A Possible Future Long Baseline N eutrino and N ucleon Decay Experiment with a 100 kton Liquid Argon TPC at O kinoshim a using the J-PARC N eutrino Facility

A Badertscher¹, T Hasegaw a², T Kobayashi², A M archionni¹, A M eregaglia¹, T M aruyam a^{2;3}, K N ishikaw a², and A R ubbia¹ (1) ETH Zurich, (2) KEK IPNS, (3) University of T sukuba

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the physics perform ance of a single far detector com posed of a 100 kton next generation Liquid Argon T in e Projection Chamber (LAr TPC) possibly located at shallow depth, coupled to the J-PARC neutrino beam facility with a realistic 1.66 M W operation of the M ain R ing. The new far detector could be located in the region of O kinoshim a islands (baseline L 658 km). O ur emphasis is based on the measurement of the $_{13}$ and $_{CP}$ parameters, possibly following indications for a non-vanishing $_{13}$ in T2K, and relies on the opportunity o ered by the LAr TPC to reconstruct the incoming neutrino energy with high precision compared to other large detector technologies. W e mention other possible baselines like for example J-PARC-K am ioka (baseline L 295 km), or J-PARC-E astern K orean coast (baseline L 1025 km). Such a detector would also further explore the existence of proton decays.

Now at IPHC, Universite Louis Pasteur, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.

1 Introduction

The Q uest for the O rigin of M atter D om inated U niverse" is a long standing puzzle of our physical world (see e.g. [1]). Answers to this question m ight come from further exploration of

the Lepton Sector CP V iolation by precise testing of the neutrino oscillation processes

- { measure precisely the $_{CP}$ and the mixing angle $_{13}$;
- { exam ine matter e ect in neutrino oscillation process and possibly conclude the mass hierarchy of neutrinos.

Proton Decay:

{ Search for p ! K^+ and p ! e 0 in the range 10^{34} 35 years

with assuming non-equilibrium environment in the evolution of universe.

The prim ary motivation of the T2K experiment [2] is to improve the sensitivity to the ! $_{\rm e}$ conversion phenomenon in the atmospheric regime by about an order of magnitude in the mixing angle $\sin^2 2_{13}$ compared to the CHOOZ experimental limit [3]. The discovery of a non-vanishing $_{13}$ angle would ascertain the 3 3 nature of the lepton avor mixing matrix. The measurements in T2K might indicate that the $_{13}$ angle is in a region where the simultaneous determination of neutrino mass hierarchy and the CP violating phase becomes possible.

The J-PARC accelerator complex which includes the 180 M eV LINAC, the 3 G eV Rapid C ycling Synchrotron (RCS) and the 30-50 G eV M ain R ing Synchrotron (MR) is planned to be commissioned in 2008. The J-PARC neutrino beam facility, under construction for the T2K experiment, is foreseen to begin operation in 2009. The nalgoal for the T2K experiment is to accumulate an integrated proton power on target of 0:75 MW 5 10^7 seconds. W ithin a few years of run, critical information, which will guide the future direction of the neutrino physics, will be obtained based on the data corresponding to about 1 2 MW 10^7 seconds integrated proton power on target (roughly corresponding to a 3 discovery at $\sin^2 2_{13} > 0.05$ and 0.03, respectively) [4].

It is well docum ented in the literature (see e.g. Refs. [5, 6, 7]) that the most challenging task for next generation long baseline experiments is to unfold the unknown oscillation parameters $\sin^2 2_{13}$, $_{CP}$ and mass hierarchy, sgn (m $_{31}^2$), and from the measurement of the energy dependence of the oscillation signal to resolve the so-called problem s of \correlations" and \degeneracies".

The most important experimental aspects here are the beam prole (e.g. the ability to cover with su cient statistics the 1 st maximum of the oscillation, the 1^{st} minimum, and the 2^{nd} maximum), the visible energy resolution of the detector, with which the neutrino energy

W e callT2K the approved Tokai-To-K am joka experim ent using Super-K am jokande as far detector. New investigations or perform ance upgrades beyond this approved phase will presum ably have a di erent nam e.

can be reconstructed, and the spectrum of the m isidenti ed background (e.g. 0 spectrum, etc.).

O ne possible approach, advocated in the literature [8, 9], is to locate a very massive coarse W ater Cerenkov detector at very long baselines (L 2500 km) from a new multi-G eV neutrino source and to detect m ore than one oscillation maximum. In this kind of con guration, although the oscillation peaks are well separated in energy, an important issue is the ability to subtract the backgrounds, in particular that com ing from m isidenti ed neutral current events with leading ⁰'s which introduce new sources of system atic errors. In order to alleviate this problem, a di erent approach assuming two sim ilar farW ater Cerenkov detectors, one located at K am ioka (L 295 km) and the other in K orea (L 1000 km) at the same OA 2.5 from the J-PARC neutrino beam, was discussed in Ref. [10]. The two detectors would see the same sub-G eV neutrino beam (in fact the same as in T 2K) but the di erent baselines would allow to study E =L regions corresponding to the 1st and 2nd oscillation maxima.

The approach of our paper is di erent. We consider the physics perform ance of a single 100 kton next generation liquid Argon T in e Projection Chamber (LArTPC) located in the region of O kinoshim a islands corresponding to a (relatively modest) baseline L 658 km. A detector in this location will automatically see the J-PARC neutrino beam at an o-axis angle of 0.8. As we show below, this con guration allows to study both 1st and 2nd oscillation maximum peaks with good statistics. We rely on the realistic opportunity o ered by the increase of intensity of the J-PARC MR and on the higher precision of the LArTPC than other large detectors to separate the two peaks. In addition, the ⁰ background is expected to be highly suppressed thanks to the ne granularity of the readout, hence the main irreducible background will be the intrinsic _e component of the beam.

