ON CHAINS IN $H$-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL POSPACES
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Abstract. We study chains in an $H$-closed topological partially ordered space. We give sufficient conditions for a maximal chain $L$ in an $H$-closed topological partially ordered space such that $L$ contains a maximal (minimal) element. Also we give sufficient conditions for a linearly ordered topological partially ordered space to be $H$-closed. We prove that any $H$-closed topological semilattice contains a zero. We show that a linearly ordered $H$-closed topological semilattice is an $H$-closed topological pospace and show that in the general case this is not true. We construct an example an $H$-closed topological pospace with a non-$H$-closed maximal chain and give sufficient conditions that a maximal chain of an $H$-closed topological pospace is an $H$-closed topological pospace.

1. Introduction

In this paper all topological spaces will be assumed to be Hausdorff. We shall follow the terminology of [3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15]. If $A$ is a subset of a topological space $X$, then we denote the closure of the set $A$ in $X$ by $cl_X(A)$. By a partial order on a set $X$ we mean a reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric binary relation $\leq$ on $X$. If the partial order $\leq$ on a set $X$ satisfies the following linearity law

$$\text{if } x, y \in X, \text{ then } x \leq y \text{ or } y \leq x,$$

then it is said to be a linear order. We write $x < y$ if $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, $x \geq y$ if $y \leq x$, and $x \neq y$ if the relation $\leq y$ is false. Obviously if $\leq$ is a partial order or a linear order on a set $X$ then so is $\geq$. A set endowed with a partial order (resp., linear order) is called a partially ordered (resp., linearly ordered) set. If $\leq$ is a partial order on $X$ and $A$ is a subset of $X$ then we denote

$$\downarrow A = \{ y \in X \mid y \leq x \text{ for some } x \in A \} \quad \text{and} \quad \uparrow A = \{ y \in X \mid x \leq y \text{ for some } x \in A \}.$$ 

Also for any elements $a, b$ of a partial ordered set $X$ such that $a \leq b$ we denote $\uparrow a = \uparrow \{ a \}$, $\downarrow a = \downarrow \{ a \}$, $[a, b] = \uparrow a \cap \downarrow b$ and $[a, b) = [a, b] \setminus \{ b \}$. A subset $A$ of a partially ordered set $X$ is called increasing (decreasing) if $A = \uparrow A \ (A = \downarrow A)$.

A partial order $\leq$ on a topological space $X$ is said to be lower (upper) semicontinuous provided, that whenever $x \neq y$ ($y \neq x$) in $X$, then there exists an open set $U \ni x$ such that if $a \in U$ then $a \neq y$ ($y \neq a$). A partial order is called semicontinuous if it is both upper and lower semicontinuous. Next, it is said to be continuous or closed provided, that whenever $x \neq y$ in $X$, there exist open sets $U \ni x$ and $V \ni y$ such that if $a \in U$ and $b \in V$ then $a \neq b$. Clearly, the statement that the partial order $\leq$ on $X$ is semicontinuous is equivalent to the assertion that $\uparrow a$ and $\downarrow a$ are closed subsets of $X$ for each $a \in X$. A topological space equipped with a continuous partial order is called a topological partially ordered space or shortly topological pospace. A partial order $\leq$ on a topological space $X$ is continuous if and only if the graph of $\leq$ is a closed subset in $X \times X$ [15, Lemma 1]. Also a semicontinuous linear order on a topological space is continuous [15, Lemma 3].
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A chain of a partially ordered set $X$ is a subset of $X$ which is linearly ordered with respect to the partial order. A maximal chain is a chain which is properly contained in no other chain. The Axiom of Choice implies the existence of maximal chains in any partially ordered set. Every maximal chain in a topological pospace is a closed set \cite[Lemma 4]{15}. An element $y$ in a partially ordered set $X$ is called minimal (resp. maximal) in $X$ whenever $x \leq y$ (resp. $y \leq x$) in $X$ implies $y \leq x$ (resp. $x \leq y$). Let $X$ and $Y$ be partially ordered sets. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called monotone (or partially order preserving) if $x \leq y$ implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

A Hausdorff topological space $X$ is called $H$-closed if $X$ is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff space in which it is contained \cite{1, 2}. A Hausdorff pospace $X$ is called $H$-closed if $X$ is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff pospace in which it is contained. Obviously that the notion of $H$-closedness is a generalization of compactness. For any element $x$ of a compact topological pospace $X$ there exists a minimal element $y \in X$ and a maximal element $z \in X$ such that $y \leq x \leq z$ \cite{3}. Every chain in a compact topological pospace is a compact subset and hence it contains minimal and maximal elements. Also for any point $x$ of a compact topological pospace $X$ there exists a base at $x$ which consists of open order-convex subsets \cite{12} (A non-empty set $A$ of a partially ordered set is called order-convex if $A$ is an intersection of increasing and decreasing subsets). We are interested in the following question: Under which condition an $H$-closed topological pospace has similar properties as a compact topological pospace?

In this paper we establish chains in $H$-closed topological pospace. We give sufficient conditions on a maximal chain $L$ in an $H$-closed topological pospace such that $L$ contains the maximal (minimal) element. Also we give sufficient conditions on a linearly ordered topological pospace to be $H$-closed. We prove that every $H$-closed topological semilattice contains a zero. We show that a linearly ordered $H$-closed topological semilattice is an $H$-closed topological pospace and show that in the general case it is not true. We construct an example an $H$-closed topological pospace with a non-$H$-closed maximal chain and give sufficient conditions that a maximal chain of an $H$-closed topological pospace is an $H$-closed topological pospace.

2. ON MAXIMAL AND MINIMAL ELEMENTS OF MAXIMAL CHAINS IN $H$-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL POSPACES

A chain $L$ of a partially ordered set $X$ is called down-directed (resp. up-directed) in $X$ if for any $x \in X$ there exists $l \in L$ such that $l \leq x$ (resp. $x \leq l$).

**Theorem 2.1.** Any down-directed chain $L$ of an $H$-closed topological pospace contains a minimal element of $L$.

**Proof.** Suppose there exists an $H$-closed topological pospace $X$ with a down-directed chain $L$ such that $L$ does not contain a minimal element in $L$.

Let $x \notin X$. We extend the partial order $\leq$ from $X$ onto $X^* = X \cup \{x\}$ as follows:

1) $x \leq x$;
2) $x \leq y$ for each $y \in L$; and
3) $x \leq z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $y \in L$ such that $y \leq z$.

