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Abstract

Let \( F \) be a field of characteristic 0, \( G \) an additive subgroup of \( F \), \( \alpha \in F \) satisfying \( \alpha \notin G, 2\alpha \in G \). We define a class of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras which are called generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras and use \( gsv[G, \alpha] \) to denote the one corresponding to \( G \) and \( \alpha \). In this paper the automorphism group and irreducibility of Verma modules for \( gsv[G, \alpha] \) are completely determined.
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1. Introduction

The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra \( sv \) is defined to be a Lie algebra with \( F \)-basis \( \{ L_n, M_n, Y_{n+1/2} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) subject to the following Lie brackets:

\[
[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, [L_m, M_n] = nM_{n+m}, [L_m, Y_{n+1/2}] = (n + \frac{1 - m}{2})Y_{m+n+1/2},
\]

\[
[M_m, M_n] = 0, [Y_{m+1/2}, Y_{n+1/2}] = (n - m)M_{m+n+1}, [M_m, Y_{n+1/2}] = 0.
\]

It is easy to see that \( sv \) is a semi-direct product of the Witt algebra \( \mathfrak{Vir}_0 = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}L_n \) and the two-step nilpotent infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus \mathbb{C}M_n \bigoplus \mathbb{C}Y_{n+1/2} \). This infinite-dimensional Lie algebra was originally introduced in [3] by looking at the invariance of the free Schrödinger equation in (1+1)dimensions \( (2M\partial_t - \partial_x^2)\psi = 0 \).

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10671160).
The structure and representation theory of $\mathfrak{sv}$ have been studied by C. Roger and J. Unterberger in [11]. The irreducible weight modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces over $\mathfrak{sv}$ are classified in [7].

In order to investigate vertex representations of $\mathfrak{sv}$, J. Unterberger (see [14]) introduced a class of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ called the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, which can be viewed as an extension of $\mathfrak{sv}$ by a conformal current with conformal weight 1. The extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is a vector space spanned by a basis \{ $L_n, M_n, N_n, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ | $n \in \mathbb{Z}$\} with the following commutation relations:

\[
[L_m, L_n] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, [M_m, M_n] = 0, [N_m, N_n] = 0, [N_m, M_n] = 2M_{m+n},
\]
\[
[Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n - m)M_{m+n+1}, [L_m, M_n] = nM_{n+m}, [L_m, N_n] = nN_{n+m},
\]
\[
[L_m, N_n] = (n + \frac{1-m}{2})Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, [M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = 0.
\]

For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The structure of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ has been studied in [2].

Recently, a number of new classes of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras over a field of characteristic 0 were discovered by several authors (see [6] [8] [12] [13]). Among those algebras, are the generalized Witt algebras, the generalized Virasoro algebras, the Lie algebras of generalized Weyl type and the generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 0, $G$ an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\alpha \notin G, 2\alpha \in G$. Motivated by the above algebras, we introduce a new class of Lie algebras which are called the generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras which include the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sv}$ as a special case. We use $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$ to denote the one corresponding to $G$ and $\alpha$. In this paper we mainly study the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])$ and the irreducibility of Verma modules over the generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$. The necessary and sufficient conditions of isomorphism between two of these algebras are determined. In section 3, we determine the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$. In section 4, a Verma module $V(c, h)$ over the generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$ is defined and its irreducibility is completely determined.

Throughout the article, we denote $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of integers, $\mathbb{N}$ the set of non-negative integers.

2. Generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 0, $G$ an additive proper subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $\alpha \notin G$ while $2\alpha \in G$. We set $G_1 = \alpha + G$ and $T = G \cup G_1$. It is obvious that $T$ is an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$. In this section we want to make a natural
generalization of Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{sv}$, this leads us to the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** The generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ is defined to be the Lie algebra with $\mathbb{F}$ basis $\{L_u, M_u, Y_u+\alpha \mid u \in G\}$ subject to the following Lie brackets:

$$[L_u, L_v] = (v-u)L_{u+v}, [L_u, M_v] = vM_{u+v}, [L_u, Y_v+\alpha] = (v+\alpha - \frac{u}{2})Y_{u+v+\alpha},$$

$$[M_u, M_v] = 0, [Y_{u+\alpha}, Y_v+\alpha] = (v-u)M_{u+v+2\alpha}, [M_u, Y_v+\alpha] = 0.$$

It is straightforward to see that $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ is $T$-graded:

$$\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha] = \bigoplus_{x \in T} \mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]_x,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]_x = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}L_x \oplus \mathbb{F}M_x, & x \in G, \\ \mathbb{F}Y_x, & x \in G_1. \end{cases}$$

The homogenous spaces are the root spaces according to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]_0$.

One can see that if $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, then the generalized Schrödinger Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ is nothing but the Schrödinger Virasoro algebra $\mathfrak{sv}$ defined by Henkle in [3].

Denote $L = \bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{F}L_u, M = \bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{F}M_u, Y = \bigoplus_{v \in G} \mathbb{F}Y_{\alpha+v}, I = M \oplus Y$. Obviously, $L$ is the centerless generalized Virasoro algebra (see [12]). $M$ and $I$ are ideals of $L$.

**Lemma 2.2.** $I$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$.

**Proof.** It is obvious that $I$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$. Moreover, $I$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ since $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]/I$ is a simple generalized Witt algebra.

Now suppose $I_1$ is another maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$, we need to prove $I_1 = I$. For all $z \in I_1, z \neq 0$, suppose $z = l + x + y$, where $l \in L, x \in M, y \in Y$. Assume $l \neq 0$. It is obvious that $0 \neq adM_v(z) \in M \cap I_1$ for any $0 \neq v \in G$ by using the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$. Suppose $z_0 = adM_v(z) = a_1M_{u_1} + a_2M_{u_2} + \cdots + a_nM_{u_n}$.