At this stage of our investigations, the emphasis is placed on the measurement of the $_{13}$ and $_{CP}$ parameters, possibly following indications for a non-vanishing $_{13}$ in T2K.We also compare the possibility to determ ine the $\sin^2 2$ $_{13}-_{CP}$ parameters with a single detector conguration at other possible baselines like for example the T2K (Tokai-Kamioka, OA2.5, L 295 km) or the Tokai-Eastern K orean coast (L 1025 km) with an o -axis OA1.0 [11, 12]. M ore complete physics perform ance studies will be presented elsewhere.

2 A possible next step beyond T 2K

If a signi cant _e signal were to be observed at T2K, an immediate step forward to a next generation experiment aimed at the discovery of CP-violation in the lepton sector would be recommended with high priority. We have conducted a case study for the discovery of lepton sector CP violation based on the J-PARC MR power improvement scenario. Naturally, next generation far neutrino detectors for lepton sector CP violation discovery will be very massive and large. As a consequence, the same detector will give us the rare and important opportunity to discover proton decay. Thus, we also discuss the proton decay discovery

potential with a huge underground detector.

Compared with the T2K experimental conditions, lepton sector CP violation discovery requires

an improved neutrino beam condition (intensity increase, broader energy spectrum, possibly re-optimization of the focusing optics, ...);

an improved far neutrino detector (by improvements we primarily mean increased signal reconstruction e ciency, better background separation, better energy resolution, ..., and not only its volume).

D etector in provem ents include

detector technology; its volum e;

its baseline and o -axis angle with respect to the neutrino source.

As for the neutrino beam intensity in provement, a realistic rst step power in provement scenario at J-PARC A coelerator C on plex has been recently analyzed and proposed (LINAC energy is recovered to be 400 M eV, h=1 operation at RCS and 1.92 seconds repetition cycle operation at MR). As for the detector technology, we assume a 100 kton Liquid Argon T in e Projection C hamber for our case study.

The e ects of the CP phase $_{CP}$ appear either

as a di erence between and behaviors (this method is sensitive to the CP -odd term which vanishes for $_{CP} = 0$ or 180);

in the energy spectrum shape of the appearance oscillated $_{\rm e}$ charged current (CC) events (sensitive to all the non-vanishing $_{\rm CP}$ values including 180).

Since antineutrino beam conditions are known to be more di cult than those for neutrinos (lower beam ux due to leading charge e ect in proton collisions on target, sm all antineutrinos cross-section at low energy, etc.), we concentrate on the possibility to precisely measure the $_{\rm e}$ CC appearance energy spectrum shape with high resolution during a neutrino-only run.

3 The liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber

The liquid A rgon T in eProjection Chamber (LArTPC) (See Ref. [13] and references therein) is a powerful detector for uniform and high accuracy in aging of massive active volumes. It is based on the fact that in highly pure Argon, ionization tracks can be drifted over distances

of the order of m eters. In aging is provided by position-segmented electrodes at the end of the drift path, continuously recording the signals induced. T_0 is provided by the prompt scintillation light.

In this paper, we assume a LAr TPC detector with a mass of the order of 100 kton, for example of a kind based on the GLACIER concept [14]. Unlike other liquid Argon TPCs operated or planned which rely on immersed wire chambers to readout the ionization signals, a double phase operation with charge extraction and amplication in the vapor phase is considered here in order to allow for very long drift paths and for improved signal-to-noise ratio. We assume that successful application of such novel methods will be an important milestone in the R&D for very large LAr TPC detectors in the range of 100 kton. At this stage, a ton-scale prototype based on this scheme has been developed and is under test [15]. Challenges to realize liquid Argon TPC's with a scale relevant to this paper have been reviewed e.g. in Ref. [16].

W e refer to previous physics perform ance studies assuming such a detector con guration [12, 17] and recall that since Liquid Argon TPC has advantages on

good energy resolution/reconstruction,

good background suppression,

good signale ciency

it is suitable for a precision measurement of the neutrino energy spectrum to extract CP information. Thus we concentrate on the $_{\rm e}$ appearance energy spectrum shape measurement to extract leptonic CP phase information.

4 The choice of far location: Okinoshim a

The J-PARC neutrino beam line was designed and constructed in order to allow an o -axis angle with respect to Super-K am iokande (SK) between 2.5 and 3. A beam setup yielding an OA2.5 at SK was chosen for the T2K experiment. In this conguration, the center of the T2K neutrino beam will go through underground beneath SK, and will automatically reach the O kinoshim a island region with an o -axis angle 0.8 and eventually the sea level east of the K orean shore with an o -axis angle 1. Larger o -axis angles are obtained moving inland K orea (either north, south or west). Figure 1 shows these baseline options using the same beam conguration as the T2K experiment. Parameters for dierent baselines and beam axes are summarized in Figure 1 and Table 1.

