# Static quark-antiquark pairs at nite tem perature

Nora Brambilla, Jacopo Ghiglieri, Antonio Vairo Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universita di Milano and INFN via Celoria 16, 20133 Milan, Italy

Peter Petreczky

RIKEN-BNL Research Center & Physics Department Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA (Dated: February 20, 2024)

### Abstract

In a fram ework that makes close contact with modern e ective eld theories for non-relativistic bound states at zero temperature, we study the real-time evolution of a static quark-antiquark pair in a medium of gluons and light quarks at nite temperature. For temperatures ranging from values larger to smaller than the inverse distance of the quark and antiquark, 1=r, and at short distances, we derive the potential between the two static sources, and calculate their energy and therm aldecay width. Two mechanisms contribute to the therm aldecay width: the imaginary part of the gluon self energy induced by the Landau damping phenomenon, and the quark-antiquark color singlet to color octet therm all break up. Parametrically, the rst mechanism dom inates for temperatures such that the D ebye mass is larger than the binding energy, while the latter, which we quantify here for the rst time, dom inates for temperatures such that the D ebye mass is of the same order as 1=r, our results are in agreement with a recent calculation of the static W ilson bop at nite temperature. For temperatures smaller than 1=r, we nd new contributions to the potential, both real and in aginary, which may be relevant to understand the onset of heavy quarkonium dissociation in a therm alm edium.

PACS num bers: 12.38.+,12.38 Bx,12.38 M h,12.39 Hg

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

The study of heavy quark-antiquark pairs in a thermal medium at temperature T has received a lot of attention since it was suggested that quarkonium dissociation due to color screening m ay be a striking signature of the quark-gluon plasm a form ation [1]. B ased on this idea and the assumption that medium e ects can be understood in terms of a temperature dependent potential, the problem of quarkonium dissociation has been addressed in terms of potential models with screened tem perature-dependent potentials over the past 20 years (see e.g. Refs. [2, 3, 4] for some representative works). A derivation from QCD of the in-medium quarkonium potential has not appeared in the literature so far and expectedly not all medium e ects can be incorporated into a potential. A rst step towards a QCD derivation of the quarkonium potential at nite tem perature has been a recent calculation [5] of the static W ilson loop in the imaginary-time formalism at order s. A fler analytical continuation to real time, the calculation shows a real part, which is a screened Coulomb potential, and an imaginary part that may be traced back to the scattering of particles in the medium carrying momenta of order T with space-like gluons, a phenom enon also known as Landau dam ping. Som e applications can be found in [6, 7]. First principle calculations of quarkonium properties at nite tem perature include calculations of Euclidean correlation functions in lattice QCD and the reconstruction of the corresponding spectral functions using the Maximum Entropy Method. At present, however, a reliable determ ination of the quarkonium spectral functions from the lattice data appears very di cult due to statistical errors and lattice discretization e ects (see discussion in Ref. [8] and references therein).

In this work, we will study static quark-antiquark pairs in a therm all bath in real-time form alism (see e.g. [9]) and in a fram ework that makes close contact with elective eld theories (EFTs) for non-relativistic bound states at T = 0 [10]. In this fram ework, we will address the problem of de ning and deriving the potential between the two static sources, and we will calculate their energy and therm all decay width. We will describe the system for tem peratures that range from larger to sm aller values with respect to the inverse distance of the quark and antiquark, 1=r. In some range, we will agree with previous indings; for tem peratures lower than the inverse distance of the quark and antiquark, we will nd new contributions to the potential, both real and in aginary, with a non trivial analytical structure. In particular, we will point out the existence of a new type of process that

contributes to the quark-antiquark therm aldecay width besides the Landau dam ping.

W e will deal with static quarks only. The static case is relevant also for the study of bound states m ade of quarks with a large but nite m ass m, like quarkonia, in a therm al m edium. Quarkonium is expected to exist in the m edium if the tem perature and the other therm odynam ical scales are m uch lower than m. In this situation, one m ay consistently integrate out the m ass from QCD and expand order by order in 1=m. The leading order of the expansion corresponds to QCD with a static quark and a static antiquark. H igher-order corrections in 1=m m ay be system atically included in the fram ework of non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) [11].

Bound states at nite temperature are systems characterized by many energy scales. There are the therm odynamical scales that describe them otion of the particles in the therm al bath: the tem perature scale T (we will not distinguish between T and multiple of T), the D ebye m ass m  $_{\rm D}$  , which is the scale of the screening of the chrom collectric interactions, and lower energy scales. In the weak-coupling regime, which we will assume throughout this work, one has  $m_{\rm D}$ T. Moreover, there are the scales typical of the bound state. qT In the case of a system of two static sources, the scales may be idential with the inverse of the quark-antiquark distance r and the static potential, the stating m uch larger than the second. We will also assume that 1=r is much larger than the typical hadronic scale  $_{OCD}$ , i.e. we will concentrate on the short-distance part of the potential. This may be the part of the potential relevant for the lowest quarkonium resonances like the J= or the (1S), which are the most tightly bound states. Therm odynam ical and bound-state scales get entangled and di erent hierarchies are possible. Bound states are expected to dissolve in the bath at tem peratures such that  $m_D$  is larger than the typical inverse size of the bound state. Hence we will concentrate on the situation  $1=r > m_D$  (i.e.  $1=r = m_D$  or 1=r $m_D$ ), and distinguish between the two cases 1=r T and 1=r т.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections II and III are introductory: they deal with QCD with static sources, which we call static QCD for short, but do not include bound states. In Sec. II, we write the quark and gluon propagators in static QCD at nite T. In Sec. III, we summarize one-loop nite T contributions in static QCD that are relevant to the present work. In Sec. IV, we introduce the relevant EFTs and calculate the static potential in a situation where the inverse distance between the static quark and antiquark is larger than the temperature of the therm albath: 1=r T m<sub>D</sub>. When T is as small as the binding

3

energy, we also calculate the leading them alcontribution to the static energy and the decay width. In Sec.V, we provide an alternative derivation of the potential in perturbative QCD. In Sec.VI, we calculate the static potential in the situation T  $1=r > m_D$ . In Sec.VI, we sum marize and discuss our results and list some possible developments.

#### II. STATIC QCD AT FINITE T

We consider here QCD with a static quark and antiquark; in particular, we write the quark, antiquark and the gluon propagators at nite T. To simplify the notation, we will drop the color indices from the propagators. Throughout the paper, the complex time contour for the evaluation of the real-time therm all expectation values goes from a real initial time  $t_i$  to a real naltime  $t_f$ , from  $t_f$  to  $t_f$  if, from  $t_f$  if to  $t_i$  if and from  $t_i$  if to  $t_i$  if and from to to to time. The propagators will be given with this conventional choice of contour. Furtherm ore, the follow ing notations will be used. We indicate therm all averages as

$$hO i_{T} = \frac{Trfe^{H=T} Og}{Trfe^{H=T} q};$$
(1)

where H is the Ham iltonian of the system . W e also de ne

$$n_{\rm F} (k^0) = \frac{1}{e^{k^0 = T} + 1};$$
(2)

$$n_{\rm B} (k^0) = \frac{1}{e^{k^0 = T} - 1}$$
(3)

#### A. Quark propagator

In order to show the behaviour of static sources in a therm albeth, it may be useful to consider rst a quark (or antiquark) with a large but nite mass m, m T, and then perform the m ! 1 lim it.

W e de ne the propagators

$$S^{>}(x) = h(x)^{Y}(0)i_{T};$$
 (4)

$$S^{<}(x) = h^{y}(0) (x)i_{T};$$
 (5)

where is the Pauli spinor eld that annihilates the ferm ion (in the following, the Pauli spinor eld that creates the antiferm ion will be denoted ). The free propagators,

$$S^{(0)} = S^{(0)}; \quad S^{(0)} = S^{(0)}; \quad (6)$$

Ζ

satisfy the equations (in m on entum space:  $S(k) = d^4x e^{ikx} S(x)$ ):

$$k^{0}S^{(0)}(k) = mS^{(0)}(k);$$
 (7)

$$k^{0}S^{<(0)}(k) = mS^{<(0)}(k);$$
 (8)

where we have neglected corrections of order 1=m or smaller: they will eventually vanish in the m ! 1 limit.

If the heavy quarks are part of the therm albath, they satisfy the K ubo {M artin {Schw inger relation:

$$S^{(0)}(k) = e^{k^{0} = T} S^{(0)}(k)$$
: (9)

From the equal-time canonical commutation relation it follows the sum rule

$$\frac{dk^{0}}{2} S^{(0)}(k) S^{(0)}(k) = 1:$$
 (10)

The solutions of the equations (7)-(10) are

$$S^{(0)}(k) = (1 n_{\rm F}(k^0)) 2 (k^0 m);$$
 (11)

$$S^{(0)}(k) = n_{F}(k^{0}) 2 (k^{0} m)$$
: (12)

The spectral density  $_{\rm F}^{(0)}$  is given by

$$F_{\rm F}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = S^{>(0)}(\mathbf{k}) \qquad S^{<(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = 2 \qquad (k^0 \qquad \text{m});$$
 (13)

and the free propagator,

$$S^{(0)}(x) = (x^{0})S^{>(0)}(x) \qquad ( x)S^{<(0)}(x); \qquad (14)$$

is given in momentum space by

$$S^{(0)}(k) = \frac{i}{k^{0} - m + i} - n_{F}(k^{0}) 2 - (k^{0} - m):$$
(15)

In the static limit m ! 1, the propagators simplify because  $n_F(m) ! 0$  for m ! 1. Moreover, we may get rid of the explicit mass dependence by means of the eld rede nition  $! e^{imt}$ , which amounts to change  $k^0 m$  to  $k^0$  in the expressions for the propagators and the spectral density; they read now

$$S^{(0)}(k) = 2 (k^{0});$$
 (16)

$$S^{(0)}(k) = 0;$$
 (17)

$$S^{(0)}(k) = \frac{i}{k^0 + i};$$
 (18)

$$_{\rm F}^{(0)}({\bf k}) = 2 \quad ({\bf k}^0):$$
 (19)

The free static propagator is the same as at zero tem perature. On the other hand, if we would have assumed from the beginning that  $S^{<(0)}(k) = 0$ , i.e. that there is no backward propagation of a static quark (in agreement with the K ubo{M artin{Schwinger formula in the m ! 1 limit) then, together with the equations of motion  $k^0S^{>(0)}(k) = 0$ ,  $k^0S^{<(0)}(k) = 0$  (obtained after removing m via eld rede nitions) and the sum rule (10), we would have obtained Eqs. (16), (18) and (19).

The real-time free static propagator for the quark reads

$$S^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & S^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) & S^{<(0)}(\mathbf{k}) \\ & S^{>(0)}(\mathbf{k}) & (S^{(0)}(\mathbf{k})) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{0} + \mathbf{i}} & 0 \\ & \mathbf{k}^{0} + \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ & 2 & (\mathbf{k}^{0}) & \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{k}^{0} - \mathbf{i}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(20)

and for the antiquark

$$S^{(0)}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & k^{0} + i \\ 2 & k^{0} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{1}{k^{0} - i} A^{2} : \qquad (21)$$

The main observation here is that, since the  $[S^{(0)}(k)]_{12}$  component vanishes, the static quark (antiquark) elds labeled \2" never enter in any physical amplitude, i.e. any amplitude that has the physical elds, labeled \1", as initial and nal states. Hence, when considering physical amplitudes, the static elds \2" decouple and may be ignored.

The propagator S  $^{\left(0\right)}$  m ay be written in a diagonal form as

$$S^{(0)}(k) = U^{(0)} \overset{0}{\overset{B}{@}} \frac{i}{k^{0} + i} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ k^{0} + i \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0\\ i\\ k^{0} & i \end{array} \qquad (22)$$

where

$$U^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & A \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and for further use  $\begin{bmatrix} U^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ A & A \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (23)

Throughout the paper, we will use bold-face letters to indicate 2 - 2 matrices in the real-time form alism.

