REMARKS ON GENERATORS AND DIMENSIONS OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES
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Abstract. In this paper we prove that the dimension of the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a smooth quasi-projective curve is equal to one. We also discuss dimension spectrums of these categories.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. We say that an object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ is a classical generator for $\mathcal{T}$ if the category $\mathcal{T}$ coincides with the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ which contains $E$ and is closed under direct summands.

If a classical generator generates the whole category for a finite number of steps then it called a strong generator. More precisely, let $I_1$ and $I_2$ be two full subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$. We denote by $I_1 \ast I_2$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of all objects such that there is a distinguished triangle $M_1 \to M \to M_2$ with $M_i \in I_i$. For any subcategory $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{T}$ we denote by $\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$ the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing $\mathcal{I}$ and closed under finite direct sums, direct summands and shifts. We put $I_1 \circ I_2 = \langle I_1 \ast I_2 \rangle$ and we define by induction $\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_k = \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_{k-1} \circ \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$. If $\mathcal{I}$ consists of an object $E$ we denote $\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$ as $\langle E \rangle_1$ and put by induction $\langle E \rangle_k = \langle E \rangle_{k-1} \circ \langle E \rangle_1$.

Definition 1. Now we say that $E$ is a strong generator if $\langle E \rangle_n = \mathcal{T}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that $E$ is classical generator if and only if $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle E \rangle_k = \mathcal{T}$. It is also easy to see that if a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ has a strong generator then any classical generator of $\mathcal{T}$ is strong as well.

Following to [2] we define the dimension of a triangulated category.

Definition 2. The dimension of a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$, denoted by $\dim \mathcal{T}$, is the minimal integer $d \geq 0$ such that there is $E \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\langle E \rangle_{d+1} = \mathcal{T}$.

We also can define the dimension spectrum of a triangulated category as follows.
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Definition 3. The dimension spectrum of a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$, denoted by $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$, is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$, which consists of all integer $d \geq 0$ such that there is $E \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\langle E \rangle_{d+1} = \mathcal{T}$ and $\langle E \rangle_d \neq \mathcal{T}$.

A. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh showed in [1] that the triangulated category of perfect complexes $\text{Perf}(X)$ on a quasi-compact quasi-separated scheme $X$ has a classical generator. (Recall that a complex of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is called perfect if it is locally quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of vector bundles.)

For the triangulated category of perfect complexes on a quasi-projective scheme we can present a classical generator directly.

Theorem 4. Let $X$ be a quasi-projective scheme of dimension $d$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample line bundle on $X$. Then the object $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=k-d}^k \mathcal{L}^i$ is a classical generator for the triangulated category of perfect complexes $\text{Perf}(X)$.

Proof. The scheme $X$ is an open subscheme of a projective scheme $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ on $X$. Let us take $N+1$ linear independent hyperplanes $H_i \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $i = 0, \ldots, N$. In this case the intersection $H_0 \cap \cdots \cap H_N$ is empty. The hyperplanes $H_i$ give a section $s$ of the vector bundle $U = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(N+1)}$ which does not have zeros. This implies that the Koszul complex induced by $s$

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{N+1}(U^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^N(U^*) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda^2(U^*) \rightarrow U^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Consider the restriction of the truncated complex on $X$

$$\Lambda^{d+1}(U_X^*) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda^2(U_X^*) \rightarrow U_X^*.$$ 

