+K}^{o(p)}; \end{aligned}$$

Expressions for the variance are not shown but their calculation is straightforward. The degenerate case for the second order shift will be discussed in the next section.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

the case of an excitation at the M point (nite excita-

Here we present the absorption spectra calculated numerically for various strengths of disorder. We consider




FIG. 2: (Color online) Polariton absorption spectrum in logarithmic scale for (i) energy disorder with variance from 0 (black) to 5 m eV (magenta) for polaritons at two high symmetry points of the first Brillouin Zone: M (a) and (b). A homogeneous Lorentzian broadening of $\Gamma = 50$ eV was considered. Material parameters correspond to ZnSe/CdSe systems, and can be found in Ref. 10.

tion angle corresponding to $\mathbf{q}_M = (\pi/a; \pi/a)$ and at the Γ point (normal excitation). The exciton energy is tuned into resonance with the corresponding cavity modes. We have used material parameters of CdSe/ZnSe systems as summarized in Table III of Ref. 10. The full Hamiltonian corresponding to a lattice with 31^2 sites and photon modes in an energy window $[0.9!_x; 1.1!_x]$ is diagonalized. In order to make the results independent of the system size we keep fixed the total disorder-free coupling constant g_q and we use periodic boundary conditions.

Let us start with the effect of the energy disorder in the absorption spectra as shown in Fig. 2. The disorder-free case (black line) shows lower (LP) and upper (UP) polariton peaks with half-exciton and half-photon character. Additionally, in the M-point case (a), there is a central purely photonic peak. The polariton splitting, i.e. the energy difference between the lower and the upper polariton energy, is larger by 0.5 m eV at the Γ -point than at the M-point due to the stronger coupling in the normal direction.¹⁰ The disorder shifts the LP (UP) energy: According to the perturbation theory the first order shift of the LP (UP) is zero (as seen from Eq. (26)) and the second order shift is negative (positive), according to Eq. (34), and leads to an increase of the polariton splitting. Therefore, a weak disorder can increase the robustness




FIG. 3: (Color online) Absorption spectrum in the vicinity of the lower polariton energy calculated exactly (blue) and within the perturbation theory and broadened by Lorentzian (red) with $\Gamma = 5$ eV. 40 Realizations of energy disorder with variation $\sigma = 1$ m eV on the lattice with 20^2 sites were considered.

and visibility of the polariton splitting with respect to the ideal case. The fact that a weak exciton disorder increases the observability of a coherent effect may be seen as counter-intuitive, but it has been also found in similar contexts, e.g. in the exciton Aharonov-Bohm effect.^{26,27} Additionally, by increasing disorder the UP and LP peaks broaden. Within the perturbative approach we find that the broadening of the polariton modes is equal to $\frac{\Gamma}{N}$ in the lowest order (see Eq. (29)), the second order being proportional to $\frac{\Gamma^2}{N}$ (see Eq. (35)). Moreover, in order to check our perturbative approach we have also performed a direct comparison between the full numerical solution and the analytical result from perturbation theory for weak disorder, shown in Fig. 3 and an excellent agreement is found.

Notice that for both Γ and M polaritons, a new central very broad excitonic peak appears. However, in the M-point case a central peak corresponding to a pure photonic mode, clearly insensitive to the disorder, is superposed to the broad central excitonic background. As the width of the energy distribution due to the inhomogeneous energy disorder approaches the value of the lower and upper polariton splitting, the three peaks merge and the spectrum consists of a central narrow (photon-like) peak and a broad excitonic background.

From an experimental point of view, state-of-the-art quantum dot samples have inhomogeneous broadening of several m eV, which is comparable to typical values of the polariton splitting. This makes the observation of the polariton splitting challenging. On the other hand, impurities have very narrow energy distribution and the planar lattice can be realized by patterned ion-implantation methods. A array of impurities with deep excitonic levels could be obtained by this method. Assuming deep energy levels, Frenkel-like excitons bound to impurities will have very small inhomogeneous energy broadening. Nevertheless, using ion implantation, it is hard to control the number of impurities on every lattice site. Thus, the lattice of impurities has a very narrow on-site energy distribution but large oscillator strength disorder due to impurity number fluctuation. The same kind of disorder




FIG. 4: (Color online) Polariton absorption spectrum in logarithmic scale for oscillator strength disorder with variance from 0 (black) to 50% (magenta) of the average for polaritons at two high symmetry points of the first Brillouin Zone: Γ (a) and M (b). Other parameters as in Fig. 2.