It is well known that the submodules of weight module are also weight modules, thus all homogeneous components of $z_0$ are contained in $I_1$, hence we can find some element $0 \neq u \in G$ such that $M_u \in I_1$. Thus $M \subseteq I_1$ due to the fact $[L_v, M_u] = uM_{u+v} \in I_1$ for any $v \in G$. Then

$$0 \neq z' = l + y \in I_1.$$
If \( y = 0 \), \( z' = l \in I_1 \), this implies that \( I_1 = \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \), which is a contradiction.

Suppose \( y \neq 0 \). Since \( [Y_{\alpha, v}, l + y] \subseteq (M + Y) \cap I_1 \) for any \( v \in G \) and \( M \subseteq I_1 \), we claim that there exists some \( 0 \neq y' \in I_1 \cap Y \). Then all homogeneous components of \( y' \) are contained in \( I_1 \). This implies that \( Y \subseteq I_1, l \in I_1 \), and therefore \( L \subseteq I_1 \).

Therefore \( I_1 = \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \), which is a contradiction. Thus \( l = 0, z = m + y \). So \( I_1 \subseteq M + Y = I \). By the maximality of \( I \) and \( I_1 \), we have \( I_1 = I \).

Let \( G' \) be another additive proper subgroup of \( \mathbb{F} \), \( \alpha' \in \mathbb{F} \), such that \( \alpha' \notin G' \), \( 2\alpha' \in G' \). Denote \( G'_1 = \alpha' + G' \), \( T' = G' \cup G'_1 \).

Correspond to \( G \) and \( G' \), there are two generalized Virasoro algebras: \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \) and \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \). About \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \) and \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \), one fact was pointed out in [12] that the following Lemma can be obtained by using Theorem 4.2 in [1]. However, it can be proved straightforward.

**Lemma 2.3.**[12] \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \cong \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \) if and only if there exists \( a \in \mathbb{F}^* \) such that \( aG = G' \).

**Proof.** Since \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \cong \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{Vir}[G]/C \cong \mathfrak{Vir}[G']/C' \), where \( C \) and \( C' \) are the center of \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \) and \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \) respectively, we view \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \) and \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \) as the generalized Witt algebras. Let \( \theta : \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \rightarrow \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \) be an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Since \( F\mathcal{L}_0 \) and \( F\mathcal{L}'_0 \) are the unique Cartan subalgebras of \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G] \) and \( \mathfrak{Vir}[G'] \) respectively, there exists \( a \in \mathbb{F}^* \) such that \( \theta(L_0) = aL'_0 \). By using \( \theta \) to \( [L_0, L_x] = xL_x \), we have \( x\theta(L_x) = [\theta(L_0), \theta(L_x)] = a[L'_0, \theta(L_x)] \), so

\[
[L_0, \theta(L_x)] = a^{-1}x\theta(L_x).
\]

Thus \( a^{-1}x \in G' \) since \( G' \) is the weight set according to the unique Cartan algebra \( F\mathcal{L}'_0 \). Hence \( a^{-1}G \subseteq G' \). Similarly, by using \( \theta^{-1} \) to \( [L'_0, L'_x] \), we get \( aG' \subseteq G \). So, \( aG' = G \).

The sufficiency is obvious. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

**Theorem 2.4.** \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \) and \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G', \alpha'] \) are isomorphic if and only if there exists \( a \in \mathbb{F}^* \) such that \( G' = aG, T' = aT \).

**Proof.** Let \( \theta : \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \rightarrow \mathfrak{gsv}[G', \alpha'] \) be an isomorphism of Lie algebras, \( I, I' \) be the maximal ideals of \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \) and \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G', \alpha'] \) respectively. By Lemma 2.2, we have \( \theta(I) = I' \), thus there is an isomorphism of the generalized Witt algebras induced by \( \theta \):

\[
\overline{\theta} : \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]/I \rightarrow \mathfrak{gsv}[G', \alpha']/I'.
\]

By applying Lemma 2.3, there exists \( a \in \mathbb{F}^* \) such that

\[
G' = aG,
\]

and \( \overline{\theta}(L_u) = \chi(u)a^{-1}L'_{au} \), for some \( \chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{F}^*) \). So we can assume

\[
\theta(L_u) = \chi(u)a^{-1}L'_{au} + m'^u + y'^uL.
\]
Suppose \( \theta(Y_{\alpha+v}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{v_i} Y'_{\alpha'+v_i} + m' Y \). By using \( \theta \) to both sides of the identity 
\((\alpha + v)Y_{\alpha+v} = [L_0, Y_{\alpha+v}]\), we have 
\[(\alpha + v)\theta(Y_{\alpha+v}) = [(\theta(L_0), \theta(Y_{\alpha+v})] \]
\[= [a^{-1} L'_0 + m'_0, \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{v_i} Y'_{\alpha'+v_i} + m' Y] \]
\[= [a^{-1} L'_0 + y'_0, \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{v_i} Y'_{\alpha'+v_i} + m' Y] \]
\[= a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} c'_{v_i} [L'_0, Y'_{\alpha'+v_i}] + m' \]
\[= a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\alpha' + v'_i) Y'_{\alpha'+v_i} + m' \]
for some \( m' \in M' \). Then we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{s} c'_{v_i} (\alpha' + v'_i) Y'_{\alpha'+v_i} + m' = (\alpha + v)(\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{v_i} Y'_{\alpha'+v_i} + m' Y).
\]

By comparing the coefficients, we get

\[
v'_i = -\alpha' + a(\alpha + v), \quad \forall \ i \in \{1, \cdots, s\}.
\]

Hence \( s = 1 \) and

\[
\theta(Y_{\alpha+v}) = c'_{v} Y'_{a(\alpha+v)} + m' Y,
\]

where \( c'_{v} = c_{-\alpha'+a(\alpha+v)} \).