It has two nontrivial cohomologies, one of which is $\mathcal{O}_X$. And, moreover, since the dimension of $X$ is equal to $d$ the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a direct summand of this complex. Tensoring this complex with $\mathcal{L}^{k+1}$ we obtain that the triangulated subcategory which contains $\mathcal{L}^i$ for $i = k-d, \ldots, k$ also contains $\mathcal{L}^{k+1}$. Thus, it contains $\mathcal{L}^i$ for all $i \geq k-d$. By duality this category contains also all $\mathcal{L}^i$ for all $i \leq k$. Thus we have all powers $\mathcal{L}^i$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, it is easy to see that $\{\mathcal{L}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ classically generate the triangulated category of perfect complexes $\text{Perf}(X)$. Indeed, for any perfect complex $E$ we can construct a bounded above complex $P$, where all $P^k$ are direct sums of line bundles $\mathcal{L}^i$, together with a quasi-isomorphism $P \approx E$. Consider the brutal truncation $\sigma^{\geq -m}P$ for sufficiently large $m$ and the map $\sigma^{\geq -m}P \rightarrow E$. The cone of this map is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}[m+1]$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle. And since the $\text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{F}[m+1]) = 0$ for sufficiently large $m$ we get that $E$ is a direct summand of $\sigma^{\geq -m}P$. $\square$
A. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh also proved that for any smooth separated scheme $X$ the triangulated category of perfect complexes $\text{Perf}(X)$ has a strong generator ([1], Th.3.1.4). Furthermore, R. Rouquier showed that for quasi-projective scheme $X$ the property to be regular is equivalent to the property that the triangulated category of perfect complexes $\text{Perf}(X)$ has a strong generator (see [2], Prop 7.35). On the other hand, there is a remarkable result of R. Rouquier which says that under some general conditions the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves $\text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ has a strong generator. More precisely it says

**Theorem 5.** (R. Rouquier, [2] Th.7.39) Let $X$ be a separated scheme of finite type. Then there are an object $E \in \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ and an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \cong \langle E \rangle^d$. In particular, $\text{dim} \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X)) < \infty$.

Keeping in mind this theorem we can ask about the dimension of the derived category of coherent sheaves on a separated scheme of finite type. It is proved in [2] that

- for a reduced separated scheme $X$ of finite type $\text{dim} \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \geq \text{dim} X$;
- for a smooth affine scheme $\text{dim} \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X)) = \text{dim} X$;
- for a smooth quasi-projective scheme $\text{dim} \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \leq 2 \text{dim} X$.

In this paper we show that the dimension of the derived category of coherent sheaves on a smooth quasi-projective curve $C$ is equal to 1. For affine curve it is known and for $\mathbb{P}^1$ it is evident. Thus, it is sufficient to consider a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 1$.

**Theorem 6.** Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 1$. Then $\text{dim} \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(C)) = 1$.

At first, we should bring an object which generates $\text{D}^b(\text{coh}(C))$ for one step. Let $L$ be a line bundle on $C$ such that $\text{deg} L \geq 8g$. Let us consider $E = L^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \oplus L \oplus L^2$. We are going to show that $E$ generates the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on $C$ for one step, i.e. $\langle E \rangle_2 = \text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$.

Since any object of $\text{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ is a direct sum of its cohomologies it is sufficient to prove that any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ belongs to $\langle E \rangle_2$. Further, each coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on a curve is a direct sum of a torsion sheaf $T$ and a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$.

**Lemma 7.** Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $L$ be a line bundle on $C$ as above. Then there is an exact sequence of the form

$$(L^{-1})^\oplus r_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^\oplus r_0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

for any torsion coherent sheaf $T$ on $C$. 
Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a vector bundle on the curve \( C \). Consider the Harder-Narasimhan filtration \( 0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F} \). It is such filtration that every quotient \( \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \) is semi-stable and \( \mu(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) > \mu(\mathcal{F}_{i+1}/\mathcal{F}_i) \) for all \( 0 < i < n \), where \( \mu(\mathcal{G}) \) is the slope of a vector bundle \( \mathcal{G} \) and is equal to \( c_1(\mathcal{G})/r(\mathcal{G}) \).

**Main Lemma 8.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a line bundle with \( \deg \mathcal{L} \geq 8g \). Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a vector bundle on \( C \) and let \( 0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F} \) be its Harder-Narasimhan filtration. Choose \( 0 \leq i \leq n \) such that \( \mu(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \geq 4g > \mu(\mathcal{F}_{i+1}/\mathcal{F}_i) \). Then there are exact sequences of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a)} & \quad (\mathcal{L}^{-1})^{\oplus r_1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow 0, \\
\text{b)} & \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\oplus s_0} \xrightarrow{\beta} (\mathcal{L}^2)^{\oplus s_1}.
\end{align*}
\]

To prove Lemma 7 and the Main Lemma 8 we need the following lemma which is well-known.