The neutrino avor oscillation probability including atm ospheric, solar and interference term s, as well as m atter e ects, can expressed using the following equation [18, 19, 11]

$$P(_{e}!)$$
 $\sin^{2}2_{13}$ $T + \sin_{13}$ $(T + T_{3}) + {}^{2}$ T : (1)



Figure 1: Possible baseline candidates for 100 kton LArTPC detector, Kamioka (short-baseline), O kinoshim a (middle-baseline) and Korea (long-baseline).

where,

$$T_{1} = \sin^{2} {}_{23} \frac{\sin^{2} [(1 A)]}{(1 A)^{2}}$$

$$T_{2} = \sin_{CP} \sin_{212} \sin_{223} \sin \frac{\sin(A)}{A} \frac{\sin[(1 A)]}{(1 A)}$$

$$T_{3} = \cos_{CP} \sin_{212} \sin_{223} \cos \frac{\sin(A)}{A} \frac{\sin[(1 A)]}{(1 A)}$$

$$T_{4} = \cos^{2} {}_{23} \sin^{2} {}_{12} \frac{\sin^{2} (A)}{A^{2}}$$
(2)

where $\frac{m_{21}^2}{m_{31}^2}$, $\frac{m_{31}^2L}{4E}$, A $\frac{2^p \overline{2}_{G_F n_e E}}{m_{31}^2}$. $m_{31} = m_3^2$, m_1^2 , $m_{21} = m_2^2$, m_1^2 , m_{13} is the mixing angle of the 1st and 3rd generations, while m_{12} is that for 1st and 2nd, and m_{23} is

Same beam con guration as T2K experiment						
	K am ioka	0 kinoshima	K orea eastern shore			
Baseline	e 295 km 658 k		1000 km			
0 -axis angle	25	0.8	1.0			

Table 1: Parameters for baseline and axis with respect to beam of the candidates are summarized.

that for 2nd and 3rd generations.

The term which includes T_1 is the \atm ospheric term ", those including T_2 and T_3 are \interference term s", and the one that includes T_4 is the \solar term ". The \interference term s" are sensitive to the $_{CP}$ phase and play therefore an important role to extract the CP phase.

For de nite calculations, we use the following parameters (we assume that most of these parameters will be precisely measured within the timescale of the one discussed here):

23 is /4. 12 is 0.572904 rad. m²₂₁ is 8.2 10⁵ eV². jm²₃₁ jis 2.5 10³ eV².

Earth density for matter e ects are 2.8 g/cm³.

norm all hierarchy is assumed unless mentioned otherwise.

Figure 2 shows the oscillation probability as a function of the E (G eV)/L (km) (neglecting for the moment matter e ects). In the plot, the mixing angle $\sin^2 2_{13}$ was assumed to be 0.1. If the distance between source and detector is xed, the curves can be easily translated to that for the expected neutrino energy spectrum of the oscillated events. As can be seen, if the neutrino energy spectrum of the oscillated events could be reconstructed with su ciently good resolution in order to distinguish inst and second maximum, useful information to extract the CP phase would be available even only with a neutrino run.

W e note that the following observables depend on leptonic CP phase:

position/height of the rst oscillation maximum peak;

position/height of the second oscillation maximum peak.

The position of the rst oscillation m in im um is una ected by it (in this point all terms of the oscillation probability vanish except the solar term). To e ectively experimentally study these observables, we point out that:



Figure 2: Probability for ! $_{e}$ oscillations as a function of the E (GeV)/L (km) for $\sin^{2} 2_{13} = 0.1$ (for other oscillation parameters see text). B lack curve shows the case for the $_{CP} = 0$, red shows that for $_{CP} = 90$, blue shows that for $_{CP} = 270$, respectively.

the second oscillation maximum peak should end up at su ciently high energy in order to be measurable;

the beam should have a su ciently wide energy range to cover the 1st and 2nd m aximum;

the neutrino ux should be maximized in order to increase as much as possible the statistical signi cance of the rst and second maxima.

In order to cover a wider energy range, we accordingly favor a detector location which is near on-axis. If one assumes that the second oscillation maximum has to be located at an energy larger than about 400 M eV, the oscillation baseline should be longer than about 600 km. In addition, in order to collect enough statistics, we choose a baseline which is not too much longer than above stated.

Taking into account all of the above mentioned considerations, we privilege the Oki-

noshim a region: placing a detector in an appropriate location on the island y will probe neutrino oscillations at a baseline of 658 km away from the source at an o-axis angle of 0.8.

5 Neutrino Flux and expected Event Rates

We assume realistic parameters of the J-PARC beam after all accelerator complex upgrades described in the KEK road-map are accomplished, as follows [4]:

The average beam power is reaching 1.66 MW;

A total of 3.45 $1\hat{\theta}^1$ POT is delivered on target per year;

The optim alkinetic energy of the incident protons is 30 G eV.

To remain conservative, we focus on an analysis which uses a neutrino run only during ve years under the best J-PARC beam assumption. An antineutrino beam (opposite hom polarity) might be considered in a second stage in order to cross-check the results obtained with the neutrino run (in particular see Section 8 on the mass hierarchy problem). The parameters of the O kinoshim a location and the assumed beam are therefore as follows:

D istance from J-PARC is 658 km; The axis of the beam is o by 0.76;

ve years operation with hom setting to neutrino run.

The expected neutrino ux calculated under these assumptions is shown in Figure 3 where the curves correspond to one year run (3.45 10^{21} POT). The black, red, green, blue lines show , , _e, _e uxes, respectively.

The interacting neutrino cross section on A rgon was computed using the NUANCE program m e [20]. We use the followings parameters for the cross section calculation:

Number of protons is 18, and that of neutrons is 22.

Medium density is 1.4 g/ am^3 .

Nucleus Ferm i G as model with binding energy of 30 M eV and Ferm i momentum of 242 M eV /c.

 $^{{}^{}y}$ The exact location of the potential experiment in 0 kinoshim a has not yet been investigated, but we note that the island has several hills.





Figure 3: Calculated neutrino ux under the assumptions (3.45 10^{21} POT). Black shows , red shows , green shows $_{\rm e}$, blue shows $_{\rm e}$ uxes, respectively.

Figure 4: Calculated neutrino-Argon cross section, unit by pb. Nuclear e ects are taken into account.