der may occur in atoms trapped in optical lattices. In order to investigate this effect, we consider a Gaussian distribution of the exciton oscillator strength in the coupling constants g_{jq} . A Poisson distribution is another possibility but we have not investigated that case. The absorption spectra for different oscillator strength disorder are shown in Fig. 4. The behaviour of the LP and UP peaks for both Γ and M point is similar to the case of the energy disorder. In particular, we observe a decrease (increase) of LP (UP) energy, which is again consistent with the perturbation theory as seen in Eqs. (27) and (36). We also remark that even for very large fluctuations of the oscillator strength both the broadening and energy shift remain smaller with respect to the inhomogeneous energy disorder.

Finally, we have also investigated absorption spectra in the presence of positional disorder, i.e. deviations in the exciton localization sites from the ideal lattice, as shown in Fig. 5. Clearly, this disorder plays a role only for M -point polaritons, since the Γ -point does not probe the lattice symmetry (Fig. 5b). In contrast to the oscillator strength disorder and to the energy disorder, positional disorder leads to a blueshift (redshift) of the lower (upper) polariton peak, which implies a reduction of the polariton splitting. This is related to the fact that the first order perturbation theory is non-zero in this case, as




FIG. 5: (Color online) Polariton absorption spectrum in logarithmic scale for position disorder with variance from 0 (black) to 10% (red), 30% (green), and 50% (magenta) of the lattice constant and for polaritons at two high symmetry points of the first Brillouin Zone: M (a) and Γ (b). Other parameters as in Fig. 2.

seen in Eq. (28). The behaviour of the central polariton (CP) peak is more complex. First, it splits into three lines for weak disorder. Then the remaining central peak splits again into a doublet for stronger disorder. The first splitting can be understood easily taking into account CP's three-fold degeneracy¹⁰ at q_M which is lifted in presence of disorder and consequently one energy shifts upwards (downwards) and one stays in the middle. With increasing strength of disorder, the state with q_M mixes with neighboring q and doublet appears.

Moreover, assuming zero exciton transfer, no analytical expressions can be derived because the CP is N -fold degenerate (in the BZ). On the other hand, assuming a finite exciton transfer rate $t_x \neq 0$, the N -fold degeneracy decreases to a three-fold degeneracy at the M -point. Since these levels are purely photonic, the first order matrix elements are zero and second order perturbation theory has to be used. The matrix elements of the second order effective Hamiltonian read

$$\langle p \rangle_{m n}^{(2)} = \sum_{n^0 k^0} \frac{\langle p \rangle_{m n}^{(1)} \langle p \rangle_{n^0 k^0}^{(1)}}{E_{CP} - E_{k^0}}; \quad (38)$$

where

$$\langle p \rangle_{m n}^{(1)} = \sum_{K K^0} \langle p \rangle_{k+K, k^0} \langle p \rangle_{k+K^0, k^0} \frac{V_{m, k+K} V_{n, k+K^0}}{E_{k+K} - E_{k^0}}; \quad (39)$$

The correction to the unperturbed energy is found by averaging the eigenvalues of \hat{H} , whichs cannot be done analytically. A good approximation consists in averaging first the matrix elements

$$\langle H_{mn}^{(p)} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} V_{m, \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \quad (40)$$

before calculating the eigenvalues. We have shown that this approximation introduces errors of the fourth order in the disorder potential and gives good agreement with the exact numerical calculation.

If the positional disorder is of the same order of the lattice constant, i.e. for $r_R = 0.5a$, the lattice becomes close to a set of random sites. In this limit, instead of averaging the eigenvalues, the random phase $e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}$ in the coupling constants can be averaged first, which leads to a position independent value $\langle e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j} \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{q},0}$. This results in a δ -point like spectrum with an upper and lower polariton as clearly shown for the strongest disorder in Fig. 5a (magenta).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated numerically and analytically the role of disorder in structures consisting of a lattice of dots

or in purities embedded in a planar microcavity. We have focused on Bragg polaritons occurring at the Brillouin zone boundary and we have shown that small energy disorder, i.e. diagonal disorder, with respect to the light-matter coupling is necessary to observe polariton features in the absorption spectra. Moreover, we have found that polariton is very robust against oscillator strength and position disorder. Our results show that a lattice consisting of deep impurities with Frenkel excitons or atoms in an optical lattice structure are promising candidates for the experimental observation of the Bragg polaritons.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. DMR-0608501.