**Lemma 9.** Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a vector bundle on a smooth projective curve \( C \) over a field \( k \). Denote by \( \overline{\mathcal{G}} \) its pullback on \( \overline{C} = C \otimes_k \overline{k} \). Assume that for any line bundle \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( \overline{C} \) with \( \deg \mathcal{M} = d \) we have \( H^1(\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = 0 \). Then

i) \( H^1(C, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{N}) = 0 \) for any \( \mathcal{N} \) on \( C \) with \( \deg \mathcal{N} \geq d \);

ii) any sheaf \( \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) is generated by the global sections for all \( \mathcal{N} \) with \( \deg \mathcal{N} > d \).

**Proof.** i) Since any field extension is strictly flat it is sufficient to check that \( H^1(\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}}) = 0 \). From an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}}(-x) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow (\overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}})_x \rightarrow 0
\]

on \( \overline{C} \) we deduce that if \( H^1(\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}}(-x)) = 0 \) then \( H^1(\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}}) = 0 \). This implies i).

ii) By the same reason as above it is enough to show that the sheaf \( \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \) is generated by the global sections. Since by \( H^1(\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}}(-x)) = 0 \) the map

\[
H^0(\overline{C}, \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow H^0(\overline{C}, (\overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}})_x)
\]

is surjective for any \( x \in \overline{C} \). Hence, \( \overline{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \) and \( \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) are generated by the global sections for all \( \mathcal{N} \) of degree greater than \( d \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Lemma 7.** Any torsion sheaf \( T \) is generated by the global sections. Consider the surjective map \( \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r_0} \rightarrow T \), where \( r_0 = \dim H^0(T) \). Denote by \( U \) the kernel of this map. Now it is evident that \( H^1(U \otimes \mathcal{M}) = 0 \) for any line bundle \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( \overline{C} \) with \( \deg \mathcal{M} \geq 2g - 1 \), because \( H^1(\mathcal{M}) = 0 \). Applying Lemma 9 we get that \( U \otimes \mathcal{L} \) is generated by the global sections. Hence, there is an exact sequence of the form

\[
(L^{-1})^{\oplus r_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r_0} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0.
\]

for any torsion sheaf \( T \). \( \square \)
**Proof of the Main Lemma.** If $G$ is a semi-stable vector bundle on $C$ with $\mu(G) \geq 2g$ then by Serre duality we have $H^1(C, G \otimes M) = 0$ for all $M$ with $\deg M \geq -1$. Therefore, by Lemma 9 the bundle $G$ is generated by the global sections.

Now $F_i \subseteq F$ as an extension of semi-stable sheaves with $\mu \geq 4g$ is generated by the global sections as well. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow O_C^{\oplus r_0} \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow 0,$$

where $r_0$ is the dimension of $H^0(F_i)$. Take a line bundle $M$ on $C$ of degree $2g$ and consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & U \otimes M^{-1} & \rightarrow & (M^{-1})^{\oplus r_0} & \rightarrow & F_i \otimes M^{-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & U^{\oplus 2} & \rightarrow & O_C^{\oplus 2r_0} & \rightarrow & F_i^{\oplus 2} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & U \otimes M & \rightarrow & M^{\oplus r_0} & \rightarrow & F_i \otimes M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & &
\end{array}
\]

Since the sheaf $F_i \otimes M^{-1}$ is the extension of semi-stable sheaves with $\mu \geq 2g$ we have $H^1(F_i \otimes M^{-1}) = 0$. Hence, the map $H^0(F_i^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow H^0(F_i \otimes M)$ is surjective. Further, we know that the map $H^0(O_C^{\oplus 2r_0}) \rightarrow H^0(F_i^{\oplus 2})$ is surjective and the map $H^0(O_C^{\oplus 2r_0}) \rightarrow H^0(M^{\oplus r_0})$ is injective. This implies that the map $H^0(M^{\oplus r_0}) \rightarrow H^0(F_i \otimes M)$ is surjective as well. Hence $H^1(U \otimes M) = 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 9 the bundle $U \otimes M'$ is generated by the global sections for all $M'$ with $\deg M' \geq 2g + 1$. In particular, $U \otimes L$ is generated by the global sections. Thus, we get an exact sequence

$$(L^{-1})^{\oplus r_1} \rightarrow O_C^{\oplus r_0} \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Sequence b) can be obtained by dualizing of sequence a) applied for the sheaf $F^* \otimes L$. □

**Proof of Theorem 6.** At first, since the category of coherent sheaves on $C$ has homological dimension one we see that any torsion sheaf $T$ is a direct summand of the complex of the form $(L^{-1})^{\oplus r_1} \rightarrow O_C^{\oplus r_0}$. Hence, it belongs to $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle_2$.

