

Role of Symmetry and Geometry in a chaotic Pseudo-Random Bit Generator

Carmen Pellicer-Lostao and Ricardo López-Ruiz

Department of Computer Science and BIFI,
Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 - Zaragoza, Spain,
carmen.pellicer@unizar.es, rilopez@unizar.es

Abstract. In this work, Pseudo-Random Bit Generation (PRBG) based on 2D chaotic mappings of logistic type is considered. The sequences generated with two Pseudorandom Bit Generators (PRBGs) of this type are statistically tested and the computational effectiveness of the generators is estimated. The role played by the symmetry and the geometrical properties of the underlying chaotic attractors is also explored. Considering these PRBGs valid for cryptography, the size of the available key spaces are calculated. Additionally, a novel mechanism called *symmetry-swap* is introduced in order to enhance the PRBG algorithm. It is shown that it can increase the degrees of freedom of the key space, while maintaining the speed and performance in the PRBG.

Key Words: Chaotic Pseudorandom Bit Generation, Chaotic Cryptography, Security Engineering

1 Introduction

Pseudo-Random Bit (or Number) Generation is a subject of high interest and broad application in many scientific and engineering areas [1], [2], [3]. Pseudo-Random Bit Generators (PRBGs) are implemented by deterministic numeric algorithms and they should pass several statistical tests [4], [5], [6], to prove themselves to be useful. The requirements of randomness in these generators vary according to their application, realizing in cryptography their most stringent demands [4].

Over the last two decades, several works have implemented PRBGs for cryptography based on chaotic systems (an extensive survey can be found in [7]). Chaotic systems have the property of being deterministic in the microscopic space and behave randomly, when observed in a coarse-grained state-space. Their sensitivity of chaotic maps to initial conditions make them optimum candidates to relate minimal critical information about the input in the output sequence [8]. Their iterative nature makes them fast computable and able to produce binary sequences with extremely long cycle lengths [9].

In 2006, Madhekar Suneel proposes in [10] a method for pseudo-random binary sequence generation based on the two-dimensional Hénon map. The pseudorandom sequences generated with this algorithm show good random properties when subjected to different statistical tests suites. The author also indicates that

the choice of the Hénon map is rather arbitrary and that similar results should also be attainable with other 2D maps.

The present explores precisely this possibility, and presents a finite automata scheme as the key to achieve that. This comprehensive scheme is then applied to two particular chaotic maps presented in [11]. These 2D dynamical systems are formed by two symmetrically coupled logistic maps. The refined knowledge of the chaotic systems under study (i.e., its geometry) makes possible to obtain the finite automata and to extend the method in [10] to this type of chaotic mappings. The pseudo-random properties of the generators obtained that way are investigated. The evaluation of the potential range of input parameters and the computational cost of the algorithm makes them worth to be considered for cryptographic applications.

The chaotic PRBG algorithm here described can be used in different ways. Focusing on cryptography, one of its applications and maybe the most immediate, could be the construction of practical stream ciphers. In this way, the chaotic PRBG can expand a short key into a long keystream, which directly exclusive-or'ed with the clear text or message, gives the ciphertext.

The interest of PRBG based on these mappings of logistic type arises from the fact that they present interesting geometrical symmetries. These could offer additional advantages to the randomization algorithm. In fact, a novel mechanism to enhance PRBG is proposed in this paper. This mechanism could be applied to chaotic PRBGs based on mappings with the same symmetry characteristics.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 introduces some basic concepts about chaotic PRBG. Section 3 describes statistical testing to asses PRBG randomness. Section 4 explains the PRBG algorithm applied to the Hénon map and infers the finite automata that describes its dynamics. In Section 5 the finite automata scheme is used to obtain PRBG based on a two-dimensional symmetrical chaotic map of logistic type. Several sequences are obtained and their randomness is tested. The computational cost and key space for cryptographic applications are effectively evaluated. Section 6 presents an enhancement of the PRBG algorithm, based on the symmetry properties of these chaotic maps. Section 7 exposes the final conclusions.

2 Chaotic Random Bit Generation

The inherent properties of chaos, such as ergodicity and sensitivity to initial conditions and control parameters, connect it directly with cryptography characteristics of confusion and diffusion [12], [13].

Additionally chaotic dynamical systems have the advantage of providing simple computable deterministic pseudo-randomness. As a consequence of these observations, several works were presented since 1990s implementing PRBGs based on different chaotic systems [8], [9], [10], [14], [15]. In some way, it could be said that chaos has brought into being a novel branch of PRBGs in cryptography, called chaotic PRBGs.

An N -dimensional deterministic discrete-time dynamical system is an iterative map $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ of the form:

$$X_{k+1} = f(X_k) \tag{1}$$

where $k = 0, 1 \dots n$. is the discrete time and $X_0, X_1 \dots X_n$, are the states of the system at different instants of time.

In these systems, the evolution is perfectly determined by the mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ and the initial condition X_0 . Starting from X_0 , the *initial state*, the repeated iteration of (1) gives rise to a fully deterministic series of states known as an *orbit*. Different models of N -dimensional discrete-time mappings have been studied, and under certain circumstances complex behaviour in time evolution has been shown. The one-dimensional cases have been deeper analyzed [16], the cases with $N=2$ have also several well explored examples [17], but as N increases the complexity grows and less literature is found with a well documented analysis of the chaotic properties of the mapping [18].