Then by Lemma 1 \cite{15} for any $a, b \in X$ such that $a \neq b$ there exist open neighbourhoods $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ of the points $a$ and $b$ respectively, such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$ 

We define the family

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \neq b, \ a \in X, \ b \in L\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of $a$ and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of $b$ such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$
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We denote the topology on $X$ by $\tau$. On $X^*$ we determine a topology $\tau^*$ as follows. For any point $y \in X$ the bases of topologies $\tau^*$ and $\tau$ at the point $y$ coincide. For any $y \in X$ by $\mathcal{B}(y)$ we denote the base of the topology $\tau$ at the point $y$. We put

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathcal{W}, \ a \not\leq b, \ a \in X, \ b \in L\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{P}(x) \text{, } n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$  

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathcal{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^*}$ and hence $\mathcal{B}(x)$ is a base of a topology $\tau^*$ at the point $x$.

Further we shall show that $(X^*, \tau^*, \leq)$ is a topological pospace. Let $y \in X$. Then $y \not\leq \downarrow x$. We consider two cases $y \in L$ and $y \in X \setminus L$. In the first case we have $x < y$, and since $L$ does not contain a minimal element, there exists $b \in L$ such that $b < y$. We put $W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$, where $(U(y), U(b)) \in \mathcal{W}$. Obviously,

$$W(x) = \downarrow W(x), \ U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \text{ and } W(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$  

Let $y \in X \setminus L$. Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $y \not\leq b$. In the other case we have $y \leq a$ for all $a \in L$, a contradiction to the fact that $L$ is a down-directed chain in $X$. Then we put $W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$, where $(U(y), U(b)) \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore we have

$$W(x) = \downarrow W(x), \ U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \text{ and } W(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$  

Thus $(X^*, \tau^*, \leq)$ is a Hausdorff topological pospace which contains $X$ as a dense subspace, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that $L$ contains a minimal element. \qed

The proof of the following theorem is similar.

**Theorem 2.2.** Any up-directed chain of an $H$-closed topological pospace contains a maximal element.

A subset $F$ of topological pospace $X$ is said to be upper (resp. lower) separated if and only if for each $a \in X \setminus \uparrow F$ (resp. $a \in X \setminus \downarrow F$) there exist disjoint open neighbourhoods $U$ of $a$ and $V$ of $F$ such that $U$ is decreasing (resp. increasing) and $V$ is increasing (resp. decreasing) in $X$.

**Theorem 2.3.** Any maximal upper separated chain $L$ of an $H$-closed topological pospace $X$ contains a minimal element of $L$.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there exists an $H$-closed topological pospace $X$ with a maximal upper separated chain $L$ such that $L$ does not contain a minimal element.

Let $x \not\in X$. We extend the partial order $\leq$ from $X$ onto $X^* = X \cup \{x\}$ as follows:

1) $x \leq x$;

2) $x \leq y$ for each $y \in L$; and

3) $x \leq z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $y \in L$ such that $y \leq z$.

Then by Lemma 1 [15] we can to define the family

$$\mathcal{W} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \not\leq b, \ a \in X, \ b \in L\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of $a$ and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of $b$ such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \ U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \text{ and } U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$  

Since $L$ is a upper separated chain for any $a \in X \setminus \uparrow L$ such that $a \not\leq l$ for each $l \in L$, there exist an open neighbourhood $V_a(L)$ of $L$ and an open neighbourhood $V(a)$ of $a$ such that

$$V_a(L) = \uparrow V_a(L), \ V(a) = \downarrow V(a), \text{ and } V_a(L) \cap V(a) = \emptyset.$$  

We define the family

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(V_a(L), V(a)) \mid a \not\leq l \text{ for any } l \in L, \ a \in X \setminus \uparrow L\}$$
as follows: \( V_a(L) \) is an open neighbourhood of the chain \( L \) and \( V(a) \) is an open neighbourhood of the point \( a \) such that

\[
V_a(L) = \uparrow V_a(L), \quad V(a) = \downarrow V(a), \quad \text{and} \quad V_a(L) \cap V(a) = \emptyset.
\]

We denote the topology on \( X \) by \( \tau \). On \( X^* \) we determine a topology \( \tau^* \) as follows. For any point \( y \in X \) the bases of topologies \( \tau^* \) and \( \tau \) at the point \( y \) coincide. For any \( y \in X \) by \( \mathcal{B}(y) \) we denote the base of the topology \( \tau \) at the point \( y \). We put

\[
\mathcal{U}(x) = \{ \{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathcal{U}, \ a \neq b, \ a \in X, \ b \in L \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{V}(x) = \{ \{x\} \cup V_a(L) \mid (V_a(L), V(a)) \in \mathcal{V}, \ a \neq l \text{ for any } l \in L, \ a \in X \setminus \uparrow L \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}(x) = \mathcal{U}(x) \cup \mathcal{V}(x), \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\mathcal{B}(x) = \{ U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{P}(x), \ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \}.
\]

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family \( \{ \mathcal{B}(y) \}_{y \in X^*} \) and hence \( \{ \mathcal{B}(y) \}_{y \in X^*} \) is a base of a topology \( \tau^* \) at the point \( y \in X^* \). Since the chain \( L \) does not contain a minimal element, every finite intersection of elements from the family \( \mathcal{U}(x) \) contains infinitely many points from the chain \( L \), and since every set of the family \( \mathcal{V}(x) \) contains the chain \( L \), we conclude that \( x \) is not an isolated point in \( (X^*, \tau^*) \).

