

Analysis of the $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)$ form-factors with light-cone QCD sum rules

Zhi-Gang Wang¹

Department of Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003,
P.R.China

Abstract

In this article, we calculate the $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)$ form-factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$, $V_3(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$ with the B -meson light-cone QCD sum rules. Those form-factors are basic parameters in studying the exclusive non-leptonic two-body decays $B \rightarrow AP$ and semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow A l_1, B \rightarrow A l$. Our numerical results are consistent with the values from the (light-cone) QCD sum rules. The main uncertainty comes from the parameter α_0 (or β_B), which determines the shapes of the two-particle and three-particle light-cone distribution amplitudes of the B -meson, it is of great importance to refine this parameter.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg; 13.20.He

Key Words: B -meson, Light-cone QCD sum rules

1 Introduction

The weak $B \rightarrow P; V; A$ form-factors with $P = \pi; K, V = \rho; K$ and $A = a_1; K_1$ final states are basic input parameters in studying the exclusive semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow P(V; A) l_1, B \rightarrow P(V; A) l$ and radiative decays $B \rightarrow V(A) \gamma$, they also determine the factorizable amplitudes in the non-leptonic charmless two-body decays $B \rightarrow PP (AP; PV; VV)$. Those decays can be used to determine the CKM matrix elements and to test the standard model, however, it is a great challenge to pin down the uncertainties of the form-factors to obtain more precise results. The exclusive semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow P(V) l_1, B \rightarrow P(V) l$ and radiative decays $B \rightarrow V \gamma$ and hadronic two-body decays $B \rightarrow PP (PV; VV)$ have been studied extensively [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], while the decays $B \rightarrow AP; VA$ have been calculated with the QCD factorization approach [8, 9, 10], generalized factorization approach [11, 12], etc. It is more easy to deal with the exclusive semi-leptonic processes than the non-leptonic processes, and there have been many works on the relevant form-factors $B \rightarrow \pi, B \rightarrow K$ in determining the CKM matrix element V_{ub} [13, 14, 15, 16]. The $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)$ form-factors have been studied with the covariant light-front approach [17], ISGW 2 quark model [18], quark-meson model [19], QCD sum rules [20], light-cone QCD sum rules [9] and perturbative QCD [21]. However, the values from different theoretical approaches differ greatly from each other.

The BaBar Collaboration and Belle Collaboration have measured the charmless hadronic decays $B^0 \rightarrow a_1 \pi$ [22, 23]. Moreover, the BaBar Collaboration has

¹ E-mail: wangzgyiti@yahoo.com.cn.

measured the time-dependent CP asymmetries in the decays $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^-$ with $a_1^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, from the measured CP parameters, we can determine the decay rates of $a_1^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $a_1^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ respectively [24]. Recently, the BaBar Collaboration has reported the observation of the decays $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^0 \pi^0$; $a_1^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $B^+ \rightarrow a_1^+ K^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^- K^+$ [25, 26]. So it is interesting to re-analyze the $B \rightarrow a_1$ form-factors with the B-meson light-cone QCD sum rules [27].

In Ref.[27], the authors obtain new sum rules for the $B \rightarrow \pi; K; \eta; K^*$ form-factors from the correlation functions expanded near the light-cone in terms of the B-meson distribution amplitudes, and suggest QCD sum rules motivated models for the three-particle B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes, which satisfy the relations given in Ref.[28]. In Ref.[28], the authors derive exact relations between the two-particle and three-particle B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes from the QCD equations of motion and heavy-quark symmetry. The two-particle B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes have been studied with the QCD sum rules and renormalization group equation [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. Although the QCD sum rules can't be used for a direct calculation of the distribution amplitudes, it can provide constraints which have to be implemented within the QCD motivated models (or parameterizations) [32].