# B. Gluon propagator

The gluon propagator in the real-time form alism can be written as [9]

$$D (k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ D (k) & D^{<}(k) \\ D^{>}(k) & (D (k)) \end{pmatrix}$$
(24)

where

$$D^{>}(k) = \int_{Z}^{Z} d^{4}x e^{ik \cdot x} hA(x)A(0)i_{T}; \qquad (25)$$

$$D^{<}(k) = d^{4}x e^{ik x} hA(0)A(x)i_{T};$$
 (26)

$$D (k) = d^{4}x e^{ik x} [(x_{0})hA (x)A (0)i_{T} + (x_{0})hA (0)A (x)i_{T}]:$$
(27)

G luons being bosonic elds, the K ubo{M artin{Schwinger relation reads

$$D^{<}(k) = e^{k^{0} = T} D^{>}(k);$$
 (28)

from which it follows that

$$D^{>}(k) = 1 + n_{B}(k^{0})_{B}(k);$$
 (29)

$$D^{<}(k) = n_{B}(k^{0})_{B}(k);$$
 (30)

where

$$_{\rm B}$$
 (k) = D<sup>></sup> (k) D<sup><</sup> (k); (31)

is the spectral density.

W e m ay express D  $\,$  also in terms of the retarded and advanced propagators D  $^{R}\,$  and D  $^{A}\,$  :

$$D^{R}(\mathbf{k}) = d^{4}x e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} (x_{0})h[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x});\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0})]i_{T}; \qquad (32)$$

$$D^{A}(k) = d^{4}x e^{ik x} (x)h[A(x);A(0)]i_{T};$$
(33)

wehave

$$_{B} (k) = D^{R} (k) D^{A} (k);$$

$$D (k) = D^{R} (k) + D^{<} (k) = D^{A} (k) + D^{>} (k)$$

$$(34)$$

$$= \frac{D^{R}(k) + D^{A}(k)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + n_{B}(k^{0}) = (k):$$
(35)

In the free case, in C oulom b gauge, the longitudinal and transverse propagators have the follow ing expressions [12]:

$$D_{00}^{(0)}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ R^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{i}{R^{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{i}{R^{2}} \\ R^{2} & 80 \\ D_{ij}^{(0)}(k) = ij & \frac{k^{i}k^{j}}{R^{2}} \\ R^{2} & R^{2} & R^{2} \\ R^{2}$$

where  $k^2 = (k^0)^2$   $\tilde{k}^2$ . Note that the longitudinal part of the gluon propagator in C oulom b gauge does not depend on the tem perature. The tem perature enters only the transverse part, which splits in the sum of a T = 0 piece and a therm alone.

# C. Lagrangian

The Lagrangian of QCD with a static quark, a static antiquark and  $n_f$  massless quark elds  $q_i$  is

$$L = \frac{1}{4} F^{a} F^{a} + \frac{X^{a_{f}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}} = q_{i} + \frac{Y_{i}}{2} D_{0} + \frac{Y_{i}}{2} D_{0} ; \qquad (38)$$

where  $iD^{0} = iQ_{0}$   $gA^{0}$ ,  $iD = i\tilde{r} + g\tilde{A}$ , and igF = [D; D]. The free static quark propagator is given by Eq. (20), the free static antiquark propagator by Eq. (21) and the free gluon propagator (in Coulomb gauge) by Eqs. (36) and (37). Note that transverse gluons do not couple directly to static quarks.

### III. ONE-LOOP FINITE T CONTRIBUTIONS IN STATIC QCD

Throughout this work, we will assume that T, gT  $_{QCD}$ ; this enables us to evaluate them alproperties of QCD in the weak-coupling regime. In this section, we consider one-loop them al contributions to the static quark propagator, quark-gluon vertices and gluon propagator. When the loop momenta and energies are taken at the scale T and the external

m on enta are much lower, so that we may expand with respect to them, these correspond to the hard therm alloop (HTL) contributions [13].



FIG. 1: Self-energy diagram. The continuous line stands for a static quark, the dashed one for a longitudinal gluon.



FIG.2: Vertex correction to the static quark line; the incom ing gluon is longitudinal.



FIG. 3: Vertex correction to the static quark line; the incoming gluon is transverse.

The one-bop contributions to the static-quark self energy, to the static-quark longitudinal-gluon vertex and to the static-quark transverse-gluon vertex are displayed in Figs. 1, 2 and 3 respectively. It is convenient to x the C oulom b gauge. In that gauge, longitudinal gluons do not depend on the tem perature (see Eq. (36)) and the above diagram s do not give therm al contributions. M oreover, if evaluated in dimensional regularization they vanish after expanding in the external momenta. Throughout this work, we will adopt the C oulom b gauge unless stated otherwise.

M om enta and energies of order T contribute to the gluon self-energy diagram s. Since only longitudinal gluons couple to static quarks, we will focus on the longitudinal part of the polarization tensor. This will be the only component of the gluon polarization tensor relevant to the paper. D iagram s contributing to the therm alpart of the longitudinal gluon polarization tensor in real-time form alism at one-loop order are shown in Fig. 4. In Sec. IIIA, we will give a general expression, and in Secs. IIIB, IIIC, IIID we will expand it in some relevant limits.



FIG. 4: D iagram s contributing to the longitudinal component of the gluon polarization tensor at one-loop order. The continuous loop stands for light (m assless) quark loops, dashed lines for longitudinal gluons and curly lines for transverse gluons. G hosts do not contribute to the therm al part of the gluon polarization tensor [12].

# A. The longitudinal gluon polarization tensor

Sum m ing up all therm alcontributions from the diagram s of Fig. 4, we obtain (for details see [14]):

$${}^{R}_{00}(k) = {}^{h}_{h} {}^{i}_{h} {}^$$

$$A_{00}(\mathbf{k})_{\text{thermal}} = O_{0}(\mathbf{k}^{0} \quad i \mathbf{k})_{\text{thermal}};$$
(40)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 00;G (k) \end{bmatrix}_{\text{therm al}} = \frac{g^2 N_c}{2^2} \int_{-1}^{Z_{+1}} dq^0 \dot{j} \dot{p} \dot{p}_{\text{B}} (\dot{q}^0)$$

$$(1 + \frac{(2q^0 - k^0)^2}{8(q^0)^2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\dot{\chi} \dot{f}}{2(q^0)^2}$$

$$+ 2 \frac{\ddot{\chi} \dot{j}}{2\dot{j} \dot{q}' j} - \frac{(\ddot{\chi} \dot{f} + (q^0)^2)^2}{8\dot{j} \dot{q}' \dot{f} \ddot{\chi} j} - \frac{(2q^0 - k^0)^2}{4(q^0 - k^0)^2} - \frac{(\ddot{\chi} \dot{f} + (q^0)^2)^2}{8\dot{j} \dot{q}' \dot{f} \ddot{\chi} j} + \frac{\ddot{\chi} \dot{j}}{2\dot{j} \dot{q}' j}$$

$$= \frac{(2q^0 - k^0)^2}{4} \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\dot{j} \dot{q}' \dot{j} \ddot{\chi} j} + \frac{1}{(q^0 - k^0)^2} - \frac{(k^2 - 2\dot{q} k^0)^2}{8\dot{j} \dot{q}' \dot{f} \ddot{\chi} j} + \frac{k^2 - 2\dot{q} k^0}{2\dot{j} \dot{q}' \ddot{\chi} j} + \frac{\dot{j} \dot{q}' \dot{j}}{2\ddot{\chi} j}$$

$$= \frac{k^2 - 2\dot{q} k^0 + 2\dot{j} \dot{q}' \dot{j} \ddot{\chi} j}{k^2 - 2\dot{q} k^0 - 2\dot{j} \dot{q}' \ddot{\chi} j}; \qquad (43)$$

where  $\R$  " stands for retarded,  $\A$  " for advanced,  $\F$ " labels the contribution coming from the loops of n<sub>f</sub> massless quarks (rst diagram of Fig. 4) and  $\G$ " labels the contribution from the second, third and fourth diagram of Fig. 4. N<sub>c</sub> = 3 is the number of colors and T<sub>F</sub> = 1=2. In the context of the imaginary-time form alism, Eqs. (42) and (43) can be found also in textbooks like [15]. The original derivation of (43) is in [16].

The retarded and advanced gluon self energies contribute to the retarded and advanced gluon propagators. From the retarded and advanced gluon propagators we may derive the fullpropagator, the spectral density and nally all components of the 2 2 matrix of the realtime gluon propagator along the lines of Sec. IIB. In the following, we study Eqs. (39)-(43) in dierent kinematical limits.

B. The longitudinal gluon polarization tensor for  $k^0$  T 3j

The typical bop momentum  $q^0$  is of order T. If we expand  $\stackrel{R}{_{00}}$  (k) thermal and  $\stackrel{A}{_{00}}$  (k) the mal in  $k^0$  T j j and keep terms up to order  $k^0$ , the result is

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Re} \quad {}^{\operatorname{R}}_{00}\left(k\right) \\ \frac{g^{2} \operatorname{T}_{F} \operatorname{n}_{f}}{2} & {}^{\operatorname{them al}} = \operatorname{Re} \quad {}^{\operatorname{A}}_{00}\left(k\right) \\ \frac{g^{2} \operatorname{T}_{F} \operatorname{n}_{f}}{2} & {}^{\operatorname{them al}} = {}^{\operatorname{Re}} & {}^{\operatorname{A}}_{00}\left(k\right) \\ \frac{g^{2} \operatorname{T}_{F} \operatorname{n}_{f}}{2} & {}^{\operatorname{them al}} = {}^{\operatorname{I}} & {}^{\operatorname{I}} &$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Im} \quad \stackrel{\text{R}}{_{00}}(\texttt{k})_{\text{therm al}} &= \quad \text{Im} \quad \stackrel{\text{A}}{_{00}}(\texttt{k})_{\text{therm al}} &= \\ & \frac{2 \, \text{g}^2 \, \text{T}_{\text{F}} \, n_{\text{f}}}{3} \frac{\texttt{k}^0}{\texttt{k}^2} \, \text{dq}^0 \, \text{q}^0 \, n_{\text{F}} \, (\texttt{q}^0) \\ & \frac{\texttt{k}^2 \, \text{J}_{\text{F}}}{\texttt{k}^2} \, \text{dq}^0 \, \text{q}^0 \, n_{\text{F}} \, (\texttt{q}^0) \\ & + \frac{\text{g}^2 \, \text{N}_{\,\text{c}}}{3} \frac{\texttt{k}^0}{\texttt{k}^2} \, \frac{\texttt{k}^2}{8} \, n_{\text{B}} \, (\texttt{k} \, \texttt{j} \, \texttt{2}) + \frac{\texttt{Z}_{+1}}{\texttt{k}^{+2}} \, \text{dq}^0 \, \text{q}^0 \, n_{\text{B}} \, (\texttt{q}^0) \, 1 \, \frac{\texttt{k}^4}{8 \, (\texttt{q}^0)^4} \, : (45) \end{split}$$

Equation (45) and the gluonic part of (44) are in agreem ent with [16].

# C. The longitudinal gluon polarization tensor for $k^0$ $~~~\sc{k}j$ $~~\sc{T}$

If we assume that all components of the external four-momentum are much smaller than the bop momentum  $q^0$  T, then we may expand  ${}^{R}_{00}$  (k)  ${}_{thermal}$  and  ${}^{A}_{00}$  (k)  ${}_{thermal}$  in k<sup>0</sup>  $\sharp$ j T. At leading order, we obtain the well-known HTL expression for the longitudinal gluon polarization tensor, which may be found, for instance, in [9]:

Re 
$$_{00}^{R}$$
 (k) them al = Re  $_{00}^{A}$  (k) them al =  $m_{D}^{2}$  1  $\frac{k^{0}}{2\tilde{j}\tilde{k}j}\ln\frac{k^{0}+\tilde{j}\tilde{k}j}{k^{0}\tilde{j}\tilde{j}j}$ ; (46)

$$\operatorname{Im} \quad \mathop{\mathbb{R}}_{00}^{R}(\mathbf{k})_{\text{therm al}} = \operatorname{Im} \quad \mathop{\mathbb{A}}_{00}^{A}(\mathbf{k})_{\text{therm al}} = \operatorname{m}_{D}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{k}^{0}}{\mathbf{j}^{2}} \quad (\tilde{\mathbf{k}}); \qquad (47)$$

where m  $_{\rm D}~$  is the D ebye m ass:

$$m_D^2 = \frac{g^2 T^2}{3} (N_c + T_F n_f)$$
: (48)

We have used that  $\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dq^{0} q^{0} n_{F} (q^{0}) = {}^{2}T^{2}=12 \text{ and } \int_{0}^{Q} dq^{0} q^{0} n_{B} (q^{0}) = {}^{2}T^{2}=6$ . Note that the expansions for  $\tilde{k}j!$  0 of (44) and (45) and those for  $k^{0}!$  0 of (46) and (47) agree with each other at leading order.

