Linear projections and successive minima
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Let $K$ be a number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers and $E$ a projective $\mathcal{O}_K$-module of finite rank $N$. We endow $E \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with an hermitian metric $h$ and we let $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_N$ be the logarithm of the successive minima of $(E, h)$. Assume $X_K \subset \mathbb{P}(E_K^\vee)$ is a smooth geometrically irreducible curve. In this paper we shall find a lower bound for the numbers $\mu_i$, $3 \leq i \leq N$, in terms of the height of $X_K$, $\mu_1$ and the average of the $\mu_i$'s (Theorem 2). This result is a complement to [9], Theorem 4, which gives a lower bound for $\mu_1$.

The method of proof is a variant of [9], loc. cit. It relies upon Morrison’s proof of the fact that $X_K$ is Chow semi-stable [7]. We use a filtration $V_1 = E_K \supset V_2 \supset \ldots \supset V_N$ of the vector space $E_K$. This filtration is chosen so that, for suitable values of $i$, the projection $\mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{i+1}^\vee)$ does not change the degree of the image of $X_K$ by linear projection. That such a choice is possible follows from a result of C. Voisin, namely an effective version of a theorem of Segre on linear projections of complex projective curves (Theorem 1). I thank her for proving this result and for helpful discussions.

1 Linear projections of projective curves

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an integral projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $d$ its degree. Assume that $C$ is not contained in some hyperplane, $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$.

**Theorem 1.** (C. Voisin) There exists an integer $A = A(d)$ and a finite set $\Sigma$ of points in ($\mathbb{P}^n - C)(\mathbb{C})$, of order at most $A$, such that, for every point $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) - \Sigma \cup C(\mathbb{C})$, the linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of center $P$ maps $C$ birationally onto its image.

**Proof.** The existence of a finite set $\Sigma$ with the property above is a special case of a theorem of C. Segre [3]. The order of $\Sigma$ can be bounded as follows by a function of $d$.

If $n > 3$ a generic linear projection into $\mathbb{P}^3$ will map $C$ isomorphically onto its image [6] and the exceptional set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ bijectively onto the exceptional set in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Therefore we can assume that $n = 3$.

When the projection with center $P \in \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ is not birational from the curve $C$ to its image $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, we have $d' = \deg(C') \leq \frac{d}{2}$ hence $d' \leq d - 2$, and $P$ is
the vertex of a cone \( K \) with base \( C' \) containing \( C \). So we have to bound the number of such cones.

Let \( N \) be the dimension of the kernel of the restriction map

\[
\alpha : H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(d')) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(d')) .
\]

Clearly \( N \) is bounded as a function of \( d \) and any \( f \in \ker(\alpha) \) is an homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d' \) which vanishes on \( C \).

Let \( Z \subset \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1}(\mathbb{C}) \) be the set of pairs \((P, f)\) such that \( f \) is the equation of a cone \( K \) of vertex \( P \). If \( p_1 : \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^{N-1} \to \mathbb{P}^3 \) is the first projection, we have to bound the order of \( p_1(Z) \). We note that this order is at most the number \( c \) of connected components of \( Z \).

Now \( Z \) is defined by equations of bidegree \((\delta, 1), \delta \leq d'\). Indeed \( f \) is homogeneous of degree \( d' \) and \((P, f) \in Z \) when all the derivatives of \( f \), except those of order \( d' \), vanish at \( P \).

Let \( L = \mathcal{O}(d', 1), M = \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^N, L) - 1, \) and

\[
j : \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{P}^M
\]

the Segre embedding. Since \( j(Z) \) is the intersection of \( j(\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^N) \) with linear hyperplanes, Bézout theorem (\[4\], § 8.4) tells us that

\[
c \leq \deg(j(\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^N)) .
\]

Hence \( c \) is bounded by a function of \( d \). \( \square \)

**Corollary.** Given any projective line \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n \), there exists a finite set \( \Phi \) of order at most \( A(d) + d \) in \( \Lambda \) such that, if \( P \in \Lambda - \Phi \), the linear projection of center \( P \) maps \( C \) birationally onto its image.

**Proof.** Since \( C \) is not equal to \( \Lambda \), the cardinality of \( C \cap \Lambda \) is at most \( d \). So the Corollary follows from Theorem 1.

## 2 Successive minima

### 2.1

Let \( K \) be a number field, \([K : \mathbb{Q}]\) its degree over \( \mathbb{Q} \), \( \mathcal{O}_K \) its ring of integers, \( S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \) the associated scheme and \( \Sigma \) the set of complex embeddings of \( K \). Consider an hermitian vector bundle \((E, h)\) over \( S \), i.e. \( E \) is a torsion free \( \mathcal{O}_K \)-module of finite rank \( N \) and, for all \( \sigma \in \Sigma \), the associated complex vector space \( E_\sigma = E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{C} \) is equipped with an hermitian scalar product \( h_\sigma \). If \( \bar{\sigma} \) is the conjugate of \( \sigma \), we assume that the complex conjugation \( E_\sigma \simeq E_{\bar{\sigma}} \) is an isometry.