To build a chaotic PRBG is necessary to construct a numerical algorithm that transforms the states of the system in chaotic regime into binary numbers. The existing designs of chaotic PRBGs use different techniques to pass from the continuum to the binary world [7]. The most important are:

1. Extracting one or more bits from each state along chaotic orbits [8], [19].
2. Dividing the phase space into m sub-spaces, and output a binary number $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ if the chaotic orbit visits the i_{th} subspace [9], [10].
3. Combining the outputs of two or more chaotic systems to generate the pseudo-random numbers [14], [15].

At that point an important divergence appears. We have to remark that chaos implemented on computers with finite precision is normally called "pseudo chaos". In pseudo chaos dynamical degradation of the chaotic properties of the system may appear, for throughout iterations pseudo orbits may depart from the real ones in many different and uncontrolled manners [7], [20].

Even so, the above exposed techniques are capable of generating sequences of bits, which appear random-like from many aspects. One must only consider their implementation in a sensitive way to minimize dynamical degradation. Therefore a detailed study is normally required on the dynamics of the chaotic system. This will guarantee that the PRBG passes the required statistical tests and can be easily implemented with simple and fast software routines.

As a final hint to help in this process, one may consider as an advantage the idea of using high dimensional chaotic systems. While less known, these systems whirl many variables at any calculation. Therefore the periodic patterns produced by the finite precision of the computer are more difficult to appear than in the low dimensional case [21].

In this paper the technique of dividing the phase space is followed and applied on two symmetrical two-dimensional (2D) chaotic maps of logistic type.

3 Statistical Tests Suites

In general, randomness cannot be mathematically proved. Alternatively, different statistical batteries of tests are used. Each of these tests evaluates a relevant random property expected in a true random generator. These properties may correspond to specific physical systems or to given statistical characteristics. To test a certain randomness property, several output sequences of the generator under test are taken. As one knows a priori the statistical distribution of possible values that true random sequences would be likely to exhibit for that property, a conclusion can be obtained upon the probability of the tested sequences to be random.

Mathematically this is done as follows [4]. For each test, a *statistic variable* X is specified along with its correspondent *theoretical random distribution function* $f(x)$. For non-random sequences, the statistic can be expected to take on larger values, typically far-out in the tails of $f(x)$. A *critical value* x_α is defined for the theoretical distribution so that $P(X > x_\alpha) = \alpha$, that is called the *significance level* of the test. In the same way, theoretically other distribution functions and a β value could be defined to assess non-random properties. But in practice, it is impossible to calculate all distributions that describe non-randomness, for there are an infinite number of ways that a data stream can be non-random.

When a test is applied, the test statistic value X_s is computed on the sequence being tested. This test statistic value X_s is compared to the critical value x_α . If the test statistic value exceeds the critical value, the hypothesis for randomness is rejected. The rejection is done with a $(100 * \alpha)\%$ probability of having FALSE POSITIVE error. This is called a *TYPE I error*, where the sequence was random and is rejected. Otherwise is not rejected (i.e., the hypothesis is accepted) with a probability of $(100 * \beta)\%$ of error. This is called *TYPE II error* or FALSE NEGATIVE, the sequence was non-random and is accepted. As a consequence, passing the test merely provides a probabilistic evidence that the generator produces sequences which have certain characteristics of randomness.

For a given application, the value of α must be selected appropriately. This is because if α is too high, TYPE I errors may frequently occur (respectively, if α is too low the same will happen for TYPE II errors). For cryptographic applications typical values of α are selected in the interval $\alpha \in [0.001, 0.01]$, which is also referred as a confidence level for the test in the interval $[99.9\%, 99\%]$. Unlike α , β is not fixed, for it depends on the non-randomness defects of the generator. Nevertheless α , β and the size (n) of the tested sequence are related. Then for a given statistic, a critical value and a minimum n should be selected to minimize the probability of a TYPE II error (β).

There exist different well-known sources of test suites available, such as those described by Knuth [6], the Marsaglias Diehard test suite [5] or those of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) [4]. But there are many more, perhaps not so nicely packaged as in the works mentioned above, but still useful ([22], [23], etc.) . In these collections of tests, each test tries a different random property and gives a way of interpreting its results.

In the present work, Marsaglia’s Diehard test suite (in [5]) and NIST Statistical Test Suite (in [4]) were selected, for they are very accessible and widely used. Table 1 lists the tests comprised in these suites.

Number	Diehard test suite	NIST test suite
1	Birthday spacings	Frequency (monobit)
2	Overlapping 5-permutation	Frequency test within a block
3	Binary rank test	Cumulative sums
4	Bitstream	Runs
5	OPSO	Longest run of ones in a block
6	OQSO	Binary matrix rank
7	DNS	Discrete fourier transform
8	Count-the-1’s test	Non-overlapping template matching
9	A parking lot	Overlapping template matching
10	Minimum distance	Maurer’s universal statistical
11	3D-spheres	Approximate entropy
12	Squeeze	Random excursions
13	Overlapping sums	Random excursions variant
14	Runs	Serial
15	Craps	Linear complexity

Table 1. List of tests comprised in the Diehard and NIST test suites.

In each test, the statistic value X_s is obtained and used to calculate a p-value that summaries the strength of evidence against the randomness of the tested sequence. In Marsaglia’s Diehard test suite, p-values should lie within the interval $[0, 1)$ to accept the PRBG. In NIST Statistical Test Suite, p-values should be greater than α for acceptance.