#### 1. The resummed longitudinal gluon propagator

The longitudinal polarization tensor induces corrections to the longitudinal gluon propagator:

$$D_{00}^{R,A}(k) = \frac{i}{\tilde{\kappa}^{2}} - \frac{i}{\tilde{\kappa}^{4}} - \frac{\kappa_{A}}{\kappa_{0}}(k) + \cdots$$
(49)

Since  ${}^{R}_{00}{}^{;A}_{00}$  contains a real and an imaginary part, also D  ${}^{R}_{00}{}^{;A}_{00}$  acquires a real and an imaginary part.

If the typical momentum transfer is of the order of the D ebye mass,  $jkj = m_D$ , then the series in (49) needs to be resummed:

$$D_{00}^{R,A}(k) = \frac{i}{\kappa^{2} + O_{00}^{R,A}(k)} :$$
(50)

The resummed longitudinal propagator depends on  $k^0$  and has a real and an imaginary part. The D ebye mass m<sub>D</sub> plays the role of a screening mass for longitudinal gluons whose momenta are such that  $k^0$  T and  $\tilde{\chi}j$  m<sub>D</sub>. A study of the resummed gluon propagator in the real-time formalism may be found in [17].

The role of the screening mass can be made more evident if we assume further that  $k^0$  jkj m<sub>D</sub> T and expand Eq. (50) in  $k^0$  up to order  $k^0$ ; then we obtain

$$D_{00}^{R;A}(k) = \frac{i}{k^{2} + m_{D}^{2}} - \frac{k^{0}}{2} \frac{m_{D}^{2}}{jkj} \frac{m_{D}^{2}}{k^{2} + m_{D}^{2}};$$
(51)

where the + and - signs refer to the retarded and advanced propagators respectively. The corresponding spectral density is

$$_{B \ 00} (k) = D_{00}^{R} (k) \qquad D_{00}^{A} (k) = \frac{k^{0}}{3 j} \frac{m_{D}^{2}}{k^{2} + m_{D}^{2}} :$$
(52)

Following Sec. IIB and expanding in  $k^0$  also the Bose factor,  $n_B(k^0)$   $T=k^0$ , at leading order in  $k^0$ , we obtain that the resummed HTL longitudinal propagator in the real-time formalism is

$$D_{00}(0; \tilde{K}) = \frac{i}{\tilde{K}^2 + m_D^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & A \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{T}{\tilde{K}_{j}} & \frac{m_D^2}{\tilde{K}_{j}} & \frac{1}{\tilde{K}_{j}} & \frac{1}{\tilde{$$

D. The longitudinal gluon polarization tensor for  $\mathfrak{F}$  j T k<sup>0</sup>

If we assume that  $\mathbf{\tilde{j}}$   $\mathbf{T}$   $\mathbf{k}^0$ , then the expression for the longitudinal gluon polarization tensor m ay extracted from Eqs. (44) and (45) by expanding for large  $\mathbf{\tilde{j}}$   $\mathbf{\tilde{j}}$ -T. At leading order, we obtain

$${}^{R}_{00} (k) {}_{them al} = {}^{A}_{00} (k) {}_{them al} = {}^{N}_{c} g^{2} T^{2} {}_{18} :$$
 (54)

The result is real and does not depend on k. M oreover, only the gluonic part of the polarization tensor contributes in this lim it and at this order. Higher-order real corrections are suppressed by  $T^2 = K^2$ , while higher-order in aginary corrections are exponentially suppressed. Starting from this section, we shall address bound states made of a static quark and antiquark in QCD at nite T.B ound states introduce extra scales in the dynamics, besides T and  $m_D$ , that we have to account for. The most relevant one is the distance r between the quark and the antiquark. Throughout the paper, we will assume that 1=r QCD. We will further assume that also the binding energy of the quark-antiquark static pair is larger than QCD.

First, we deal with the situation where the inverse distance of the two static sources is much larger than the tem perature: 1=r T. Under this condition, we may integrate out 1=rfrom static QCD at T = 0 order by order in <sub>s</sub>. The EFT that we obtain is potential nonrelativistic QCD (pNRQCD) in the static limit [18, 19], whose Lagrangian can be written as

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F^{a}F^{a} + \frac{X^{a}}{_{i=1}} q_{i} = q_{i} + \frac{X^{a}}{_{i=1}} q_{i} = q_{i} + \frac{X^{a}}{_{i=1}} q_{i} = q_{i} + \frac{X^{a}}{_{i=1}} r^{a} + \frac{X^{a}}{_{i=1}} r^{a$$

The elds  $S = S \mathbb{1}_{c} = {}^{p} \overline{N_{c}}$  and  $O = O^{a} T^{a} = {}^{p} \overline{T_{F}}$  are static quark-antiquark singlet and octet elds respectively, E is the chrom coelectric eld:  $E^{i} = F^{i0}$ , and  $C_{F} = (N_{c}^{2} - 1) = (2N_{c}) = 4 = 3$ . The trace is over the color indeces. The matching coeccients  $V_{s}$ ,  $V_{o}$ ,  $V_{A}$ ,  $V_{B}$  are at leading order:  $V_{s} = s$ ,  $V_{o} = s$ ,  $V_{A} = 1$  and  $V_{B} = 1$ . G luon elds are multipole expanded and depend only on the center of mass coordinate; they scale with the low-energy scales (T, m<sub>D</sub>, s=r,  $Q_{CD}$ , ...) that are still dynamical in the EFT. The dots in the last line stand for higher-order terms in the multipole expansion.

#### A. Singlet and octet propagators

The free real-time singlet and octet static propagators at nite T are similar to the free static quark propagator (20), although singlet and octet are bosons:

$$S^{\text{singlet}}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 1 \\ B & p^{0} + C_{F} & v_{s} = r + i \\ 2 & (p^{0} + C_{F} & v_{s} = r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & i & 0 \\ p^{0} + C_{F} & v_{s} = r & i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S^{\text{octet}}(p)_{ab} = {}_{ab} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 1 \\ \overline{p^{0}} & _{V_{o}} = (2N_{c}r) + i & 0 & C \\ 2 & (p^{0} & _{V_{o}} = (2N_{c}r)) & i & A \\ 2 & (p^{0} & _{V_{o}} = (2N_{c}r)) & i & 1 \\ 0 & i & 1 & 1 \\ = & {}_{ab}U^{(0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 1 \\ \overline{p^{0}} & _{V_{o}} = (2N_{c}r) + i & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & i & i & A & U^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(57)

### B. N on-therm alpart of the singlet static potential

The contribution to the singlet static potential coming from the scale 1=r can be read from the Lagrangian (55); it is just the Coulomb potential, which in real-time form alism reads 0 1

$$V_{s}(r) = C_{F} - \frac{V_{s}(1=r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix};$$
 (58)

where  $v_s$  (1=r) is a series in s: at leading order,  $v_s$  (1=r) = s (1=r). Starting from order  $s^4$ ,  $v_s$  is infrared divergent; these divergences, which appear at zero tem perature, have been considered elsewhere [20] and will not matter here.

The matrix in (58) is such that

Together with Eq. (22), this guarantees that

$$S^{\text{singlet}}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 1 & 2 & 0 & i & 1 & 3_n \\ B & p^0 + i & 0 & C & X^{i} & 6 \\ 2 & (p^0) & i & A & 4 & (iV_s(r)) & B & p^0 + i & 0 & C & 7 \\ 2 & (p^0) & i & n = 0 & 2 & (p^0) & i & A & 5 &; \end{cases} (60)$$

i.e. that, like at T = 0, the sum of all insertions of a potential exchange between a free quark and antiquark amounts to the propagator (56).

The singlet static potential does not get only contributions from the scale 1=r, but, if the next relevant scales are the therm alones, it will also get therm al contributions. These will be the subject of the following sections.

#### C. Chrom oelectric correlator

In the paper, it will become necessary to calculate the chromoelectric correlator  $hE^{a}(t)$   $(t; 0)_{ab}^{adj}E^{b}(0)i_{T}$  where  $(t; 0)_{ab}^{adj}$  is a W ilson line in the adjoint representation connecting the points (t; 0) and (0; 0) by a straight line. Such a correlator enters each time we consider diagram swith two chromoelectric dipole insertions. In the real-time form alism, the chromoelectric correlator is a 2 2 m atrix in the eld indices  $1^{"}$  and  $2^{"}$ . We shall write it as

$$hE^{a}(t) (t; 0)^{adj}_{ab}E^{b}(0)i_{T} = (N_{c}^{2} - 1)^{Z} \frac{dk^{0}}{2}e^{ik^{0}t} \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} (k^{0})^{2}D_{ii}(k) + k^{2}D_{00}(k) ; (61)$$

where, at zeroth order in  $_{s}$ , D (k) is the free gluon propagator. In C oulom b gauge, the free gluon propagator has been given in Eqs. (36) and (37); since the chrom coelectric correlator is a gauge invariant quantity the choice of the gauge does not matter. At zeroth order in  $_{s}$ , the therm alpart of hE<sup>a</sup>(t) (t; 0)<sup>adj</sup><sub>ab</sub>E<sup>b</sup>(0)i<sub>T</sub> is

$$hE^{a}(t) \quad (t; 0)_{ab}^{adj}E^{b}(0)i_{T} \quad \text{therm alpart} = (N_{c}^{2} \quad 1)^{Z} \quad \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} 2\tilde{k}j\cos(\tilde{k}t)n_{B}(\tilde{k}t) = (\tilde{k}t)^{Q} \quad \frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{A} :$$
(62)

Note that for t = 0 Eq. (62) gives the therm all part of the gluon condensate in the weakcoupling regime:

$$hE^{a}(0) E^{a}(0)i_{T} = (N_{c}^{2} - 1)T^{4} \frac{2}{15} (0) \frac{1}{1} A;$$
(63)

where we have used  $dk k^3 n_B (k) = {}^4T^4 = 15$ . Equation (63) agrees with the Stefan { Boltzm ann law (see, for instance, [21]).

#### D. Therm alcorrections to the singlet static potential

We calculate now the leading them al contributions to the static potential assuming for de niteness that T and  $m_D$  are the next relevant scales after 1=r, i.e. that the binding energy is much smaller than  $m_D$ . We will further assume that all other therm odynamical scales are much smaller than the binding energy, so that we can ignore them. We recall that from an EFT point of view, only energy scales larger than the binding energy contribute to the potential, which is the m atching coe cient entering the Schrodinger equation of the bound state, while all energy scales contribute to physical observables like, for instance, the static energy [10]. W e will com m ent on the impact of degrees of freedom with energies and m om enta of the order of the binding energy in Secs. IV E and IV F.

The calculation proceeds in two steps. The rst step will be performed in Sec. IV D 1. It consists in integrating out from the pNRQCD Lagrangian (55) modes of energy and momentum of order T. This modi es pNRQCD into a new EFT where only modes with energy and momentum lower than the temperature are dynamical. For our purposes, it only matters that the pNRQCD Lagrangian gets additional contributions in the singlet and in the Yang{M ills sectors. In the rst sector, it is an additional contribution to the singlet static potential. In the second one, the additional contribution corresponds to the HTL Lagrangian [22].

