arXiv:0802.4199v1 [cond-mat.soft] 28 Feb 2008

C lusters, columns, and lam ellae { m in im um energy con gurations in core softened potentials

Gemot J. Pauschenwein¹ and Gerhard Kahl¹

¹Center for Computational Materials Science and Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Technische Universitat W ien, W iedner Hauptstra e 8-10, A-1040 W ien, Austria (D ated: A pril 2, 2024)

W e give evidence that particles interacting via the simple, radially symmetric square-shoulder potential can self-organize in highly complex, low -symmetry lattices, forming thereby clusters, columns, or lam ellae; only at high pressure compact, high-symmetry structures are observed. Our search for these ordered equilibrium structures is based on ideas of genetic algorithms, a strategy that is characterized by a high success rate. A simple mean-eld type consideration complements these indings and locates in a semi-quantitative way the cross-over between the competing structures.

PACS num bers: 64.70 Nd, 82.70 Dd, 82.70.-y

"One of the continuing scandals in the physical sciences is that it remains in general impossible to predict the structure of even the sim plest crystalline solids from a know ledge of their chem ical composition" [1]. Even now adays, twenty years later, this statem ent is still valid and it applies equally well to the case that the physical properties of the system, e.g., in term s of its inter-particle potential, are known. Indeed, in many problem s of hard and soft condensed matter theory a powerful tool that is able to predict the ordered equilibrium structures of a system in a reliable way is badly missing. This applies in particular to soft m atter system swhere particles are able to self-organize in a broad variety of unexpected and often very exotic ordered structures: m icellar and inverse m icellar structures [2, 3], spirals [4], chains and layers [3, 5], and cluster phases [6, 7] are a few examples.

During the past decades several strategies have been proposed to nd the energetically most favorable particle arrangements of a system. A part from conventional approaches that rely on intuition, experience, or plausible arguments when selecting candidates for ordered equilibrium structures, there are more sophisticated approaches such as simulated annealing [8], basin hopping [9], or meta-dynamics [10]. How ever, all these strategies are a ected by di erent sorts of de ciencies which can signi cantly reduce their success rates.

In recent years convincing evidence has been given that search strategies based on ideas of genetic algorithms (GAs) are able to provide a signi cant break-through to solve this problem . Generally speaking, GAs are strategies that use key ideas of evolutionary processes, such as survivalof the ttest, recombination, ormutation, to nd optim al solutions for a problem [11]. The wide spectrum of obviously successful applications in di erent elds of condensed matter physics unambiguously demonstrates exibility, reliability, and e ciency: among these their are laser pulse control [12], protein folding [13], or cluster form ation [14]. In contrast, attem pts to apply GAs in the search for ordered equilibrium structures in condensed matter theory were realized considerably later. W hile rst application probably dates back to 1999 [5] the

their widespread use in hard matter theory was pioneered by 0 ganov and co-workers [16] only recently, where they have become meanwhile a standard tool: a wide spectrum of successful applications ranging from geophysical to technologically relevant problem s give evidence of the power and the exibility of this approach (for an overview see [16]). In soft condensed matter theory the usage of these search strategies is still in its infancy. First applications to nd m in im um energy con gurations (MECs) of soft systems have, nevertheless, unambiguously docum ented the power of the algorithm : successful exam ples are the identi cation of exotic lattice structures and cluster phases for particular soft system s [7, 17], or of com plex, ordered arrangem ents of m onolayers of binary dipolarm ixtures [18]. All these investigations mentioned above give evidence that GA -based search strategies have an extrem ely high success rate.

In this contribution we consider a simple soft model system, i.e., a square-shoulder system, and show that the GA is an e-cient and reliable tool to identify even highly complex MECs in soft matter systems. We discover an overwhelming and undoubtedly unexpected wealth of ordered MECs, which comprise clusters, columns, lam ellae, as well as compact structures. The simple shape of the potential allows an easy geometric interpretation of the system's strategies to form MECs in terms of overlapping shoulders, a nice feature that is not available in systems with continuous potentials. We ind evidence that the success rate of our algorithm must be close to 100%.

The square-shoulder system is the simplest representative in the class of the so-called core-softened potentials (for references see [19]). Despite their innocently looking potentials these systems are characterized by a host of surprising properties, such as water-like anom a-lies [19, 20] or a rich wealth in the occurring structures, investigated in detail in two-dimensional systems [3, 18]. The potential (r) of the square-shoulder system,

consists of an impenetrable core of diameter with an adjacent, repulsive, step-shaped shoulder of height and width . For the aim softhe present contribution it represents the 'quintessential' test system [21]. But we also point out that the square-shoulder system is not only of purely academ ic interest: it represents a reasonable model system for colloidal particles with a core-corona architecture, as has been given evidence for in [22]. Further, we introduce the num ber-density \$ = N = V, N and V being the num ber of particles and the volume, respectively.