Further we shall show that \( (X^*, \tau^*, \leq) \) is a topological pospace. Let \( y \in X \). We consider two cases \( y \in L \) and \( y \in X \setminus L \). In the first case we have \( x < y \), and since \( L \) does not contain a minimal element there exists \( b \in L \) such that \( b < y \). We put \( W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b) \), where \( (U(y), U(b)) \in \mathcal{U}(x) \). Obviously,

\[
W(x) = \downarrow W(x), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.
\]

Let \( y \in X \setminus L \). If \( y \not< x \), then there exists \( b \in L \) such that \( y \not< b \). In other case we have \( y \leq a \) for all \( a \in L \), a contradiction to the maximality of the chain \( L \). Then we put \( W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b) \), where \( (U(y), U(b)) \in \mathcal{U}(x) \). Therefore we have

\[
W(x) = \downarrow W(x), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.
\]

If \( x \not< y \) then the definition of the family \( \mathcal{V}(x) \) implies that there exist an open neighbourhood \( V(x) \) of the point \( x \) in \( X^* \) and an open neighbourhood \( V(y) \) of the point \( y \) in \( X^* \) such that

\[
V(x) = \uparrow V(x), \quad V(y) = \downarrow V(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V(x) \cap V(y) = \emptyset.
\]

Thus \( (X^*, \tau^*, \leq) \) is a Hausdorff topological pospace which contains \( X \) as a dense subspace, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that \( L \) contains a minimal element. \( \square \)

The proof of the following theorem is similar.

**Theorem 2.4.** Any maximal lower separated chain \( L \) of an \( H \)-closed topological pospace \( X \) contains a maximal element of \( L \).

Similarly to [11, 13] we shall say that a topological pospace \( X \) is a \( C_t \)-space (resp. \( C_d \)-space) if whenever a subset \( F \) of \( X \) is closed, \( \uparrow F \) (resp. \( \downarrow F \)) is a closed subset in \( X \). A maximal chain of a topological pospace \( X \) is called an \( MCC_t \)-chain (resp. an \( MCC_d \)-chain) in \( X \) if \( \uparrow L \) (resp. \( \downarrow L \)) is a closed subset in \( X \). Obviously, if a topological pospace \( X \) is a \( C_t \)-space (resp. \( C_d \)-space) then any maximal chain in \( X \) is an \( MCC_t \)-chain (resp. \( MCC_d \)-chain) in \( X \).

A topological pospace \( X \) is said to be upper (resp. lower) regularly ordered if and only if for each closed increasing (resp. decreasing) subset \( F \) in \( X \) and each element \( a \not\in F \), there exist disjoint open neighbourhoods \( U \) of \( a \) and \( V \) of \( F \) such that \( U \) is decreasing (resp. increasing) and \( V \) is increasing (resp. decreasing) in \( X \) [9, 4]. A topological pospace \( X \) is regularly ordered if it is upper and lower regularly ordered.

**Theorem 2.3** implies

**Corollary 2.5.** Any maximal \( MCC_t \)-chain of an \( H \)-closed upper regularly ordered topological pospace contains a minimal element of \( L \).
Theorem [2, 4] implies

**Corollary 2.6.** Any maximal $MCC_d$-chain of an $H$-closed lower regularly ordered topological pospace contains a maximal element of $L$.

3. SOME REMARKS ON $H$-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL SELMILATTICES

A topological space $S$ that is algebraically a semigroup with a continuous semigroup operation is called a topological semigroup. A semilattice is a semigroup with a commutative idempotent semigroup operation. A topological semilattice is a topological semigroup which is algebraically a semilattice.

If $E$ is a semilattice, then the semilattice operation on $E$ determines the partial order $\leq$ on $E$:

$$e \leq f \quad \text{if and only if} \quad ef = fe = e.$$ 

This order is called natural. A semilattice $E$ is called linearly ordered if the semilattice operation admits a linear natural order on $E$. The natural order on a topological semilattice $E$ admits the structure of topological pospace on $E$ (see: [8, Proposition VI-1.14]). Obviously, if $S$ is a topological semilattice then $\uparrow e$ and $\downarrow e$ are closed subsets in $S$ for any $e \in S$.

A topological semilattice $S$ is called $H$-closed if it is a closed subset in any topological semilattice which contains $S$ as a subsemilattice. Properties of $H$-closed topological semilattices were established in [5, 10, 14].

**Theorem 3.1.** Every $H$-closed topological semilattice contains the smallest idempotent.

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists an $H$-closed topological semilattice $E$ which does not contain the smallest idempotent. Let $x \not\in E$. We put $E^* = E \cup \{x\}$, and extend the semilattice operation from $E$ onto $E^*$ as follows:

$$xx = xe = ex = x \quad \text{for all} \quad e \in E.$$ 

Since $E$ is a topological pospace, there exist by Lemma 1 [15] for any $a, b \in E$ such that $a \not\leq b$ open neighbourhoods $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ of the points $a$ and $b$ respectively such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$ 

We define the family

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \not\leq b, \ a, b \in X\}$$ 

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of $a$ and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of $b$ such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$ 

We denote the topology on $E$ by $\tau$. On $E^*$ we determine a topology $\tau^*$ as follows. For any point $y \in E$ the bases of topologies $\tau^*$ and $\tau$ at the point $y$ coincide. For any $y \in E$ by $\mathcal{B}(y)$ we denote the base of the topology $\tau$ at the point $y \in E$. We put

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathcal{U}, \ a \not\leq b, \ a, b \in E\}$$ 

and

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{P}(x), \ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$ 

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathcal{B}(y)\}_{y \in E^*}$ and hence $\mathcal{B}(y)$ is a base of a topology $\tau^*$ at the point $y \in E^*$.

We shall further show that $(E^*, \tau^*)$ is a topological semilattice. Let $e$ be an arbitrary element of the semilattice $E$ and let $U$ be an arbitrary open neighbourhood of $x$ in $E^*$ such that $e \not\in U$. Since the semilattice $E$ does not contain the smallest idempotent, such open neighbourhood $U$ of the point $x$ exists in $E^*$. Otherwise we put $V = U \cup U(b)$, where $b \in U$, $b < e$, and $(U(e), U(b)) \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus $V = \downarrow V$ and $e \not\in V$. Then there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in E$, $a_1 \not\leq b_1, \ldots, a_n \not\leq b_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and open neighbourhoods $U(a_1), \ldots, U(a_n), U(b_1), \ldots, U(b_n)$ of the points $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ in $E^*$, respectively, such that
1) $U(a_1) = \uparrow U(a_1), \ldots, U(a_n) = \uparrow U(a_n)$;
2) $U(b_1) = \downarrow U(b_1), \ldots, U(b_n) = \downarrow U(b_n)$;
3) $U(a_1) \cap U(b_1) = \emptyset, \ldots, U(a_n) \cap U(b_n) = \emptyset$; and
4) $U = U(a_1) \cap \ldots U(a_n)$.

