Philosophical M agazine Vol.00, No.00, 00 M onth 200x, 1{8

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Topological and geom etrical disorder correlate robustly in two-dim ensional foam s

C.Quilliet^a S.AtaeiTalebi^a, D.Rabaud^a, J.Kafer^a, S.J.Cox^b, and F.Graner^a,

^a Laboratoire de Spectrom etrie Physique , B.P. 87, F-38402 M artin d'Heres Cedex, France ;^b Institute of M athem atics and Physics, A berystwyth University, Ceredigion SY 23 3BZ, UK

(Received 00 M onth 200x; nalversion received 00 M onth 200x)

A 2D foam can be characterised by its distribution of bubble areas, and of number of sides. B oth distributions have an average and a width (standard deviation). There are therefore at least two very di erent ways to characterise the disorder. The form er is a geom etrical measurem ent, while the latter is purely topological. We discuss the com m on points and di erences between both quantities. We measure them in a foam which is sheared, so that bubbles move past each other and the foam is \shu ed" (a notion we discuss). B oth quantities are strongly correlated; in this case (only) it thus becomes su cient to use either one or the other to characterize the foam disorder. We suggest applications to the analysis of other system s, including biological tissues.

K eyw ords: Foam , two dim ensions, topology, geom etry, disorder, shear.

1. Introduction

Two-dimensional cellular patterns ll the plane without gaps or overlaps. Even if we consider here only the class of patterns where most cells meet in threes, they are ubiquitous in nature: from 2D foams to biological tissues, including geology, hydrodynamics, ecology, geography; as well as 2D cuts of 3D cellular patterns in metallurgy, astronomy, 3D foams and biological organisms [1, 2]. Each cell is characterised by its area A, which is an intrinsic property determ ined by the am ount of matter it encloses, and its num ber of neighbours n, which can vary according to the mutual arrangement of cells within the pattern.

There are at least two quantities which characterise the disorder of a pattern: the distribution of A and the distribution of n [1, 2, 3]. In the present paper, we study whether it is possible to simplify the description of disorder to only two numbers, namely the width of each distributions, called, respectively, the geometrical and topological disorders. Both measures of disorder are independent [4, 5]. How ever, statistically, a cell's value of n tends to increase with its size (perimeter or area): sm all cells tend to have fewer neighbours, and larger cells have more neighbours (see [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] and references therein). We can thus expect that,

UMR 5588, CNRS-Universite Grenoble I

Corresponding author. Tel: + 33 4 76 51 43 33. Fax: + 33 4 76 63 54 95. Em ail: catherine.quilliet@ujfgrenoble.fr



Figure 1. Experim ental set-up. (a) Side view : bubbles are sandwiched between the surface of water and a horizontal glass plate. (b) Top view : a computer controlled motor moves one rigid boundary, while the other one is xed; the lateral boundaries are passive.

except when the initial conditions due to preparation are important, there is a correlation between these two measures of disorder. We investigate here, on dierent examples but focusing on a 2D foam, whether this intuition is correct and under which conditions the disorder of a pattern can statistically be described by a single number.

We rst describe experiments on a sheared monolayer of bubbles (x_2) , and compare the results (x_3) with simulations of similar systems and with data from evolving biological tissues (x_4) .

2. M aterials and m ethods

2.1. Foam preparation

The set-up is similar to that of K ader and Eamshaw [13]. It uses a bubble monolayer (quasi-2D foam) conned at the air-water interface (Fig. 1a), as introduced by Smith [7] and adapted by Vaz & Fortes [14]. It provides all the properties that we require (unlike both other main types of quasi-2D foams, namely between two parallel glass plates, or at the surface of water exposed to air [15]): the water provides easy access to the foam and enables us to prepare precisely the chosen distribution of bubble areas. It also facilitates the manipulation of the boundaries, in particular that of the rubber bands (see below). The glass plate facilitates observation and prevents bubbles from breaking: the foam lifetime is limited only by coarsening, which takes several hours and does not a ect the results presented below.

The trough is a rectangle 44 cm long and 20 cm wide. The foam is enclosed in a smaller square of size 18 $18 = 324 \text{ cm}^2$, with four independent boundaries (Fig. 1b). A rigid rod (xed boundary) is attached to the trough itself. Another rigid rod (m oving boundary) is attached to the glass lid, which slides laterally under teh control of a m otor. The rectangle is closed on each side by a rubber band (passive boundaries): it thus deform s into a parallelogram at constant area (Fig. 2).