Taking these into account, we obtain the neutrino cross section shown in Figure 4. Table 2 shows that total number of charged current (CC) events at 0 kinoshim a for the null oscillation case and the number of CC $_{\rm e}$ events from $!_{\rm e}$ oscillations for three di erent mixing angles, and in various $_{\rm CP}$ scenarios, norm alized to ve years neutrino run at 1.66 MW and 100 kton ducial mass.

The number of expected events is already a good indicator of the signal, but for this analysis we use binned likelihood tting for the energy spectrum, therefore all information including total number of events is taken into account, as described in the next section.

6 Expected Energy Spectrum of _eCC events

In ages taken with a liquid A rgon TPC are comparable with pictures from bubble cham – bers. A sit is the case in bubble cham bers, events can be analyzed by reconstructing 3D -tracks and particle types for each track in the event in age, with a lower energy threshold of few M eV for electrons and few tens of M eV for protons. The particle type can be determ ined from m easuring the energy loss along the track (dE =dx) or from topology (i.e. observing the decay products). A dditionally, the electronic readout allows to consider the volum e as a calorim eter adding up all the collected ionization charge. The calorim etric perform ance can

Events for 100 kton at 0 kinoshim a normalized to 5 years at 1.66 MW beam power					
		е		e	
Beam components (nulloscillation)	82000	750	1460	35	
! _e oscillations					
_{CP} =	0	90	180	270	
$\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.1$	2867	2062	2659	3464	
$\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.05$	1489	1119	1342	1908	
$\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.03$	942	506	829	1266	

Table 2: Number of CC events at 0 kinoshim a: beam components for null oscillation, and oscillated events in various $_{CP}$ scenarios (norm alized to ve years neutrino run)

be excellent, as we will show in Section 10, depending on event energy and topology.

In order to understand the e ect of resolution on physics perform ance, we show in this section the $_{\rm e}$ charged current (CC) event energy spectra using di erent simple models. One is the perfect resolution case as a reference, and others are 100 M eV /200 M eV G aussian resolution cases for more realistic cases. This \resolution" should include neutrino interaction e ects as well as detector resolution. Possible sm earing or backgrounds a ecting the measurement of the neutrino energy spectrum are listed below subdivided into 4 classes:

Neutrino interaction:

- { Ferm im otion and nuclear binding energy,
- { Nuclear interactions of nal state particles within the hit nucleus (FSI),
- { Vertex nuclear rem nant e ects (e.g. nuclear break-up signal),
- { Neutral Current (NC) ⁰ event shape including vertex activity.

D etector m edium :

- { Ionization processes,
- { Scintillation processes,
- { Correlation of between am ount of charge and light,
- { Charge and light quenching,
- { Hadron transport in Argon and secondary interactions,
- { Charge di usion and attenuation due to impurity attachment.

Readout system including electronics system :

{ signal amplication or lack thereof,

- { signal-to-noise ratio,
- { signal shaping and feature extraction.

Reconstruction:

- { Pattern recognition
- { Background processes (NC 0 , CC, ...) and their event shape
- { Particle identi cation e ciency and purity

Very few real events in liquid Argon TPCs have so far been accumulated to allow a full understanding of these complex e ects and their interplay. The only sample comes from a sm all 50 lt chamber developed by the ICARUS Collab. and exposed to the CERN WANF high energy neutrino beam which collected less than 100 quasi-elastic events [21].

It is clear that the energy resolution will depend on several detector parameters, including the readout pitch, the readout method chosen and on the resulting signal-over-noise ratio ultimately a ecting the reconstruction of the events. We therefore stress that significantly improved experimental studies with prototypes exposed to neutrino beams of the relevant energies and su cient statistics are mandatory to assess and understand these elects. In the meantime, we give in Section 10 preliminary estimates for potentially achievable energy resolutions in a liquid Argon medium. The results are based on full GEANT3 [22] simulation of the energy deposited by nalstate particles in the detector volume, how ever do not include all possible contributing elects.

Figure 5 shows the energy spectra of electron neutrino at the cases of $_{CP}$ equal 0, 90, 180, 270, respectively. Shaded region is common for all plots and it shows the background from beam $_{e}$. Here perfect resolution is assumed for reference to later cases.

If we sm ear the energy spectra shown in F igure 5 with G aussian of sigm a equals to 100 or 200 M eV independent from original neutrino energy, we obtain spectra shown in F igures 6 and 7. As seen easily, an energy resolution below 100 M eV is crucial since the robustness of the neutrino oscillation is directly determ ined by the visible second oscillation peak around 400 M eV in the energy spectrum. In 200 M eV resolution case, the second peak is hidden by the sm earing of the 1st oscillation m axim um peak.

7 O scillation parameters measurement from energy spectrum analyses

A ssum ing all others were measured very precisely, there are only two free parameters $\sin^2 2_{13}$ and $_{CP}$ to be tted using the energy spectra. As shown in Figure 2, the value of $_{CP}$ varies the energy spectrum, especially the rst and the second oscillation peaks (heights and positions), therefore comparison of the peaks determ ine the value $_{CP}$, while the value of $\sin^2 2_{13}$ changes number of events predom inantly.

To t the free parameters, a binned likelihood method is used. The Poisson bin-by-bin probability of the observed data from the expected events (for an assumed pair of values



Figure 5: Energy spectra at $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.03$ case, but $_{CP} = 0$ (top-left), 90 (right-top), 180 (left-bottom), 270 (right-bottom) cases.

Figure 6: Energy spectra assuming Gaussian 100 M eV sm earing. $_{CP} = 0$ (top-left), 90 (right-top), 180 (left-bottom), 270 (right-bottom) cases.