If \( i \) is a positive integer, \( i \leq N \), we let \( \mu_i \) be the infimum of the set of real numbers \( r \) such that there exist \( v_1, \ldots, v_i \in E \), linearly independent over \( K \),
such that \( \log \|v_\alpha\| \leq r \) for all \( \alpha \leq i \). The number \( \mu_i \) is thus the logarithm of the \( i \)-th successive minimum of \((E, h)\). Let

\[
\mu = \frac{\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_N}{N}.
\]

(1)

2.2

If \( E' = \text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_K) \) is the dual of \( E \) we let \( \mathbb{P}(E') \) be the associated projective space, representing lines in \( E' \). Let \( E'_K = E' \otimes K \) and \( X_K \subset \mathbb{P}(E'_K) \) a smooth geometrically irreducible curve of genus \( g \) and degree \( d \). We assume that the embedding of \( X_K \) into \( \mathbb{P}(E'_K) \) is defined by a complete linear series on \( X_K \). We also assume that \( g \geq 2 \) and \( d \geq 2g + 1 \). The rank of \( E \) is thus \( N = d + 1 - g \).

If \( X \) is the Zariski closure of \( X_K \) in \( \mathbb{P}(E') \) and \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) the canonical hermitian line bundle on \( \mathbb{P}(E') \), the Faltings height of \( X_K \) is the real number

\[
h(X_K) = \deg(\hat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^2 | X),
\]

see [2] (3.1.1) and (3.1.5).

2.3

For any positive integer \( i \leq N \) we define the integer \( f_i \) by the formulae

\[
f_i = i - 1 \quad \text{if} \quad i - 1 \leq d - 2g
\]

and

\[
f_i = i - 1 + \alpha \quad \text{if} \quad i - 1 = d - 2g + \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq g.
\]

Assume \( k \) and \( i \) are two positive integers, \( k \leq N, i \leq N \). We let

\[
h_{i,k} = \begin{cases} f_i & \text{if} \quad i \leq k, \quad i = N - 1 \quad \text{or} \quad i = N \\ f_k & \text{if} \quad k \leq i \leq N - 2. \end{cases}
\]

Finally, if \( 2 \leq k \leq N \), we let

\[
B_k = \max_{i=2,\ldots,N} \frac{h^2_{i,k}}{(i-1)h_{i,k} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} h_{j,k}}.
\]

Theorem 2. There exists a constant \( C = C(d) \) such that, for every \( k \) such that \( 2 \leq k \leq N - 3 \),

\[
B_k(\mu_{N+1-k} - \mu) + \frac{h(X_K)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} + 2d \mu \geq (2d - (N + 1) B_k)(\mu - \mu_1) - C.
\]
2.4

To prove Theorem 2 fix a positive integer \( k \leq N - 3 \) and choose elements \( x_1, \ldots, x_N \) in \( E \), linearly independent over \( K \) and such that
\[
\log \| x_i \| = \mu_{N-i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.
\]

Fix integers \( n_{\alpha}, \alpha = k + 1, \ldots, N - 2 \), to be specified later (in § 2.6). If \( 1 \leq i \leq N \) we define
\[
v_i = \begin{cases} x_i + n_{i} x_{i-1} & \text{if } k + 1 \leq i \leq N - 2 \\ x_i & \text{else.} \end{cases}
\]

We get a complete flag \( E_K = V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \cdots \supset V_N \) by defining \( V_i \) to be the linear span of \( v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_N \).

When \( m \) is large enough the cup-product map \( \varphi : E_K^\otimes m \to H^0(X_K, \mathcal{O}(m)) \) is surjective, hence \( H^0(X_K, \mathcal{O}(m)) \) is generated by the monomials
\[
v_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots v_N^{\alpha_N} = \varphi(v_1^{\otimes \alpha_1} \cdots v_N^{\otimes \alpha_N}),
\]
\( \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N = m \). A special basis of \( H^0(X_K, \mathcal{O}(m)) \) is a basis made of such monomials.

Let \( r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_N \) be \( N \) real numbers and \( r = (r_1, \ldots, r_N) \). We define the weight of \( v_i \) to be \( r_i \), the weight of a monomial in \( E_K^\otimes m \) to be the sum of the weights of the \( v_i \)'s occurring in it, and the weight of a monomial \( u \in H^0(X_K, \mathcal{O}(m)) \) to be the minimum \( \text{wt}_{\mathcal{R}}(u) \) of the weights of the monomials in the \( v_i \)'s mapping to \( u \) by \( \varphi \). The weight \( \text{wt}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}) \) of a special basis \( \mathcal{B} \) is the sum of the weights of its elements, and \( w_{\mathcal{R}}(m) \) is the minimum of the weight of a special basis of \( H^0(X_K, \mathcal{O}(m)) \).