-
- ¹ J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. M. Archetti, M. H. Szymanska, R. Andre, J. L. Staehli, et al., *Nature* 443, 409 (2006).
 - ² R. Balili, V. Hartwell, D. Snoke, L. Pfeifer, and K. West, *Science* 316, 1007 (2007).
 - ³ C. W. Lai, N. Y. Kim, S. Utsunomiya, G. Roumpos, H. Deng, M. D. Fraser, T. Bymes, P. Recher, N. Kumada, T. Fujisawa, et al., *Nature* 450, 529 (2007).
 - ⁴ H. Deng, G. Weihs, D. Snoke, J. Boch, and Y. Yamamoto, *PNAS* 100, 15318 (2003).
 - ⁵ V. Savona, C. Piermarocchi, A. Quattropani, P. Schwendimann, and F. Tassone, *Phase Transitions* 68, 169 (1999).
 - ⁶ J. Keeling, F. M. M. Archetti, M. H. Szymanska, and P. B. Littlewood, *Semicond. Sci. Tech.* 22, R1 (2007).
 - ⁷ E. Peter, P. Senellart, D. Martrou, A. Lemaitre, J. Hours, J. M. Gerard, and J. Boch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95, 067401 (2005).
 - ⁸ J. P. Reithmaier, G. Sek, A. Lor, S. K. Choffmann, S. Reitzenstein, L. V. Keldysh, V. D. Kulakovskii, T. L. Reinecke, and A. Forchel, *Nature* 432, 197 (2004).
 - ⁹ T. Yoshie, A. Scherer, J. Hendrickson, G. Khitrova, H. M. Gibbs, G. Rupper, C. Ell, O. B. Shchekin, and D. G. Deppe, *Nature* 432, 200 (2004).
 - ¹⁰ E. M. Kessler, M. G. Rochol, and C. Piermarocchi, *Phys. Rev. B* 77, 085306 (2008).
 - ¹¹ S. Francoeur, J. F. Klemm, and A. Mascarenhas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 067403 (2004).
 - ¹² A. Muller, P. Bianucci, C. Piermarocchi, M. Fomari, I. C. Robin, R. Andre, and C. K. Shih, *Phys. Rev. B* 73, 081306(R) (2006).
 - ¹³ T. Shinada, S. Okamoto, T. Kobayashi, and I. Ohdomari, *Nature* 437, 1128 (2005).
 - ¹⁴ F. J. Rue, W. Pok, T. C. G. Reusch, M. J. Butcher, K. Eng, J. Goh, L. Oberbeck, G. Scappucci, A. R. Hamillton, and M. Y. Simmons, *Small* 3, 563 (2007).
 - ¹⁵ I. Kulkarnishvili, C. Kayis, J. F. Harrison, C. Piermarocchi, T. A. Kaplan, S. H. Tessmer, L. N. Pfeifer, and K. W. West, *Nature Physics* (2008), in press.
 - ¹⁶ D. Gerace and L. C. Andreani, *Phys. Rev. B* 75, 235325 (2007).
 - ¹⁷ Y. D. Chong, D. E. Pritchard, and M. Soljacic, *Phys. Rev. B* 75, 235124 (2007).
 - ¹⁸ F. Bariani and I. Carusotto, *Journal of the European Optical Society* 3, 08005 (2008).
 - ¹⁹ K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, *Nature (London)* 438, 197 (2005).
 - ²⁰ K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, *Science* 306, 666 (2004).
 - ²¹ G. F. Quinteiro, J. Fernandez-Rossier, and C. Piermarocchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97, 097401 (2006).
 - ²² R. Houdre, R. P. Stanley, and M. Helegans, *Phys. Rev. A* 53, 2711 (1996).
 - ²³ V. M. Agranovich and Y. N. Gartstein, *Phys. Rev. B* 75, 075302 (2007).
 - ²⁴ J. J. Hopfeld, *Phys. Rev.* 112, 1555 (1958).
 - ²⁵ G. D. Mahan, *Many-particle physics* (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 2000).
 - ²⁶ M. G. Rochol, F. Grosse, and R. Zimmermann, *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 115416 (2006).

²⁷ M. G. Mohler and R. Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. B 76, 195326 (2007).