The trough is led with a solution of 10% commercial dishwashing liquid in water. A pump blows air into a tube placed below the surface of water, which is moved back and forth to produce an homogeneous foam. At low ow rate, the solution surface tension and the tube diameter together x the bubble volumes [16]. The histogram of bubble volumes has thus a single peak. Its width increases with the ow rate, from \narrow m onom odal" (Figs. 3a) to \polydisperse" (Figs. 3b). U sing two tubes simultaneously yields a histogram of bubble volumes with two peaks. The distance between peaks can be chosen smaller than their width (\with shoulder", Fig. 3c), or larger (\bim odal", Figs. 3d).

The foam thickness h is the distance between water and glass in the absence of bubbles (Fig. 1a). At small h the foam is wet, with round bubbles. At large h



Figure 2. The foam is initially prepared within a square of 18 cm side (a,b,c), which can be deform ed into a parallogram of the same area, here at an angle of 30 (d,e). The im ages show sam ples with the sm allest (a,d) and largest (b,e) width A =hA i of the bubble area distribution. (f) Same bim odal foam as in (c), after 44 cycles of shear.

bubbles begin to pile on top of each other making a 3 dimensional foam [17]. In between (3 < h < 6;8 mm), the bubble monolayer is moderately wet; bubbles can deform and thus store elastic energy. The foam has a nite shearm odulus and thus behaves as a 2D elastic solid until it yields and bubbles rearrange.

2.2. M easurements

A circular uorescent tube (diam eter 48 cm) is placed just above the trough. A video cam era records a 33 cm wide, 25 cm high eld of view. Im ages are analysed using Im ageJ [18] and skeletonised: white bubbles are surrounded by black boundaries one pixel thick. Each bubble's number n of sides (i.e. of neighbours) is measured without am biguity. At a given h, each bubble's volum e determ ines its projected area seen from the top [19]. Hereafter, we call \bubble area" the area A of each skeletonized bubble (this corresponds, in the original im age, to the area of the gas and alm ost all the liquid).

For each foam, we plot the histogram of A and n; Fig. 3 shows di erent examples. We check that both averages, hA i and hni, have the expected values. Here the total number N of bubbles is typically 570 to 1800 (with a maximum of 2719); hA i is close to the total area of the foam divided by N (that is, the inverse of the bubble density), typically 18 to 65 mm²; hni is always equal to 6, m inus a sm all correction of order 1=N [1, 20].

We then measure the standard deviations $A = A^2 + hAi^2$ and $n = A^2 + hAi^2 + hAi^$

 n^2 hni^2 ¹⁼². To enable comparisons, in what follows we only consider the dimensionless standard deviations A=hAi and n=hni as measurements of the geometrical and topological disorder:

$$\frac{A}{hAi} = \frac{s}{\frac{hA^{2}i}{hAi^{2}}} \frac{1}{1} ; \frac{n}{hni} = \frac{s}{\frac{hn^{2}i}{hni^{2}}} \frac{1}{1} :$$
(1)

In principle it is possible to de nen unam biguously even for bubbles at the bound-



Figure 3. Four examples of histogram s of bubble area A (top) and number n of sides (bottom) in freshly prepared foam s: (a) narrow monom odal, (b) polydisperse, (c) with shoulder, (d) bin odal.

aries [5]. W hile useful in simulations, in experiments the image analysis and skeletonization cause some systematic bias in the area measurement at the boundaries. We thus choose to remove these bubbles when evaluating both measures of disorder, which are very sensitive to artefacts, or to errors due to even a small number of bubbles [2].

2.3. Shear cycles

A shear cycle consists in shearing the foam by displacing the movable boundary a distance 10.4 cm and back, and then to 10.4 cm, and back again. This corresponds to an angle of +30 to 30 and a strain amplitude of +10.4=18 = +0.58 to 0.58. For dry foam s, to be well above the yield strain requires a larger am plitude [21]; we obtain it by preparing the foam when the moveable rod is at the position 4:1cm (at the left lim it of the camera eld of view): the cycle is then at positive strain from 0 to (10:4 + 4:1)=18 = 0:8, then down to (10:4) 4:1)=18 = 0:35. For the driest foam, we also reduce the size of the trough by reducing the distace between the xed and moveable rods to 10.5 cm; N is then smaller (413 vs. 879 bubbles), and a cycle consists of positive strains in the range from 0 to (10.4+4.1)=10.5=1.4, then down to (10:4 4:1)=10:5 = 0:6.