When \( r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_N \) are natural integers there exists \( e_r \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, as \( m \) goes to infinity,
\[
w_{\mathcal{R}}(m) = e_r \frac{m^2}{2} + O(m)
\]
([8], [7] Corollary 3.3).

Our next goal is to find an upper bound for \( e_r \).

2.5

For every positive integer \( i \leq N \) we let \( e_i \) be the drop in degree of \( X_K \) when projected from \( \mathbb{P}(E_K^\vee) \) to \( \mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee) \). A criterion of Gieseker ([5], [7] Corollary 3.8) tells us that \( e_r \leq S \) with
\[
S = \min_{1 = i_0 < \cdots < i_{\ell} = N} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (r_{i_j} - r_{i_{j+1}})(e_{i_j} + e_{i_{j+1}}).
\]
Note that $S$ is an increasing function in each variable $e_i$. Furthermore, it follows from Clifford’s theorem and Riemann-Roch that

$$e_i \leq f_i$$

for every positive $i \leq N$ – see [7] proof of Theorem 4.4 (N.B.: in [7] Theorem 4.4 the filtration of $V_0$ has length $n + 1$, while $n = \dim V_0$. In our case, we start the filtration with $V_1$, hence the discrepancy between our definition of $f_i$ and [7] loc. cit.).

2.6

Let $w_1, \ldots, w_N \in E_K^\vee$ be the dual basis of $v_1, \ldots, v_N$. The linear projection from $\mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee)$ to $\mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee+1)$ has center the image $\hat{w}_i$ of $w_i$.

If $y_1, \ldots, y_N \in E_K^\vee$ is the dual basis of $x_1, \ldots, x_N$, we get

$$w_i = \begin{cases} y_i + n_i z_i & \text{if } k \leq i \leq N - 3 \\ y_i & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where $z_i + y_{i+1}$ is a linear combination of $y_{i+2}, y_{i+3}, \cdots$ with coefficients depending only on $n_{i+1}, n_{i+2}, \cdots$. When $n \neq m$ are two integers, the vectors $y_i + n z_i$ and $y_i + m z_i$ are linearly independent over $K$, therefore their images in $\mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee)$ are distinct. Since $e_{N-3} \leq f_{N-3}$ and $g \geq 2$ we get $e_{N-3} \leq d - 3$, therefore the image of $X_K$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee)$, $i \leq N - 3$, has degree at least 3. Furthermore $\dim \mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee) \geq 3$. By Theorem 1 and its Corollary, it follows that we can choose $n_i$ such that $0 \leq n_i < A(d) + d$ and the projection of $\mathbb{P}(V_i^\vee)$ to $\mathbb{P}(V_{i+1}^\vee)$ does not change the degree of the image of $X_K$. We fix the integers $n_i$, $k \leq i \leq N - 3$, with this property. Hence we have

$$e_i = e_k \quad \text{whenever} \quad k \leq i \leq N - 2.$$ (4)

2.7

From (3) and (4) we conclude that

$$e_i \leq h_{i,k} \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N$$

(see 2.3). Hence, by Morrison’s main combinatorial theorem, [7] Corollary 4.3, for any decreasing sequence of real numbers $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_N$ we have, if $k \geq 2$,

$$S \leq \psi(r)$$

with

$$\psi(r) = B_k \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{N} (r_j - r_N).$$

So, when $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_N = 0$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers,

$$e_r \leq \psi(r).$$
From the proof of Theorem 1 in [9] we deduce that, letting

\[ s_i = \log \|v_i\| - \log \|v_N\|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \]

\[
\frac{h(X_K)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} + 2d \log \|v_N\| + \psi(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{N-1}, 0) \geq 0.
\]

From (2) above we get

\[ \log \|v_i\| \leq \log \|x_i - 1\| + \log(1 + n_i) \quad \text{if} \quad k + 1 \leq i \leq N - 2 \]

and \( \log \|v_i\| = \log \|x_i\| \) otherwise.

Since \( \log \|x_i\| = \mu_{N+1-i} \) and \( n_i < A + d \) we deduce that

\[
\psi(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{N-1}, 0) \leq B_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mu_i - \mu_1) + \mu_{N+1-k} - \mu_3 + (N - 2 - k) \log(A + d) \right).
\]

From (1), (5) and (6) it follows that

\[
\frac{h(X_K)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} + 2d \mu_1 + B_k(N(\mu - \mu_1) + \mu_{N+1-k} - \mu_3) + C \geq 0
\]

for some constant \( C = C(d) \). Since \( \mu_3 \geq \mu_1 \) the inequality in Theorem 2 follows from (7).
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