The MECs for this system have been identied via a GA-based search strategy in the NPT ensemble. For details of the encoding of the individuals (= lattices) and of the reproduction mechanism we refer to [23]. The quality of an individual I is measured via the tness function f(I), for which we haven chosen f(I) =expf [G (I) G_0]= G_0 g. Since our investigations are restricted to the case T = 0, the G ibbs free energy, G (I), reduces at a given pressure P to G = U + PV, U being the lattice sum; G₀ is the G ibbs free energy of a reference structure. Signi cant extensions of the search strategy were required due to the impenetrable core: as a consequence of the highly stochastic character of GAs, the algorithm will propose with a high probability lattices where the cores of the particles overlap: such con qurations are unphysical and thus useless. A more quantitative investigation reveals that the physically relevant lattices (characterized by non-overlapping cores of the particles) populate only a highly porous subset of the search space [24].

To overcom ethis problem a suitable modi cation is urgently required, which guarantees that unphysical con gurations are excluded a priori so that only lattices with non-overlapping cores are created. Such a strategy has been developed and will be outlined brie y. We start for simplicity with a simple lattice, described via a set of linearly independent vectors a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 . In order to satisfy our expectations, they have to meet several requirem ents. First, the vectors are chosen such that $ja_1 j ja_2 j ja_3 j$ where $ja_1 j$ represents the shortest possible distance between lattice sites. Then, a_2 is selected so that $j_{a_2} j$ is either equal to $j_{a_1} j$ or represents the second smallest distance encountered between two lattice points. Finally, the third vector, a_3 , is chosen so that $j_{a_3} j$ satis es a similar relation with respect to $j_{a_2} j$. Of course, the choice of the faig is not unique. If we are able to construct a lattice so that a priori a_1 j , then it is guaranteed by construction that the particles will not overlap. We have succeeded in developing a form alism that is able to create vectors faig, that satisfy the above requirements. These constraints lead to inequalities between the Cartesian components of the vectors; these lengthy relations along with a detailed explanation of the algorithm will be described elsewhere [25]. In nonsimple lattices overlap can also be caused by the basis particles. Let us assume that the underlying simple lattice satis es above requirem ents. Then we calculate all the distances between the particles of this cell and all particles located in the 26 neighboring cells. Let l_0 be the sm allest among these distances; if $l_0 < ja_1 j$ then the vectors fa_ig are scaled by a factor $ja_1 j = l_0$, which guarantees that in the entire lattice no particle overlap will occur.

Therm odynam ic properties will be presented in standard reduced units: $\$^2 = \3 , $P^2 = P^3 = , U^2 =$ U = (N), and $G^{?} = G = (N) = U^{?} + P^{?} = c^{?}$. The simple shape of the potential allows us to simplify the search considerably: since for a xed particle con guration \vec{U} (counting the number of overlapping coronas) is constant, $G^{?} = U^{?} + P^{?} = \%^{?}$ is a straight line of slope $1 = \%^{?}$ in the $(G^{?}; P^{?})$ -diagram. The limiting MECs at low and high pressure are easily identi ed as close-packed spheres, either with diam eter p(corresponding to a slope $1 = \Re_{m in}^2 = 3 = \frac{7}{2}$ or with diameter (corresponding to a slope $1=\$_{max}^2 = 1=\frac{r}{2}$ { cf. Fig. 1. Any other MEC occurring in this system is characterized by a line of slope $1=\%^{?}$, satisfying $1=\%^{?}_{m in} > 1=\%^{?} > 1=\%^{?}_{m ax}$. Thus $G^{?} = G^{?} (P^{?})$ is a sequence of straight lines. In a rst step we determ ine in the $(G^{?}; P^{?})$ -diagram the intersection point of the two lim iting straight lines m entioned above and launch for this P[?]-value a GA-search. This leads to a new MEC, characterized by a lowerG[?]-value, a density %?, and thus by a new straight line of slope 1=%?. Intersecting this line with the previous lines and iterating this strategy, the entire pressure-range can be investigated in an extrem ely e cient way. From the econom ic point of view this system atic procedure is very attractive; but it has two additional advantages: (i) there is no risk to 'forget'MECswhich easily occurswhen working on a nite P[?]-grid; and (ii) it avoids a characteristic draw back of GAs that tend to fail in the vicinity of state points that are characterized by competing structures; in the present approach these transition points are determ ined exactly via the intersection of two straight lines.