As we know that \( \theta(I) = I' \), \( M \) and \( M' \) are the centers of \( I \) and \( I' \) respectively, so there exists an induced isomorphism

\[
\bar{\theta} : \ Y = I/M \rightarrow I'/M' = \bar{Y}' : \]
\[\bar{Y}_{\alpha+v} \mapsto c'_{v} \bar{Y}'_{a(\alpha+v)}.
\]

Thus we have the following isomorphisms of vector spaces:

\[
Y \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}} \bar{Y}' \xrightarrow{\pi^{-1}} Y'
\]

where \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) are the canonical homomorphisms of vector spaces. Thus

\[
\pi^{-1} \bar{\theta} \pi : \bigoplus_{v \in G} Y_{\alpha+v} = Y \rightarrow Y' = \bigoplus_{v' \in G'} Y'_{\alpha'+v'} : 
\]
\[Y_{\alpha+v} \mapsto c'_{v} Y'_{a(\alpha+v)}.
\]
is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Thus $\alpha' + G' = a(\alpha + G)$. This and (1) give us

$$G' = aG, T' = aT.$$ 

On the other hand, if $G' = aG, T' = aT$, we define a map

$$\theta : gsv[G, \alpha] \rightarrow gsv[G', \alpha'] : L_u \mapsto a^{-1}L'_{au}, M_u \mapsto a^{-1}M'_{au}, Y_{\alpha+u} \mapsto a^{-1}Y'_{a(\alpha+u)}.$$ 

It is straightforward to check that $\theta$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. □

**(Corollary 2.5)** The map:

$$\theta : sv = gsv[Z, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow gsv[G, \alpha] :$$

\[
\begin{align*}
L_i & \mapsto (2\alpha)^{-1}L_{2ai}, \\
M_i & \mapsto (2\alpha)^{-1}M_{2ai}, \\
Y_{i+\frac{1}{2}} & \mapsto (2\alpha)^{-1}Y_{2ai+\alpha}
\end{align*}
\]

extends uniquely to a Lie algebra isomorphism between $sv = gsv[Z, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $gsv[2Z, \alpha]$.

**(Lemma 2.6)** Let $G, \alpha, G', \alpha'$ be as in Theorem 2.4, $\theta : gsv[G, \alpha] \rightarrow gsv[G', \alpha']$ be a Lie algebra isomorphism. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta(L_u) &= \chi(u)a^{-1}L'_{au} + m'_{u}L + y'_{u}, \\
\theta(M_u) &= b_uM'_{au}, \\
\theta(Y_{\alpha+u}) &= c_vY_{a(\alpha+u)} + m'_{v}Y.
\end{align*}
\]

for some $b_u, c_v \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $m'_u, y'_u, m'_L, y'_L \in M', y'_a \in Y'$ and $\chi \in Hom(G, \mathbb{F}^*)$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $aG = G', aT = T'$.

**Proof.** The first and the third identities are given in the proof of Theorem 2.4 (i.e., identities (2) and (3) ), we only need to prove the second formula. Since $\mathbb{F}M_0$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}M'_0$) is the center of $gsv[G, \alpha]$ (resp. $gsv[G', \alpha']$), we have

$$\theta(M_0) = b_0M'_0.$$ 

for some $b_0 \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Recall that $\theta(I) = I'$, $\theta(M) = M'$, for $u \neq 0$, suppose

$$\theta(M_u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ui}M'_{ui} + b_0M'_0,$$
where $u_i \neq 0, b_{u_i} \neq 0$. Then we have

$$u \theta(M_u) = \theta([L_0, M_u]) = [a^{-1}L_0 + m_0' + y_0', \sum_{i=1}^n b_{u_i}'M_{u_i}' + b_0'M_0']$$

$$= [a^{-1}L_0', \sum_{i=1}^n b_{u_i}'M_{u_i}'] = a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_{u_i}'[L_0', M_{u_i}']$$

$$= a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_{u_i}'u_i'M_{u_i}'. $$

So we have

$$u(\sum_{i=1}^n b_{u_i}'M_{u_i}'+b_0'M_0') = a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n b_{u_i}'u_i'M_{u_i}'. $$

Thus $b_0' = 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n (ub_{u_i}' - a^{-1}b_{u_i}u_i')M_{u_i}' = 0$. Hence $u_i = au_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, and $n = 1$. This gives

$$\theta(M_u) = b_uM_{au}, \forall u \in G.$$

\[\square\]

3. Automorphism group of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$

In this section, we first construct three kinds of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$ which are not inner, then determine the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$ completely. Throughout this section we always assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is an algebraic closed field with characteristic 0.

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) For any $\chi \in Hom(T, \mathbb{F}^*)$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}^*$, the map

$$\sigma_b^\chi : \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \rightarrow \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] :$$

$$L_u \mapsto \chi(u)L_u,$$

$$M_u \mapsto b\chi(u)M_u,$$

$$Y_{\alpha+u} \mapsto b^2\chi(\alpha + u)Y_{\alpha+u}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$. Furthermore, the set $\{\sigma_b^\chi | \chi \in Hom(T, \mathbb{F}^*), b \in \mathbb{F}^*\}$ forms a subgroup of $Aut(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])$ and this subgroup is isomorphic to $(Hom(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*)$, where $\sigma_b^{\chi_1} \sigma_b^{\chi_2} = \sigma_b^{\chi_1 + \chi_2}$ for $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in Hom(T, \mathbb{F}^*)$.