4 Pseudo-Random Bit Generation based on the Hénon Map

In [10], an algorithm is presented to obtain a chaotic PRBG using the Hénon map. The Hénon map [24] is a 2D discrete-time non linear dynamical system represented by the state equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= ax_k^2 + y_k + 1, \\ y_{k+1} &= bx_k. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This system depends on two parameters, a and b. Depending on the values of these parameters the system may be chaotic, intermittent, or converge to a periodic orbit. The map has a so called canonical form for the parameter values $a = 1.4$ and $b = 0.3$ which is depicted in Fig. 2. For the canonical values the Hénon map presents a chaotic attractor. This means that an initial point of the

plane will either approach a set of points known as the Hénon strange attractor, or diverge to infinity.

In Fig. 1 the functional block structure of algorithm [10] is represented and it is explained in the following paragraphs.




Fig. 1. Functional structure of M. Suneel's numerical algorithm.

In this case, the technique of dividing the phase space in four sub-spaces is used. This is done in the block named as *Sub-space decision* in which the threshold values, τ_x and τ_y , are employed to convert the points into a binary sequence, by means of the following equations:

$$b_x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq \tau_x; \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \tau_x; \end{cases} \quad b_y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \leq \tau_y; \\ 1 & \text{if } y > \tau_y. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

A purely statistical procedure is proposed in [10] to obtain τ_x and τ_y . They are calculated as the medians of a large T set of x values (for τ_x) and y values (for τ_y). More precisely, the value of τ_x and τ_y are the medians of the first $T = 1000$ iterations of the system. Fig. 2(a) shows, as an example, one orbit of the Hénon map with the τ values and subspaces considered for that case.

After obtaining $S_x = \{b_x^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $S_y = \{b_y^i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, they are sampled with a frequency of $1/P$ (each P iterations) and $B_x = \{b_x^{P*i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $B_y = \{b_y^{P*i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are obtained. The effect of skipping P consecutive values of the orbit is necessary to get a random macroscopic behaviour. With this operation, the correlation existing between consecutive values generated by the chaotic system is eliminated, in a way such that over a P_{min} , sequences generated with $P > P_{min}$ will appear macroscopically random. Although P is normally introduced as an additional key parameter in pseudo-random sequences generation [25], it strongly determines the speed of the generation algorithm. Consequently it is recommended to be kept as small as possible.

The output binary pseudorandom sequence $O(j)$ is obtained in the block named *Binary mixing* in Fig. 1. Here a mixing operation is performed with the

current and previous values of the sequence $B(j) = [B_x(j), B_y(j)]$. The operation is given by the truth table sketched in Table 2.

		$B_y(j-1)$	
		0	1
$B_y(j-2)$	0	$B_x(j)$	Not($B_x(j)$)
	1	$B_y(j)$	Not($B_y(j)$)

Table 2. Truth table generating the binary sequence.

In the exposed algorithm the selection of the τ values is the determinant factor for a uniform distribution of each of the coordinates of the phase states within different sub-spaces. According to [10], these values should be chosen in a way that approximately half of the x (or y values) obtained over the iterations of the system lay at each side of the threshold.

This fact leads us to consider the interest of analyzing in detail the operations performed in the *Hènon system* and *Sub-space decision* blocks of Fig. 1. The objective is to trace the visits of the orbit states into each sub-space, consequently gaining knowledge of how to obtain the binary sequence $[b_x^i, b_y^i]$.

Fig. 2(a) presents the evolution of the *Hènon system* in the phase space for a given set parameters and initial conditions. The phase space is divided in 4 sub-spaces, which are named as 1,2,3 and 4 according with the different outputs $[b_x^i, b_y^i]$ of the *Sub-space decision* block. The output values $[0, 0]$, $[1, 0]$, $[0, 1]$ and $[1, 1]$ correspond to sub-spaces names 1,2,3 and 4 respectively.




Fig. 2. (a) Representation of the canonical Hénon map with parameters values $a = 1.4$ and $b = 0.3$. The picture shows 3000 iterations from the initial state $X_0 = [-0.75, -0.02]$. The τ values calculated after the first $T = 1000$ iterations are $\tau_x = 0.404659$ and $\tau_y = 0.121397$. (b) Finite state automaton summarizing the distribution of visit of each sub-space.

According to Fig. 2(a), at one instant of time i , one sub-space is visited and this give the corresponding values $[b_x^i, b_y^i]$. As the system evolves, the movement between the different sub-spaces can be resumed in the finite state automaton represented of Fig. 2(b). This automata summarizes the complete behaviour of the blocks under study and can be described as follows:

- (a) The 80% of the time, there is a bi-directional oscillation between sub-spaces 2 and 3 (equally balanced with a 50%). Apparently there are no consecutive visits of the same sub-space. This leads to an oscillation of $[1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$ between the binary states of the sequence $[b_x^i, b_y^i]$.
- (b) The rest 20% of the visits are equally distributed into sub-spaces 1 and 4 (with a 50% each). It may make small runs in 1 or 4, but normally the system mainly spins in counter clock direction around the center of the subspaces division. It circles around 3-1-2, or 2-4-3 to fall in the 3-2 oscillation, or it makes a complete round along 1-2-4-3. This leads to an oscillation between the binary states of the sequence b_y^i (entry value in binary mixing equation of Table 2, as $B_y(j - 1)$ and $B_y(j - 2)$).