At each of the intersection points, 1000 to 3000 independent G A -runs with 700 generations, each consisting of 500 individuals, have been perform ed. Up to twelve particles per unit cell were considered, a num ber which offers the system su cient possibilities to form even highly com plex structures. Bearing all this in mind, we are con-

dent that the sequence of MECs which we present in the following are complete.

We have considered three values of , corresponding to small (= 1.5), interm ediate (= 4.5), and long (= 10) shoulder width. For the rst case, G² and U², as functions of P², are presented in Fig. 1. G² is, as mentioned above, a sequence of straight lines, while the energy levels of U² are rational numbers, given by the num ber of overlapping coronas per particle. For = 1.5, seven M ECs can be identi ed (cf. acronym s in Fig. 1). At low pressure a colum nar structure is identi ed, while for larger P²-values, the relatively short corona width allow s only for com pact structures.

For = 4:5, the considerably broader corona o ers the system a large variety of strategies to form MECs.



FIG.1: (color online). G[?] and U[?] as functions of P[?] for the square-shoulder system , = 1.5. Shaded areas represent contributions to G[?] as labeled. Broken lines indicate low - and high-pressure limiting cases of MECs (see text).

Their total number amounts to 33 (cf. Fig. 2) which can be grouped with increasing pressure into four structural archetypes: clusterphases, colum nar structures, lam ellar phases, and, nally, compact structures (see also Fig.4). At low pressure the system form s cluster crystals, i.e., periodic structures where the lattice points are populated by clusters of particles. A closer analysis reveals a strong interplay between the cluster shape and the cell geom etry in the sense that overlaps of coronas of neighboring clusters are avoided. Inside the clusters, which contain up to eight particles, the cores are in direct contact. For demonstration we have depicted in Fig. 3(a) a typical cluster crystal: the equilateral triangle-shaped clusters populate the lattice positions of a single face centered monoclinic structure. As the pressure is increased the system develops a new strategy to m in in ize G by form ing colum nar structures. Particles aligned in close or direct contact form single or double stranded columns, which are arranged periodically in space. The inter-colum nar spacing is imposed via the width of the corona. An example for the columnar phase is given in Fig. 3(b). As the pressure increases further, the columns are squeezed together in one direction, form ing thereby lam ellae; the transition scenario is depicted in Fig. 3 (c). The lam ellar structures are realized in a large variety of m orphologies. In Fig. 3(d) we show an example for a lamellar phase: here, two hexagonally close-packed, planar layers are in direct contact and form double layers. Finally, under the in uence of the increasing pressure, the lam ellae m erge, creating in this way typical compact structures.

For = 10, the variety of structures is even richer, in total 48 M EC shave been identi ed. The clusters contain m ore particles and the colum ns are m ore com plex in their m orphology. For dem onstration we depict two of these structures in Figs. 3(e) and (f). However, the sequence



FIG.2: (color online). $G^{?}$ and $U^{?}$ as functions of $P^{?}$ on a double-logarithm ic scale for the square-shoulder system, = 4:5. Shaded areas represent contributions to $G^{?}$ as labeled. A rrows cf. Fig. 3.

of structural archetypes that has already been identied for = 4.5 is maintained.

The above mentioned transition from clusters to com pact structures can be understood on a simple, sem iquantitative level. Generalizing the ideas proposed by G laser et al. [3] for two dimensional systems, we only consider aggregates (i.e., clusters, colum ns, and lam ellae) instead of the individual particles. A ssum ing an idealized shape for these aggregates (spheres, straight lines, and planes) the inter-and intra-aggregate energy can be calculated. The respective expressions are in som e cases rather com plex and will be presented elsew here [25]. G? is then characterized by %?, the distance between two aggregates, and their spatial extent. Retaining param eters up to rst order and m in im izing G[?] with respect to these quantities we obtain the therm odynam ic properties of the respective phase. In particular, (U $^{2} + 1=2$)= 3 as a function of $P^{?} = {}^{3}$ turns out to be -independent and has been plotted, along with the respective results obtained via the GA, for all -values in Fig. 4. A sexpected, agreement improves considerably with increasing . A detailed analysis of the MECs identi ed by the GA (in particular for the larger 's) reveals, that the MECs populate, according to their aggregate-type, nearly exclusively the respective pressure-range.