Let $b_0 \in U \setminus \{x\}$ such that $b_0 < e$. Such element $b_0$ exists since the semilattice $E$ does not contain the smallest idempotent. Since $E$ is a topological semilattice there exist an open neighborhood $V(e)$ of the point $e$ and open neighbourhoods $W(b_0)$ and $V(b_0)$ of the point $b_0$ such that

$$V(e)V(b_0) \subseteq W(b_0) \subseteq U.$$ 

Then there exist an open neighborhood $U(e)$ of the point $e$ and open neighborhood $U(b_0)$ of the point $b_0$ such that $(U(e), U(b_0)) \in \mathcal{U}$. We put $O(e) = U(e) \cap V(e)$ and $W = U \cap U(b_0)$. Since $E$ is a topological semilattice and $W = \downarrow W$, we have

$$O(e)W \subseteq W \subseteq U.$$ 

Obviously $W \in \mathcal{B}(x)$.

Therefore $(E^*, \tau^*)$ is a topological semilattice which contains $E$ as a dense subsemilattice, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the assertion of the theorem. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 3.2.** Every linearly ordered topological pospace admits a structure of a topological semilattice.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be a linearly ordered topological pospace and let $\leq$ be a linear order on $X$. We define the semilattice operation “*” on $X$ as follows:

$$x * y = y * x = x \quad \text{if} \quad x \leq y \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Since $\leq$ is a linear order on $X$, “*” is a semilattice operation on $X$.

We observe that if $A \subseteq X$, then $A * A = A$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x < y$ holds. Then $x * y = x$, and since $X$ is a topological pospace, there exist neighbourhoods $U(x)$ and $U(y)$ of the points $x$ and $y$ in $X$, respectively, such that

$$U(x) = \downarrow U(x), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$ 

Let $V(x)$ be an arbitrary open neighbourhood of the point $x$ in $X$. We put $W(x) = V(x) \cap U(x)$. Since $X$ is a linearly ordered set we have

$$U(y) * W(x) = W(x) * U(y) = W(x) \subseteq V(x).$$

Therefore $(X, *)$ is a topological semilattice. \hfill \Box

Proposition 3.2 implies

**Proposition 3.3.** A linearly ordered topological semilattice is $H$-closed if and only if it is $H$-closed as a topological pospace.

A linearly ordered topological semilattice $E$ is called **complete** if every non-empty subset of $S$ has inf and sup.

In [10] Gutik and Repovš proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.4.** A linearly ordered topological semilattice $E$ is $H$-closed if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) $E$ is complete;
(ii) $x = \sup A$ for $A = \downarrow A \setminus \{x\}$ implies $x \in \text{cl}_E A$, whenever $A \neq \emptyset$; and
(iii) $x = \inf B$ for $B = \uparrow B \setminus \{x\}$ implies $x \in \text{cl}_E B$, whenever $B \neq \emptyset$.

Propositions 3.2, 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 imply the following:

**Corollary 3.5.** A linearly ordered topological pospace $X$ is $H$-closed if and only if the following conditions hold:
(i) $X$ is a complete semilattice with the respect to the partial order on $X$;
(ii) $x = \sup A$ for $A = \downarrow A \setminus \{x\}$ implies $x \in \text{cl}_X A$, whenever $A \neq \emptyset$; and
(iii) $x = \inf B$ for $B = \uparrow B \setminus \{x\}$ implies $x \in \text{cl}_X B$, whenever $B \neq \emptyset$

A semilattice $S$ is called algebraically closed (or absolutely maximal) if $S$ is a closed subsemilattice in any topological semilattice which contains $S$ as a subsemilattice [14]. In [14] Stepp proved that a semilattice $S$ is algebraically closed if and only if any chain in $S$ is finite. Example 3.6 shows that the similar statement does not hold for an $H$-closed topological pospace.

**Example 3.6.** Let $Y$ be an Hausdorff topological space with isolated points $a$ and $b$. We put $X = Y \setminus \{a, b\}$. On $Y$ we define a partial order $\leq$ as follows:

1. $x \leq x$ for all $x \in Y$;
2. $x \leq b$ for all $x \in Y$; and
3. $a \leq x$ for all $x \in Y$.

Obviously, $(Y, \leq)$ is a topological pospace, and moreover $(Y, \leq)$ is an $H$-closed topological pospace if and only if $X$ is an $H$-closed topological space.

We observe that the following conditions hold:

1. The partial order $\leq$ on $Y$ admits the lattice structure on $Y$:
   1. $b \lor x = b$ and $b \land x = x$ for all $x \in Y$;
   2. $x \land y = a$ and $x \lor y = b$ for all $x, y \in Y$; and
   3. $a \lor x = x$ and $a \land x = a$ for all $x \in Y$.

   Hence the topological pospace $(Y, \leq)$ is both up-directed and down-directed. Also we observe that the lattice operations $\lor$ and $\land$ are not continuous in $Y$.

2. The topological pospace $(Y, \leq)$ is both a $C_{tf}$- and a $C_t$-space.

3. The topological pospace $(Y, \leq)$ is upper and lower regularly ordered.

A partially ordered set $A$ is called a tree if $\downarrow a$ is a chain for any $a \in A$. Example 3.7 shows that there exists an algebraically closed (and hence $H$-closed) topological semilattice $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ which is a tree but $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is not an $H$-closed topological pospace.

**Example 3.7.** Let $X$ be a discrete infinite space of cardinality $\tau$ and let $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ be the one-point Alexandroff compactification of $X$. We put $\{\alpha\} = \mathcal{A}(\tau) \setminus X$ and fix $\beta \in X$. On $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ we define a partial order $\leq$ as follows:

1. $x \leq x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$;
2. $\beta \leq x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$; and
3. $x \leq \alpha$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$.

The partial order $\leq$ induces a semilattice operation $\ast$ on $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$:

1. $x \ast x = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$;
2. $\beta \ast x = x \ast \beta = \beta$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$;
3. $\alpha \ast x = x \ast \alpha = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$; and
4. $x \ast y = y \ast x = \beta$ for all distinct $x, y \in X$.

Since $X$ is a discrete subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, $X$ with induced from $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ the semilattice operation is a topological semilattice. By [14] Theorem 9, $X$ is an algebraically closed semilattice, and hence it is an $H$-closed topological semilattice. But $X$ a dense subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ and hence $X$ is not an $H$-closed pospace.