The B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes play an important role in the exclusive B-decays, the inverse moment of the two-particle light-cone distribution amplitude $\langle 1/x \rangle$ enters many factorization formulas (for example, see Refs.[3, 4]). However, the light-cone distribution amplitudes of the B-meson are received relatively little attention comparing with the ones of the light pseudoscalar mesons and vector mesons, our knowledge about the nonperturbative parameters which determine those light-cone distribution amplitudes is limited and an additional application (or estimation) based on QCD is useful.

In this article, we use the B-meson light-cone QCD sum rules to study the $B \rightarrow a_1$ form-factors. The semileptonic decays $B \rightarrow A_1 \ell \bar{\ell}$ can be observed at the LHCb, where the $b\bar{b}$ pairs will be copiously produced with the cross section about 500 fb.

We can also study the form-factors with the light-cone QCD sum rules using the light-cone distribution amplitudes of the axial-vector mesons. Recently, the twist-2 and twist-3 light-cone distribution amplitudes of the axial-vector mesons have been calculated with the QCD sum rules [36].

The B-meson light-cone QCD sum rules have given reasonable values for the $B \rightarrow \pi; K; \eta; K^*$ form-factors [27], so it is interesting to study the $B \rightarrow a_1$ form-factors and cross-check the properties of the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes. Furthermore, it is necessary to investigate the form-factors with different approaches and compare the predictions of different approaches.

The article is arranged as: in Section 2, we derive the $B \rightarrow a_1(1260)$ form-factors with the light-cone QCD sum rules; in Section 3, the numerical result and discussion; and Section 4 is reserved for conclusion.

2 B ! a₁(1260) form factors with light-cone QCD sum rules

In the following, we write down the definitions for the weak form factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$, $V_3(q^2)$, $V_0(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$ [17],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1(p) | J(0) | B(P) \rangle = & i (M_B - M_a) V_1(q^2) \frac{P}{M_B - M_a} (P + p) V_2(q^2) \\ & 2M_a \frac{P}{q^2} q [V_3(q^2) - V_0(q^2)] ; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\langle a_1(p) | J^A(0) | B(P) \rangle = \frac{1}{M_B - M_a} (P + p) q A(q^2) ; \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(q^2) &= \frac{M_B - M_a}{2M_a} V_1(q^2) - \frac{M_B + M_a}{2M_a} V_2(q^2) ; \\ J(x) &= \bar{d}(x) \gamma_5 b(x) ; \\ J^A(x) &= \bar{d}(x) \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu b(x) ; \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$V_0(0) = V_3(0)$, and the ϵ is the polarization vector of the axial-vector meson $a_1(1260)$. We study the weak form factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$, $V_3(q^2)$, $V_0(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$ with the two-point correlation functions $\chi^i(p; q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^i(p; q) &= i \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | T J^a(x) J^i(0) | B(P) \rangle ; \\ J^a(x) &= \bar{u}(x) \gamma_5 d(x) ; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $J^i(x) = J(x)$ and $J^A(x)$ respectively, and the axial-vector current $J^a(x)$ interpolates the axial-vector meson $a_1(1260)$. The correlation functions $\chi^i(p; q)$ can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^1(p; q) &= g_A g + g_B q \cdot p + g_C p \cdot q + g_D q \cdot q + g_E p \cdot p ; \\ \chi^2(p; q) &= g_2 p \cdot q + \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

due to Lorentz covariance. In this article, we derive the sum rules with the tensor structures g , $q \cdot p$ and $p \cdot q$ respectively to avoid contaminations from the meson.

According to the basic assumption of current-hadron duality in the QCD sum rules approach [37, 38], we can insert a complete series of intermediate states with the same quantum numbers as the current operator $J^a(x)$ into the correlation functions $\chi^i(p; q)$ to obtain the hadronic representation. After isolating the ground state contributions from the pole terms of the meson $a_1(1260)$, the correlation functions

$\Gamma^i(p; q)$ can be expressed in the following form ,

$$\Gamma^1(p; q) = \frac{if_a M_a (M_B - M_a) V_1(q^2)}{M_a^2 p^2} g + \frac{2if_a M_a V_2(q^2)}{(M_B - M_a) (M_a^2 - p^2)} q \cdot p + \dots ; \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma^2(p; q) = \frac{2f_a M_a A(q^2)}{(M_B - M_a) (M_a^2 - p^2)} p \cdot q + \dots ; \quad (7)$$

where we have used the standard definition for the decay constant $f_a, \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}^a(0) \gamma_5 \psi(p) | = f_a M_a$.