The second step, which will be performed in Sec. IV D 2, consists in integrating out from the previous EFT modes of energy and momentum of order  $m_D$ . The resulting EFT will only have degrees of freedom that are dynamical at energy and momentum scales lower than the D ebye mass. In the singlet sector, the EFT gets modied by a further additional contribution to the static potential.

In summary, if both T and m<sub>D</sub> are much larger than the binding energy, the real-time C oulomb potential (58), gets two type of corrections  $V_s(r)$ . The rst one comes from the scale T and the other one from the scale m<sub>D</sub>.

1. Contributions from the scale T

The leading therm alconnection  $V_s(r)$  is induced by the dipole term  $s O^y r$   $\mathbf{E} S + S^y r$  gE O in the Lagrangian (55). It reads (see [19] for the T = 0 case):

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{11} = ig^{2} \frac{T_{F}}{N_{c}} \frac{r^{2}}{d 1}_{0} \frac{Z_{1}}{d 1}_{0} dt e^{it \cdot V} hE^{a}(t) (t; 0)^{adj}_{ab}E^{b}(0) i_{T}_{11}$$

$$= ig^{2} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d 1}_{0} \frac{A^{d}}{d 1} \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} \frac{i}{k^{0} \cdot V + i} h^{a}(k^{0})^{2} D_{ii}(k) + k^{2} D_{00}(k)^{i}_{11}; (64)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{s} \end{bmatrix}_{11}; (65)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{12} = 0; (65)$$

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{21} = ig^{2}C_{F}\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2}}{d}\frac{4}{1}\frac{4}{(2)^{d}}\frac{d^{d}\mathbf{k}}{(2)^{d}}2 \quad \left(\mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{V}\right)^{2}(\mathbf{k}^{0})^{2}D_{ii}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{k}^{2}D_{00}(\mathbf{k})\right]_{21};(67)$$

where  $V = \frac{1}{r} \frac{V_o}{2N_c} + C_F V_s$   $\frac{N_c s}{2r}$ . The corresponding Feynm an diagram is shown in Fig. 5. Integrals over m on enta have been regularized in dimensional regularization (d is the number of dimensions, is the compensating scale). In Eq. (64), i=( $k^0 V + i$ ) is the \11" component of the static octet propagator; Eq. (66) vanishes because the \12" component of the static octet propagator vanishes and in Eq. (67), 2 (k V) is the \21" component of the static octet propagator. Note that vertices of type \1" and \2" have opposite signs. Equation (65), which m ay also be read [ $i V_s (r) I_{22} = [i V_s (r) I_{11},$ re ects the relation existing between the \11" and \22" components of the propagators in the real-time form alism.



FIG. 5: The single continuous line stands for a singlet propagator, the double line for an octet propagator, the circle with a cross for a chrom oelectric dipole vertex and the curly line connecting the two circles with a cross for a chrom oelectric correlator.

We are interested in calculating the contribution to the integrals in Eqs. (64)–(67) from momenta k T. Since T V, we may expand in V=T. Moreover, at leading order, the propagators in Eqs. (64) and (67) are the free ones,  $D_{00}^{(0)}$  and  $D_{ii}^{(0)}$ , given in Eqs. (36) and (37). However the leading-order therm al contribution, which would be of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$ , vanishes:

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{11} = i \vec{g} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d 1} \frac{4 d}{z} \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} \frac{i}{k^{0} + i} (k^{0})^{2} 4 (k^{2}) n_{B} (k^{0}) = 0; \quad (68)$$

$$\left[ V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \right]_{21} = ig^{2} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d} \frac{4 d}{d} \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{d}} 2 \quad (k) (k^{0})^{2} 4 \quad (k^{2}) n_{B} (k^{0}) = 0:$$
(69)

Several next-to-bading order corrections are possible, because several scales are still dynamical in the EFT: we may have corrections of relative order V=T, m  $_D$ =T, (rT),  $_s$  and so on.

(1) First, we consider corrections of order  $V = k^0$  or higher to the quark-antiquark propagator, which contribute to order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$  V = T or higher to  $V_s(r)$ :

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{11} = i \vec{g}^{2} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d 1} + \vec{k}^{2} \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} \frac{i}{k^{0} + i} h^{2} h^{2} D_{ii}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) + \tilde{k}^{2} D_{00}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k})\right]_{11}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3}C_{F} - \frac{s}{r^{2}}r^{2} V f(V=T) \quad i\frac{2}{3}C_{F} sr^{2}(V)^{3}n_{B}(V);$$
(70)  
$$[V_{s}(r)]_{21} = ig^{2}C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d} \frac{4}{1} \frac{d}{(2)^{d}} 2 \quad (\mathring{R} V)^{h} (k^{0})^{2}D_{ii}^{(0)}(k) + \check{R}^{2}D_{00}^{(0)}(k)_{21}^{(1)} = i\frac{4}{3}C_{F} sr^{2}(V)^{3}n_{B}(V) = 2i\mathbb{I}[V_{s}(r)]_{11};$$
(71)

where

$$f(z) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{x^{3}}{e^{x}} P \frac{1}{x^{2} - z^{2}}; \qquad (72)$$

and P stands for the principal value. Since T V, we can expand the above expressions in V=T obtaining

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{9} N_{c} C_{F} \stackrel{2}{}_{s} \mathbf{r} T^{2} \stackrel{0}{\otimes} \stackrel{1}{}_{0} \stackrel{0}{A} + \frac{1}{6} N_{c} \stackrel{2}{}_{c} C_{F} \stackrel{3}{}_{s} T \stackrel{0}{\otimes} \stackrel{1}{}_{0} \stackrel{0}{A} + \dots$$
(73)

The dots stand for higher-order real and in aginary term s. We note that the matrices in Eq. (73) are such to be diagonalized by the matrix  $[U^{(0)}]^1$ : the rst one is the same as in (59), the second one satisfies

The leading real contribution in Eq. (73) is of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$  V=T and comes from the diagram shown in Fig. 6. The leading in aginary contribution in Eq. (73) is of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$  (V=T)<sup>2</sup> and comes from the diagram shown in Fig. 7. This in aginary part is a very peculiar feature of QCD. It originates from the fact that them al uctuations of the medium at short distances may destroy a color-singlet bound state in an octet quarkantiquark state and gluons. This process is kinem atically not allowed at zero temperature where only static octetsm ay decay into singlets with a leading order width = N  $_c^2 \frac{4}{s}$ =(12r). In some kinem atical situations, the role of singlet-octet transitions in quarkonium -hadron scattering in strongly interacting matter has been discussed in Ref. [23].

Here we have assumed T V. In the kinematical situation T V, Eq. (70) would provide a contribution to the static energy rather than to the static potential of the quarkantiquark pair. This contribution will be discussed in Sec. IV E.

(2) A nother source of next-to-leading order corrections com es from next-to-leading order corrections to the chrom oelectric eld correlator. Their contribution to the static potential at zero tem perature has been considered in [24]. They also contribute at order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$  s



FIG. 6: Feynm an diagram giving the leading order real contribution to Eq. (70). The symbols are like in Fig. 5. The cross stands for a V insertion in the octet propagator.



FIG. 7: Feynman diagram giving the leading order in aginary contribution to Eq. (70). The symbols are like in Fig. 6.

 $g^2 r^2 T^3$   $(m_D = T)^2$  to the them alpart of the static potential. The chrom coelectric correlator enters in the potential in the expression  $\frac{d}{d} = \frac{d^d k}{(2_h)^d} \frac{1}{k^2 + 1} = \frac{h}{k^0} \left( k^0 \right)^2 D_{11} \left( k \right) + \tilde{k}^2 D_{00} \left( k \right)_{11}^{11}$ . Since  $i = (k^2 + 1) = iP(1=k) + (k)$  and  $(k^0)^2 D_{11} \left( k \right) + \tilde{k}^2 D_{00} \left( k \right)_{11}^{11}$  is even in  $k^0$ , only the (k) component of the static quark-antiquark propagator contributes, therefore only the limit for  $k^0 ! 0$  of  $(k^0)^2 D_{11} \left( k \right) + \tilde{k}^2 D_{00} \left( k \right)$  matters. In order to evaluate it, it is convenient to perform the calculation rst in temporal-axial gauge  $A^0 = 0$ . In this gauge, the chrom coelectric eld is simply  $E = k^0 A$ . Hence all corrections to the chrom coelectric correlator are encoded in the spatial part of the gluon propagator alone: at one loop the correction is provided entirely by the gluon self energy. In temporal-axial gauge, from the transversality relation of the polarization tensor it follows that (com pare with the expressions of the propagators in [16]):

$$\lim_{k^0 \mid 0} (k^0)^2 D_{ii}^{R;A}(k) = \lim_{k^0 \mid 0} \frac{k^2}{k^2 + \frac{R;A}{00}(k)}$$
(75)

Since in the  $k^0$  ! 0 lim it  ${}^{R,A}_{00}$  (k) is equal in Coulomb and temporal-axial gauge, we can also write that (compare with Eq. (50))

$$\lim_{k^0 ! 0} (k^0)^2 D_{ii}^{R;A}(k) = \lim_{k^0 ! 0} \tilde{k}^2 D_{00}^{R;A}(k) = \lim_{k^0 ! 0} \tilde{k}^2 D_{00}^{R;A}(k)$$
(76)

The left-hand side is the only term of the chrom oelectric correlator contributing to the potential in tem poral-axial gauge: it m ay be evaluated by calculating the right-hand side in C oulom b gauge. At one loop, the right-hand side gets contribution from the gluon self-energy

diagram shown in Fig. 8; hence, at next-to-leading order we can write

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{11} = ig^{2}C_{F}\frac{r^{2}}{d} \frac{4}{1}d^{2}\frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} \quad (k) k^{2} \left[D_{00}(k)\right]_{11}; \quad (77)$$

$$\left[ V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \right]_{21} = ig^{2} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d} \frac{4 d}{d} \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} 2 \quad (k) k^{2} \left[ D_{00}(\mathbf{k}) \right]_{21};$$
(78)

where

$$\left[ D_{00}(k) \right]_{11} = \frac{D_{00}^{R}(k) + D_{00}^{A}(k)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + n_{B}(k^{0}) \qquad D_{00}^{R}(k) \qquad D_{00}^{A}(k) ; \quad (79)$$

$$\left[ D_{00}(k) \right]_{21} = (1 + n_{\rm B}(k^{0})) \quad D_{00}^{\rm R}(k) \qquad D_{00}^{\rm A}(k) \quad ; \qquad (80)$$

$$D_{00}^{R;A}(k) = \frac{i}{\tilde{k}^{4}} {}^{R;A}(k); \qquad (81)$$

and, the relevant lim it for the gluon polarization  $\begin{pmatrix} R & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  in Coulomb gauge is given by Eqs. (44) and (45). Finally, the correction to the real-time potential reads

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3}{2} (3) C_{F} - \frac{s}{r} r^{2} T m_{D}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} (3) N_{c} C_{F} - \frac{s}{s} r^{2} T^{3} (2) \frac{1}{0} A_{0} \frac{1}{1} + \frac{c_{F}}{6} s r^{2} T m_{D}^{2} - \frac{1}{1} + \frac{s}{F} + \frac{1}{1} n \frac{m^{2}}{2} + \frac{2}{3} 4 \ln 2 \frac{2^{0}(2)}{(2)} + \frac{4}{9} \ln 2 N_{c} C_{F} - \frac{s}{s} r^{2} T^{3} (2) \frac{1}{2} A_{1}; \qquad (82)$$

where =  $(4 \ d)=2$ , E is the Euler gam m a and the R iem ann zeta function ((2) = 2=6). Note that in Eq. (82), besides term s that are proportional to the D ebye m ass there are nite term s, both in the real and in the imaginary part, that do not depend on it.



FIG.8: The sym bols are like in Fig.5. The dashed blob stands for a one-loop self-energy insertion in the gluon propagator.