 $(\sin^2 2_{13}, c_P)$ is calculated. The t procedure is validated by testing the result on a pseudo-experiment. Figure 8 shows one typical pseudo-experiment for the perfect resolution case (with $_{CP}$ equals to 0, and $\sin^2 2_{13}$ equals to 0.1). Best t gives reasonable result.

At this stage, we only take statistical uncertainty into account for the tting, thus other system atic uncertainties like far/near ratio, beam $_{\rm e}$ shape, energy scale, and so forth are not considered. A lso, oscillated signal and beam $_{\rm e}$ are only accounted in the t, i.e. other background like neutral current 0 or beam $_{\rm e}$ contam ination is assumed to be negligible compared to beam $_{\rm e}$ contam ination.

As a reference, we rst extract allowed regions in the perfect resolution case (See Figure 9). Twelve allowed regions are overlaid for twelve true values, $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.1, 0.05, 0.02$, and $_{\rm CP} = 0, 90, 180, 270$, respectively. The $_{\rm CP}$ sensitivity is 20 30 depending on the true $_{\rm CP}$ value.

A llowed regions are then extracted for 100 and 200 M eV resolution cases. The used energy spectra are same as Figures 6 and 7. Results are shown as Figures 10 and 11.

One obvious but important issue to be pointed out is the robustness of the tting. The t procedure shows that results could also be extracted with the 200 M eV resolution: this result is as expected statistically; however, we stress that in this case there is no second oscillation maximum peak visible in Figure 7. Hence, we think it is mandatory to keep an energy resolution less than 100 M eV as goal for the credibility of this experiment.



Figure 7: Energy spectra assuming Gaussian 200 M eV sm earing. $_{CP} = 0$ (top-left), 90 (right-top), 180 (left-bottom), 270 (right-bottom) cases.

8 Investigation of m ass hierarchy

The in uence of matter on neutrino oscillations was rst considered by W olfenstein [23]. As is well-known (although never directly experimentally veried), oscillation probabilities get modied under these conditions. Matter e ects are sensitive to the neutrino mass ordering and dierent for neutrinos and antineutrinos. As mentioned earlier, we consider in this paper the possibility of a neutrino-only nun. Hence, we brie y address in this section the question of normal hierarchy (NH) versus inverted hierarchy (IH). Since we are focusing on the potential discovery of CP-violation in the leptonic sector, our discussion is geared towards possible am biguities that would arise if the mass hierarchy was unknown.

Indeed, Figure 12 illustrates the results of a t of a pseudo-data with NH by both NH (black) and IH (red) hypotheses, assuming only the neutrino run. The best t likelihood value with one assumed hierarchy is used to calculate the likelihood variation L for both hierarchies. One could claim that CP-violation is discovered if the experimental results of a given experiment exclude the $_{CP}$ phase to be either 0 or 180. Hence, the danger is that the lack of know ledge of the mass hierarchy (or rather the \w rong" choice in hypothesis when selecting the hierarchy in the t of the data), gives a result for $_{CP}$ consistent with either 0 or 180. On the other hand, if ts of a given experiment with both assumed hierarchies provide neither 0 nor 180, one can declare \discovery" although the mass hierarchy could not be



Figure 8: Typical one pseudo-experiment to show the validity of the tting. Histogram shows the best-t (best oscillation shape + background), and the crosses show the pseudo-data.

determ ined. A lternatively, if it turned out in the actual experiment that one of results of the t is consistent with $_{CP} = 0$ or 180, one would still have the possibility to consider an anti-neutrino run in order to solve this ambiguity.

Our results indicate that CP-violation would be unambiguously discovered under our assumption for true values of $_{CP}$'s in the region of 90 and 270. A lternatively, in case of true values of $_{CP}$'s near 0 or 180 an antineutrino ux would help untangle the two solutions if the neutrino mass hierarchy was unknown.

9 Expected sensitivity to $\sin^2 2_{13}$

A lthough this paper has focused on the possibility to measure the $\sin^2 2_{13}$ and $_{CP}$ oscillation parameters, it is instructive to estimate the sensitivity of the potential setup in the case of negative result from T2K. The corresponding sensitivity to discover $_{13}$ in the true ($\sin^2 2_{13}$; $_{CP}$) plane at 90% C L. and 3 is shown in Figure 13, where we assumed 5 years of neutrino run comparing the 100 kton LAr at O kinoshim a with increased statistics in SK at K am joka and a potential 20 kton LAr at K am joka.

In order to discover a non-vanishing $\sin^2 2_{13}$, the hypothesis $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0$ must be excluded at the given C L.As input, a true non-vanishing value of $\sin^2 2_{13}$ is chosen in the



Figure 9: A llowed regions in the perfect resolution case. Twelve allowed regions are overlaid for twelve true values, $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.1, 0.05$, 0.02, and $_{CP} = 0$, 90, 180, 270, respectively.

Figure 10: A llowed regions in the 100 M eV resolution (G aussian sigma) case. Twelve allowed regions are overlaid for twelve true values, $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.1, 0.05, 0.02$, and $_{\rm CP} = 0, 90$, 180, 270, respectively.

simulation and a twith $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0$ is performed, yielding the \discovery" potential. This procedure is repeated for every point in the ($\sin^2 2_{13}$; CP) plane.

At the 3 CL. the sensitivity of the T2K experiment is 0.02 [2]. Continuing to collect data at SK with an improved J-PARC neutrino beam for another 5 years would improve the T2K sensitivity by a factor 2. In comparison, our simulations indicate that a 20 kton LArTPC is expected to perform better than this although the masses are comparable, since the signal e ciency is higher than SK and the NC background is assumed to be negligible contrary to SK. Even better, a 100 kton LArTPC at 0 kinoshim a would further improve the sensitivity by about a factor six compared to SK at K am ioka (or a factor 10 compared to T2K), thanks to its bigger mass, increased cross-section at higher energies and reduced o -axis angle, although the neutrino uxes at the same o -axis angle would be reduced by a factor ' 5 because of the longer baseline.