(ii) For any $a \in S(G, T) := \{a \in \mathbb{F}^*|aG = G, aT = T\}$, the map

$$\varphi_a : \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \rightarrow \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] :$$

$$L_u \mapsto a^{-1}L_{au},$$

$$M_u \mapsto a^{-1}M_{au},$$

$$Y_{\alpha+u} \mapsto a^{-1}Y_{\alpha+u}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]$. Furthermore, the set $\{\varphi_a | a \in S(G, T)\}$ forms a subgroup of $Aut(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])$ and this subgroup is isomorphic to $S(G, T)$.
is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha)$, and $\{\varphi_a | a \in S(G, T)\}$ forms a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha))$, where $\varphi_a \varphi_b = \varphi_{ab}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

(iii) Denote
\[ A := \{ a = (a_u)_{u \in G} | (v - u)a_{u+v} = va_{v} - ua_{u}, a_{-u} = -a_u, \forall u, v \in G \}. \]

The map $\phi_a : \mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha) \to \mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha)$:
\[ L_u \mapsto L_u + a_u M_u, \quad M_u \mapsto M_u, \quad Y_{\alpha+v} \mapsto Y_{\alpha+v} \]
is an automorphism. $\Phi = \{ \phi_a | a \in A \}$ forms a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha))$, where $\phi_a \phi_b = \phi_{a+b}$ for $a, b \in A$.

**Proof.** The proof is straightforward, we omit the details. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha))$ be the inner automorphism subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha))$. Then we have
\[ \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha)) \cong (\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*) \times S(G, T) \times \Phi) \times \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha)). \]

**Proof.** For any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gs}(G, \alpha))$, by Lemma 2.6, we can assume
\[ \theta(L_u) = \chi_1(u)a^{-1}L_{au} + m_L^L + y^L_u, \]
\[ \theta(M_u) = \chi'(u)a^{-1}M_{au}, \]
\[ \theta(Y_{\alpha+v}) = \chi'(\alpha + v)a^{-1}Y_{a(\alpha+v)} + m_Y^v, \]
where $\chi_1 \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{F}^*)$, $\chi' : T \to \mathbb{F}^*$ is a map, $a \in S(G, T)$, $m_L^L, m_Y^v \in M$, $y^L_u \in Y$.

By applying $\theta$ to both sides of the identities:
\[ [L_{-u}, M_u] = uM_0, \]
\[ [L_u, Y_{\alpha+v}] = (\alpha + v - \frac{u}{2})Y_{\alpha+v+u}, \]
and
\[ [Y_{\alpha+u}, Y_{\alpha+v}] = (v - u)M_{u+v+2\alpha}, \]
we obtain
\[ \chi'(u) = b\chi_1(u), \quad \forall u \in G, \]
where $b = \chi'(0) \in \mathbb{F}^*$,
\[ \chi_1(u)\chi'(\alpha + v) = \chi'(u + v + \alpha), \]
and
\[ \chi'(\alpha + u)\chi'(\alpha + v) = \chi'(u + v + 2\alpha). \]
for $u, v \in G$. 8
Write
\[
\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 
\chi_1(x), & \text{if } x \in G, \\
\frac{1}{b-2} \chi' (x), & \text{if } x \in G_1 = \alpha + G,
\end{cases}
\]
and by using (4), (5) and (6), one can easily see that \( \chi \in \text{Hom}(T, F^\ast) \). By Lemma 3.1 (i),
\[
\sigma \chi : gsv[G, \alpha] \to gsv[G, \alpha] : \\
L_u \mapsto \chi(u) L_u, \\
M_u \mapsto b \chi(u) M_u, \\
Y_{\alpha+v} \mapsto b \frac{1}{2} \chi(\alpha + v) Y_{\alpha+v}
\]
is an automorphism of \( gsv[G, \alpha] \).
For \((\sigma \chi)^{-1}\theta \in Aut(gsv[G, \alpha])\), it is obvious that
\[
(\sigma \chi)^{-1} \theta : gsv[G, \alpha] \to gsv[G, \alpha] : \\
L_u \mapsto a^{-1} L_u + m_{1u} + y_{1u}, \\
M_u \mapsto a^{-1} M_u, \\
Y_{\alpha+v} \mapsto a^{-1} Y_{a(\alpha+v)} + m_{1v},
\]
where \( m_{1u}, m_{1v} \in M, y_{1u} \in Y \).
Set
\[
\phi_a : gsv[G, \alpha] \to gsv[G, \alpha] : \\
L_u \mapsto a^{-1} L_u, \\
M_u \mapsto a^{-1} M_u, \\
Y_{\alpha+v} \mapsto a^{-1} Y_{a(\alpha+v)}.
\]
By Lemma 3.1 (ii), \( \phi_a \in Aut(gsv[G, \alpha]) \). Set \( \tau = (\varphi_a)^{-1}(\sigma \chi)^{-1}\theta \), then \( \tau \in Aut(gsv[G, \alpha]) \).
More precisely
\[
\tau(L_u) = L_u + m_{2u} + y_{2u}, \tau(M_u) = M_u, \tau(Y_{\alpha+v}) = Y_{\alpha+v} + m_{2v},
\]
where \( m_{2u}, m_{2v} \in M, y_{2u} \in Y \).