A cycle lasts T = 275 seconds and the maximum velocity is 37.9 = m in, slow enough that the results presented here do not depend on the velocity. The bubble deform ation and the velocity gradient enforced by the lateral boundaries are uniform (up to non-a ne displacements due to local disorder). During shear, each cell area is conserved, so that A = hA is keeps its initial value. We measure n = hni at each period.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows foam samples with the smallest (Fig.2a,d) and largest (Fig.2b,e) width A = hAi of the bubble area distribution. We make the dierent kinds of distribution overlap, i.e. the width of some polydisperse foams are larger than some bin odal foam s.

In principle, it would be possible to prepare foam s with a slightly larger width of the area distribution by including a few very large bubbles. However, this would m ake the distribution spatially heterogeneous. At the other extrem e, a foam with a sm aller width of the area distribution would be possible with other experimental



Figure 4. Size-topology correlation for foam experim ents after several shear cycles: (a) narrow
m onom odal, (b) polydisperse, (c) bim odal. C losed squares, left scale: average size < A (n) > (in pixels) of
the population of bubbles with n sides; bar: the standard deviation calculated on each population.
D ashed line, right scale: num ber N (n) of bubbles in this population.



Figure 5. (a) Evolution of topological disorder under shear for given bubble area distribution: n=hni (norm alised by its asym ptotic value to facilitate com parisons) versus num ber of shear cycles. D ata correspond to F ig. 4: diam onds: narrow m onom odal; triangles: polydisperse; squares: bim odal. (b) E volution of the fraction of contacts between unlike (sm all large) bubbles during shear for a bim odal foam.

set-ups, but with only a sm all number of non-hexagonal bubbles, the distribution of the number of sides would again become spatially heterogeneous (see caption of Fig. 6).

Fig. 4 indicates a clear correlation between bubble size and number of sides for sheared foam s (unsheared foam s are sim ilar, data not shown). There is still debate (for reviews see [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]) concerning (i) systems for which this relation is or is not linear; (ii) whether it is related to entropy maxim isation, energy m inim isation, or both (free energy m inim isation); (iii) what is the value and signi cance of the linear intercept; (iv) whether n correlates better with bubble perimeter or area (or volume, in 3D); (v) and especially up to what precision the relation can signi cantly be considered as linear for practical or theoretical applications. Here, in a narrow m onom odal foam there are too few points to reach a conclusion; in polydisperse and bin odal foam s the relation is certainly not linear.

W hile the foam is sheared, the bubbles rearrange and the distribution of the num ber of sides changes while the area distribution remains xed. In all experiments, n=hni reaches a constant value after a few shear cycles (Fig. 5a). Under shearing, weakly disordered foam s become more ordered: n=hni decreases; bim odal foam s (Fig. 2c,f) become increasingly mixed (Fig. 5b), so that n=hni increases. This is important, and not trivial [4].

A ny given pattern can be represented by a point in the plane (A=hAi; n=hni). D uring a single shear experiment, this point moves up or down. A fterm any cycles, Quilliet et al.: disorders in foam s

quilliet_disorder



Figure 6. Correlation between m easures of disorder in sheared foam s. Each point is the asymptotic value of n=hni and A=hAi, from Fig. (5a). Solid line: power law n=hni = 0.27 (A=hAi) ^{0:8}. D ashed lines: low er bound for topological disorder, for the extrem e values of N in these experiments (413 and 2719). W hen enclosing a honeycomb in a square box, boundary conditions in pose at least two grain boundaries with length of order N ¹⁻² between perpendicular lattices. Topological considerations [22] in ply a m inim alnum ber of paired ve- and seven-sided bubbles per unit line of grain boundaries. W e then estimate the topological disorder to be at least 1=[3 12¹⁻⁸ N ¹⁻⁴]:

ALAFICH



Figure 7. Correlation in disorder for other patterns. Solid line: eq. (2), same as in Fig. 6. (a) Foam simulations: dow nw ard-pointing triangles, Surface E volver [23] simulations [21] shu ed by cycles of shear, with four boundaries as in the present experiments; upward-pointing triangles, same, but with periodic boundary conditions (no boundaries) [21]; closed diam onds, Surface E volver simulations shu ed by cycles of uniaxial elongation [24]; open squares, simulations using the Potts model at constant tension, with periodic boundary conditions, shu ed by increasing the uctuations [25, 26]. (b) B iological tissues: closed triangles, cells rearranging during the form ation of a fruit y (D rosophila) em bryo thorax epithelium; open triangles, same for m ud m utant tissue [27]; closed square, facets (om m atidia) in a fruit y (D rosophila) retina are arranged in an ordered honeycom b; open square, same for the rough eye m utant retina. [28]; grey diam onds, simulations using the Potts m odel of cells w ith adhesion and cortical tension, w ith periodic boundary conditions, shu ed by increasing the uctuations [25, 26].

points tend to cluster on a single curve (Fig. 6), namely the power law:

$$\frac{n}{hni} = 0.27 \quad \frac{A}{hAi} \quad (2)$$

This is the main result of this paper.