Figure 11: A llowed regions in the 200 MeV resolution (Gaussian sigma) case. Twelve allowed regions are overlaid for twelve true values, $\sin^2 2_{13} = 0.1$, 0.05, 0.02, and $_{CP} = 0$, 90, 180, 270, respectively.

10 Neutrino energy resolution in a LArm edium

A sm entioned previously, the neutrino energy resolution expected in LArm edium depends on several parameters and their interplay that ultimately need to be measured experimentally.

In principle, energy and momentum conservation allow to estim ate the incoming neutrino energy in the detector via a precise measurement of decay products, with the exception of the smearing introduced by Ferm i motion and other nuclear elects (nuclear potential, rescattering, absorption, etc.) for interactions on bound nucleons. In this section, we try to estimate the smearing introduced by these elects with the help of exclusive neutrino event nal states distributed with the Okinoshima ux and generated with the GENIE MC [24] subsequently fully simulated with GEANT 3.

Nuclear e ects in neutrino interactions can be roughly divided into those of the nuclear potential and those due to reinteractions of decay products. Bound nucleons and other hadrons in nuclei are subject to a nuclear potential. The Ferm ienergy (or momentum) must be calculated from the bottom of this nuclear potential well, and the rem oval of a nucleon from any stable nucleus is always an endotherm ic reaction. When hadrons are produced in the nucleus, some energy is spent to take it out of this well: for a nucleon, the minimum energy is given by the nucleon separation energy (around 8 MeV), and corresponds to a

Figure 12: M ass hierarchy investigation with neutrino run only. If ts with both hierarchy hypotheses provide neither 0 nor 180, one can declare discovery of CP violation in the leptonic sector. If any of the ts results in a $_{\rm CP}$ of 0 or 180, then an anti-neutrino run could be envisaged.

Figure 13: Sensitivity to $\sin^2 (2_{13})$ for 5 years of neutrino run comparing 100 kton LAr at 0 kinoshim a with SK at K am ioka and 20 kton LAr at K am ioka.

nucleon at the Ferm i surface. In this case, the daughter nucleus is left on its ground state. M ore deeply bound nucleons, leaving a hole in the Ferm i sea, correspond to an excitation energy of the daughter nucleus, and an additional loss of energy of the nal state products. This energy is then spent in evaporation and/or gam m a deexcitation. Thus, the energy of the nal state products is expected to be always slightly sm aller than for interactions on free nucleons, and spread over a range of about 40 M eV. C orrespondingly, the Ferm im om entum is transferred to the decay products and com pensated by the recoil of the daughter nucleus. A dditional m om entum distortions com e from the curvature of particle trajectories in the nuclear potential.

Reinteractions in the nuclear medium also play an important role. Interaction products can be part of their energy in collisions, or even be absorbed in the same nucleus where they have been created. This is particularly true for pions, that have an important absorption cross section on nucleon pairs, while kaons have sm aller interaction probability.

Once nal state products have exited the nucleus, they will propagate in the medium

Figure 14: Full GEANT simulations of the reconstructed neutrino energy spectra from detailed event simulation (see text) for neutrino oscillations with $_{CP} = 0$: perfect reconstruction (top-left), LAr using deposited energy (right-top), ibid but with charged pion m ass correction (left-bottom) using nal state lepton only (like in WC) (right-bottom) cases.

with the possibility that further interactions occur.

In the case of the liquid A rgon TPC, the medium is fully hom ogeneous and the detector is fully active. All deposited energy in the medium (above a certain threshold) will be eventually collected. Several methods can be adopted to reconstruct the neutrino energy and we list in the following three:

1. F inal state lepton: thism ethod, traditionally used in large W aterC erenkov detectors like K am iokande, IM B or SK, relies on the precise m easurement of the energy and direction of the outgoing lepton and kinematically constrains the incoming neutrino energy by assuming a quasi-elastic con guration:

$$E = \frac{M E \cdot m^2 = 2}{M E \cdot p \cdot \cos x}$$

where E_{1} , p_{1} and \cos_{1} are the energy, m om entum and scattering angle of the outgoing lepton. This method is sensitive to the nal state con guration and to Ferm imotion (up to ' 240 M eV/c) which random izes the direction of the outgoing lepton.

2. M om entum conservation: neglecting the incom ing neutrino m ass, one obtains

$$E = \mathbf{\hat{P}} \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{\hat{p}} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{p}_{h} \mathbf{P}_{F} \mathbf{j}$$

where p_{γ} is the momentum of the outgoing lepton, p_h is the momentum of the outgoing hadron h and P_F is the Ferm imotion of the hit nucleon (up to ' 240 M eV/c). This method is also sensitive to Ferm imotion since the recoiling remnant hit nucleus (with momentum \tilde{P}_F) is not measured ^z.

3. Energy conservation: using a calorim etric approach, one can sum the deposited energies of all outgoing particles. In a tracking-calorim eter this is obtained by sum ming the dE =dx m easurements along each ionizing track to obtain the associated kinetic energies T (dE =dx)dx. One should identify nal state particles in order to take into account their rest m asses. This method is sensitive to the nuclear binding energy of the decay products and is intrinsically more precise than the above method relying on momenta for the energies considered here. Mathematically one can write this result as:

$$E = E_{tot} M = E_{*} + E_{h} M = E_{*} + (T_{h} + m_{h}) M$$

$$= E_{*} + T_{N} + (T + m_{h}) + E_{h} + ...$$
(3)

A llm ethods are sensitive to potential re-interactions of the outgoing hadrons within the nucleus. In order to estimate the potential of a fully hom ogeneous and sensitive medium like the liquid A rgon TPC, we performed full simulations of neutrino interactions: the event 4-vector are generated with the GEN IE MC and nal state particles (after nuclear reinter-action) were propagated through the liquid A rgon medium with GEANT3. The geometry of the setup is an in nite LAr box and at this stage we have neglected charge quenching (i.e.