**Claim.** \( \tau = (\varphi_a)^{-1}(\sigma \chi)^{-1}\theta \in Inn(gsv[G, \alpha]) \cdot \Phi \).

In fact, assume
\[
\tau(L_0) = L_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p a_{ui} M_{ui} + \sum_{j=1}^q b_{vj} Y_{\alpha+vj} + b_0 Y_{\alpha}, \quad \tau(Y_{\alpha}) = Y_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^r c_{wk} M_{wk}.
\]
Applying \( \tau \) to \([L_0, Y_{\alpha}] = a Y_{\alpha} \), we have
\[
\sum_{k=1}^r c_{wk} w_k M_{wk} - \sum_{j=1}^q b_{vj} v_j M_{20+vj} = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^r c_{wk} M_{wk},
\]
Noting that \( v_j \neq 0, \alpha \neq w_k \), we have
\[
q = r, \quad v_k = w_k - 2\alpha, \quad b_v = \frac{c_{w_k} (w_k - \alpha)}{w_k - 2\alpha}.
\]

These gives us
\[
\tau(L_0) = L_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{u_i} M_{u_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{w_k} (w_k - \alpha) Y_{w_k - \alpha} + b_0 Y_{\alpha}.
\]  
(8)

Now we construct an inner automorphism \( \tau' \) for \( gsv[G, \alpha] \), which is equal to \( \tau \) when acting on \( L_0 \) and \( Y_{\alpha} \). Indeed, we set
\[
\tau' = \expad\left( \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq r} \frac{c_{w_k} c_{w_j} (\alpha - w_j) (w_j - w_k)}{2(w_k - 2\alpha)(w_j - 2\alpha)(w_j + w_k - 2\alpha)} M_{w_j + w_k - 2\alpha} \right.
\]
\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{b_0 c_{w_k} (2\alpha - w_k)}{2\alpha w_k} M_{w_k})
\]
\[
\expad\left( -\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{u_i} M_{u_i} \right) \expad\left( \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{w_k}}{2\alpha - w_k} Y_{w_k - \alpha} - \frac{b_0}{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \right),
\]

Then one can check that the inner automorphism \( \tau' \) satisfying
\[
\tau'(L_0) = \tau(L_0), \quad \tau'(Y_{\alpha}) = \tau(Y_{\alpha}).
\]

For any \( u \in G \), we apply \( \tau' \) to \( [L_0, L_u] = uL_u \). For the right side, we have
\[
uu' = u\tau(L_u) + \tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u)).
\]

For the left side, we have
\[
[\tau'(L_0), \tau'(L_u)] = [\tau(L_0), \tau'(L_u)] = [\tau(L_0), \tau(L_u) + (\tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u))] = u\tau(L_u) + [\tau(L_0), \tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u)].
\]

Thus
\[
[\tau(L_0), \tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u)] = uu' \tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u)).
\]  
(9)

Now we prove the following identity.
\[
\tau'(L_u) = \tau(L_u) + e_u M_u.
\]  
(10)

for some \( e_u \in \mathbb{F} \).

Indeed, By using (7) and the definition of \( \tau' \), one can see that
\[
\tau'(M_u) = \tau(M_u) = M_u.
\]  
(11)
So \([\tau'(L_u), M_v] = v M_{u+v}\). Thus \(\tau'(L_u) = L_u + m_1 + y_1\) for some \(m_1 \in M, y_1 \in Y\). By using (7) again, we have \(\tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u) \in M \oplus Y\). Assuming

\[
\tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{v_k} M_{v_k} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{w_l} Y_{\alpha+w_l}.
\]

By using this along with identities (8) and (9), we have

\[
[L_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{u_i} M_{u_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_{v_j} Y_{\alpha+v_j} + b_0 Y_{\alpha}, \sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{v_k} M_{v_k} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{w_l} Y_{\alpha+w_l}] \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{v_k} v_k M_{v_k} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{w_l} (\alpha + w_l) Y_{\alpha+w_l} \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{v_j} d_{w_l} (w_l - v_j) M_{2\alpha+w_l+v_j} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_0 d_{w_l} w_l M_{2\alpha+w_l} \\
= u(\sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{v_k} M_{v_k} + \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{w_l} Y_{\alpha+w_l}).
\]

By comparing the coefficients of \(Y_{\alpha+w_l}\) we have \(\sum_{l=1}^{s} u d_{w_l} = \sum_{l=1}^{s} d_{w_l} (\alpha + w_l)\). This means \(d_{w_l} = 0\) for any \(l \in \{1, \ldots, s\}\) since \(u \neq \alpha + w_l\). Thus the we get

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{v_k} v_k M_{v_k} = u(\sum_{k=1}^{r} e_{v_k} M_{v_k}).
\]

Furthermore, \(r = 1\), \(u = v_1\). Thus \(\tau'(L_u) - \tau(L_u) = e_u M_u\). This proves (10).