Although the four sub-spaces are not visited equally, there exists a symmetry of movements between sub-spaces 1-3 and 2-4, which has a characteristic mixing of 50% and 50%, as long as a predominant (80%) and constant transition between 3 and 2. This leads to a highly variation of binary values in sequences S_x, S_y . In the end, these conditions give the final result of an output sequence $O(j)$ with a proper balance of zeros and ones, or put it in another way, with pseudo-random properties.

5 Pseudo-Random Bit Generation based on two-dimensional chaotic maps of logistic type

As it has been exposed the choice of the Hénon in Fig. 1 was rather arbitrary and similar results should also be attainable with other 2D maps [10]. This means that a substitution of the *Hénon system* block by any other 2D chaotic system would potentially produce $O(j)$ sequences with pseudo-random properties.

To prove the generality of the algorithm, the present paper explores the application of Fig. 1 to a specific family of 2D chaotic maps, quite different in nature and geometrical properties to the Hénon map.

5.1 Pseudo-Random Bit Generator

In [11], López-Ruiz and Pérez-García analyze a family of three chaotic systems obtained by coupling two logistic maps. The focus here will be made on models (a) and (b), which will be called Logistic Bimap system A and B:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{SYSTEM A :} & \text{SYSTEM B :} \\
T_A : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] & \longrightarrow [0, 1] \times [0, 1] & T_B : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] & \longrightarrow [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \\
x_{n+1} = \lambda(3y_n + 1)x_n(1 - x_n) & & x_{n+1} = \lambda(3x_n + 1)y_n(1 - y_n) \\
y_{n+1} = \lambda(3x_n + 1)y_n(1 - y_n) & & y_{n+1} = \lambda(3y_n + 1)x_n(1 - x_n)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Amazingly, these systems show the following symmetry $T_A(x, y) = T_B(y, x)$, which implies that $T_A^2(x, y) = T_B^2(x, y)$. From a geometrical point of view, both present the same chaotic attractor in the interval $\lambda \in [1.032, 1.0843]$. The dynamics in this regime is particularly interlaced around the saddle point P_4 , that plays an important role for our proposes:

$$P_4 = [P_{4x}, P_{4y}], \quad \text{where} \quad P_{4x} = P_{4y} = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \sqrt{4 - \frac{3}{\lambda}} \right). \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, their dynamics have some differences. In Fig. 3 one can see one orbit and the spectrum for both systems with a given set of equal initial conditions.




Fig. 3. Chaotic attractor, temporal iterations and spectrum with $\lambda = 1.07$ and $X_0 = [0.737, 0.747]$ for System A ((a),(b) respectively) and System B ((c),(d) respectively).

It can be seen in Fig. 3 (a) and (c), that the movements in the orbits have two lobules at each side of the diagonal axis, folding in $P4$, where the dynamics of the systems turns out to become erratic. The spectrum of this movement is also shown in Fig. 3 (b) and (d), where the differences can be appreciated. System A produces an oscillation of period two, that makes it jump over the diagonal axis alternatively between points consecutive in time.

To obtain the Symmetric Coupled Logistic Map PRBG, the algorithm presented in [10] is applied on System A. Its functional block structure is represented in Fig. 4. The threshold values τ_x and τ_y are calculated as the medians of x_i and y_i values calculated for a large set of $T = 1000$ initial iterations.




Fig. 4. Functional block structure of the proposed algorithm in [10] for System A.

Different $O(j)$ binary sequences are created with Fig. 4 and submitted to statistical testing as described in section 3. Unfortunately the sequences so formed do not pass the minimum requirements of randomness assessed by Diehard Test Suite. The results are found to get worse for larger shift values P or longer sequences. Similar results were obtained for System B. Therefore algorithm in Fig. 1 it is no directly applicable to other 2D chaotic maps. Something else must be taken into consideration in this approach.

At this point, it was found that the merely equal-statistical division of x_i and y_i components by median threshold values for a number of initial iterations does not work. Moreover it was found that, one must select the division lines between sub-spaces so that the new 2D chaotic system follows a finite state automata similar to the one depicted in Fig. 2(b). Consequently, the geometrical characteristics of the system must be taken into account.

That means that the substitution of the *Hénon system* block is not enough to extend the algorithm to other 2D chaotic systems. One needs also replace the *sub-space decision* block. Therefore a refined knowledge of the geometrical

properties of the chaotic system is a priory required to build the PRBG. This makes the extension of the algorithm possible in fact, but not so straight forward. The knowledge of the necessary finite automata can help to make the process systematic.

Let us apply the finite automata scheme to the symmetric coupled logistic maps Systems A and B. To get this automata one should chose the diagonal axis, as the first division line. This is because, this axis divides phase space in two parts each of which is equally visited (50%). And additional statistical calculus is required to divide these two sub-spaces, in another two with a visiting rate of 40%-10% each one.

When this is done, one can observe that this is got by merely selecting P4 and the line perpendicular to the diagonal axis in P4 as the other division line. This gives the final sub-space division independence of the initial point or iterations and a different geometrical division from the initial cartesian proposal. As a last step, the sub-spaces are finally labeled (1,2,3 or 4) according with their position in the finite automata to match 2(b).

The final sub-space division for each system is presented in Fig. 5(b) and 5(d), along with the indications of the evolution of the visits to each sub-space.




Fig. 5. (a) Finite automata and (b) final sub-space division for System A. (c) Finite automata and (d) final sub-space division for System B. (In both cases, $\lambda = 1.07$).

