KREPS-YAN THEOREM FOR BANACH IDEAL SPACES

DMITRY B. ROKHLIN

Abstract. Let $C$ be a closed convex cone in a Banach ideal space $X$ on a measurable space with a $\sigma$-finite measure. We prove that conditions $C \cap X_+ = \{0\}$ and $C \supset -X_+$ imply the existence of a strictly positive continuous functional on $X$, whose restriction to $C$ is non-positive.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ be a measurable space, which is complete with respect to a measure (that is, a countably-additive function) $\mu : \mathcal{F} \mapsto [0, \infty]$. Consider the vector space $L^0(\mu) = L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ of classes of $\mu$-equivalent a.s. finite $\mathcal{F}$-measurable functions. This space is a vector lattice (Riesz space) with respect to the natural order structure, induced by the cone $L^0_+(\mu)$ of non-negative elements [1], [2]. Let $X$ be a solid subspace (ideal) in $L^0(\mu)$, that is, $X$ is a linear subset of $L^0(\mu)$ and conditions $x \in X$, $|y| \leq |x|$ imply that $y \in X$. Assume that there is a norm on $X$, satisfying the condition $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$, if $|x| \leq |y|$, $x, y \in X$ (monotone norm) and $X$ is complete with respect to this norm. As is known, in this case $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ is called a Banach ideal space on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ [1], [3].

Let $X$ be a Banach ideal space with the non-negative cone $X_+ = \{x \in X : x \geq 0\}$. An element $g$ of the topological dual space $X'$ is called strictly positive if $\langle x, g \rangle := g(x) > 0$, $x \in X_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Slightly modifying the terminology of [4], we say that $X$ has the Kreps-Yan property, if for any closed convex cone $C \subset X$, satisfying the conditions

$$C \cap X_+ = \{0\}, \quad -X_+ \subset C,$$

there exists a strictly positive element $g \in X'$ such that $\langle x, g \rangle \leq 0$, $x \in C$.

This property can be considered also in a more general setting of a locally convex space with a cone. We refer to [4] for the results in this direction as well as for further references and comments, concerning the papers of Kreps [5] and Yan [6].

It is said that a topological space $(X, \tau)$ has the Lindelöf property, if every open cover of $X$ has a countable subcover. If the weak topology $\sigma(X, X')$ of a Banach space $X$ has the Lindelöf property (for brevity, $X$ is weakly Lindelöf) and the set of strictly positive functionals $g \in X'$ is non-empty, then $X$ has the Kreps-Yan property [7, Theorem 1.1]. A space $X$ is weakly Lindelöf if any of the following conditions hold true: (a) $X$ is reflexive, (b) $X$ is separable, (c) $X$ is weakly compactly generated (see [8]).

Also, it is known that the space $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ of $\mathcal{F}$-measurable essentially bounded functions (where $P$ is a probability measure) has the Kreps-Yan property. This was established in [7, Theorem 2.1] (and, independently, in [9]). Note
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that the space $l^\infty$ of bounded sequences is not weakly Lindelöf (see [10, Example 4.1(i)]). As long as $l^\infty$ can be considered as a Banach ideal space on the probability space $(\mathbb{N}, 2^\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}(n) = 1/2^n$, the Kreps-Yan property is strictly weaker than the Lindelöf property of the weak topology.

On the other hand, as Example 2.1 of [4] shows, the space $l^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ does not possess the Kreps-Yan property, although the set of strictly positive functionals on $l^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is non-empty. Note that $l^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a Banach ideal space on $(\mathbb{R}^+, 2^\mathbb{R}^+, \nu)$, where $\nu$ is the counting measure on $\mathbb{R}^+$. This measure is decomposable in the sense of the definition of Exercise 1.12.131 in [11], but is not $\sigma$-finite.

The mentioned example of [4] underlines the accuracy of our main result, which is formulated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** A Banach ideal space $X$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ has the Kreps-Yan property.

It is natural to call this result the Kreps-Yan theorem for Banach ideal spaces.