4. Discussion

In our foam s, the width of the area distribution varies from 0.1 to 1, and that of the topology distribution from 0.03 to 0.3. In this lim ited range, eq. (2) m eans that

n=hni is close to 0.3 A=hAi.D istributions with the same width but di erent higher-order m om ents behave sim ilarly.

This range covers a large variety of foam s already studied in the literature.Larger n=hni, up to 0.5 or 0.6, would instead correspond to simulated irregular-shaped structures generated by fragm entation (fractal topological gas [29]).Low er n=hni, down to zero, can be obtained only in highly controlled structures, with periodic boundary conditions (as in simulations) or with boundaries far away from the analysed im age [30]. For instance the fruit y retina (Fig 7b) is part of a large honeycom b lattice of 800 facets [31].

A coording to de A lm eida and cow orkers [32, 33, 34], \shu ing" a foam involves enough topological changes (including \T1" neighbour swappings [1]) that it bass any m em ory of its initial condition. The present results m ake this de nition precise: a foam is shu ed after enough rearrangem ents [21] that the topological disorder becom es m ore dependent on the geom etrical disorder than on the initial conditions. Since the present w ork is descriptive, it is di cult to determ ine the physical origin of this statistical (rather than exact) correlation, which is not trivial [30]. It does not seem related to the m inim isation of any energy, and could apply to patterns other than foam s.

Examples from foam simulations as well as biological tissues are plotted on Fig. 7. The collected data all fall in the same region of the (geometrical disorder, topological disorder) plane. Most of them have n=hni larger (up to 1.5 or 2 times) than eq. (2).

The curve of Fig. 6 (eq. 2) appears, again statistically (rather than exactly [30]), as a lower bound for the topological disorder, at given area disorder. It can be used in practice as a benchm ark to test how shu ed a pattern is. If a pattern has n=hni very di erent from 0:3 A=hA i, it probably means that it is far from being

shu ed. There is no reciprocity: a non-shu ed pattern can be close to eq. (2). The curve of Fig. 6 seems to be stable under shu ing: it is reached asymptotically, when starting from di erent initial conditions (Fig. 5).

5. Conclusion

To sum marize, all the patterns that we exam ine are in the same region of the plane (geom etrical disorder, topological disorder). For a given width of the area distribution (and independently of its higher moments), the \shu ed foam " is well de ned and realized. It reaches a lower bound (eq. 2) for the topological disorder, which is robust and directly dependent on the geom etrical disorder. Theoretical work could try to explain eq. (2) and its stability, for instance by understanding the respective roles of disorder and surface minimisation [32, 33, 34].

These results could be used to characterize patterns and determ ine causal relations between both disorders, including in biological mutants. They could also be used to study how the foam 's disorder (now quanti ed by a single number) a ects its mechanical properties [24], and to improve the characterisation of coarsening [3, 34]. Perspectives include generalisation to other discrete system s, such as granular matter and colloids [35, 36] and to 3D system s [34].

A cknow ledgem ents

M anuelFortes' passionate approach to foam physics has been a source of inspiration for several of us. We thank J. Legoupil for his participation in the simulations, K. Brakke for developing and maintaining the Surface Evolver code, A F M. M aree

8

REFERENCES

for developing the Potts model code used here, M F.Vaz for providing references, I.C antat for critical reading of the manuscript, Y.Bella che, R.C arthew and T. Hayashi for providing pictures of biological tissues, S.Courty for their analysis, P.Ballet for help in setting up the experiment, and participants of the Foam Mechanics workshop (G renoble, January 2008) form any discussions.SA.T.thanks DrEjehadi for hospitality at the Institute of Physics and M athematics, Tehran (Iran).SJC.thanks UJF for hospitality, and CNRS, EPSRC (EP/D 048397/1, EP/D 071127/1) and the British Council Alliance scheme for nancial support.