Both systems possess similar statistical properties with different movement across the diagonal axis. The automata represented in Fig. 5 (a) and (c), and that of Fig. 2(b) are similar in many aspects. The only difference between them is the pace of consecutive visits take place, but the mixing proportions of 50%-50% and 80%-20% are maintained. From this finite automata is possible to build the required *Sub-space decision* block.

Finally the initial algorithm in Fig. 1 applied to System A, is modified with the appropriate *Sub-space decision* block. The final PRBG functional scheme is represented in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6. Functional block structure of the PRBG applied to the symmetric coupled logistic map PRBG with System A.

Different sequences are obtained with the system of Fig. 6 in next sub-section. Their randomness is assessed and it demonstrates them statistically valid for cryptographic applications. This may indicate that the automata scheme described here represents a sufficient condition to obtain pseudo-randomness.

Consequently, it may represent a systematic scheme to extend the algorithm in [10] to get PRBG on other chaotic maps. The cost of this algorithm and its hypothetical achievable key-space for cryptographic applications are also estimated in subsection 5.3.

5.2 Pseudo-Random Sequences and Statistical testing

To assess the randomness of the PRBG obtained in the previous section with systems A and B, several sequences are obtained and submitted to the Diehard [5] and NIST [4] test suites described in section 3. The significance level of the tests was set to a value appropriate for cryptographic applications ($\alpha = 0.01$).

Similar results were found for both systems and for simplicity, only those obtained with system A will be presented here after. Ten sequences were generated

with six different sets of initial conditions. Their characteristics are described in Table 3.


Sequence	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6
x_0	0.989125	0.491335	0.672757	0.726874	0.39565	0.999851
y_0	0.689125	0.691335	0.497757	0.901874	0.49565	0.649851
λ	1.04869	1.05392	1.06961	1.08007	1.06438	1.07489
P_{Dmin}	55	45	35	47	<i>n.a.</i>	<i>n.a.</i>
P_{Nmin}	83	105	83	83	100	85

Table 3. Parameters P_{Dmin} and P_{Nmin} for different sequences S_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, with different initial conditions (x_0, y_0) and map parameter λ .


Six of them (S1,S2,S3,S4,S5 and S6) were tested with Nist tests suite with 200 Mill. of bits and four of them (S1,S2,S3 and S4) were tested with Diehard tests suite with 80 Mill. of bits. Here, the parameters P_{Dmin} and P_{Nmin} are the minimum sampling rate or shift factor, P_{min} , over which, all sequences generated with the same initial conditions and $P > P_{min}$ pass Diehard or Nist tests suites, respectively. It is observed here, that the Nist tests suite requires a higher value of P_{min} and that S5 and S6 were not tested with Diehard battery of tests.

In the Diehard tests suite, each of the tests returns one or several p-values which should be uniform in the interval $[0,1)$ when the input sequence contains truly independent random bits. The software available in [5] provides a total of 218 p-values for 15 tests, and the uniformity requirement can be assessed graphically, when plotting them in the interval $[0,1)$.

For example Fig. 7 shows the p-values obtained for three sequences (a),(b) and (c) with the same initial conditions S1, and different sampling factor P.



(a)



(b)




Fig. 7. Diehard test suite p-values obtained with all tests for initial conditions S1 with (a) $P = 20$, (b) $P = P_{Dmin} = 55$ and (c) $P = 110$. In (d), p-values obtained for initial conditions S1,S2,S3 and S4 with $P = P_{Dmin}$ of Table 3.

The first one, Fig. 7(a), demonstrates graphically the failure of the tests, for there is a non-uniform clustering of p-values around one. Fig. 7(b) shows the uniformity obtained with $P_{Dmin} = 55$ over the interval $[0,1)$. A better uniformity can be appreciated when $P > P_{Dmin}$ in Fig. 7(c).

Sequences S1 to S4 where proved to pass the Diehard battery of tests with significance level $\alpha = 0.01$. Fig.7(d) presents a graphical representation of the p-values obtained for each sequence with sampling factor $P = P_{Dmin}$ of Table 3. It can be observed that some p-values are occasionally near 0 or 1. Although it can not be well appreciated in the figure, it has to be said that those never really reach these values.

In the Nist tests suite [4], one or more p-values are also returned for each sequence under test. These values should be greater than the significance level α , which was selected to $\alpha = 0.01$ as in the Diehard case. These tests also require a sufficiently high length of sequences and to prove randomness in one test, two conditions should be verified. First, a minimum percentage of sequences should pass the test and second, the p-values of all sequences should also be uniformly distributed in the interval $(0, 1)$.

For this case, each of the six sequences with initial conditions S1 to S6 are arranged in 200 sub-sequences of 1Mill. bits each and submitted to the Nist battery of tests. Sequences S proved to pass all tests over a minimum value P_{Nmin} , shown in Table 3.

In Fig.8(a) and 8(b), the results obtained for $S1$ and $S4$ respectively are graphically presented, as an example of what was obtained for each S . The tests in the suite are numbered according to Table 1. Fig. 8(a) represents the percentage of the 200 sub-sequences of $S1$, that pass each of the 15 tests of the suite. These percentages are over the minimum pass rate required of 96.8893% for a sample size = 200 binary sub-sequences. Fig. 8(b) describes the uniformity of the distribution of p-values obtained for the 15 tests of the suite. Here, uniformity




Fig. 8. In (a), the proportion of sub-sequences of S1 that passes each test is displayed. In (b) The distribution of p-values of S4 is examined for each test to ensure uniformity. The interval between 0 and 1 is divided in ten sub-intervals ($C1, C2, \dots, C10$), and the p-values that lie within each subinterval are counted and plotted.

is assessed. The interval $(0,1)$ is divided in ten subintervals ($C1, C2, \dots, C10$) and the number of p-values that lay in each sub-interval, among a total of 200, are counted and proved to be uniform.