Roughly speaking, the strategy of the proof follows the paper [7], where the argumentation was adapted to $L^\infty$. At first, we prove the existence of a strictly positive element $f \in X'$, which is bounded from above on the set $\{x \in C : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ (Lemma 1). Then we establish the existence of an element $g \in X'$, $g \geq f$, whose restriction on $C$ is non-positive (Lemma 3). Here and in what follows, by $x^+$, $x^-$ we denote the positive and negative parts of an element $x$ of a vector lattice.

First of all, note that it is sufficient to consider the case of probability measure $\mu$. Actually, by the definition of $\sigma$-finiteness there exists a disjoint sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$, forming the partition of $\Omega$ and such that $\mu(A_n) < \infty$. The probability measure

$$P(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(A \cap A_n)}{2^n \mu(A_n)}, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}$$

is equivalent to $\mu$, that is, it has the same collection of negligible sets. Clearly, any Banach ideal space $X$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ can be regarded as a Banach ideal space on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

Denote by $Ex = \int x dP$ the expectation with respect to the probability measure $P$. Any Banach ideal space is a subset of the complete metric space $(L^0(\mathcal{P}), d)$, where

$$d(x, y) = E \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|}.$$  

Convergence in the topology, induced by this metric, coincides with the convergence in probability and boundedness coincides with the boundedness in probability. Recall that the set $H \subset L^0(\mathcal{P})$ is said to be bounded in probability if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ such that $P(|x| \geq M) < \varepsilon$, $x \in H$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $X$ be a Banach ideal space on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and let $C \subset X$ be a convex cone, which is closed in the norm topology of $X$ and satisfies conditions...
(1). Then there exists an equivalent to \( P \) probability measure \( Q \) such that
\[
\sup_{x \in C_1} E_Q x < \infty, \quad C_1 = \{ x \in C : \|x\| \leq 1 \}
\]
and \( f = dQ/dP \in L^\infty(P) \).

**Proof.** Consider the convex set
\[
G = \text{conv } C_1 = \left\{ y = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i : x_i \in C_1, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}
\]
and put
\[
G^1 = \{ y \in L^1_+(P) : y \leq x \text{ for some } x \in G \},
\]
where \( L^1(P) \) is the Banach lattice of \( P \)-integrable functions. It is sufficient to prove that for any \( A \in \mathcal{F} \) with \( P(A) > 0 \) there exists some \( c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that
\[
cI_A \notin G^1 - L^1_+(P),
\]
where the overline denotes closure in \( L^1(P) \). Indeed, by Yan's theorem ([6, Theorem 2]) this implies the existence of an element \( f \in L^\infty(P) \) with \( P(f > 0) = 1 \) such that \( \sup_{y \in G^1} E(yf) < \infty \). Note that \( y_n = x \wedge n \in G^1 \) for any \( x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N} \).

By the monotone convergence theorem it follows that
\[
\sup_{x \in C_1} E_Q x \leq \sup_{x \in G} E_Q x = \sup_{y \in G^1} E(yf) < \infty,
\]
where \( dQ/dP = f \).

To prove (2) assume the converse. Suppose that \( A \in \mathcal{F}, P(A) > 0 \) and \( cI_A \notin G^1 - L^1_+(P) \) for all \( c > 0 \). Then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( z \in G \) such that
\[
P(A \cap \{ z < 1/\varepsilon \}) < \varepsilon. \quad (3)
\]
Actually, put \( c > 1/\varepsilon \), assume that the sequence \( y_n \in G^1 - L^1_+(P) \) converges to \( cI_A \) with probability 1 and \( y_n \leq z_n, z_n \in G \). Then
\[
P \left( \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=n}^\infty (A \cap \{ z_m < 1/\varepsilon \}) \right) \leq P \left( \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{m=n}^\infty (A \cap \{ y_m < 1/\varepsilon \}) \right) = 0.
\]
Consequently,
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} P(A \cap \{ z_n < 1/\varepsilon \}) \leq P \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty (A \cap \{ z_m < 1/\varepsilon \}) \right) = 0.
\]