Equation (82) contains an imaginary contribution. The origin of this contribution is di erent from the one in Eq. (73). The one here comes from the imaginary part in the gluon self energy, which is due to to the scattering of particles with momenta of order T in the therm all bath with space-like gluons,  $(k^0)^2 < jk j$ , (Landau dam ping) while the one in

Eq. (73) signals the therm all break up of a quark-antiquark color singlet pair into an octet one.

The result in Eq. (82) is infrared divergent and, in an EFT language, calls for an opposite ultraviolet divergence from low er energy contributions: the two divergences should cancel in all physical observables. In the following section, we will show that the infrared divergence generated by the diagram in Fig. 8 when integrated over momenta of order T is canceled by an ultraviolet divergence in the same diagram when integrated over momenta of order  $m_D$ . For the purpose of the cancellation and the calculation of the static potential in the situation 1=r Т m <sub>D</sub> V it is irrelevant how we regularize both divergent contributions, as long as they are regularized in the same way: the divergences as well as any scheme dependence cancel in the sum. However, it may be that expression (82) is used in interm ediate calculations that require further regularizations. An example is the solution of the Schrodinger equation for quarkonium in a thermal medium when the kinetic energy of the bound state is larger than or of the same order as  $m_D$  so that the cancellation of the divergences does not occur at the level of the potential. In such a situation, it is important to provide divergent contributions in a standard regularization scheme. Equation (82) has been obtained by regularizing in dimensional regularization only the integral in k. This is not su cient to qualify Eq. (82) as a standard dimensional regularization scheme result, which would also require the calculation of the gluon polarization tensor at order in the dimensional regularization expansion. This order, combined with the 1= divergence of the integral in k, contributes to the nite part of (82). To our know ledge the expression of the gluon polarization tensor at order is not known in the literature and its calculation is beyond the purposes of this work. However, it may become necessary for a proper calculation of the quarkonium potential at short distances when the Debye mass is of the same order as or sm aller than the kinetic energy.

(3) Finally, a source of higher-order contributions com es from higher-order terms in the multipole expansion. These are of two types. First, they may involve operators of higher order in the multipole expansion in the pNRQCD Lagrangian, like, for example, the operator  $\frac{1}{24}$ Tr O<sup>y</sup>r<sup>i</sup>r<sup>j</sup>r<sup>k</sup> gD<sup>i</sup>D<sup>j</sup>E<sup>k</sup>S. However, the contribution of this operator vanishes for reasons sim ilar to the ones that led to the vanishing of the leading-order therm al contribution in Eqs. (68) and (69). Second, they may involve diagram swith three orm ore insertions of the operators Tr O<sup>y</sup>r EjS or Tr O<sup>y</sup>r EjO. They contribute to order g<sup>2</sup>r<sup>2</sup>T<sup>3</sup> g<sup>2</sup>rT

or higher to the therm al part of the static potential and hence are suppressed by at least a factor rT with respect to the corrections considered in the previous paragraph. W e will neglect them in the following.

## 2. Contributions from the scale m $_{\rm D}$

In the previous section, having integrated out T has led to a new EFT, which in the Y ang{M ills sector coincides with the HTL EFT and in the singlet sector shows a potential that is the sum of the term s (58), (73) and (82). In the weak-coupling regime, the D ebye mass  $m_D$ , which is given by Eq. (48), is smaller than the temperature. We assume that  $m_D$  is the most relevant scale in the new EFT. The leading contributions to the static potential from the scale  $m_D$  originate from the diagram shown in Fig.5 when integrated overmomenta  $m_D$ . They are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{11} = ig^{2} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}}{d 1} = ig^{2} C_{F} \frac{r^{2}$$

$$[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})]_{22} = [V_{s}]_{11}; \qquad (84)$$

$$[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})]_{12} = 0;$$
 (85)

$$\left[ V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \right]_{21} = ig^{2} C_{F} \frac{\mathbf{r}^{2}}{d} \frac{4 d}{1} - \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} 2 \quad (k)^{11} (k^{0})^{2} D_{ii}(k) + k^{2} D_{00}(k)^{1} ; \quad (86)$$

where D (k) is now the HTL resummed propagator. A fler integration in  $k^0$  only D  $_{00}$  (0; $\tilde{k}$ ) contributes, the expression of which can be found in (53). Substituting and perform ing the dimensional integrals, we obtain

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{C_{F}}{6} {}_{s}r^{2}m_{D}^{3} {}^{0} {}^{1} {}^{0}A_{0} {}^{1} {}^{0}A_{0} {}^{1} {}^{0}A_{0} {}^{1} {}^{0}A_{0} {}^{1} {}^{0}A_{0} {}^{1} {}^{0}A_{0} {}^{1}A_{0} {}^{$$

Equation (87) shows that the scale m<sub>D</sub> contributes at order  $g^2 r^2 m_D^3$  to the real part of the potential and at order  $g^2 r^2 T m_D^2$  to the imaginary one.

The D ebye mass e ectively plays the role of a gluon mass; in this sense, the real part of (87) agrees with a result that can be found in [19]. The imaginary part originates, as the

one in Eq. (82), from the imaginary part of the gluon self energy. It shows an ultraviolet divergence. This cancels against the infrared divergence of Eq. (82), which, we recall, com es from the diagram in Fig. 8 when integrated over momenta of order T. We have already commented on this cancellation at the end of the previous section, we will add further speci cations in Sec. IV F.

#### E. Singlet static energy for T < V

If T V there are no tem perature dependent corrections to the potential, but Eq. (70) gives the leading therm alcorrection to the pole of the color singlet propagator. The real part of the pole provides the static energy of a color singlet quark-antiquark pair, whose leading therm alpart is

$$E_{s} = \frac{2}{3} N_{c} C_{F} - \frac{2}{s} rT^{2} f (N_{c} s = (2rT)); \qquad (88)$$

where the function f has been de ned in (72). M inus twice the in aginary part of the pole provides the color singlet therm aldecay width, whose leading contribution, due to the decay of a static quark-antiquark color singlet into a quark-antiquark color octet, is

$$= \frac{N_{c}^{3}C_{F}}{6} - \frac{4}{r} n_{B} (N_{c} = (2r)) :$$
(89)

In the situation T V, the therm alwidth is exponentially suppressed, while the therm al contribution to the static energy becomes

$$E_{s} = \frac{8}{45} \, {}^{3}\frac{C_{F}}{N_{c}}r^{3}T^{4} = \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{C_{F}}{N_{c}}r^{3}hE^{a}(0) E^{a}(0)i_{T} \quad \text{therm alpart} : \qquad (90)$$

E quation (90) agrees with the analogous expression for the leading gluon condensate correction to the quark-antiquark static energy at zero tem perature that was derived in [25].

## ${\tt F}$ . Sum m ary and com m ents

As in the zero tem perature case also in a therm albath, the computation of the real-time potential between a static quark-antiquark pair requires integrating out all modes of energy and momentum larger than V. Modes of energy or momentum of order V or smaller enter in physical observables, but, since they depend on the binding energy, do not belong to a proper EFT de nition of the potential [10].

If 1=r T m<sub>D</sub> V and in the weak-coupling regime, the static potential of a quark-antiquark pair is obtained by adding Eqs. (58), (73), (82) and (87). In its diagonal form, it is given by

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = [U^{(0)}]^{1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) & 0 \\ 0 & V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}^{1} ; \qquad (91)$$

where

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = C_{F} \frac{V_{s}(1=\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{9} N_{c} C_{F} \frac{2}{s} \mathbf{r} T^{2} \frac{3}{2} (3) C_{F} \frac{-s}{r} \mathbf{r}^{2} T m_{D}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} (3) N_{c} C_{F} \frac{2}{s} \mathbf{r}^{2} T^{3} + \frac{C_{F}}{6} s \mathbf{r}^{2} m_{D}^{3} + :::$$

$$+ i \frac{N_{c}^{2} C_{F}}{6} \frac{3}{s} T + \frac{C_{F}}{6} s \mathbf{r}^{2} T m_{D}^{2} 2 s \ln \frac{T^{2}}{m_{D}^{2}} 1 4 \ln 2 \frac{2^{0}(2)}{(2)}$$

$$+ \frac{4}{9} \ln 2 N_{c} C_{F} \frac{2}{s} \mathbf{r}^{2} T^{3} + ::::$$
(92)

The leading term is provided by the Coulomb part:  $C_{F} = r$ . In the real part, the rst therm al correction is of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$  V=T, the second and third ones are of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$   $(m_D = T)^2$  and the last one is of order  $g^2 r^2 m_D^3$ . The correction proportional to  ${}_{s}r^2 m_D^3$  is suppressed by  $m_D = T$  with respect to the one proportional to  ${}_{s}r^2 T m_D^2$  and may be neglected. In the imaginary part, the rst term is of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$   $(V=T)^2$  and the other ones are of order  $g^2 r^2 T^3$   $(m_D = T)^2$ . The dots in (92) stand for higher-order real and imaginary term s. Tem perature dependent higher-order term s are suppressed by powers of V=T, m\_D = T, rT, V=m\_D and rm\_D.

The in aginary part of  $V_s(r)$  has two origins. The rst term comes from the thermal break up of a quark-antiquark color singlet state into a color octet state. The other terms s come from in aginary contributions to the gluon self energy that may be traced back to the Landau damping phenomenon. Both are thermal ects: the rst one is specific of the non-Abelian nature of QCD, the second one would also show up in QED, although in QED the photon polarization tensor would get only fermionic contributions. Having assumed T m<sub>D</sub> V, the term due to the singlet to octet break up is suppressed by  $(V=m_D)^2$  with respect to the in aginary gluon self-energy contributions, which provide therefore the parametrically leading contribution to the in aginary part of the potential.

The therm alpart of Eq. (92) is nite because, under the condition 1=r T m<sub>D</sub> V, it provides the leading therm al contribution to the real-time energy and to the decay

width of a static quark and antiquark pair in a color-singlet con guration. Note, however, that the non-therm alpart of the potential,  $V_s$ , develops infrared divergences starting from order  $\frac{4}{s}$ , which eventually cancel in physical quantities against contributions from the scale V. These have been most recently considered in [24]. If 1=r T V >  $m_D$ , the static potential is the sum of Eqs. (58), (73) and (82). The therm alpart is infrared divergent. D i-vergences cancel in physical observables against therm alcontributions com ing from the scale  $m_D$ . Finally, if 1=r V > T, the static potential is just its C oulom b part (58). Therm al contributions a ect physical observables through loop corrections that involve m om enta or energies of the order of the binding energy or sm aller, but do not modify the potential. In this case, the leading therm ale ect on the static energy of the color singlet quark-antiquark pair can be read in (88) and the leading therm al width, which is due to the singlet to octet break-up phenom enon, in (89).

Our result is also relevant for the case of a quark and antiquark with a large but nite mass m. This would correspond to the actual case of heavy quarkonium in a thermal medium. However, in the case of nite mass, the relevant scales of the bound state are dynam ical and the above discussion gets modi ed accordingly. For a comprehensive review in the T = 0 case we refer to [10]. Bound states of heavy quarks are characterized by the energy scales m, mv, mv<sup>2</sup>, where v is the relative velocity of the heavy quarks: mv is of the order of the inverse size of the bound state and  $m v^2$  is of the order of the kinetic or binding energy. The equation of motion of the quark-antiquark bound state reduces in the nonrelativistic lim it to the Schrodinger equation. The potential is the interaction term entering the Schrodinger equation. Only modes of energy and momentum larger than m  $v^2$  contribute to the potential. W ith these speci cations, Eq. (92) provides the static potential of a heavy  $m v^2$ . If quarkonium in a therm alm edium under the condition m mν Т mъ m  $v^2$  > m  $_{\text{D}}$  , the heavy quarkonium static potential is given by the sum Т m mν of Eqs. (58) (73) and (82) only. The potential turns out to be infrared divergent also in its therm alpart and the comment at the end of paragraph (2) of Sec. IV D 1 applies. The divergence will eventually cancel in physical quantities against contributions com ing from the scale  $m v^2$  or lower. If mmν  $m v^2 > T$   $m_D$ , the heavy quarkonium static potential is just the C oulom b potential (58) and therm alcorrections enter physical quantities in loop involving m om enta at the scale m  $v^2$  or lower.