For any \(v \in G, v \neq 2\alpha\), by (10), we have

\[
\tau'(Y_{\alpha+v}) = (\alpha - \frac{v}{2})^{-1} \tau'[L_v, Y_{\alpha}] = (\alpha - \frac{v}{2})^{-1} [\tau(L_v) + e_v M_v, \tau(Y_{\alpha})] = \tau(Y_{\alpha+v}).
\]

For \(v = 2\alpha\), we have

\[
\tau'(Y_{3\alpha}) = (-3\alpha)^{-1} \tau'(L_{4\alpha}), \tau'(Y_{-\alpha})] = (-3\alpha)^{-1} [\tau(L_{4\alpha}) + b_{4\alpha} M_{4\alpha}, \tau(Y_{-\alpha})] = \tau(Y_{3\alpha}).
\]

In all cases we get

\[
\tau'(Y_{\alpha+v}) = \tau(Y_{\alpha+v}), \forall v \in G.
\]

Define

\[
\phi : gsv[G, \alpha] \to gsv[G, \alpha] : L_u \mapsto L_u + e_u M_u, M_u \mapsto M_u, Y_{\alpha+v} \mapsto Y_{\alpha+v}.
\]

By (10), (11) and (12), we have \(\tau' = \tau \phi\). Thus \(\tau = \tau' \phi^{-1} \in Inn(gsv[G, \alpha]) \cdot \Phi\). Which completes the proof of the Claim.
By the claim, we have
\[ \theta = \sigma H_\alpha \tau \phi^{-1} \in (\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*) \cdot S(G, T) \cdot \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \cdot \Phi. \]

Since \( \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \) is a normal subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \), thus \( \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \cdot \Phi = \Phi \cdot \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \). One can check straightforward that the following two facts hold:
\[ (\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*) \triangleleft (\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*) \cdot S(G, T), \]
and
\[ \Phi \triangleleft (\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*) \cdot S(G, T) \cdot \Phi. \]

Thus
\[ \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \simeq ((\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{F}^*) \times \mathbb{F}^*) \times S(G, T)) \times \Phi) \times \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]). \]

This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.2. \( \square \)

4. Verma modules of \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \)

In this section we construct and investigate the structure of Verma modules over the generalized Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \).

Note that \( T = G \cup G_1 \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{F} \), we fix a total order \( \geq \) on \( T \) which is compatible with the addition, i.e., \( x \geq y \) implies \( x + z \geq y + z \) for any \( z \in T \) (see \([4],[9])\). We write \( x \succ y \) if \( x \geq y \) and \( x \neq y \). Let
\[ T_+ := \{ x \in T | x > 0 \}, \quad T_- := \{ x \in T | x < 0 \}. \]

Then \( T = T_+ \cup \{ 0 \} \cup T_- \) and \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \) has a triangular decomposition:
\[ \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] = \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_- \oplus \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_0 \oplus \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_+, \]
where
\[ \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_- = \bigoplus_{u < 0} \mathbb{F} L_u \oplus \bigoplus_{u < 0} \mathbb{F} M_u \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha + v < 0} \mathbb{F} Y_{\alpha + v}, \]
\[ \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_+ = \bigoplus_{u > 0} \mathbb{F} L_u \oplus \bigoplus_{u > 0} \mathbb{F} M_u \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha + v > 0} \mathbb{F} Y_{\alpha + v} \]
and \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_0 = \mathbb{F} L_0 \oplus \mathbb{F} M_0 \). The universal enveloping algebra of \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha] \) is given by
\[ U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) = U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_-) \cdot U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])_0 \cdot U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_+). \]

The elements \( L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_r} M_{j_1} \cdots M_{j_s} Y_{\alpha + k_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha + k_t} \), where \( r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, i_1 \geq \cdots \geq i_r, j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_s, k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_t \), along with 1, form a basis of \( U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]) \).

Let \( c, h \in \mathbb{F} \), \( V_h \) be a 1-dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{F} \) spanned by \( v_h \), i.e., \( V_h = \mathbb{F} v_h \). View \( V_h \) as a \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_0 \)-module such that \( L_0 v_h = hv_h, M_0 v_h = cv_h \). Then \( V_h \) is a \( \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_+ \oplus \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_0 \)-module by setting \( \mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha]_+ V_h = 0. \)
**Definition 4.1.** The induced module $V(c,h) = \text{Ind}_{gsv}^{\mathfrak{gsv}(G,\alpha)} V_h = U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]) \otimes U(\mathfrak{gsv})$ $V_h$ is called the Verma module of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ with highest weight $(c,h)$.

Let $U := U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha])$. For any $c,h \in \mathbb{F}$, let $I(c,h)$ be the left ideal of $U$ generated by the elements

$$\{ L_u, M_u, Y_{a+v} | u \in G_+, \alpha + v \in G_1+ \} \cup \{ L_0 - h, M_0 - c \},$$

where $G_+ = G \cap T_+, G_1+ = G_1 \cap T_+$. Then the Verma module with highest weight $(c,h)$ for $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ also can be defined as $V(c,h) := U/I(c,h)$.

By definition, we can easily get a basis of $V(c,h)$ consisting of all vectors of the form:

$$v_h, L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{\alpha-k_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha-k_t} v_h,$$

where

$$0 < i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r, 0 < j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s, \alpha < k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_t; r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

**Remark.** One can see that $M_0$ acts as a scalar $c$ on $V(c,h)$ since $\mathbb{F} M_0$ is the center of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$. Next, we call a vector $v \in V(c,h)$ a weight vector with weight $\mu$ means $v$ satisfying $L_0 v = \mu v$.

**Lemma 4.2.** $V(c,h)$ is a weight module of $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$, and $V(c,h) = \oplus_{\mu \in h-T} V_\mu$, where $V_\mu = \text{span} \{ L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{\alpha-k_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha-k_t} v_h | \sum_{p=1}^r i_p - \sum_{p=1}^s j_p - \sum_{p=1}^t (\alpha - k_p) = h - \mu \}$ is the weight vector space with weight $\mu$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $L_0$ acts diagonally on the basis elements of $V(c,h)$. By the definition of $v_h$, $L_0 v_h = hv_h$. Suppose $u \in V(c,h)$ such that $L_0 u = au$. Then

$$L_0(L_{-i} u) = (a-i)L_{-i} u, L_0(M_{-j} u) = (a-j)M_{-j} u, L_0(Y_{\alpha-k} u) = (a+\alpha-k)Y_{\alpha-k} u.$$  

Thus Lemma 4.2 holds. \qed

We know from [4] that for the fixed total order " $\geq$ " of $T$, either " $\geq$ " is dense, i.e., $\forall x \in T_+$, the cardinality of $\{ y \in T | 0 < y < x \}$ is infinite, or " $\geq$ " is discrete, i.e., there exists $a \in T$ such that the set $\{ y \in T | 0 < y < a \}$ is empty.