^zW e point out that if one uses the know ledge on the direction of the incom ing neutrino, the total (m issing) transverse m om entum can be kinem atically constrained to zero, thereby providing a handle com pensate for the transverse com ponent of the Ferm im otion. The longitudinal com ponent of the m om entum, which is not negligible at low neutrino energies, remains how ever undeterm ined.

we assume a linear response to dE = dx). We also assume a 100% e ciency to identify nal state charged pions.

The achievable incoming neutrino energy resolution in the liquid Argon medium has been estimated using $_{e}$ CC events distributed with the ux expected at the O kinoshima location. The results, using the energy conservation method, are shown in Figure 14.

11 O ther baseline options

A lthough O kinoshim a boks a very good candidate as mentioned so far, the favorable geography would allow, in principle, for a few baseline candidates for the detector locations; K am ioka for a relatively "short" baseline, O kinoshim a for a medium baseline and K orea for the longest baseline. It is worthwhile to compare the physics potential at O kinoshim a with other scenarios with the same strategy and same assumed beam conditions, i.e. ve years neutrino run at 1.66 M W.

Figure 15 illustrates the analyzed allowed regions using each option. A perfect resolution was assumed here to directly compare the physics potential of the various sites. As seen, sensitivity of $_{\rm CP}$ is similar between 0 kinoshim a and K orea, but that in K am ioka is much worse than others. This indicates the analysis strategy using only neutrino run is not suitable for K am ioka case, as was expected since the 0A2.5 available at K am ioka does not allow to cover 1st and 2nd oscillation m axim a peaks. As for the sensitivity of the sin² 2 ₁₃, 0 kinoshim a is better than K orea, due to the increased statistics at the shorter baseline.

Figure 15: A lowed regions if the location of the 100 kton LAr TPC were at K am ioka, O kinoshim a or K orea, assum ing the T2K beam optics is kept. Perfect resolution is assumed to illustrate the physics potential from each site selection.

12 Proton Decay Discovery Potential

G rand Unication of the strong, weak and electrom agnetic interactions into a single unied gauge group is an extremely appealing idea [25, 26] which has been vigorously pursued theoretically and experimentally form any years. The detection of proton or bound-neutron decays would represent its most direct experimental evidence. The physics potentialities of very large underground Liquid Argon TPC was recently carried out with detailed simulation of signale ciency and background sources, including atm ospheric neutrinos and cosm ogenic backgrounds [27]. It was found that a liquid A rgon TPC, o ering good granularity and energy resolution, by particle detection threshold, and excellent background discrim ination, should yield very good signal over background ratios in many possible decay modes, allowing to reach partial lifetime sensitivities in the range of 10^{34} 10^{35} years with exposures up to 1000 kton year, often in quasi-background-free conditions optimal for discoveries at the few events level, corresponding to atm ospheric neutrino background rejections of the order of 10^5 . Multi-prong decay modes like e.g. p ! ⁺K⁺ orp ! e⁺ ⁺ and channels involving kaons like e.g. $p! K^+$, $p! e^+ K^0$ and $p! + K^0$ are particularly suitable, since liquid Argon in aging provides typically an order of magnitude in provement in e ciencies for sim ilar or better background conditions com pared to W ater C erenkov detectors. Up to a factor 2 improvement in e ciency is expected for modes like $p ! e^+$ and p !thanks to the clean photon identication and separation from 0 . Channels like p! e^{+} or p! + ⁰, dom inated by intrinsic nuclear e ects, yield sim ilar e ciencies and backgrounds as in W ater C erenkov detectors. Thanks to the self-shielding and 3D -in aging properties of the liquid Argon TPC, the result remains valid even at shallow depths where cosm ogenic background sources are in portant. In conclusion, a LArTPC would not necessarily require very deep underground laboratories even for high sensitivity proton decay searches.

Once again, we stress the importance of an experimental veri cation of the physics potentialities to detect, reconstruct and classify events in the relevant GeV energy range. This experimental veri cation will require the collection of neutrino event samples with high statistics, accessible e.g. with a detector located at near sites of long baseline articial neutrino beam s.

13 Conclusions

In this paper, we have reported our case study for a new future long baseline neutrino and nucleon decay experiment with a 100 kton Liquid Argon TPC located in the region of Okinoshim a using the J-PARC Neutrino Facility.

Our study assumes a realistic upgrade of the J-PARC M ain R ing operation yielding an average 1.66 MW beam power for neutrinos coupled to the new far detector at O kinoshima, which would then see the beam at an o -axis angle of '1 and at a baseline of '658 km.

In this rst discussion, we focused on the possibility to measure the ₁₃ and _{CP} parameters. Our strategy is based on the precise measurement of the energy spectrum of the oscillated events, and in particular on the comparison of the features of 1st maximum oscillation peak with those of the 2nd maximum oscillation peak. In order to e ciently determine and study those features, we rely on the excellent neutrino event reconstruction and incoming neutrino energy resolution, as is expected in the case of the fully-sensitive and ne charge im aging capabilities of the liquid Argon TPC.

Beyond this case study, we intend to perform more detailed simulations of the performance of the experiment including for example di erent sources of systematic errors and sources of backgrounds which have been up-to-now neglected.