Now consider a vector bundle $F$ on $C$ with the Harder-Narasimhan filtration $0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_n = F$. As above let us fix $0 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\mu(F_i/F_{i-1}) \geq 4g > \mu(F_{i+1}/F_i)$. Then...
Applying the Main Lemma we obtain the following long exact sequence

\[ 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker} \alpha \longrightarrow (L^{-1})^{\oplus r_1} \longrightarrow O_C^{\oplus r_0} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow L^{\oplus s_0} \longrightarrow (L^2)^{\oplus s_1} \longrightarrow \text{Coker} \beta \longrightarrow 0. \]

Furthermore, it is easy to see that the canonical map \( \text{Ext}^1(L^{\oplus s_0}, O_C^{\oplus r_0}) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F/F_i, F_i) \) is surjective. Let us fix \( e \in \text{Ext}^1(F/F_i, F_i) \) which defines \( F \) as the extension and choose some its pull back \( e' \in \text{Ext}^1(L^{\oplus s_0}, O_C^{\oplus r_0}) \).

Now let us consider the map

\[ \phi : (L^{-1})^{\oplus r_0} \oplus L^{\oplus s_0}[-1] \longrightarrow O_C^{\oplus r_0} \oplus (L^2)^{\oplus s_1}[-1], \quad \text{where } \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & e' \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \]

and take a cone \( C(\phi) \) of \( \phi \). The cone \( C(\phi) \) is isomorphic to a complex that has three nontrivial cohomologies \( H^{-1}(C(\phi)) \cong \text{Ker} \alpha, H^1(C(\phi)) \cong \text{Coker} \beta \) and, finally, \( H^0(C(\phi)) \cong F \). Thus, \( F \) is a direct summand of \( C(\phi) \) and, consequently, it belongs to \( \langle E \rangle_2 \). This implies that the whole bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on \( C \) coincides with \( \langle E \rangle_2 \) and the dimension of \( D^b(\text{coh}(C)) \) is equal to 1.

Having in view of the given theorem we may assume, that the following conjecture can be true.

**Conjecture 10.** Let \( X \) be a smooth quasi-projective scheme of dimension \( n \). Then \( \dim D^b(\text{coh}(X)) = n \).

**Remark 11.** For a non regular scheme it is evidently not true. For example, the dimension of the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on the zero-dimension scheme \( \text{Spec}(k[x]/x^2) \) equals to 1.

It is also very interesting to understand what the spectrum \( \sigma(D^b(\text{coh}(X))) \) forms. In particular we can ask the following questions

**Question 12.** Is the spectrum of the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a smooth quasi-projective scheme bounded? Is it bounded for a non smooth scheme?

**Question 13.** Does the spectrum of the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a (smooth) quasi-projective scheme form an integer interval?

Let us try to calculate the dimension spectra of the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some smooth curves.

**Proposition 14.** Let \( C \) be a smooth affine curve. Then the dimension spectrum \( \sigma(D^b(\text{coh}(C))) \) coincides with \( \{1\} \).
Proof. If $E$ is a strong generator then it has a some locally free sheaf $F$ as a direct summand. Now since $C$ is affine then there is an exact sequence of the form

$$F^{⊕r_1} \longrightarrow F^{⊕r_0} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

for any coherent sheaf $G$ on $C$. Hence, any coherent sheaf $G$ belongs to $⟨E⟩_2$. Since the global dimension of $\text{coh} C$ is equal to 1 we obtain that $⟨E⟩_2 = D^b(\text{coh} C)$. $\square$

We can also find the dimension spectrum of the projective line.

**Proposition 15.** The dimension spectrum $σ(D^b(\text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1))$ coincides with the set $\{1, 2\}$.