In the following, we briefly outline the operator product expansion for the correlation functions $\Gamma^i(p; q)$ in perturbative QCD theory. The calculations are performed at the large space-like momentum region $p^2 \gg 0$ and $0 < q^2 < m_b^2 + m_b p^2$, where $M_B = m_b + \dots$ in the heavy quark limit. We write down the propagator of a massless quark in the external gluon field in the Fock-Schwinger gauge and the light-cone distribution amplitudes of the B meson firstly [39],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}^i(x_1) \gamma_5 \psi^j(x_2) g \bar{\psi}^k(0) \psi^l(v) | = & \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ik(x_1 - x_2)} \\ & \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{k^2} \gamma_{ij} \int_0^1 dv G^{ij}(vx_1 + (1-v)x_2) \\ & \frac{1}{2k^4} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{k^2} v(x_1 - x_2) \dots ; \\ \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}^i(x) \gamma_5 \psi^j(0) \bar{\psi}^k(v) \psi^l(v) | = & \frac{if_B m_B}{4} \int_0^1 d! e^{i! \cdot v \cdot x} \\ & (1 + \dots) + (!) \frac{+ (!)}{2v \cdot x} \dots_5 ; \\ \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}^i(x) G_{\mu\nu}(ux) \gamma_5 \psi^j(0) \bar{\psi}^k(v) \psi^l(v) | = & \frac{f_B m_B}{4} \int_0^1 d! \int_0^1 d' e^{i(!+u) \cdot v \cdot x} \\ & (1 + \dots) (v \cdot v) \dots_A (!;) \dots_V (!;) \\ & i \dots_V (!;) \frac{x \cdot v}{v \cdot x} \dots_X A (!;) \\ & + \frac{x \cdot x}{v \cdot x} \dots_Y A (!;) \dots_5 ; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_+(\tau) &= \frac{\tau^2}{2!_0} e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}; & \phi(\tau) &= \frac{1}{1!_0} e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}; \\
\phi_A(\tau; \tau_0) &= \phi_V(\tau; \tau_0) = \frac{\tau^2}{6!_0^4} e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}; \\
\chi_A(\tau; \tau_0) &= \frac{\tau^2}{6!_0^4} (2!_0) e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}; \\
\chi_A(\tau; \tau_0) &= \frac{\tau^2}{24!_0^4} (7!_0 - 13!_0 + 3) e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}}; \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

the τ_0 and $\frac{\tau^2}{2}$ are some parameters of the B -meson light-cone distribution amplitudes.

Substituting the d quark propagator and the corresponding B -meson light-cone distribution amplitudes into the correlation functions $\chi^i(p; q)$, and completing the integrals over the variables x and k , finally we obtain the representation at the level of quark-gluon degrees of freedom. In this article, we take the three-particle B -meson light-cone distribution amplitudes suggested in Ref.[27], they obey the powerful constraints derived in Ref.[28] and the relations between the matrix elements of the local operators and the moments of the light-cone distribution amplitudes, if the conditions $\tau_0 = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{\tau^2}{2} = \frac{\tau^2}{6} = \frac{3}{2} \tau_0^2 = \frac{2}{3} \tau_0^2$ are satisfied [29].