4. **LINEARLY ORDERED $H$-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL POSPACES**

Let $C$ be a maximal chain of a topological pospace $X$. Then $C = \bigcap_{x \in C} (\downarrow x \cup \uparrow x)$, and hence $C$ is a closed subspace of $X$. Therefore we get the following:
A subset \( L = \{ x \} \) of a linearly ordered set \( X \) is called a \( L \)-chain in \( X \) if \( |x| \subseteq L \) for any \( x \in L \) and \( x \subseteq y \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( X \) be a linearly ordered topology pospace and let \( L \) be a subspace of \( X \) such that \( L \) is an \( H \)-closed topology pospace and any maximal \( X \backslash L \)-chain in \( X \) is an \( H \)-closed topology pospace. Then \( X \) is an \( H \)-closed topology pospace.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that the topologypospace \( X \) is not \( H \)-closed. Then by Lemma 4.1 there exists a linearly ordered topology pospace \( Y \) which contains \( X \) as a non-closed subspace. Without loss of generality we can assume that \( X \) is a dense subspace of a linearly ordered topology pospace \( Y \).

Let \( x \in Y \setminus X \). The assumptions of the theorem imply that the set \( X \setminus L \) is a disjoint union of maximal \( X \setminus L \)-chains \( L_\alpha \) for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \), which are \( H \)-closed topology pospaces. Therefore any open neighbourhood of the point \( x \) intersects infinitely many sets \( L_\alpha \) for \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \).

Since any maximal \( X \setminus L \)-chain in \( X \) is an \( H \)-closed topology pospace, one of the following conditions holds:

\[
\uparrow x \cap L \neq \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \downarrow x \cap L \neq \emptyset.
\]

We consider the case when the sets \( \uparrow x \cap L \) and \( \downarrow x \cap L \) are nonempty. The proofs in the other cases are similar.

By Proposition 4.3 the set \( \uparrow x \cap L \) contains a minimal element \( x_m \) and the set \( \downarrow x \cap L \) contains a maximal element \( x_M \). Then the sets \( \uparrow x_m \) and \( \downarrow x_M \) are closed in \( Y \) and, obviously, \( L \subseteq \downarrow x_M \cup \uparrow x_m \). Let \( U(x) \) be an open neighbourhood of the point \( x \) in \( Y \). We put

\[
V(x) = U(x) \setminus (\downarrow x_M \cup \uparrow x_m).
\]

Then \( V(x) \) is an open neighbourhood of the point \( x \) in \( Y \) which intersects at most one maximal \( S \setminus L \)-chain \( L_\alpha \), a contradiction. Therefore \( X \) is an \( H \)-closed topology pospace.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( X \) be a linearly ordered topology pospace and let \( L \) be a subspace of \( X \) such that \( L \) is a compact topology pospace and any maximal \( X \setminus L \)-chain in \( X \) is a compact topology pospace. Then \( X \) is an \( H \)-closed topology pospace.

**Example 4.6.** Let \( \mathbb{N} \) be the set of all positive integers. Let \( \{ x_n \} \) be an increasing sequence in \( \mathbb{N} \). Put \( \mathbb{N}^* = \{ 0 \} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \) and let \( \leq \) be the usual order on \( \mathbb{N}^* \). We put \( U_n(0) = \{ 0 \} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \mid k \geq n \right\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \). A topology \( \tau \) on \( \mathbb{N}^* \) is defined as follows:

- \( \text{a)} \) any point \( x \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{ 0 \} \) is isolated in \( \mathbb{N}^* \); and
- \( \text{b)} \) \( \mathcal{B}(0) = \{ U_n(0) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is the base of the topology \( \tau \) at the point \( 0 \in \mathbb{N}^* \).

It is easy to see that \(( \mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \tau)\) is a countable linearly ordered \( \sigma \)-compact locally compact metrizable topologypospace and if \( x_{k+1} > x_k + 1 \) for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \(( \mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \tau)\) is a non-compact topology pospace.
By Corollary 4.3 \((\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \tau)\) is an \(H\)-closed topological pospace. Also \((\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \tau)\) is a normally ordered (or monotone normal) topological pospace, i.e. for any closed subset \(A = \downarrow A\) and \(B = \uparrow B\) in \(X\) such that \(A \cap B = \emptyset\) there exist open subsets \(U = \downarrow U\) and \(V = \uparrow V\) in \(X\) such that \(A \subseteq U\), \(B \subseteq V\), and \(U \cap V = \emptyset\) \([12]\). Therefore for any disjunct closed subsets \(A = \downarrow A\) and \(B = \uparrow B\) in \(X\) the exists a continuous monotone function \(f \colon X \to [0, 1]\) such that \(f(A) = 0\) and \(f(B) = 1\) \([12]\).

Example 4.6 implies negative answers to the following questions:

\begin{enumerate}[(i)]  
  \item Is a closed subspace of an \(H\)-closed topological pospace \(H\)-closed?  
  \item Does any locally compact topological pospace embed into a compact topological pospace?  
  \item Has any locally compact topological pospace a subbasis of open decreasing and open increasing subsets?  
\end{enumerate}

Example 4.7 shows that there exists a countably compact topological pospace, whose space is \(H\)-closed. This example also shows that there exists a countably compact totally disconnected scattered topological pospace which is not embeddable into a locally compact topological pospace.

**Example 4.7.** Let \(X = [0, \omega_1)\) with the order topology (see \([7\) Example 3.10.16]), and let \(Y = \{0\} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\right\}\) with the natural topology. We put \(S = X \times Y\) with the product topology \(\tau_p\) and the partial order \(\preceq:\)

\[(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2) \text{ if } y_1 > y_2 \text{ or } y_1 = y_2 \text{ and } x_2 \leq x_1.\]

We extend the partial order \(\preceq\) onto \(S^* = S \cup \{\alpha\}\), where \(\alpha \notin S\), as follows: \(\alpha \preceq \alpha\) and \(\alpha \preceq x\) for all \(x \in S\), and define a topology \(\tau\) on \(S^*\) as follows. The bases of topologies \(\tau\) and \(\tau_p\) at the point \(x \in S\) coincide and the family \(\mathcal{B}(\alpha) = \{U_\beta(\alpha) \mid \beta \in \omega_1\}\) is the base of the topology \(\tau\) at the point \(\alpha \in S^*\), where

\[U_\beta(\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \cup ([\beta, \omega_1) \times \{1/n \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}).\]

Obviously, \((S^*, \preceq, \tau)\) is a Hausdorff non-regular topological pospace. Proposition 3.12.5 \([7]\) implies that \((S^*, \tau)\) is an \(H\)-closed topological space. By Corollary 3.10.14 \([7]\) and Theorem 3.10.8 \([7]\) the topological space \((S^*, \tau)\) is countably compact. Since every point of \((S^*, \tau)\) has a singleton component, the topological space \((S^*, \tau)\) is totally disconnected.