References

- [1] D.W eaire, N.R ivier, Contem p. Phys. 25, 5 (1984)
- [2] J.A.G lazier, Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago, 1989.
- [3] J.A.G lazier and D.W eaire, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 4 (1992) 1867-1894
- [4] P.I.C. Teixeira, F.G raner and M.A. Fortes, Eur. Phys. J.E 9, 161 (2002)
- [5] F.Graner, Y.Jiang, E.Janiaud, and C.Flament, Phys. Rev. E, 63, 011402 (2001).
- [6] F.T.Lewis, Anat. Records 38, 341 (1928); 50, 235 (1931).
- [7] C.S.Sm ith, in M etal Interfaces, Am erican Society for M etals, C leveland, 1952, p.65.
- [8] N.Rivier and A.Lissow skiJ.Phys.A:Math.Gen.15 (1982) L143-L148
- [9] P.Pina, M.A.Fortes, J.Phys.D 29, 2507 (1996)
- [10] N.Rivier, in Foam s and Emulsions, edited by J.J. Sadoc and N.Rivier, Kluwer, Boston, 1999, p. 105.
- [11] N.Rivier, in D isorder and G ranular M edia, edited by D.Bideau and A.Hansen, Elsevier, New York, 1993, p. 55.
- [12] M A.Fortes and P.I.C. Teixeira, J. Phys. A M ath. Gen. 36 (2003) 5161-5173
- [13] A.Abd elKader, J.C. Eamshaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2610
- [14] M F.Vaz, M A.Fortes, J.Phys.Cond.M atter 9, 8921 (1997)
- [15] M F.Vaz, S.J.Cox, Philos.M ag. Lett. 85, 415 (2005).
- [16] R.Cliff, J.R.Grace, M.E.Weber, Bubbles, drops and particles", A cadem ic press, New York, 1978.
- [17] S.J.Cox, D.W eaire, M F.Vaz, Euro.Phys.J.E 7, 311 (2002).
- [18] http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/
- [19] C.Raufaste, B.Dollet, S.Cox, Y.Jiang, and F.Graner, Eur. Phys. J.E 23, 217 (2007).
- [20] W .C.G raustein, Annals of M athem atics, 32 (1931) 149-153
- [21] C.Raufaste, PhD thesis, Univ.G renoble (2007), http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00193248/fr/
- [22] L.Alfonso, M.E.Rosa, M.A.Fortes, Coll. Surf. A 309 (2007) 38.
- [23] K.Brakke.Exp.M ath.1, 141 (1992).
- [24] S.J.Cox, J.Non-Newtonian Fl.Mech., 137 (2006) 39.
- [25] Maree, A.F.M., Grieneisen, V.A., & Hogeweg, P. (2007) In Single Cell Based Models in Biology and Medicine, eds. Anderson, A.R.A., Chaplain, M.A.J., & Rejniak, K.A. (Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel), pp. 107{136.
- [26] J.Kafer, T.Hayashi, A.F.M.Maree, R.W. Carthew, F.Graner, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 18549 (2007)
- [27] S.Courty, J.Friedlander, Y.Bella che, F.Graner, preprint (2008)
- [28] Hayashi, T. & Carthew, R. W. (2004) Nature 431, 647 (652.
- [29] R.Delannay, G.LeCaer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 1553
- [30] For any A=hA i it would be possible to construct a (non-shu ed!) foam of arbitrarily sm all n=hni, as follows [4]. Consider a bim odal foam, where sm all bubbles, arranged in a honeycom b, would be surrounded by a honeycom b of large bubbles. N on-hexagonal bubble would be at the boundary between both honeycom bs, and thus of order $\frac{1}{N}$. Thus by increasing N, n=hni could be m ade arbitrarily sm all.
- [31] Note that the topological order is already present before the retina is entirely di erentiated and the facet area can be de ned: see Fig.2G, H of F.C hanut et al, G enetics 160 (2002) 623.
- [32] R.M.C.de Almeida, and J.R. Iglesias, J.Phys. A 21, 3365, 1988; J.R. Iglesias and R.M.C.de Almeida, Phys. Rev. 43, 2763, 1991
- [33] R.M.C.de Almeida and J.C.M.M ombach, Physica A 236, 268, 1997.
- [34] G.L.Thomas, R.M.C.de Almeida, F.Graner, Phys. Rev. E 74, 021407, 2006
- [35] P.Ziherl, R.D.Kamien, J.Phys.Chem.B 105, 10147 (2001).
- [36] C.N.Likos, C.L.Henley, Philos. Mag. B 68, 85 (1993).