In the next section, we provide a derivation of Eq. (92) that does not make use of the

EFT language and follows directly from a calculation of the potential in perturbative QCD.

V. SHORT-DISTANCE THERMAL CORRECTIONS TO THE POTENTIAL IN PERTURBATIVE QCD

In this section, we ask the question of what would be the origin of the them al part of the potential given by Eq. (92) if we would not introduce any EFT treatment, but simply perform a calculation in perturbative QCD under the condition that 1=r T and that the binding energy is much sm aller than m<sub>D</sub>. The answer is that the therm al part of (92) would originate from the longitudinal gluon exchange, with a self-energy insertion, between a static quark and a static antiquark shown in Fig. 9 and from the box diagram shown in Fig.11.



FIG. 9: Longitudinal gluon exchange between a static quark and a static antiquark; the dashed blob stands for the gluon self energy.

We rst consider the diagram in Fig. 9, which contributes to the physical 11" component of the static potential by

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{11} = \frac{4 d}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} k}{(2)^{d}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} g^{2} C_{F} i D_{00}(0;\mathbf{k})_{11}^{i}; \qquad (93)$$

where  $D_{00}$  (k) is de ned in Eqs. (79)-(81) and depends on the gluon polarization  ${}^{R}_{00}{}^{A}_{00}$ . Note that we have set to zero the fourth-component of the momentum in the longitudinal gluon: corrections would be suppressed by powers of  $k^{0}=\tilde{\chi}j$  V<sub>s</sub>r or V<sub>s</sub>=T or V<sub>s</sub>=m<sub>D</sub>. Equation (93) gets contributions from di erent momentum regions.

(1) The rstmomentum region is  $\tilde{K}j$  1=r. The therm alcontribution to the longitudinal gluon polarization tensor when  $\tilde{K}j$  1=r T is provided by Eq. 54), which, substituted in Eq. (93), gives (the integral is nite, hence d = 4)

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{11} = \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \qquad C_{F}\frac{4}{\mathbf{k}^{4}} \quad \frac{N_{c}g^{2}T^{2}}{18} = \frac{1}{9}N_{c}C_{F} \quad {}^{2}_{s}rT^{2}; \qquad (94)$$

where we have used that the Fourier transform of  $4 = \mathbb{K}^4$  is r=2. Equation 04) agrees with the real part of Eq. (73).

(2) A second momentum region is  $\tilde{K}j$  T. Since T 1=r, under the condition  $\tilde{K}j$  T we may expand the exponential  $e^{iK \cdot r}$  in (93):

$$\left[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})\right]_{11} = {}^{4 \text{ d}} \frac{d^{d 1}k}{(2)^{d 1}} = 1 \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}})}{2} + \dots + g^{2}C_{F} \text{ i } D_{00}(0;\tilde{\mathbf{k}})_{11}$$
(95)

The rst term in the expansion corresponds to a mass correction and cancels against twice the therm al contribution of the static quark self energy with a gluon self-energy insertion, see Fig. 10. The second term coincides with the expression in Eq. (77) and gives the same result as (82).



FIG. 10: G luon self-energy correction to the one-loop self-energy diagram of a static quark.

(3) Finally, a third momentum region is  $\mathfrak{F}_{j}$  m<sub>D</sub>. The contribution to the potential is like Eq. (95), but now  $\mathfrak{F}_{j}$  m<sub>D</sub>. T and the correct expression for D<sub>00</sub> (0; $\mathfrak{K}$ ) is the HTL resummed propagator given in (53). The rst term in the expansion corresponds to a mass correction, which this time comes from the scale m<sub>D</sub> and cancels against twice the contribution of the static quark self energy, see Fig. 1, when the loop momentum is of order m<sub>D</sub> and a HTL resummed gluon propagator is used. The second term gives the same result as (87).

We consider now the diagram of Fig. 11, which contributes to the physical 11" component of the static potential by (we write the therm alpart only)

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} e^{i\mathbf{R}\cdot\mathbf{r}} & iN_{c}^{2}C_{F} g^{6} & \frac{d^{4}p}{(2)^{4}} \begin{bmatrix} Z & \frac{d^{4}q}{(2)^{4}} p^{i}(\mathbf{k}^{j} & p^{j}) & \frac{i}{p^{9} + i} \frac{i}{\#} p^{0} & \frac{q^{i} + i}{q^{2} + i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$i_{j} = \frac{q^{i}q^{j}}{q^{2}} = 2 \quad (q^{2}) n_{B} (jq^{0}) \frac{i}{p^{2}} \frac{i}{p^{2}} \frac{i}{q^{2}} \frac{j}{\mathbf{k}} p^{2} \frac{j}{\mathbf{k}} p^{2} \frac{j}{\mathbf{k}} p^{2} \frac{i}{p^{2} + q^{2}} ; \qquad (96)$$

The imaginary part of the integral comes from the real part

$$\operatorname{Re}^{2} \frac{dp^{0}}{2} \frac{i}{p^{0} + i} \frac{i}{p^{0} + q^{0} + i} = \operatorname{Re}^{-\frac{1}{q^{0} + i}} = \operatorname{Re}^{-\frac{1}{q^{0} + i}} = (q^{0});$$



FIG.11: Box diagram: the upper line represents a static quark and the lower one a static antiquark.

which inserted in (96) gives the imaginary part of (73). The result is exact and does not rely on any expansion in the kinem atical variables.

The sum of the contributions coming from the three momentum regions in the integral (93) and from the imaginary part of (96) gives Eq. (92). We note that also the calculation in perturbative QCD shows that the imaginary part of Eq. (73) has a dimension from the other ones: it comes from the box diagram of Fig. 11, which describes a singlet to octet to singlet transition, while the other ones come from the gluon self-energy diagram of Fig. 9.

# VI. BOUND STATES FOR 1=r T

In this section, we consider bound states made of a static quark and antiquark in a therm all bath at distances such that 1=r T. We still keep that T, 1=r and m<sub>D</sub> are perturbative scales. We further neglect other therm odynam ical scales.

Under the above condition, the rst scale to integrate out from QCD is the temperature T. At one loop, this was done in Sec. III. Integrating out T leads in the Yang{M ills sector to the HTL Lagrangian [22]. In the heavy-quark sector, one loop contributions vanish in the static lim it. At two loop, see for instance the diagram in Fig. 10, there may be elects. These are of order  ${}_{s}^{2}$ T  ${}_{s}$ T (m  ${}_{D}$  =T)<sup>2</sup>  ${}_{s}$ m  ${}_{D}$  (m  ${}_{D}$  =T) and will be neglected in the following where we shall concentrate on the leading contribution coming from the scale m  ${}_{D}$ .

The next scale to integrate out is 1=r. We assume  $1=r \ge m_D$ , and integrate out both scales 1=r and  $m_D$  at the same time. We shall specialize to the case 1=r  $m_D$  in Sec.VIE.

#### A. Singlet and octet propagators

A fier integrating out the scales  $1=r > m_D$  it may be convenient to introduce quarkantiquark elds in analogy with what was done in previous sections. The real-time quarkantiquark propagator, S (p), is a 2 2 matrix obtained by matching equal time quark and antiquark propagators such that [S (p)]<sub>ij</sub> provides the propagator of a quark-antiquark pair of type \i" into a quark-antiquark pair of type \j". The explicit expressions of the free color singlet and color octet quark-antiquark propagators are like those derived in Sec. IV A :

$$S^{\text{singlet (0)}}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & i \\ p^{0} + i & 0 & i \\ 2 & (p^{0}) & i \\ 2 & (p^{0}) & -i \\ 2 & (p^$$

$$S^{\text{octet (0)}}(p)_{ab} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & i & 1 & 0 & p^{0} & i \\ 0 & i & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{p^{0} + i} & 1 \\ B & p^{0} + i & 0 & C \\ 2 & (p^{0}) & \frac{1}{p^{0} - i} & A = \begin{array}{cccc} a_{b}U^{(0)} & B & \frac{1}{p^{0} + i} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{p^{0} - i} & 0 & \frac{1}{p^{0} - i} \end{array}$$
(98)

Singlet and octet elds have been normalized as in Sec. IV.

Them alcontributions from the scales  $1=r > m_D$  modify the quark-antiquark propagator. In particular, the singlet propagator gets the form

$$S^{\text{singlet}}(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ p^{0} & m & y'(r) + i & & 0 & \\ \frac{1}{p^{0}} & m & y'(r) + i & \frac{1}{p^{0}} & \frac{1}{m & V_{s}(r) & i} & \\ p^{0} & m & y'(r) + i & p^{0} & m & V_{s}(r) & i & \\ = S^{\text{singlet}(0)}(p) + S^{\text{singlet}(0)}(p) [ i m & iV_{s}]S^{\text{singlet}(0)}(p) + \dots; \qquad (99)$$

where in the last line we have expanded with respect to m and  $V_s$  and introduced the 2 2 matrices:

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m & 0 & A & [U^{(0)}]^1 & m & 0 & A & [U^{(0)}]^1 ; \\ 2iIm & m & 0 & m & 0 & m \end{pmatrix}$$
(100)

B. Matching the mass term m

The static quark (antiquark) self energy at one loop is shown in Fig. 1. In the case considered here, the loop momentum is of order  $m_D$  and the HTL resummed gluon propa-

gator is used. W e m atch in the real-time form alism the self energy diagram (norm alized in color space) with the second term in the expansion (99),  $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}(p)$  [ i m ] $S^{\text{inglet}(0)}(p)$ , obtaining:

$$[m]_{1} = i(ig)^{2} C_{F} \stackrel{4 d}{=} \frac{Z}{Z} \frac{\frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}}}{\frac{Z}{(2)^{d}}} \frac{\frac{i}{k^{2} + i}}{\frac{k^{2} + i}{k^{2} - i}} \frac{\frac{i}{k^{0} - i}}{\frac{k^{0} - i}{k^{0} - i}} D_{00}(k)]_{11}$$

$$= i(ig)^{2} C_{F} \stackrel{4 d}{=} \frac{\frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}}}{\frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}}} 2 - (k) D_{00}(k)]_{11} = C_{F} s(m_{D} + iT); \quad (102)$$

$$[m]_{1} = [m]_{1} : \qquad (103)$$

$$[m]_{22} = [m]_{14};$$
 (103)

$$[m]_{12} = 0;$$
 (104)

$$[m]_{21} = ig^{2}C_{F} \quad {}^{4}d \quad \frac{d^{a}k}{(2)^{d}} \quad 2 \quad (k) + 2 \quad (k) \quad [D_{00}(k)]_{21} = 2iC_{F} \quad {}_{s}T; (105)$$

where  $i=(k^0 + i)$  and  $i=(k^0 + i)$  are the \11" components of the static quark and antiquark propagators respectively, 2 (k) is the \21" component of both static quark and antiquark propagators and we have added the contributions from the quark and the antiquark; equation (104) vanishes because the component \12" of the heavy quark and antiquark propagators vanishes, see Eqs. (20) and (21). D<sub>00</sub> (k) is the longitudinal HTL resum m ed gluon propagator, whose expression for  $k^0 = 0$  is given in Eq. (53).

The matrix m has indeed the form (100); it is diagonalized by the matrix  $[U^{(0)}]^1$  and m is given by

$$m = G_{\rm m} {}_{\rm s} (m_{\rm D} + iT) :$$
 (106)

The real part of m corresponds to the free energy of two isolated static quarks in the imaginary-time formalism, which was rst calculated in Ref. [26] (for further discussions see Ref. [27]). The imaginary part of m is minus twice the damping rate of an in nitely heavy ferm ion [28].