In the region of small τ , the exponential form of distribution amplitude $\phi_+(\tau)$ is numerically close to the more elaborated model (or the BIK distribution amplitude (BIK-DA)) suggested in Ref.[32],

$$\phi_+(\tau; \mu = 1 \text{ GeV}) = \frac{4!_0}{B(1 + \tau^2)} \frac{1}{1 + \tau^2} 2 \frac{B}{2} \ln \tau; \tag{10}$$

where $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{B}$. The parameters B and $\frac{B}{2}$ are determined from the heavy quark effective theory QCD sum rules including the radiative and nonperturbative corrections. There are other phenomenological models for the two-particle B -meson light-cone distribution amplitudes, for example, the k_T factorization formalism [40, 41], in this article, we use the QCD sum rules motivated models.

After matching with the hadronic representation below the continuum threshold s_0 , we obtain the following three sum rules for the weak form-factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$

$$V_1(q^2) = \frac{1}{f_a M_a (M_B^2 - M_a^2)} e^{\frac{M_a^2}{M^2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} f_B M_B M^2 \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} + (!^0) \frac{d}{d} e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{f_B M_B}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \frac{d!}{d} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{Z} \right) \left(\frac{1}{Z} \right) \right] \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{d} e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{f_B M_B^2}{M^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \frac{d!}{d} \frac{d}{d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{d} e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{f_B}{M^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \int_0^1 \frac{dZ}{Z} \frac{d!}{d} \frac{d}{d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{d} e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{f_B^4}{4s M_B^2} \frac{4s M_B^2}{2 M^4} \frac{f_B^2}{2 M^2} \frac{2 M_B^2}{M^2} + 1 \right]; \quad (11)$$

$$A(q^2) = \frac{M_B M_a}{2f_a} e^{\frac{M_a^2}{M^2}} f_B M_B d_0 + \frac{f_B M_B}{M^2} d_0 d_1! \frac{d [A(!;) V (!;)]}{2} e^{\frac{s}{M^2}} + \frac{f_B}{M^2} d_0 d_1! \frac{d (1 - 2u_A (!;))}{d} \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{s}{M^2}} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= M_B^2 - q^2; \quad !^0 = M_B; \quad = 1; \\
_0 &= \frac{s_0 + M_B^2 - q^2}{2M_B^2} \frac{P}{(s_0 + M_B^2 - q^2)^2 - 4s_0 M_B^2}; \\
u &= \frac{M_B}{Z_!}; \quad \bar{M}_B^2 = M_B^2 (1 +) - \frac{1}{q^2}; \\
X_A(!;) &= \frac{d X_A(;)}{Z_!^0}; \quad \bar{X}_A(!;) = \frac{d Y_A(;)}{_0}; \\
e(!) &= \frac{d}{_0} (); \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

In Ref.[31], Lange and Neubert observe that the evolution effects drive the light-cone distribution amplitude $_{+}(!)$ toward a linear growth at the origin and generate a radiative tail that falls off slower than $\frac{1}{!}$, even if the initial function has an arbitrarily rapid falloff, which implies the normalization integral of the $_{+}(!)$ is ultraviolet divergent. In this article, we derive the sum rules without the radiative $O(s)$ corrections, the ultraviolet behavior of the $_{+}(!)$ plays no role at the leading order $O(1)$. Furthermore, the duality thresholds in the sum rules are well below the region where the effect of the tail becomes noticeable. The nontrivial renormalization of the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitude is so far known only for the $_{+}(!)$, we use the light-cone distribution amplitudes of order $O(1)$, which satisfy all QCD constraints.

3 Numerical result and discussion

The input parameters are taken as $!_0 = _B() = (0.46 \pm 0.11) \text{ GeV}$, $= 1 \text{ GeV}$ [2], $^2_E = (0.11 \pm 0.06) \text{ GeV}^2$ [29], $M_a = (1.23 \pm 0.06) \text{ GeV}$, $f_a = (0.238 \pm 0.010) \text{ GeV}$, $s_0 = (2.55 \pm 0.15) \text{ GeV}^2$ [36], $M_B = 5.279 \text{ GeV}$, $f_B = (0.18 \pm 0.02) \text{ GeV}$ [42, 43].

The Borel parameters in the three sum rules are taken as $M^2 = (1.1 \pm 1.5) \text{ GeV}^2$, in this region, the values of the weak form-factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$ are stable enough.