**Proof.** Indeed, 1 is the dimension. And, for example, the object $E = \mathcal{O}(-1) ⊕ \mathcal{O}$ generate the whole category $D^b(\text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1)$ for one step. Now, the object $E = \mathcal{O}_p ⊕ \mathcal{O}_p$, where $p$ is a point, is a generator, because $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ belongs to $⟨E⟩_2$. This also implies that $⟨E⟩_3 ≅ D^b(\text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1)$. On the other hand, $⟨E⟩_2 \not\cong D^b(\text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1)$. To see it we can check that an object $\mathcal{O}_q$, where $q \neq p$, doesn’t belong to $⟨E⟩_2$. Indeed, $\mathcal{O}_q$ is completely orthogonal to $\mathcal{O}_p$ and doesn’t belong to subcategory generated by $\mathcal{O}$. Finally, it easy to see that any object $E$, which generates the whole category, generates it at least for two steps, i.e. $⟨E⟩_3 ≅ D^b(\text{coh} \mathbb{P}^1)$. If $E$ contains as direct summands two different line bundles than it generates the whole category for one step. If $E$ has only one line bundle as a direct summand then it also has a torsion sheaf as a direct summand. This implies that $⟨E⟩_2$ has another line bundle. Therefore, $⟨E⟩_3$ is the whole category. $\square$

Another simple result says

**Proposition 16.** Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g > 0$ over a field $k$. Assume that $C$ has at least two different points over $k$. Then the dimension spectrum $σ(D^b(\text{coh} C))$ contains $\{1, 2\}$ as a proper subset, i.e. $\{1, 2\}$ is strictly contained in the dimension spectrum.

**Proof.** The spectrum contains 1 as the dimension of the category. Let us now take a line bundle $L$ on $C$ which satisfies the condition as in Theorem 8 i.e. $\deg L \geq 8g$ and consider the object $E = \mathcal{O}_C ⊕ L^2$. It is easy to see that the line bundles $L^{-1}$ and $L$ belong to $⟨E⟩_2$, because there are exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow L^⊗2 \longrightarrow L^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \longrightarrow (L^{-1})^⊗2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^⊗3 \longrightarrow L^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 8 (see the map 2) implies that $⟨E⟩_3 ≅ D^b(\text{coh} C)$. On the other hand, the subcategory $⟨E⟩_2$ doesn’t coincide with the whole $D^b(\text{coh} C)$. For example, a nontrivial line bundle $M$ from $\text{Pic}^0 C$ doesn’t belong to $⟨E⟩_2$, because it is completely orthogonal to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_C$ and, evidently, could not be obtained from the line bundle $L^2$. 
Let us take a point \( p \in C \) and consider the object \( \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_p \), where \( \mathcal{O}_p \) is the skyscraper in \( p \). This object is a strong generator and we can show that \( \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle_3 \neq D^b(\text{coh } C) \).

Take another point \( q \neq p \) and consider the skyscraper sheaf \( \mathcal{O}_q \). It is completely orthogonal to \( \mathcal{O}_p \) and have only one-dimensional 1-st Ext to \( \mathcal{O}_C \). Hence, if \( \mathcal{O}_q \) belongs to \( \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle_3 \) then it should be a direct summand of an object \( M \) which is included in an exact triangle of the form

\[
\mathcal{O}^{\oplus k} \to N \to M \to \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus k}[1],
\]

where \( N \in \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle_2 \). Since the 1-st Ext from \( \mathcal{O}_q \) to \( \mathcal{O}_C \) is one-dimensional we can take \( k = 1 \).

The composition of the map \( \mathcal{O}_q \to M \) with \( M \to \mathcal{O}_C \) should be the nontrivial 1-st Ext from \( \mathcal{O}_q \) to \( \mathcal{O}_C \). Now object \( N \) is a direct sum of indecomposable objects from \( \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle_2 \). It is easy to see that we can consider only objects for which there are nontrivial homomorphisms from \( \mathcal{O}_C \) and nontrivial homomorphisms to \( \mathcal{O}_q \). All other can be removed from \( N \). Thus \( N \) is a direct sum of \( \mathcal{O}(p) \) and objects \( U \) that are extensions

\[
(3) \quad 0 \to \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r_1} \to U \to \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r_2} \to 0.
\]

Finally, split embedding \( \mathcal{O}_q \to M \) gives us a nontrivial map from \( \mathcal{O}(q) \) to \( N \). But there are no nontrivial maps from \( \mathcal{O}(q) \) to \( \mathcal{O}(p) \) and to \( U \) of the form (3). Therefore, \( \mathcal{O}_q \) can not belong to \( \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle_3 \).

\[\square\]
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