# C . M atching the singlet static potential $V_{\rm s}$

The matrix elements  $[V_s]_{ij}$  are obtained by matching in real time one-gluon exchange diagrams that transform a quark-antiquark pair of type \i" into a quark-antiquark pair of type \j" with the third term in the expansion (99),  $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p) [  $iV_s$ ]  $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p). More precisely, by matching the diagram of Fig. 12 with  $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p)  $_{11}$  [  $iV_s$ ]  $_{11}$   $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p)  $_{11}$ , the diagram of Fig. 13 with  $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p)  $_{22}$  [  $iV_s$ ]  $_{22}$   $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p)  $_{22}$ , the diagrams of Fig. 14 with  $S^{\text{singlet}(0)}$  (p)  $_{11}$  [  $iV_s$ ]  $_{11}$  [  $iV_s$ ]  $_{12}$  S singlet (0) (p)  $_{22}$ , the diagrams of Fig. 15 with

 $S^{\text{singlet (0)}}$  (p)  $_{2i}$  [  $iV_s$ ] $_{ij}$   $S^{\text{singlet (0)}}$  (p)  $_{j1}$  we obtain

1=r.

$$[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})]_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}ig^{2}C_{F} \ [D_{00}(0;\mathbf{\tilde{k}})]_{11} \\ = & \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} & C_{F}\frac{4}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}+\mathbf{m}_{D}^{2}} + iC_{F}\frac{T}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}j}\mathbf{m}_{D}^{2}\frac{4^{2}s}{(\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}+\mathbf{m}_{D}^{2})^{2}} ;$$
(107)

$$[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})]_{22} = [V_{s}(\mathbf{r})]_{11};$$
 (108)

$$[V_{s}(\mathbf{r})]_{12} = 0;$$
 (109)

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{21} = \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{i}(g^{2}) C_{F} \quad \begin{bmatrix} D_{00}(0;\mathbf{\tilde{k}}) \end{bmatrix}_{12} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{00}(0;\mathbf{\tilde{k}}) \end{bmatrix}_{21} \\ = 2i \mathbb{I}m \quad \begin{bmatrix} V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}_{11}; \qquad (110)$$

where the longitudinal HTL resummed gluon propagator,  $D_{00}(0; k)$ , given in Eq. (53), comes from expanding in the external energy, which is much smaller than the typical momentum



FIG. 12: M atching condition for [  $iV_s]_{11}$ ; the numbers label the type 1" and 2" propagators. All entries in a vertex are of the same type. Vertices of type 1" and 2" have opposite signs.



FIG.13: Matching condition for [  $iV_s]_{22}$ .

The matching conditions of Figs. 12 and 13 x  $[V_s(r)]_{11}$  and  $[V_s(r)]_{22}$  as in Eqs. (107) and (108) respectively. In Figs. 14 and 15 the rst two diagrams cancel in the matching



FIG.15: M atching condition for  $[~iV_{\rm s}]_{\!21}^{}.$ 

against the term si = j = 1 and i = j = 2 in the sum on the right-hand side. In the case of F ig. 14, the last two diagram s vanish, because the \12" component of the static quark propagator vanishes, leading to Eq. (109); while in the case of F ig. 15, the last two diagram s give  $N_s(r)_{b1}$  as in Eq. (110).

The matrix V  $_{\rm s}$  has indeed the form (101); it is diagonalized by the matrix [U  $^{(0)}$ ]  $^1$  and

Vs is given by

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} C_{F} \frac{4}{\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{m}_{D}^{2}} + iC_{F} \frac{T}{\mathbf{j}} m_{D}^{2} \frac{4^{2}}{(\mathbf{k}^{2} + \mathbf{m}_{D}^{2})^{2}} = C_{F} \frac{s}{\mathbf{r}} e^{\mathbf{m}_{D}\mathbf{r}} + iC_{F} sT \frac{2}{\mathbf{m}_{D}} \int_{0}^{Z} d\mathbf{x} \frac{\sin(\mathbf{m}_{D}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{x})}{(\mathbf{x}^{2} + 1)^{2}} :$$
(111)

The expression of  $V_s$  (r), which we have derived here in real-time form alism, agrees with the analogous expression derived in in aginary-time form alism, after analytical continuation, in [5]. It should be emphasized that under the condition 1=r m<sub>D</sub> the real part of (111) is of order  $_{s}m_{D}$ , hence subleading with respect to the imaginary part, which is of order  $_{s}T$ : the quark-antiquark pair decays before form ing the bound state, whose typical time scale is proportional to the inverse of the real part of the potential.

Note that the short-distance expansion of Eq. (111) would give, up to order  $r^0$ , the Coulomb potential and an r-independent term,  $C_{F} = m_D + iT$ ), which would cancel the mass term derived in (106). In the kinematical situation discussed here, this rejects the analogous cancellation between the mass correction and the potential correction induced by the scale  $m_D$  discussed in paragraph (3) of Sec.V.

In this section, we have assumed that the singlet propagator has the form (99) and veried that this is indeed the case by performing the matching. We could also have proceeded with the reverse logic used in the rest of the paper: by matching m and  $V_s$  we would have realized that these matrices are diagonalized by  $[U^{(0)}]^1$ , hence, that they full leq. (60) and give rise to the resummed propagator (99).

#### D . Singlet static energy for 1=r $m_D$

Adding the real parts of Eqs. (106) and (111) gives the leading static quark-antiquark energy for 1=r m<sub>D</sub>:

$$E_{s} = C_{F}_{s}m_{D} C_{F}\frac{s}{r}e^{m_{D}r};$$
 (112)

and the imaginary parts of Eqs. (106) and (111) provide the leading static quark-antiquark therm aldecay width:

$$= 2C_{\rm F} {}_{\rm s}T 1 \frac{2}{\rm rm_{\rm D}} \int_{0}^{Z_{\rm 1}} dx \frac{\sin(m_{\rm D}rx)}{(x^2+1)^2} : \qquad (113)$$

The therm al width originates from the imaginary part of the gluon self energy. Singlet to octet transitions contribute to the decay width as well and the leading contribution is provided by the diagram of Fig. 11, which gives (see the in aginary part of (73)): =  $\frac{1}{3} N_c^2 C_F \frac{3}{s} T$ . This contribution is parametrically suppressed by a factor  $\frac{2}{s}$ , whose natural scale is of order 1=r, with respect to the one in (113). Note, however, that may be numerically as large as 50% of for s 0.3 and even larger than for s 0.5; see also Fig. 16.

The static energy given by Eq. (112) coincides with the leading-order result [27] of the so-called singlet free energy ist introduced by Nadkami [29] (the heavy quark-antiquark free energy was de ned by McLerran and Svetitsky in [30]) and also studied in lattice QCD (see e.g. [31, 32] and [33] for reviews). We recall that the free energy describes a therm odynam ical property of the system and it is computed from the static quark-antiquark propagator evaluated at the in aginary tim  $e_{1=T}$  (for large tem peratures this corresponds to sm all in aginary tim es), while the static energy studied in this work describes the real-time evolution of a quark-antiquark pair and it is computed by evaluating the quark-antiquark propagator at in nite real times. The therm aldecay width (113) coincides with the result of Ref. [5].

### E. The 1=r $m_D$ case

In the 1=r m<sub>D</sub> case, but with m<sub>D</sub> still larger than the binding energy, the scales 1=r and m<sub>D</sub> are integrated out in two subsequent m atchings. First, the m atching at the scale 1=r can be done in close analogy with the discussion in sections V IA -V IC. The potential is given by Eqs. (107)-(110): since  $\tilde{\chi}_{j}$  1=r m<sub>D</sub> we expand D<sub>00</sub> (0; $\tilde{\kappa}$ ) in powers of m<sub>D</sub><sup>2</sup>= $\tilde{\kappa}^{2}$ . W e need to regularize the integrals because after expansion they become infrared divergent:

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = {}^{4} d \left[ \frac{d^{d-1}k}{(2-)^{d-1}} e^{i\mathbf{\tilde{k}}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - C_{F} \frac{4}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - 1 - \frac{m_{D}^{2}}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + iC_{F} \frac{T}{\mathbf{\tilde{j}}\mathbf{\tilde{j}}} m_{D}^{2} \frac{4}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{4}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + i\frac{C_{F}}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{T}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}\mathbf{\tilde{j}}} m_{D}^{2} \frac{4}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + i\frac{C_{F}}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{T}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}\mathbf{\tilde{j}}} m_{D}^{2} - \frac{4}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + i\frac{C_{F}}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{T}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}\mathbf{\tilde{j}}} m_{D}^{2} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + i\frac{C_{F}}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{T}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}\mathbf{\tilde{j}}} m_{D}^{2} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + i\frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + \ln(\mathbf{r}^{2})^{2} - 1 + \dots + \dots + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}^$$

The dots stand for higher-order real and in aginary terms. In the Coulomb part, we have displayed only the leading term. In the in aginary part, the divergence comes from the Fourier transform of  $1=\tilde{\chi}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , which, in d dimensions, may be found in [34]. Because we expand the gluon propagator in  $m_D^2 = \tilde{K}^2$  the integral corresponding to the static quark self

energy has no scale and the matching gives m = 0.

Next, we integrate out the scale  $m_D$ . At one-loop level this corresponds to evaluating the contribution to the potential of the diagram generated by the singlet-octet vertex (dipole interaction) in the elective theory, which is shown in Fig. 5; the HTL resummed gluon propagator is used. Therefore, the contribution is the same as the one calculated in Sec. IV D 2 and given in Eq. (87). Summing its diagonal element with Eq. (114) gives

$$V_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = C_{F} \frac{s}{r} \frac{C_{F}}{2} srm_{D}^{2} + \frac{C_{F}}{6} sr^{2}m_{D}^{3} + \cdots$$

$$\frac{C_{F}}{16} sr^{2}Tm_{D}^{2} 2_{E} \ln(rm_{D})^{2} + \frac{8}{3} + \cdots$$
(115)

We see that in the sum the divergences of Eqs. (114) and (87) cancel each other providing a nite physical result. The term  $\frac{C_F}{6} \,_{\rm s} r^2 m_D^3$  in the real part is suppressed by a factor  $m_D$  with respect to  $\frac{C_F}{2} \,_{\rm s} r m_D^2$  and will be neglected in the following. Note the appearance of the logarithm  $\ln (m_D)^2$  opposed to the appearance of the logarithm  $\ln \frac{T^2}{m_D^2}$  in Eq. (92). In the rst case, the logarithm signals that divergences have been canceled when integrating out the scales 1=r and m\_D, in the second case it signals that divergences have been canceled when integrating out the scales T and  $m_D$ . The real and in aginary parts of Eq. (115) can be also obtained by expansion in  $m_D$  of Eq. (112) and =2, as de ned in Eq. (113), respectively.

# F. Singlet static energy for 1=r $\,$ m $_{\rm D}$

The real part of Eq. (115) provides the static quark-antiquark energy for 1=r m<sub>D</sub>, whose leading therm alcontribution is

$$E_{s} = \frac{C_{F}}{2} srm_{D}^{2};$$
 (116)

and m inus twice the imaginary part of Eq. (115) provides the static quark-antiquark therm al decay width

$$= \frac{C_F}{3} sr^2 Tm_D^2 2_E \ln(rm_D)^2 + \frac{8}{3} : \qquad (117)$$

A loo in this case the singlet to octet break up process provides a contribution to the therm all width, which is  $=\frac{1}{3}N_c^2C_F$   $_s^3T$ . This contribution is parametrically suppressed by a factor (V=m<sub>D</sub>)<sup>2</sup> with respect to the one in (117). However, depending on <sub>s</sub> and rm<sub>D</sub>, it

m ay still contribute to a large fraction of , see Fig. 16. Note that the condition m  $_{\rm D}$  requires  $_{\rm s}$  (1=r) 2=N  $_{\rm c}$  rm  $_{\rm D}$  to be fullled.



FIG.16: =  $vs rm_D$  for dierent values of s.

# VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the real-time evolution of a static quark-antiquark pair in a medium of gluons and light quarks characterized by a tem perature T. We have addressed the problem of de ning and deriving the potential between the two static sources, and of calculating their energy and therm aldecay width. In the di erent ranges of tem perature considered, we have set up and worked out a suitable sequence of e ective eld theories. Our fram ework has been very close to the modern EFT treatment of non-relativistic and static bound states at zero tem perature [10], but com plicated by the existence of the therm al scales T and  $m_D$ . We have assumed that all the energy scales are perturbative and worked in a strict weak-coupling framework. This had two consequences: rst, we could exploit the hierarchy T  $m_D$ , second, the potential that we obtained is valid in the short range. In an EFT fram ework, the potential is the r-dependent matching coe cient that appears in front of the four-ferm ion operator that destroys and creates the bound state, after having integrated out all scales above the bound-state energy. Higher-order operators give lower energy contributions, entering into the computation of physical observables, but not in the Schrodinger equation that governs the motion of the bound state and hence are not of a potential type.