Taking into account all the uncertainties, we obtain the numerical values of the weak form-factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$, which are shown in Fig.1, at zero momentum transfer,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(0) &= 0.67^{+0.33}_{-0.21}; \\
V_2(0) &= 0.31^{+0.18}_{-0.11}; \\
V_3(0) &= 0.29^{+0.07}_{-0.06}; \\
V_0(0) &= 0.29^{+0.07}_{-0.06}; \\
A(0) &= 0.41^{+0.20}_{-0.13}; \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: The form factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$ with the momentum transfer q^2 .

theoretical approaches	$V_0(0)$
Covariant light front approach [17]	0.13
ISGW 2 quark model [18]	1.01
quark-meson model [19]	1.20
QCD sum rules [20]	0.23 $\pm$ 0.05
perturbative QCD [21]	$0.34^{+0.07+0.08}_{-0.06-0.08}$
light-cone sum rules [9]	0.30 $\pm$ 0.05
This work (light-cone sum rules)	$0.29^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$

Table 1: The form-factor $V_0(0)$ from different theoretical approaches. I know the updated value 0.30 ± 0.05 from private communication with Prof. H.Y. Cheng, their work is still in progress.

theoretical approaches	$A(0)$
Covariant light front approach [17]	0.25
quark-meson model [19]	0.09
QCD sum rules [20]	0.42 $\pm$ 0.06
perturbative QCD [21]	$0.26^{+0.06+0.03}_{-0.05-0.03}$
This work (light-cone sum rules)	$0.41^{+0.20}_{-0.13}$

Table 2: The form-factor $A(0)$ from different theoretical approaches.

The form-factors can be parameterized in the double-pole form ,

$$F_i(q^2) = \frac{F_i(0)}{1 + a_F q^2 M_B^2 + b_F q^4 M_B^4}; \quad (16)$$

where we use the notation $F_i(q^2)$ to denote the $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$, the a_F and b_F are the corresponding coefficients and their values are presented in Table 3.

In calculation, we observe the dominating contributions in the three sum rules come from the two-particle B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes, the contributions from the three-particle B-meson light-cone distribution amplitudes are of minor importance, about 1%, and can be neglected safely. It is not unexpected that the main uncertainty comes from the parameter α_0 (or β_B), which determines the shapes of the two-particle and three-particle light-cone distribution amplitudes of the B-meson. From Fig.1, we can see that the uncertainty of the parameter β_B almost saturates the total uncertainties, it is of great importance to refine this parameter. In this article, we take the value from the QCD sum rules in Ref.[32], where the B-meson light-cone distribution amplitude ϕ_+ is parameterized by the matrix element of the bilocal operator at imaginary light-cone separation.

In the region of small q^2 , the exponential (Gaussian) form of distribution amplitude $\phi_+(q^2)$ is numerically close to the BIK-DA suggested in Ref.[32]. In Fig.1, we also present the numerical results with the BIK-DA for the central values of the input parameters β_B and β_B , the Gaussian distribution amplitude and the BIK-DA

	a_F	b_F
$V_1(q^2)$	0.518	0.159
$V_2(q^2)$	1.330	0.532
$A(q^2)$	1.649	0.561

Table 3: The parameters for the fitted form-factors.

lead to almost the same values.