For the generalized Virasoro algebra $Vir[G]$ studied in [4], the irreducibility of Verma module over $Vir[G]$ is depends on whether the total order of $G$ is dense or discrete (see Theorem 3.1 in [4]). With respect to Verma modules over generalized Witt algebras studied in [8], the irreducibility depends on the action of $L_0$ on the highest weight vector (see Theorem 3 in [9]). It is very interesting that the irreducibility of Verma modules over $\mathfrak{gsv}[G,\alpha]$ depends on neither the action of $L_0$ nor whether the total order is dense or discrete, we point out that the irreducibility just depends on the action of the element $M_0$.  
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For $x \in V(c, h)$, we set
\[
x = \sum_{i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r, j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s, k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_t}
a_{i,j,k} L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{a-k_1} \cdots Y_{a-k_t} v_h.
\]
where $a_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{F}$, $i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$, $j = (j_1, \ldots, j_s)$, $k = (\alpha - k_1, \ldots, \alpha - k_t)$, and only finitely many $a_{i,j,k} \neq 0$. We define
\[
A_x := \{i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) | a_{i,j,k} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j, k\}, l = \max\{r | i = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in A_x\},
\]
where $l = 0$ if $A_x = \emptyset$. We also define $l$ to be the length of the element $x$, and denote it by $\text{len}(x)$, i.e., $l = \text{len}(x)$.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we set
\[
V_r := \text{span}_\mathbb{F} \{x | \text{len}(x) \leq r\}.
\]
In what follows, we assume $V_r = 0$ if $r \leq -1$. One can check the following two lemmas by straightforward and easy computations.

**Lemma 4.3.** (i)
\[
M_j L_{-i_1} L_{-i_2} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{a-k_1} \cdots Y_{a-k_t} v_h = -j \sum_{1 \leq p \leq r} L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_p} L_{-i_{p+1}} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_{p-1}} M_{-j_{p+1}} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{a-k_1} \cdots Y_{a-k_t} v_h (modV_{r-2}),
\]
for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$; $j \in G_+; 0 < i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r; 0 < j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s; 0 < k_1 - \alpha \leq \cdots \leq k_t - \alpha$, where \`\' means the corresponding element is deleted.

(ii)
\[
L_j M_{-i_1} M_{-i_2} \cdots M_{-i_r} v_h = (-i_p) M_{-i_1} \cdots M_{-i_p} M_{-i_{p+1}} \cdots M_{-i_r} M_{-j-i_p} v_h,
\]
for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$; $j, i_1, \ldots, i_r \in G_+$. In particularly,
\[
L_j M_{-i_1} M_{-i_2} \cdots M_{-i_r} v_h = 0, \forall j \succ \max\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}.
\]

**Lemma 4.4.** (i)$Y_{-a+j} Y_{a-k_1} Y_{a-k_2} \cdots Y_{a-k_t} v_h = 0, \forall j \succ k_t$, where $\alpha < k_t \leq \cdots \leq k_t$.

(ii)If $M_0 v_h = 0$, then $Y_{-a+j} Y_{a-k_1} Y_{a-k_2} \cdots Y_{a-k_t} v_h = 0, \forall j \geq k_t$, where $\alpha < k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_t$.

**Corollary 4.5.** $M_j V_r \subseteq V_{r-1}$, for any $j \in G_+$.

**Proof.** It follows Lemma 4.3 (i) immediately. \qed

**Theorem 4.6.** (i) The Verma module $V(c, h)$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}sv[G, \alpha]$ module if $c \neq 0$. 
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Proof. (i) Suppose $c \neq 0$. Let $u_0 \neq 0$ be any given weight vector in $V(c, h)$. By Lemma 4.2 and the fact that a submodule of a weight module is a weight module, we need only to prove that $v_h \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}(G, \alpha))[u_0]$.

Claim I. There exists a weight vector $u \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}(G, \alpha))[u_0]$ such that

$$u = \sum_{j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s: k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_l} a_{\jmath, \k} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{-k_1 + \alpha} \cdots Y_{-k_l + \alpha} v_h,$$

where $a_{\jmath, \k} \in \mathbb{F}$ and only finitely many $a_{\jmath, \k} \neq 0$, $\jmath = (j_1, \cdots, j_s), \k = (k_1, \cdots, k_l)$.

In fact, suppose

$$u_0 = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s, \quad \alpha \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_l} a_{\jmath, \k} L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{-k_1} \cdots Y_{-k_l} v_h \quad (\text{mod} V_{l-1}),$$

$$\equiv \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r, \quad 0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s, \quad \alpha \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_l} a_{\jmath, \k} L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{-k_1} \cdots Y_{-k_l} v_h \quad (\text{mod} V_{l-1}),$$

where $l = \text{len}(u_0), \jmath = (i_1, \cdots, i_r), \k, \jmath, \k$ as above, $a_{\jmath, \k} \in \mathbb{F}$.