5.3 Key space size and computational cost

To establish the complexity, and consequently the speed of the PRBG described in Fig. 6, the principle of invariance is observed. This says that the efficiency of one algorithm in different execution environments differs only in a multiplicative constant, when the values of the parameters of cost are sufficiently high.

In this sense, the asymptotic behaviour of the computational cost of the PRBG is governed by the calculus performed in the chaotic block. This block performs P iterations to obtain an output bit, $O(j)$.

The capital theta notation (Θ) can be used to describe an asymptotic tight bound for the magnitude of cost of the PRBG. And consequently, the 2D symmetric coupled logistic maps have a computational cost or complexity of order $\Theta(P * n)$.

Let us determine the operative range of initial conditions and parameters values that can be applied to the PRBG in Fig. 6. This range, when the PRBG is used in cryptography applications is known as the key-space. Then, this range or the key space is determined by the interval of the parameter λ and the initial conditions that keep the dynamical system in the chaotic regime. These are $\lambda \in [1.032, 1.0843]$, $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $y_0 \in (0, 1)$. The sampling parameter can also be considered as another parameter of the key space. One must observe that P should be kept in a suitable range, so that the PRBG is fast enough for its desired application.

These intervals can be denoted with brackets and calculated as $[\lambda] = 0.0523$, $[x_0] = 1$, $[y_0] = 1$ and $[P] = 8890$, when taking $[P] \in [110, 9000]$ as the range of the sampling factor.

Let us consider $\epsilon_{32} \approx 1.1921 \times 10^{-7}$ as the smallest available precision for fixed-point representation with 32 bits and its correspondent magnitude $\epsilon_{64} \approx 2.2204 \times 10^{-16}$ for floating-point numbers with 64 bits. These quantities give us the maximum number of possible values of every parameter in any of the two representations. This is easily computed dividing the intervals by ϵ , as $K_\lambda = [\lambda]/\epsilon$, $K_{x_0} = [x_0]/\epsilon$, $K_{y_0} = [y_0]/\epsilon$ and $K_P = [P]/\epsilon$.

The total size of representable parameter values is given by K , calculated as $K = K_\lambda \times K_{x_0} \times K_{y_0} \times K_P$. K is the size of the available key-space and its logarithm in base 2 gives us the available length of binary keys or entries to produce pseudo-random sequences in the generator.

The values obtained for each number precision, are $K_{32} = 2.32 \times 10^{30}$ with a key length of 100 bits for single precision and $K_{64} = 1.91 \times 10^{65}$, with a key length of 216 bits for double precision. These results are encouraging for recommending the use of the PRBG in Fig. 6 for cryptographic applications, where a length of keys greater than 100 is considered strong enough against brute force attacks, [12].

Nevertheless, it has to be said in the sake of accuracy that the calculus of the key space is a coarse estimation and that a deeper study is required for an exact evaluation [12]. One must keep in mind that chaotic systems are highly sensitive to the parameter values as well as to the initial conditions and a slightly change in its values can produce very different evolutions, even taking the system from a quasi-random behaviour to a periodic orbit. This can be easily understood if one thinks of the chaotic attractor as an infinite conglomerate of orbits which are periodic and unstable. This means that the system jumps from one to another without stabilizing in any of them.

This is the origin of its instability and of its apparent macroscopic random behaviour. With a minimum change in the parameters or initial conditions an immense quantity of bifurcations are taking place. This means that many periodic orbits are being created and others are disappearing. So it is possible that apparently valid contiguous values in the key-space lead to periodic and random behaviour respectively in each case. These phenomena is even more exaggerated when computational precision is taken into account. The continuum chaotic trajectories are truncated and periodicity is prone to appear with more intensity. Another possibility is that the dynamics can diverge towards infinity. In the systems presented here an initial calculus of 100 iterations is enough to ensure the boundless or goodness of the initial conditions.

6 A new PRBG based on chaotic variables swapping

The PRBG obtained in Fig.6 on the Symmetric Coupled Logistic Maps Systems A and B demonstrate to have suitable behaviour for most stringent applications, such as crypto. Although this could seem promising enough for the algorithm,

a further improvement could be achieved if one takes advantage of the specific symmetry characteristics of these chaotic systems.

Let us observe that the systems under consideration present a symmetry with respect to the diagonal axis. Consider now a simple interchange (or swap) of coordinates x and y in an orbit state. This produces a jump to a conjugated orbit (see Fig. 9) but the attractor and the chaotic regime are not affected.

In these circumstances, a swapping of coordinates could be introduced in the algorithm of Fig. 6, without altering its pseudorandom properties. In practice, the swapping can be an additional step at the input of the system, which is applied at specific instants $i - 1$ as desired. When the swapping is applied at a constant rate S , a swap of coordinates is introduced every S iterations, or instants of time. This means the following performance: either of the systems evolves along one specific orbit during a number of S iterations, then a swap in the coordinates is introduced (swapping $x \leftrightarrow y$) and a jump to a conjugated orbit is produced.