Let \(A\) be a closed subset of \((S^*, \preceq, \tau)\) such that \(A \neq \{\alpha\}\). Then there exists \(x \in [0, \omega_1)\) such that \(A = A \cap ([0, x] \times Y) \neq \emptyset\). Since \([0, x] \times Y\) is compactum, \(\tilde{A}\) is a compact topological pospace, and hence \(\tilde{A}\) contains a maximal element of \(A\). Let \(x_m\) be a maximal element of \(\tilde{A}\). Definition of the topology \(\tau\) on \(S^*\) implies that \(\uparrow x_m\) is an open subset in \((S^*, \tau)\). Then \(\uparrow x_m \cap \tilde{A} = x_m\) and hence \(x_m\) is an isolated point of the space \(\tilde{A}\) with the induced topology from \((S^*, \tau)\). Therefore every closed subset of \((S^*, \tau)\) has an isolated point in itself and hence \((S^*, \tau)\) is a scattered topological space.

**Remark 4.8.** The topological pospace \((\mathbb{N}^*, \leq, \tau)\) from Example 4.6 admits the structure of a topological semilattice:

\[ab = \min\{a, b\}, \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*.\]

Also the topological pospace \((S^*, \preceq, \tau)\) from Example 4.7 admits the continuous semilattice operation

\[(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (\max\{x_1, x_2\}, \max\{y_1, y_2\}) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, y_1) \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot (x_1, y_1) = \alpha,
\]

for \(x_1, x_2 \in X\) and \(y_1, y_2 \in Y\).

The following example shows that there exists a countable \(H\)-closed scattered totally disconnected topological pospace which has a non-\(H\)-closed maximal chain.
Example 4.9. Let $X = \{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ be the positive integers with the discrete topology, and let $Y = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n = 1,2,3,\ldots\}$ with the natural topology. We put $T = X \times Y$ with the product topology $\tau_T$ and the partial order $\preceq$:

$$(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2) \text{ if } y_1 > y_2 \text{ or } y_1 = y_2 \text{ and } x_2 \leq x_1.$$  

We extend the partial order $\preceq$ onto $T^* = T \cup \{\alpha\}$, where $\alpha \notin T$, as follows: $\alpha \preceq \alpha$ and $\alpha \preceq x$ for all $x \in T$, and define a topology $\tau^*$ on $T^*$ as follows. The bases of topologies $\tau^*$ and $\tau_T$ at the point $x \in T$ coincide and the family $\mathcal{B}(\alpha) = \{U_k(\alpha) \mid k \in \{1,2,3,\ldots\}\}$ is the base of the topology $\tau^*$ at the point $\alpha \in T^*$, where

$$U_k(\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \cup \{\{k,k+1,k+2,\ldots\} \times \{1/n \mid n = 1,2,3,\ldots\}\}.$$ 

It is obvious that $(T^*, \preceq, \tau^*)$ is a Hausdorff non-regular topological pospace. Proposition 3.12.5 [7] implies that $(T^*, \tau^*)$ is an $H$-closed topological space. Since every point of $(T^*, \tau^*)$ has a singleton component, the topological space $(T^*, \tau^*)$ is totally disconnected. The proof of the fact that $(T^*, \tau^*)$ is a scattered topological pospace is similar to the proof of the scatteredness of the topological pospace $(S^*, \preceq, \tau)$ in Example 4.7.

We observe that the set $L = (X \times \{0\}) \cup \{\alpha\}$ with the induced partial order from the topological pospace $(T^*, \preceq, \tau^*)$ is a maximal chain in $T^*$. The topology $\tau^*$ induces the discrete topology on $L$. Corollary 3.5 implies that $L$ is not an $H$-closed topological pospace.

Theorem 4.10 gives sufficient conditions on a maximal chain of an $H$-closed topological pospace to be $H$-closed. We shall say that a chain $L$ of a partially ordered set $P$ has a ↓-max-property (↑-min-property) in $P$ if for any $a \in P$ such that $\downarrow a \cap L \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $\uparrow a \cap L \neq \emptyset$) the chain $\downarrow a \cap L$ (resp. $\uparrow a \cap L$) has a maximal (minimal) element. If the chain of a partially ordered set $P$ has a ↓-max- and ↑-min-properties, then we shall call that $L$ has a ↓-m-property.

Similarly to [11] [13] we shall say that a topological pospace $X$ is a $CC_i$-space (resp. $CC_d$-space) if whenever a chain $F$ of $X$ is closed, $\uparrow F$ (resp. $\downarrow F$) is a closed subset in $X$.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let $X$ be an $H$-closed topological pospace. If $X$ satisfies the following properties:

\begin{enumerate}
  \item $X$ is regularly ordered;
  \item $X$ is $CC_i$-space; and
  \item $X$ is $CC_d$-space,
\end{enumerate}

then every maximal chain in $X$ with $\downarrow$-m-property is an $H$-closed topological pospace.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a non-$H$-closed chain $L$ with $\downarrow$-m-property in $X$. Then by Corollary 3.5 at least one of the following conditions holds:

I) the set $L$ is not a complete semilattice with the induced partial order from $X$;

II) there exist a non-empty subset $A$ in $L$ with $x = \inf A$ such that $A = \uparrow A \setminus \{x\}$ and $x \notin \operatorname{cl}_L(A)$;

III) there exist a non-empty subset $B$ in $L$ with $y = \sup B$ such that $B = \downarrow B \setminus \{y\}$ and $y \notin \operatorname{cl}_L(B)$.

Suppose that condition I) holds. Since topological space with dual order to $\preceq$ is a topological pospace, without loss generality we can assume that there exists a subset $S$ in $L$ which does not have sup in $L$. Then the set $\downarrow S \cap L$ does not have sup in $L$ either. Hence the set $I = L \setminus \downarrow S$ does not have inf in $L$. We observe that the maximality of $L$ implies that there exist no inf $I$ and sup $S$ in such that sup $S \leq \inf I$. Also we observe that properties (ii) − (iii) of $X$ and Corollaries 2.5 [2.6] imply that $I \neq \emptyset$. Therefore without loss of generality we can assume that $S = \downarrow S \cap L$, $I = \uparrow I \cap L$ and $L$ is the disjoint union of $S$ and $I$.