The construction and operation of a 100 kton liquid Argon TPC certainly represents a technological challenge at the present state of knowledge of the technique. For it to become a realistic option, we stress the importance and necessity of further R&D and of dedicated experimental measurement campaigns. At this stage, we intend to pursue our investigations on a ton-scale prototype based on the novel double-phase readout imaging method. Options to operate sm all devices in the J-PARC neutrino beam are being assessed in parallel. In addition, we have started to address the possibility of an \interm ediate" prototype, presumably in the range of 1 kton of mass, based on a similar but scaled down design of the potential 100 kton detector.

A cknow ledgem ents

Part of this work was supported by the Swiss National Foundation and ETH Zurich.

References

- G. Steigm an Ann Rev A stron A strophys, 14 (1976)339; A D. Sakharov P ism a Zh ETF 5 (1967)32.
- [2] Y. Itow et al., \The JHF-K am ioka neutrino project," arX iv hep-ex/0106019.
- [3] M.Apollonio et al. [CHOOZ Collaboration], \Limits on neutrino oscillations from the CHOOZ experiment," Phys.Lett.B 466, 415 (1999)
- [4] T.Hasegawa, Talk given at the 4th International W orkshop on Nuclear and Particle Physics at J-PARC (NP08). Slides available at http://j-parc.jp/NP08/

- [5] J. Burguet-Castell, M. B. Gavela, J. J. Gom ez-Cadenas, P. Hemandez and O. Mena, \On the measurement of leptonic CP violation," Nucl. Phys. B 608, 301 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0103258].
- [6] H. M inakata and H. Nunokawa, \Exploring neutrino mixing with low energy superbeam s," JHEP 0110,001 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0108085].
- [7] V. Barger, D. Marfatia and K. W hisnant, \Breaking eight-fold degeneracies in neutrino CP violation, mixing, and mass hierarchy," Phys. Rev. D 65, 073023 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0112119].
- [8] W. J. Marciano, \Extra long baseline neutrino oscillations and CP violation," arXiv:hep-ph/0108181.
- [9] M. V. D iwan et al., \Very long baseline neutrino oscillation experiments for precise measurements of mixing parameters and CP violating e ects," Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 012002 [arX iv hep-ph/0303081].
- [10] M. Ishitsuka, T. Kajita, H. M inakata and H. Nunokawa, \Resolving neutrino m ass hierarchy and CP degeneracy by two identical detectors with di erent baselines," Phys. Rev.D 72,033003 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0504026].
- [11] K.Hagiwara, N.O kam ura and K.i. Senda, \Physics potential of T2KK: An extension of the T2K neutrino oscillation experiment with a far detector in Korea," Phys. Rev. D 76,093002 (2007) [arX iv hep-ph/0607255].
- [12] A. Meregaglia and A. Rubbia, \Neutrino O scillations W ith A Next Generation Liquid Argon TPC Detector in Kam ioka or Korea Along The J-PARC Neutrino Beam," arX iv:0801.4035 [hep-ph].
- [13] S.Am erio et al., "D esign, construction and tests of the ICARUS T 600 detector", Nucl. Instrum .M eth.A 527 (2004) 329 and references therein.
- [14] A.Rubbia, \Experiments for CP-violation: A giant liquid argon scintillation, Cerenkov and charge in aging experiment?," arX iv hep-ph/0402110.
- [15] A. Rubbia, \ArDM: A ton-scale liquid argon experiment for direct detection of dark matter in the universe," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 39 (2006) 129 [arX iv:hep-ph/0510320].
- [16] A.M archionni, Talk given at the 4th InternationalW orkshop on Nuclear and Particle Physics at J-PARC (NP08). Slides available at http://j-parc.jp/NP08/
- [17] A. Meregaglia and A. Rubbia, \Neutrino oscillation physics at an upgraded CNGS with large next generation liquid argon TPC detectors," JHEP 0611, 032 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0609106].

- [18] M. Freund, \Analytic approximations for three neutrino oscillation parameters and probabilities in matter," Phys. Rev. D 64, 053003 (2001) [arX iv:hep-ph/0103300].
- [19] A. Cervera, A. Donini, M. B. Gavela, J. J. Gom ez Cadenas, P. Hernandez, O. Mena and S. Rigolin, \Golden measurements at a neutrino factory," Nucl. Phys. B 579, 17 (2000) Erratum-ibid. B 593, 731 (2001)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0002108].
- [20] http://nuint.ps.uci.edu/nuance/
- [21] F.A meodo et al. [ICARUS-M ilano Collaboration], \Perform ance of a liquid argon time projection chamber exposed to the WANF neutrino beam," Phys. Rev. D 74, 112001 (2006) [arX iv physics/0609205].
- [22] R.Brun et al, GEANT3 Reference Manual, CERN Program Library Long Writeup.
 W 5013, DD / EE / 84-1, 1987. For hadronic secondary interactions, we select GFLUKA.
- [23] L.W olfenstein, \Neutrino oscillations in matter," Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 2369.
- [24] C Andreopoulos, NuclPhysB ProcSuppl. 159217-222, 2006; C Andreopoulos, ActPhysPolB Vol37,82349,2006
- [25] J.C. Patiand A. Salam, \Uni ed Lepton-Hadron Symmetry And A Gauge Theory Of The Basic Interactions," Phys. Rev. D 8, 1240 (1973).
- [26] H.Georgi and S.L.G lashow, \Unity OfAllElementary Particle Forces," Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 438 (1974).
- [27] A. Bueno et al., \Nucleon decay searches with large liquid argon TPC detectors at shallow depths: Atmospheric neutrinos and cosm ogenic backgrounds," JHEP 0704, 041 (2007) [arX iv hep-ph/0701101].