Let us call S the rate of swapping or the swapping factor. In Fig. 9 a schematic diagram is presented to depict more clearly the swapping procedure in Systems A and B. When starting with the same initial conditions, one orbit and its conjugated are presented, jumping from one to the other is possible thanks to the swapping factor.




Fig. 9. Five points in the evolution of trajectories for system A (a) or system B (b) when starting with $\lambda = 1.07$ and the same initial conditions $[x_0, y_0]$ (point 0 with star marker) and its coordinate conjugated $[y_0, x_0]$ (point 0 with circle marker). It can be observed the symmetry of the conjugated trajectories and the difference between systems A and B. In system A there is a jump along the diagonal axis with every iteration.

This novel mechanism of swapping is named by the authors as *symmetry-swap*, for it consists of a swap between coordinates in mappings with particular symmetry characteristics. The interesting thing about it, is that no matter

what number of consecutive iterations and swaps are performed to the system, the chaotic behaviour always prevails. Logically, this particular fact will make pseudo-randomness to prevail too.

The authors explored the construction of a swapped PRBG following algorithm in Fig. 6 with system A as described in section 5, and adding a constant swapping factor of value S in the input. Ten pseudo-random binary sequences were generated with the same characteristics (initial conditions and length) as the ones described in Table 3. A swapping factor of $S = 90$ was applied for sequences to be tested with Diehard test suite. To illustrate a different value, a swapping factor of $S = 50$ was chosen with NIST's suite. The sequences of the swapped PRBGs demonstrated similar random results when submitted to the tests. Very similar P_{min} values to those in Table 3, or even the same, were obtained in all cases. Fig. 10 shows graphically the results obtained and illustrated the success of the tests. Unsurprisingly, this demonstrates that in this case the *symmetry-swap* maintains pseudo-randomness.




Fig. 10. In (a), p-values obtained with all tests of Diehard test suite for initial conditions S1,S2,S3 and S4 with $P = P_{Dmin}$ and $S = 50$. In (b), it is displayed the proportion of sub-sequences that pass NIST tests suite with initial conditions S1, $P = P_{Nmin}$ and $S = 50$. In (c), the distribution of p-values for each test with the same conditions of (b) demonstrates the required uniformity.

It is important to observe at this point, that the introduction of a swapping factor S does not penalize the computational cost of the resulting PRBG. Its asymptotic behaviour is again dominated by the chaotic block. As a result, the swapped 2D symmetric coupled logistic maps PRBGs have an asymptotic tight bound of order $\Theta(P * n)$.

Another valuable aspect to remark is, that the swapping factor S can offer an improvement in the range of input values of the initial PRBG algorithm. In cryptography, this means an enhancement in security and it can be obtained straight from the fact that S , considered as a constant value, may represent a new free parameter in the key-space.

Let us consider that the useful values of S could range in the interval $S = [1, n]$, where n is the number of bits generated. Taking n for a typical value of 1 Mill. of bits, this would enlarge the key space calculated in subsection 5.3. Following analogous calculations, with $[S] = 1000000$ and $K_S = [S]/\epsilon$, then $K = K_\lambda \times K_{x_0} \times K_{y_0} \times K_P \times K_S$ will be increased to 143 for single precision and to 288 for double precision. The enlargement of the key space makes the swapped algorithm stronger against brute force attack than the non-swapped one.

Even more, one may think that the introduction of a swapping factor S can be applied in multiple ways. Consider, for example, different values of S used alternatively in the process, this may make the swapping factor many dimensional. Another way could be to consider an S value variable in time. The swapping factor can also offer an easy feedback mechanism, when making its value dependable of the output. Therefore the *symmetry-swap* mechanism is a very flexible tool.

In the end, it can be observed that the *symmetry-swap* offers a remarkable advantage, while maintaining speed and simplicity of the initial PRBG algorithm.

7 Conclusions

In the present work, a refinement of the algorithm exposed in [10] by M. Suneel is presented. It consists of the introduction of a finite automata that makes possible its application to other chaotic maps. In some way, this finite automata could be said to extend the range of application of this algorithm for other 2D chaotic systems. This is referred in [7] as making the PRBG chaotic-system-free.

The fact is that, while systematic, the scheme presented in this paper is not straightforward. This is because building the finite automata requires necessarily a detailed study of the geometrical properties of the dynamical evolution of the chaotic system.

The authors apply this technique to build two new PRBG using two particular 2D dynamical systems formed by two symmetrically coupled logistic maps. A set of different pseudo-random sequences are generated with one of the PRBG. Statistical testing of these sequences shows fine results of random properties for the PRBG.

The estimation of the PRBG computational cost gives an asymptotic tight bound of $\Theta(P * n)$. The available size of input values or the key space is also calculated and a minimum length of binary keys of 100 and 216 bits is obtained for simple and double precision respectively. These preliminary results indicate a promising quality of the PRBG for cryptographic applications.

Finally, an enhancement of the previous PRBGs is obtained exploiting the symmetry characteristic of the Coupled 2D Logistic maps. This is done by a new mechanism named as *symmetry-swap*, that consists of a coordinate swapping operation in the input variables of the chaotic systems. This gadget introduces an arbitrary change of orbit in the evolution of the chaotic system. This novel strategy is only possible due to the symmetry inherent and characteristic of the Coupled 2D mappings.