If $l = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Now suppose $\text{len}(u_0) = l \geq 1$, we denote

$$i_l^{(0)} := \max\{i_l | (i_1, \cdots, i_l) \in A_{u_0}\},$$

where $A_{u_0} := \{i | \jmath = (i_1, \cdots, i_l), a_{\jmath, \k} \neq 0 \text{ for some } \jmath, \k\}$, then by using Lemma 4.3 (i) and Corollary 4.4 we can deduce that

$$u_1 = M_{i_l^{(0)}} u_0 = \sum a_{\jmath(1), \k} M_{i_l^{(0)}} L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{-k_1} \cdots Y_{-k_l} v_h \quad (\text{mod} V_{l-2}).$$

it is clear that $u_1 \neq 0$ and $\text{len}(u_1) = l - 1$.

Repeating the process and define $u_s$ recursively for $s = 2, \cdots, l$, one obtains the claim.

Claim II. There exists an weight vector $w \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}(G, \alpha))[u_0]$ such that $w$ takes the following form

$$w = \sum_{s \geq 0, j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_s: j_1, \cdots, j_s \in G_+} a_{\jmath} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} v_h.$$
In fact, by Claim I, we know that there is a weight vector \( u \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])u_0 \) such that

\[
u = \sum_{s,t \geq 0, j_1 \preceq \cdots \preceq j_s} a_{j_1 \cdots j_s} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{-k_1+\alpha \cdots -k_t+\alpha} v_h.
\]

Set

\[
B := \{ \underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_t) | a_{j_1 \cdots j_s} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \}, k(0) = \max \{ k_t | \underline{k} \in B \}.
\]

Then by Lemma 4.4, we have

\[
w_1 = Y_{-(\alpha-k(0))} u = \sum a_{j_1 \cdots j_s} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} Y_{-k_1 \cdots -k_t} (M_0 v_h).
\]

Noting that \( w_1 \neq 0 \) and \( w_1 \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])u_0 \) is a weight vector. One repeats the process to get Claim II.

From Claim II we know that there exists a weight vector \( w \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])u_0 \) such that it has the following form

\[
w = \sum_{s \geq 0, 0 < j_1 \preceq \cdots \preceq j_s} a_{j_1 \cdots j_s} M_{-j_1} \cdots M_{-j_s} v_h.
\]

We define

\[
\text{length}(w) = \max \{ s | j = (j_1, \cdots, j_s), a_{j_1 \cdots j_s} \neq 0 \}.
\]

If \( \text{length}(w) = 0 \), then \( v_h \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])u_0 \) and (i) holds. Now suppose \( \text{length}(w) > 0 \). Denote \( j^{(0)} = \max \{ j_s | j = (j_1, \cdots, j_s), a_{j_1 \cdots j_s} \neq 0 \} \). By applying \( L_{j^{(0)}} \) to \( w \) and using Lemma 4.3 (ii), we have

\[
0 \neq w_1 = L_{j^{(0)}} w = \sum a_{j_1 \cdots j_s}^{(1)} M_{-j_1^{(1)}} \cdots M_{-j_s^{(1)}} M_0 v_h.
\]

It is clear that

\[
\text{length}(w_1) < \text{length}(w).
\]

Repeating the process, we obtain

\[
0 \neq w_s = a M_0 v_h = ac v_h \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])u_0
\]

for some \( 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \). So \( v_h \in U(\mathfrak{gsv}[G, \alpha])u_0 \) and \( V(c, h) \) is irreducible.

(ii) If \( c = 0, h = 0 \), by the definition of \( N(0, 0) \), one knows that all the basis elements of \( V(0, 0) \) except \( v_h \) are clearly in \( N(0, 0) \). It suffices to show that \( v_h \notin N(0, 0) \). For any weight vector \( v \in N(0, 0) \), suppose the weight of \( v \) is \( \mu \), and
for any basis element $L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_r} M_{j_1} \cdots M_{j_s} Y_{\alpha+k_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha+k_t}$ of $U(\mathfrak{gs\ell}[G, \alpha])$ such that
\[ \sum_{p=1}^r i_p + \sum_{p=1}^s j_p + \sum_{p=1}^t (\alpha+k_p) = -\mu, \]
we have
\[ L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_r} M_{j_1} \cdots M_{j_s} Y_{\alpha+k_1} \cdots Y_{\alpha+k_t} v = aL_0 v_h + bM_0 v_h = 0, \]
for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. This implies that $v_h \notin N(0,0)$.

If $c = 0, h \neq 0$, similarly as above, we can see that $U(L_-) \notin N(0, h)$, where $L_- = \bigoplus_{u<0} \mathbb{F} L_u$. This means that $N(0, h)$ is a proper submodule of $V(0, h)$. Suppose $V$ is any submodule of $V(0, h)$ such that $V \supseteq N(0, h)$, then there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in G_+, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} v_h \in V$. If $r = 0$, then $v_h \in V$ and $V = V(0, h)$. Suppose $r \geq 1$. We denote $i = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_r$, then
\[ L_i L_{-i_1} \cdots L_{-i_r} v_h = (-1)^r (i + i_1)(i - i_1 + i_2) \cdots (i - i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_{r-1} + i_r)hv_h \in V. \]
Since $(-1)^r (i + i_1)(i - i_1 + i_2) \cdots (i - i_1 - i_2 - \cdots - i_{r-1} + i_r)h \neq 0$ we have $v_h \in V$ and $V = V(0, h)$. So $N(0, h)$ is the unique maximal proper submodule of $V(0, h)$.

**Remark.** $V(0, 0)/N(0, 0) \simeq \mathbb{F}$ is a trivial module of $\mathfrak{gs\ell}[G, \alpha]$. $V(0, h)/N(0, h) \simeq U(L_-)$ as vector space.
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