Finally, a nice by-product should be mentioned: the well-de ned range of the shoulder represents a highly sensitive antenna to discern between competing structures at close-packed arrangements. Varying from 1 to 4.5, we have identi ed via analytic considerations not only the usual suspects for close-packed scenarios, namely hop and fic; also other, more complicated stacking sequences are observed for particular -values { cf. Fig. 5.

Sum m arizing, our investigations have given quantitative evidence about the rich wealth of self-assem bly sce-





FIG. 5: M inimum number of corona overlaps per particle (U_{opt}^{2}) and corresponding MECs (as labeled) for close-packed particle arrangements as function of , as obtained via analytical considerations and the MF-theory (as labeled). The latter gives $U^{2}(\$^{2} = \frac{1}{2}) = (4 \quad {}^{3}=3 \quad \overline{2})$ (1=2).

FIG.3: (color online). Selected M ECs for the square-shoulder system with = 4.5 [from (a) to (d)] and with = 10 [from (e) and (f)]. M ECS (a) to (d) correspond to pressure values indicated by arrows in Fig. 2.



FIG. 4: (color online). Scaled energy per particle (U[?] + 1=2)= ³ as obtained via the MF-theory (full black line) and for the three square-shoulder system s investigated (as labeled) as a function of P[?]= ³. The vertical, dotted lines indicate the borders of the four di erent regim es.

narios of soft particles that interact via a simple, radially symmetric pair potential: while at high pressure com – pact structures are dom inant, we observe at intermediate and low pressure low -symmetry structures, that include clusters, columns, and lamellae. The simple functional form allows to understand fully analytically the system's strategies to self-organize in such complex scenarios. O ur ndings can also be of technological relevance: since our

G A -based search strategy is reliable and e cient, it can easily be applied to system s with more complex interactions, pointing thus towards more technological applications, such as nano-lithography or nano-electricity.

The authors are indebted to D ieter G ottwald and Julia Fornleitner (both W ien) for stimulating discussions. Financial support by the Austrian Science Foundation under Proj.N os.W 004, P17823-N 08, and P19890-N 16 is gratefully acknow ledged.

^[1] J.M addox, Nature 335, 201 (1988).

^[2] C.Pierleoniet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 96, 128302-1 (2006).

- [4] A.Cam pbellet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94, 208301 (2005).
- [5] A.de Candia et al, Phys. Rev. E 74, 010403 (R) (2006).
- [6] A. Stradner et al, Nature 432, 492 (2004).
- [7] B. M ladek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 045701 (2006);
 ibid. 97, 019901 (2006).
- [B] J.Pannetier et al, Nature 346, 343 (1990); J.C.Schon and M.Jansen, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 35, 1286 (1996).
- [9] S.Goedecker, J.Chem .Phys. 120, 9911 (2004).
- [10] R.M artonak et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.90,075503 (2003); R.M artonak et al, N at.M ater.5, 623 (2006);
- [11] J. Holland, Adaption in Natural and Articial Systems (The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1975).
- [12] A . A ssion et al., Science 282, 919 (1998).
- [13] W P.C. Stem m er, N ature 370, 389 (1994).
- [14] D M . D aeven and K M . Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 288 (1995).
- [15] S.M. W oodley et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 1, 2535 (1999).
- [16] A.R.Oganov and C.G lass, J.Chem. Phys. 124, 244704

(2006); J.Phys.-Condens.Mat. 20, 064210 (2008).

- [17] D.Gottwald et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.92,068301 (2004);
- [18] J.Fomleitner et al., Soft M atter 4, 480 (2008).
- [19] Z.Yan et al, Phys. Rev. E 73, 0581601 (2006).
- [20] E A . Jagla, J. Chem . Phys. 111, 8980 (1999).
- [21] P.Ziherland R.Kamien, J.Phys.Chem. B 105, 10147 (2001).
- [22] Y. Norizoe and T. Kawakatsu, Europhys. Lett. 72, 583 (2005).
- [23] D.Gottwald et al, J.Chem. Phys. 122, 074903 (2005).
- [24] For two dimensional simple lattices the parameter space is two-dimensional. Here, the region that corresponds to unphysical, i.e., overlapping particle con gurations can be identied rather easily: it is given by in nitely many circles which become for %² the so-called Ford circles; the latter represent a super-set of the Appolonian G asket, i.e., an object of fractal dimension of 1.3057.
- [25] G.J.Pauschenwein and G.Kahl (to be published).