Since the set $S$ does not have sup in $L$, for any $s \in S$ there exists $t > s$, and hence there exist open neighbourhoods $U(s)$ and $U(t)$ of the points $s$ and $t$ respectively such that

$$U(s) = \downarrow U(s), \quad U(t) = \uparrow U(t), \quad \text{and} \quad U(s) \cap U(t) = \emptyset.$$
Therefore we conclude that $S$ is an open subset of $L$ and $I$ is a closed subset of $L$. Similarly we get $I$ is open in $L$ and $S$ is closed in $L$.

By Lemma 1 for any $a, b \in X$ such that $a \neq b$ there exist open neighbourhoods $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ of the points $a$ and $b$ respectively such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$ 

We define the families

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \neq b, a \in S, b \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} = \{(U(b), U(a)) \mid a \neq b, b \in I, a \in X\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of $a$ and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of $b$ such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$ 

Let $x \notin X$. We extend the partial order $\leq$ from $X$ onto $X^* = X \cup \{x\}$ as follows:

1) $x \leq x$;
2) $x \leq a$ for each $a \in I$;
3) $x \leq z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e \in I$ such that $e \leq z$;
4) $b \leq x$ for each $b \in S$; and
5) $z \leq x$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e \in S$ such that $z \leq e$.

We denote the topology on $X$ by $\tau_X$. On $X^*$ we determine a topology $\tau^*$ as follows. For any point $y \in X$ the bases of topologies $\tau^*$ and $\tau_X$ at the point $y$ coincide. For any $y \in X$ by $\mathcal{B}(y)$ we denote the base of topology $\tau_X$ at the point $y$. We put

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(a) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathcal{A}, a \neq b, a \in S, b \in X\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(b), U(a)) \in \mathcal{A}, a \neq b, b \in I, a \in X\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \mathcal{I}(x) \cup \mathcal{I}(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \ldots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{I}(x), n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$ 

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)-(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathcal{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^*}$ and hence $\{\mathcal{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^*}$ is a base of a topology $\tau^*$ at the point $y \in X^*$. Since the set $I$ has not an inf, for finite many pairs $(U(b_1), U(a_1)), \ldots, (U(b_n), U(a_n)) \in \mathcal{I}(x)$ we have that the set $U(b_1) \cap \ldots \cap U(b_n) \cap I$ is infinite. Similarly, since the set $S$ does not have a sup, for finite many pairs $(U(a_1), U(c_1)), \ldots, (U(a_k), U(c_k)) \in \mathcal{I}(x)$ we have that the set $U(a_1) \cap \ldots \cap U(a_k) \cap S$ is infinite. Therefore $x$ is a non-isolated point of the topological space $(X^*, \tau^*)$.

Further we shall show that $(X^*, \tau^*, \leq)$ is a topological pospace. We consider three cases:

1) $y \in \uparrow I$;
2) $y \in \downarrow S$; and
3) $y \in X \setminus (\uparrow I \cup \downarrow S)$.

If $y \in \uparrow I$ we have $x \leq y$, and since the set $I$ does not have an inf, there exist $a, b \in I$ such that $x \leq b < a \leq y$. Let $(U(b), U(a)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $U_b(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$ and $U(y) = U(a)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points $x$ and $y$ respectively such that

$$U_b(x) = \downarrow U_b(x), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_b(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$ 

If $y \in \downarrow S$ then we have $y \leq x$, and since the set $S$ does not have a sup, there exist $a, b \in S$ such that $y \leq b < a \leq y$. Let $(U(a), U(b)) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $U_a(x) = \{x\} \cup U(a)$ and $U(y) = U(b)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points $x$ and $y$ respectively such that

$$U_a(x) = \uparrow U_a(x), \quad U(y) = \downarrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_a(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$ 

Suppose $y \in X \setminus (\uparrow I \cup \downarrow S)$. Then $x \neq y$. In the case $\downarrow y \cap L = \emptyset$ we put $s = \min L$, and since $s \neq y$, there exist open neighbourhoods $U(s)$ and $U(y)$ of the points $s$ and $y$ respectively
such that $(U(s), U(y)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $U_s(x) = \{x\} \cup U(s)$ and $U(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points $x$ and $y$ respectively such that

$$U_s(x) = \uparrow U_s(x), \quad U(y) = \downarrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_s(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$

If $\downarrow y \cap L \neq \emptyset$ then since the chain $L$ has $\downarrow$-m-property, we put $t = \max(\downarrow y \cap L)$. Then since the set $S$ does not have a sup, there exists $m \in S \setminus \downarrow y$ such that $t \leq m$. Obviously, $m \not\leq y$. Then there exist open neighbourhoods $U(m)$ and $U(y)$ of the points $m$ and $y$ respectively such that $(U(m), U(y)) \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $U_m(x) = \{x\} \cup U(m)$ and $U(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points $x$ and $y$ respectively such that

$$U_m(x) = \uparrow U_m(x), \quad U(y) = \downarrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_m(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$

Suppose $y \not\leq x$. Then by the statements dual to the previous statements we get that there exist open neighbourhoods $U(x)$ and $U(y)$ of the points $x$ and $y$ respectively such that

$$U(x) = \downarrow U(x), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U(x) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore we get that $(X^*, \tau^*, \leq)$ is a topological pospace and $X$ is a dense subspace of $(X^*, \tau^*, \leq)$. This contradicts the assumption that $X$ is an $H$-closed pospace.

Suppose that the statement II) holds, i.e., that there exists an open neighbourhood $O(x)$ of $x = \inf A$ such that $O(x) \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $X$ is a topological pospace, for every $t \in L \setminus (A \cup \{x\})$ there exists an open decreasing neighbourhood $U(t)$ such that $x \not\leq U(t)$, and hence $A$ is a closed subset of a topological space $X$.

Let $a \not\in X$. We put $X^+ = X \cup \{a\}$ and extend the partial order $\leq$ from $X$ onto $X^+$ as follows:

1) $a \leq a$;
2) $a \leq b$ for each $b \in A$;
3) $a \leq z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e \in A$ such that $e \leq z$;
4) $b \leq a$ for each $b \in L \setminus A$; and
5) $z \leq a$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e \in L \setminus A$ such that $z \leq e$.