An element \( z \in G \), satisfying (3), admits the representation
\[
z = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i^- = x + y, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1,
\]
where \( x \in C_1, y \in X_+, \|y\| \leq 2 \). Using the inequality
\[
P(B_1 \cap B_2) = P(B_1) + P(B_2) - P(B_1 \cup B_2) \geq P(B_1) - P(\Omega \setminus B_2),
\]
we get
\[
P \left( A \cap \left\{ x < \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right\} \right) - P \left( y \geq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right) \leq P \left( A \cap \left\{ x < \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right\} \cap \left\{ y \geq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right\} \right) \leq P(A \cap \{ z < 1/\varepsilon \}) < \varepsilon. \quad (4)
\]
As is known, the embedding of the Banach space $X$ in the metric space $L^0(P)$ is continuous (see [1, chap. 5, §3, Theorem 1]). Hence, the unit ball of $X$ is bounded in probability and for any $\beta > 0$ there exists a sequence $M_n \uparrow \infty$ such that 
\[ P(y \geq M_n) \leq \frac{\beta}{2^{n+1}} \quad y \in X_+, \|y\| \leq 2. \]
Put 
\[ \varepsilon_n = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{\beta}{2^n} \right\}. \]
Inequality (4) shows that there exists a sequence $z_n \in \text{conv} |C_1|$ of the form 
\[ z_n = x_n + y_n, \quad x_n \in C_1, \quad y_n \in X_+, \|y_n\| \leq 2 \]
where 
\[ P \left( A \cap \left\{ x_n < \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \right\} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} + P \left( y_n \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \right) \leq \frac{\beta}{2^{n+1}} + P(y_n \geq M_n) \leq \frac{\beta}{2^n}. \]

Let 
\[ B_n = \{ \omega \in A : \varepsilon_n x_n \geq 1 \}, \quad B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n. \]
Then $P(A \setminus B_n) \leq \beta/2^n$ and 
\[ P(A) = P(B) + P(A \setminus B) \leq P(B) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A \setminus B_n) \leq P(B) + \beta. \]
Choosing $\beta$ as any number in $(0, P(A))$ we conclude that $P(B) > 0$.

Consider the sequence $v_n = I_B - \varepsilon_n x_n^- \in L^0(P)$. The inequality 
\[ |v_n| = I_B + \varepsilon_n x_n^- \leq \varepsilon_n x_n^+ + \varepsilon_n x_n^- = \varepsilon_n |x_n| \]
implies that $v_n$ is an element of $X$. Moreover, 
\[ \varepsilon_n x_n - v_n = \varepsilon_n x_n^+ - I_B \geq 0. \]
Thus, $v_n \in C - X_+ = C$. From the closedness of the cone $C$ and the inequality 
\[ \|v_n - I_B\| = \varepsilon_n \|x_n^-\| \leq \varepsilon_n \]
it follows that $I_B \in C$. But this is impossible by virtue of (1).

The obtained contradiction means that for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $P(A) > 0$ there exists a number $c > 0$ such that condition (2) is satisfied. As we mentioned above, this implies the assertion of Lemma 1. \( \square \)

It should be mentioned that in the course of the proof of Lemma 1 we took into account some ideas of [12], namely the notion of a hereditary unbounded set and the correspondent decomposition result ([12], Lemma 2.3).

In the next two lemmas we consider general Banach lattices [1, chap.10], [2, chap.9], which need not be ideal spaces. Denote by $U, U'$ the unit balls of Banach spaces $X, X'$ and put $U'_+ = U' \cap X'_+$, where $X'_+ = \{ f \in X' : \langle x, f \rangle \geq 0, x \in X_+ \}$ is the non-negative cone of $X'$. Recall that the set $H^0 = \{ f \in X' : \langle x, f \rangle \leq 1, x \in H \}$ is called a (one-sided) polar of $H \subset X$. The polar $W^0 \subset X$ of a set $W \subset X'$ is defined similarly.
Lemma 2. For any element $x$ of a Banach lattice $X$ we have
$$\|x^+\| = \sup\{\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\}.$$  

Proof. Evidently,
$$\sup\{\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} = \sup\{\langle x^+, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} \leq \|x^+\|.$$  