From Table 1, we can see that the values of the $V_0(0)$ from the covariant light-front approach, ISGW 2 quark model and quark-meson model differ greatly from the corresponding ones from the (light-cone) QCD sum rules, while the values from the (light-cone) QCD sum rules and perturbative QCD are consistent with each other. From Table 2, we observe that the values of the $A(0)$ from the covariant light-front approach, quark-meson model and perturbative QCD differ greatly from the corresponding ones from the (light-cone) QCD sum rules, while the values of the form-factors from the (light-cone) QCD sum rules are consistent with each other.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we calculate the weak form-factors $V_1(q^2)$, $V_2(q^2)$, $V_3(q^2)$ and $A(q^2)$ with the B-meson light-cone QCD sum rules. The form-factors are basic parameters in studying the exclusive hadronic two-body decays $B \rightarrow AP$ and semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow A l_1$, $B \rightarrow A l l$. Our numerical values are consistent with the values from the (light-cone) QCD sum rules. The main uncertainty comes from the parameter α_0 (or α_B), which determines the shapes of the two-particle and three-particle light-cone distribution amplitudes of the B meson, it is of great importance to refine this parameter. However, it is a difficult work, as we cannot extract the values of the basic parameter α_B directly from the experimental data on the semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow A l_1$.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation, Grant Number 10775051, and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University, Grant Number NCET-07-0282.

References

- [1] M. Wirbel, B. Stech and M. Bauer, Z.Phys. C 29 (1985) 637.
- [2] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z.Phys. C 34 (1987) 103.

- [3] M .Beneke, G .Buchalla, M .Neubert and C .T .Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B 606 (2001) 245.
- [4] M .Beneke, G .Buchalla, M .Neubert and C .T .Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B 591 (2000) 313.
- [5] Y .Y .Keum , H .N .Li and A .I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 054008.
- [6] A .A li, P .Ball, L .T .Handoko and G .H iller, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 074024.
- [7] A .A li, G .K ram er and C .D .Lu, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 094009.
- [8] K .C .Yang, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 094002.
- [9] H .Y .Cheng and K .C .Yang, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 114020.
- [10] V .Laporta, G .Nardulli and T .N .Pham , Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 054035.
- [11] C .H .Chen, C .Q .G eng, Y .K .H siao and Z .T .W ei, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 054011.
- [12] G .C alderon, J .H .M unoz and C .E .Vera, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 094019.
- [13] P .Ball and R .Zwicky, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014029.
- [14] P .Ball and R .Zwicky, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014015.
- [15] A .K hodjam irian, R .Ruckl, S .W einzierl, C .W .W inhart and O .I. Yakovlev, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 114002.
- [16] Z .G .W ang, M .Z .Zhou and T .H uang, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 094006.
- [17] H .Y .Cheng, C .K .Chua and C .W .H wang, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 074025.
- [18] D .Scora and N .Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 2783.
- [19] A .D eandrea, R .G atto, G .Nardulli and A .D .Polosa, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 074012.
- [20] T .M .A liev and M .Savci, Phys. Lett. B 456 (1999) 256.
- [21] W .W ang, R .H .Li and C .D .Lu, arX iv:0711.0432.
- [22] B .Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 051802.
- [23] K .Abe et al, arX iv:0706.3279.
- [24] B .Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 181803.
- [25] B .Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 261801.

- [26] B. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 051803.
- [27] A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel and N. Offen, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 054013.
- [28] H. Kawamura, J. Kodaira, C. F. Qiao and K. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. B 523 (2001) 111.
- [29] A. G. Grozin and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 272.
- [30] H. Kawamura, J. Kodaira, C. F. Qiao and K. Tanaka, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18 (2003) 799.
- [31] B. O. Lange and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 102001.
- [32] V. M. Braun, D. Yu. Ivanov and G. P. Korchemsky, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 034014.
- [33] A. G. Grozin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20 (2005) 7451.
- [34] A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel and N. Offen, Phys. Lett. B 620 (2005) 52.
- [35] S. J. Lee and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 094028.
- [36] K. C. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B 776 (2007) 187.
- [37] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147 (1979) 385, 448.
- [38] L. J. Reinders, H. Rubinstein and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rept. 127 (1985) 1.
- [39] V. M. Belyaev, V. M. Braun, A. Khodjamirian and R. Ruckl, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 6177.
- [40] H. n. Li and H. S. Liao, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 074030.
- [41] T. Huang, C. F. Qiao and X. G. Wu, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 074004.
- [42] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, hep-ph/0010175.
- [43] Z. G. Wang, W. M. Yang and S. L. Wan, Nucl. Phys. A 744 (2004) 156.