By Lemma 1 [15] we define the family

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(U(t), U(b)) \mid b \not\leq t, \ t \in A, \ b \in X\}$$

as follows: $U(t)$ and $U(b)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points $t$ and $b$ respectively such that

$$U(t) = \downarrow U(t), \quad U(b) = \uparrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(t) \cap U(b) = \emptyset.$$

Since $X$ is a regularly ordered $CC_\tau$- and $CC_d$-space, we determine the family

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(V_t(A), V(t)) \mid t \not\in X \setminus \uparrow A\}$$

as follows: $V_t(A)$ and $V(t)$ are open neighbourhoods of the set $A$ and the point $t$ respectively such that

$$V_t(A) = \uparrow V_t(A), \quad V(t) = \downarrow V(t), \quad \text{and} \quad V_t(A) \cap V(t) = \emptyset.$$

We determine the topology $\tau^+$ on $X^+$ as follows. Let $\tau_X$ be the topology on $X$. For any point $y \in X$ the base of topologies $\tau^+$ and $\tau_X$ at the point $y$ coincide. For every $y \in X$ by $\mathcal{B}(x)$ we denote a base of the topology $\tau_X$ at the point $y$. We put

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \{(a) \cup U(t) \mid (U(t), U(b)) \in \mathcal{A}, \ b \not\leq t, \ t \in A, \ b \in X\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \{(a) \cup V(A) \mid (V(A), V(t)) \in \mathcal{V}, \ t \in X \setminus \uparrow A\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x) \cup \mathcal{V}(x),$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{P}(x), \ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)-(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathcal{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^+}$ and hence $\{\mathcal{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^+}$ is a base of a topology $\tau^+$ at the point $y \in X^+$. Since for finitely many pairs $(U(t_1), U(b_1)), \ldots, (U(t_k), U(b_k)) \in \mathcal{A}$ the intersection $U(t_1) \cap \ldots \cap (U(t_k) \cap A$ is an infinite set, $a$ is a non-isolated point of the topological pospace $(X^+, \tau^+)$. Further we shall show that $(X^+, \tau^+, \leq)$ is a topological pospace. We consider three cases:
1) \( y \in \uparrow A \);
2) \( y \in \downarrow (L \setminus A) \);
3) \( y \in X \setminus (\uparrow A \cup \downarrow (L \setminus A)) \).

If \( y \in \uparrow A \) then we have \( a \leq y \), and since the set \( A \) does not contain \( \text{min} \), there exist \( c, d \in A \) such that \( a < c < d \leq y \). Let \( (U(c), U(d)) \in \mathcal{A} \). Then \( U_c(a) = \{ a \} \cup U(c) \) and \( U(y) = U(d) \) are open neighbourhoods of the point \( a \) and \( y \) respectively such that
\[
U_c(a) = \downarrow U_c(a), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_c(a) \cap U(y) = \emptyset.
\]

If \( y \in \downarrow (L \setminus A) \) then we have \( y \leq x < a \). Let \( (V_x(A), V(x)) \in \mathcal{V} \). Then \( V_x(a) = \{ a \} \cup V_x(A) \) and \( V(y) = V(x) \) are open neighbourhoods of the points \( a \) and \( y \) respectively such that
\[
V_x(a) = \uparrow V_x(a), \quad V(y) = \downarrow V(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V_x(a) \cap V(y) = \emptyset.
\]

Suppose \( y \in X \setminus (\uparrow A \cup \downarrow (L \setminus A)) \). Then \( a \nleq y \). Let \( (V_y(A), V(y)) \in \mathcal{V} \). Then \( V_y(a) = \{ a \} \cup V_y(A) \) and \( V(y) \) are open neighbourhoods of the points \( a \) and \( y \) respectively such that
\[
V_y(a) = \uparrow V_y(a), \quad V(y) = \downarrow V(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V_y(a) \cap V(y) = \emptyset.
\]

Suppose \( y \notin a \). In the case \( \uparrow y \cap A = \emptyset \) since by Corollary 2.6 \( \max A \) exists, we put \( s = \max A \). Then \( y \nleq s \) and hence there exists \( (U(s), U(y)) \in \mathcal{A} \). Then \( U_s(a) = \{ a \} \cup U(s) \) and \( U(y) \) are open neighbourhoods of the points \( a \) and \( y \) respectively such that
\[
U_s(a) = \downarrow U_s(a), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_s(a) \cap V(y) = \emptyset.
\]

If \( \uparrow y \cap A \neq \emptyset \) then since the chain \( L \) has \( \downarrow \) \( m \)-property, we put \( t = \min(\uparrow y \cap L) \). Then since the set \( A \) does not contain a \( \text{min} \), there exists \( m \in A \setminus \uparrow y \) such that \( m \leq t \). Obviously \( y \nleq m \), and hence there exists \( (U(m), U(y)) \in \mathcal{A} \). Then \( U_m(a) = \{ a \} \cup U(m) \) and \( U(y) \) are open neighbourhoods of the points \( a \) and \( y \) respectively such that
\[
U_m(a) = \downarrow U_m(a), \quad U(y) = \uparrow U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_m(a) \cap V(y) = \emptyset.
\]

Therefore we get that \((X^\dagger, \tau^\dagger, \leq)\) is a topological pospace and \( X \) is a dense subspace of \((X^\dagger, \tau^\dagger, \leq)\). This contradicts the assumption that \( X \) is an \( H \)-closed pospace.

In case III) we get a similar contradiction to II).

The obtained contradictions imply the statement of the theorem. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.11.** We observe that the topological pospace \((T^\star, \preceq, \tau^\star)\) from Example 4.9 is not regularly ordered and is not a \( CC_i \)-space. Also the topological pospace \((T^\star, \preceq, \tau^\star)\) admits the continuous semilattice operation
\[
(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (\max\{x_1, x_2\}, \max\{y_1, y_2\}) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, y_1) \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot (x_1, y_1) = \alpha,
\]
for \( x_1, x_2 \in X \) and \( y_1, y_2 \in Y \). Therefore a maximal chain of an \( H \)-closed topological semilattice is not necessarily to be an \( H \)-closed topological semilattice.
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