It is observed that the *symmetry-swap* gives an additional degree of freedom to the chaotic PRBG algorithm without additional computational penalties. After obtaining this enhanced or swapped PRBG, it is shown that the computational cost and pseudo-random properties are similar to the previous PRBGs obtained with the non-swapped algorithm. The input values or key space is, however, largely increased. Swapping represents a novel strategy for finding additional degrees of freedom in the key space of a chaotic PRBG. Introducing the sampling factor P as an additional degree of freedom forces the designer to consider a trade-off between the range of P values and the speed of the algorithm. On the contrary, introducing the swapping factor S implies no extra computational cost. Moreover this degree of freedom can be introduced in multiple ways. Some examples are to consider it as a constant value, as a time varying one or as a feedback mechanism. Therefore the swapping factor S can increase the security of the system with great flexibility.

The role of geometry and symmetry properties in the chaotic PRBG algorithm presented here has been proved noteworthy. This has been so, to the point that valuable achievements have been obtained from them. The authors hope that similar considerations on other PRBGs may be useful and help in achieving comparable results.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge some financial support by spanish grant DGICYT-FIS200612781-C02-01.

References

1. H.Niederreiter, Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods. Philadelphia, PA: SIAM, 1992
2. Anderson, R.: Security Engineering, A Guide to Build Dependable Distributed Systems, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York (2001)
3. Menezes, A., van Oorschot, P., Vanstone, S.: Handbook of Applied Cryptography. CRC Press, Florida (1997)
4. NIST Special Publication 800-22: A Statistical Test Suite for the Validation of Random Number Generators and Pseudo Random Number Generators for Cryptographic Applications, (2001)
5. Marsaglia, G.: The diehard test suite, 1995. <http://stat.fsu.edu/geo/diehard.html>

6. Knuth, D. E.: The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2 (3rd Ed.): Seminumerical Algorithms. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1997. ISBN 0-201-89684- 2.
7. Li, S.: Analyses and New Designs of Digital Chaotic Ciphers. PhD thesis, School of Electronic and Information Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University (2003)
8. Protopopescu, V.A., Santoro, R.T., Tollover, J.S.: Fast secure encryption-decryption method based on chaotic dynamics. US Patent No. 5479513 (1995)
9. Stojanovski, T., Kocarev, L.: Chaos based Random Number Generators. Part I: Analysis. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications, Vol.43, pp 281-288 (2001)
10. Madhekar, S.: Cryptographic Pseudo-Random Sequences from the Chaotic Hénon Map. <http://arxiv.org/abs/cs/0604018> (2006)
11. Lopez-Ruiz, R., Pérez-García, C.: Dynamics of Maps with a Global Multiplicative Coupling. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, Vol.1, pp 511-528, (1991) ; Lopez-Ruiz, R., Fournier-Prunaret, D.: Complex Patterns on the Plane: Different Types of Basin Fractalization in a Two-Dimensional Mapping, International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, Vol. 13, 287-310 (2003)
12. Alvarez G., Li, S.: Some Basic Cryptographic Requirements for Chaos-Based Cryptosystems. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, Vol.16, pp 2129-2151 (2006)
13. Li, S., Chen, G., Mou, X.: On the dynamical degradation of digital piecewise linear chaotic maps. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, Vol.15, pp 3119-3151 (2005)
14. Po-Han, L., Soo-Chang, P., Yih-Yuh, C.: Generating chaotic stream ciphers using chaotic systems. Chinese Journal of Physics, Vol.41, pp 559-581 (2003)
15. Li, S., Mou, X., Cai, Y.: Pseudo-random bit generator based on couple chaotic systems and its application in stream-ciphers cryptography. INDOCRYPT 2001, LNCS, Vol. 2247, pp 316-329, Springer, Heidelberg (2001)
16. Collet, P., Eckmann, J.-P.: Iterated Maps on the Interval as Dynamical Systems. Progress in Physics, Birkhauser. Cambridge (1980)
17. Mira, C., Gardini, L., Barugola, A., Cathala, J.-C.: Chaotic Dynamics in Two-Dimensional Noninvertible Maps. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A, vol. 20. Singapore (1996)
18. Fournier-Prunaret, D., Lopez-Ruiz, R., Taha, A.K.: Route to Chaos in Three-Dimensional Maps of Logistic Type. Grazer Mathematische Berichte, 350, pp 82-95 (2006)
19. Bogdan, C., Chargé, P., Fournier-Prunaret, D.: Behaviour of chaotic sequences under a finite representation and its cryptographic applications. IEEE Workshop on Nonlinear Maps and Applications (NOMA), Toulouse (2007)
20. Guckenheimer, J.M., Holmes, P.: Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems and Bifurcation of Vector Fields. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
21. Falcioni, M., Palatella, L., Pigolotti, S., Vulpiani, A. : Properties making a chaotic system a good pseudo random number generator. Physical Review E, 016220 (2005)
22. Rütli, M., Troyer, M., Petersen, W.P.: A Generic Random Number Generator Test Suite. arXiv:math/0410385v1 [math.ST] 18 Oct 2004
23. Mascagni. The scalable parallel random number generators library (sprng) for ASCII Monte Carlo computations. <http://sprng.cs.fsu.edu/> (1999)
24. Hénon, M.: A two-dimensional mapping with a strange attractor. Communications in Mathematical Physics, vol 50, pp 69-77 (1976)
25. Kocarev, L.: Chaos-based Cryptography: a brief overview. IEEE Circuits and Systems Magazine, Vol.1, pp 6-21 (2001)