Let us prove the converse inequality. If $\sup\{\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} = 0$, then $x \in (X'_+)^o = -X_+$. Hence, $\|x^+\| = 0$. Assuming
$$\sup\{\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} = \alpha > 0$$
we shall check that $\|x^+\| \leq \alpha$. Let
$$\langle u - v, g \rangle \leq 1 \quad u, v \in X_+.$$  

Putting $u = 0$, we conclude that $g \in X'_+$. Together with inequality (5) this implies
$$\|g\| = \sup\{|\langle w, g \rangle| : w \in U\} \leq \sup\{\langle w^+, g \rangle + \langle w^-, g \rangle : w \in U\} = \sup\{|\langle w, g \rangle| : w \in U\} \leq \sup\{\langle u, g \rangle : u \in U\} \leq 1,$$
that is, $g \in X'_+ \cap U' = U'_+$. Conversely if $g \in U'_+$, then inequality (5) is satisfied. Thus,
$$U'_+ = (U - X_+)^o$$
and, by the bipolar theorem [2, Theorem 5.103], we have $(U'_+)^o = U - X_+$, where the overline denotes the closure with respect to the norm of $X$.

Furthermore, since $x/\alpha \in (U'_+)^o$, there exists a sequence $(y_n - z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $y_n \in U$, $z_n \in X_+$, converging to $x/\alpha$ in norm. This yields that
$$\|x^+/\alpha\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|y_n^+\| \leq 1. \quad \Box$$

Lemma 3. Let $X$ be a Banach lattice and let $C$ be a closed convex cone in $X$ with $C \cap X_+ = \{0\}$. If there exists an element $f \in X'$ such that
$$\sup_{x \in C_1} \langle x, f \rangle < \infty, \quad C_1 = \{x \in C : \|x^-\| \leq 1\},$$
then there exists $g \in X'$ satisfying the conditions $f \leq g$, $g \in C^o$.

Proof. Put $\lambda = \sup_{x \in C_1} \langle x, f \rangle$. If the assertion of lemma is false, then
$$(f + \lambda U'_+) \cap C^o = \emptyset.$$  

By applying the separation theorem to the $\sigma(X', X)$-compact set $f + \lambda U'_+$ and $\sigma(X', X)$-closed set $C^o$, we conclude that there exists $x \in X$ such that
$$\sup_{\eta \in C^o} \langle x, \eta \rangle < \inf\{\langle x, \zeta \rangle : \zeta \in f + \lambda U'_+\}.$$  

Since $C$ is a cone, it follows that $\langle x, \eta \rangle \leq 0$, $\eta \in C^o$ and $x \in C^{oo} = C$ by the bipolar theorem. Moreover,
$$\langle x, f \rangle + \lambda \inf\{\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} > 0.$$  

Note that
$$\inf\{\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} = -\sup\{-\langle x, h \rangle : h \in U'_+\} = -\|(-x)^+\| = -\|x^-\|$$  

by Lemma 2.
By virtue of $0 \neq x \in C$ we have $x \not\in X_+$ and $\|x^-\| > 0$. From (6), (7) it follows that
$$\langle x/\|x^-\|, f \rangle > \lambda$$
in contradiction to the definition of $\lambda$ since $x/\|x^-\| \in C_1$. □

For $X = L^\infty(P)$ Lemma 3 was proved in [7, Lemma 2.5]. We also mention that this statement holds true for a non-closed cone $C$ as well. The correspondent result in the setting of locally convex-solid Riesz spaces was obtained in [13, Theorem 1].

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By virtue of Lemma 1 there exists a probability measure $Q$, equivalent to $P$, such that $C \subset L^1(Q)$. It follows that $X \subset L^1(Q)$ since $-X_+ \subset C$ (compare with [14, Theorem 7]). Moreover, by Lemma 1 the strictly positive functional $x \mapsto \langle x, f \rangle = E_Q x$, $f \in L^\infty(P)$, defined on $X$, is bounded from above on $C_1$. As is well-known, any positive functional on a Banach lattice is continuous [2, Theorem 9.6]. Therefore, $f \in X'$ and the desired element $g$ exists by Lemma 3.
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