V

A system atic treatment of non-relativistic bound states in a therm alm edium, in an EFT fram ework and in real-time form alism, has to our know ledge not been presented so far. We have devoted several parts of this work to set up a proper real-time form alism for static sources. The main outcome of this more form all aspect of the paper is in Eq. (60), which expresses the real-time quark-antiquark propagator as an in nite sum of free propagators and potential orm ass-shift insertions. In all the considered dynam ical regimes, the structure of the potential is such to satisfy this equation, see Eqs. (58), (91), (100) and (101).

We have considered a wide range of temperatures and provided the leading therm al e ects to the potential. The results may be summarized in the following way. (1) If the tem perature is sm aller than or as large as the bound-state energy scale, there are no therm al contributions to the potential, which is simply the Coulomb potential (58). Therm ale ects enter in physical observables in loop corrections induced by low-energy gluons. The static energy and the therm al width of the system have been calculated in (88) and (89). The system exhibits a therm alwidth, because, due to therm al uctuations, at short range a color singlet quark-antiquark state m ay break up into an octet state and gluons. This is a novel feature of quark-antiquark bound states in a therm alm edium that, to our know ledge, has not been considered quantitatively before. The singlet to octet break-up mechanism provides the dom inant contribution to the therm alwidth when the tem perature is as large as the bound-state energy. (2) If the tem perature is larger than the bound-state energy scale but sm aller than 1=r and ifm <sub>D</sub> is sm aller than or as large as the bound-state energy scale, then the leading them alcorrection to the Coulom b potential is given by the sum of Eqs. (73) and (82). The potential develops a real and an in aginary part. Both the singlet to octet therm al break-up mechanism and the imaginary part of the gluon selfenergy induced by the Landau dam ping phenom enon contribute to the imaginary part. If  $m_D$  is smaller than the boundstate energy scale then the singlet to octet them all break-up provides param etrically the dom inant contribution to the decay width. The imaginary part of the potential originated by the in aginary part of the gluon self energy is divergent and needs to be regularized. In physical observables, the divergent part cancels against contributions com ing from the scale  $m_D$ . (3) If also  $m_D$  is larger than the bound-state energy scale, then HTL of the type shown in Fig. 5 contribute to the potential as well. The expression of the potential turns out to be nite at the considered order and is given by Eq. (92). Equation (92) is nite because it provides the leading therm al correction to the static energy and to the decay width in the

expansions in V=T, m<sub>D</sub>=T and m<sub>D</sub>. The same result has been also derived in perturbative QCD by calculating the Fourier transform of the diagram s in Fig. 9 and Fig. 11. (4) If the tem perature is larger than 1=r but m<sub>D</sub> is smaller than 1=r, the static potential is given by Eq. (115). If m<sub>D</sub> is also smaller than or of the same order as V then the potential is given by Eq. (114) and divergences cancel in physical observables against loop corrections from the scale m<sub>D</sub>. (5) Finally, if m<sub>D</sub> is of the order of 1=r the static potential is given by Eq. (111): this result agrees with the earlier noting of [5]. Equations (115) and (111) are nite because, in the kinem atical regions of validity, they provide the leading therm al correction to the static energy and the decay width (see Eqs. (116),(117), (112) and (113)). In the tem perature ranges (3), (4) and (5), the param etrically dom inant contribution to the therm alwidth com es from the imaginary part of the gluon self energy.

While this work was being carried out and completed some papers appeared dealing with some of the issues addressed here. In [35, 36], among others, the role of the gluon condensate was considered. The gluon condensate enters the expression of the mass of the quarkonium if the typical binding energy is much larger than the hadronic scale ocp. The potential is therefore not a ected, while the e ect of the gluon condensate on the mass is param etrically sm aller than the leading relativistic corrections. If the tem perature scale is larger than the binding energy then the tem perature enters the potential and the e ects have been described in the present work. If T 1=r, tem perature e ects are carried by the dipole-dipole interaction shown in Fig. 5 and corrections to it. Only when the time scale of the chrom oelectric correlator is smaller than the binding-energy scale, the chrom oelectric correlator reduces to the local condensate (see Sec. IV C). Low temperatures, sm aller than the binding energy, a ect the condensate but not the potential, which remains Coulombic. In this case, thermale ects (in the static limit, they have been evaluated in Eq. (90)) are param etrically sm aller than the leading relativistic corrections. It remains to be clari ed if a tem perature scale below the binding energy is above the critical tem perature: this may depend on the fact that the temperature scale is T or a multiple of T. In  $\beta$ 7], some of the issues discussed here about the potential in real-time form alism have been addressed. In particular, static particles in real-time form alism have been considered and Eq. (111) has been derived for a hot QED plasm a in a real-time fram ework. It has been also pointed out that the real part of the static potential (111) agrees with the singlet free energy and that for large separations r  $1=m_D$ , =2, as de ned in Eq. (113), gives twice the damping rate

39

of an in nitely heavy quark. Finally, in [38] a study of non-relativistic bound states in a hot QED plasm a has been carried out in a non-relativistic EFT fram ework, which is similar to the one presented here.

There are many possible developments of this work some of which have already been mentioned in the previous pages. Here we stress few of them . First, the construction of a full EFT for non-relativistic bound states at nite temperature requires to be completed. We have focused in the paper on the quark-antiquark color singlet state, but a complete identication and study of all relevant degrees of freedom that appear once the therm al energy scales have been integrated out, is still to be done. This may require the construction of an EFT that includes the dynam ics of gauge elds below the scale m<sub>D</sub> [39]. Second, in the EFT fram ework presented here, the study of quark-antiquark states at large but nite mass, i.e. actual quarkonium in a therm al medium, should be addressed. As argued along the paper, the static limit provides the rst piece of a 1=m expansion; higher-order corrections may be system atically in plemented in the fram ework of NRQCD and pNRQCD. Finally, although the short-distance analysis perform ed in this work may provide a valuable tool for studying the therm all dissociation of the lowest quarkonium resonances, the inclusion in the analysis of the non-perturbative scale  $_{QCD}$  may become necessary for studying excited states.

### A cknow ledgm ents

N B. and A V. would like to thank the Instituto de F sica Corpuscular (F C) of Valencia for the warm hospitality and the stimulating atmosphere provided when a large part of this work was been carried out. N B. and A V. thank M iguel E scobedo E spinosa and Joan Soto for discussions, for reading the manuscript and for sharing their results with them before publication. A V. addnow ledge nancial support by the F C. N B. and A V. addnow ledge nancial support from the network F lavianet (EU) M RTN-CT-2006-035482. The work of P P. has been supported by U S. D epartment of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC 02-98CH 10886.

<sup>[1]</sup> T.M atsui and H.Satz, Phys. Lett. B 178, 416 (1986).

- [2] F.Karsch, M.T.Mehr and H.Satz, Z.Phys.C 37, 617 (1988).
- [3] S.Digal, P.Petreczky and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 514, 57 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0105234];
   Phys.Rev.D 64,094015 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0106017].
- [4] A. Mocsy and P. Petreczky, Phys. Rev. D 73, 074007 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0512156]; Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 211602 (2007) [arX iv:0706.2183 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Rev. D 77, 014501 (2008) [arX iv:0705.2559 [hep-ph]].
- [5] M. Laine, O. Philipsen, P. Romatschke and M. Tassler, JHEP 0703, 054 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0611300].
- [6] M.Laine, JHEP 0705, 028 (2007) [arXiv:0704.1720 [hep-ph]].
- [7] M. Laine, O. Philipsen and M. Tassler, arX iv:0707.2458 [hep-lat].
- [8] A. Jakovac, P. Petreczky, K. Petrov and A. Velytsky, Phys. Rev. D 75, 014506 (2007)
   [arX iv:hep-lat/0611017].
- [9] M. Le Bellac, \Therm al Field Theory", Cam bridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1996) 256 p.
- [10] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1423 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0410047].
- [11] W.E.Caswelland G.P.Lepage, Phys.Lett.B 167, 437 (1986); G.T.Bodwin, E.Braaten and G.P.Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 51, 1125 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. D 55, 5853 (1997)] [hep-ph/9407339].
- [12] P.V.Landsho and A.Rebhan, Nucl. Phys. B 383, 607 (1992) Erratum ibid. B 406, 517
   (1993)] [arX iv:hep-ph/9205235].
- [13] E.Braaten and R.D.Pisarski, Nucl.Phys.B 337, 569 (1990); ibid. 339, 310 (1990); J.Frenkel and J.C.Taylor, Nucl.Phys.B 334, 199 (1990).
- [14] J.Ghiglieri, \Potentials for heavy quark systems at zero and nite temperature", Diploma Thesis, Milano, (2008).
- [15] J. I. Kapusta and C. Gale, \Finite-tem perature eld theory: Principles and applications", Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2006) 428 p.
- [16] U.W. Heinz, K. Kajantie and T. Toimela, Annals Phys. 176, 218 (1987); K. Kajantie and J. I. Kapusta, Annals Phys. 160, 477 (1985).
- [17] M. E. Carrington, D. f. Hou and M. H. Thoma, Eur. Phys. J. C 7, 347 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9708363].
- [18] A.Pineda and J. Soto, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 64, 428 (1998) [arXiv hep-ph/9707481].

- [19] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Nucl. Phys. B 566, 275 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9907240].
- [20] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Phys. Rev. D 60, 091502 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9903355].
- [21] A.Schafer and M.H.Thom a, Phys. Lett. B 451, 195 (1999) [arXiv hep-ph/9811364].
- [22] E.Braaten and R.D.Pisarski, Phys.Rev.D 45, 1827 (1992).
- [23] D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Phys.Lett.B 334, 155 (1994) [arX iv hep-ph/9405414].
- [24] N. Brambilla, X. Garcia i Tormo, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Phys. Lett. B 647, 185 (2007) [arX iv:hep-ph/0610143].
- [25] C.A.Flory, Phys. Lett. B 113, 263 (1982); R.A.Berthmann and J.S.Bell, Nucl. Phys. B 227, 435 (1983).
- [26] E.Gava and R.Jengo, Phys.Lett. B 105, 285 (1981).
- [27] P.Petreczky, Eur.Phys.J.C 43, 51 (2005) [arXiv:hep-lat/0502008].
- [28] R.D.Pisarski, Phys.Rev.D 47, 5589 (1993).
- [29] S.Nadkami, Phys. Rev. D 34, 3904 (1986).
- [30] L.D.M cLeman and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 24, 450 (1981).
- [31] O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, P. Petreczky and F. Zantow, Phys. Lett. B 543, 41 (2002) [arXiv:hep-lat/0207002].
- [32] O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, F. Zantow and P. Petreczky, Phys. Rev. D 70, 074505 (2004) Erratum -ibid. D 72, 059903 (2005)] [arXiv:hep-lat/0406036].
- [33] N.Brambilla et al. Quarkonium Working Group], \Heavy quarkonium physics", CERN-2005-005, (CERN, Geneva, 2005) [arX iv:hep-ph/0412158].
- [34] I.M. Gelfand, \Generalized Functions", New York, USA: Acad. Pr. (1964) 423 p.
- [35] D.E.Kharzeev, J.Phys.G 34, S445 (2007) [arX iv:hep-ph/0703259].
- [36] S.H. Lee and K. Morita, arX iv:0802.4000 [hep-ph].
- [37] A.Beraudo, J.P.Blaizot and C.Ratti, arX iv:0712.4394 [nucl-th].
- [38] M.Escobedo and J.Soto, UB-ECM-PF 08/05.
- [39] D.Bodeker, Phys. Lett. B 426, 351 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9801430].