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Abstract

We find a larger class of virtually fibred classic Montesinos links of type $\widetilde{SL}_2$, extending a result of Agol, Boyer and Zhang.

1 Introduction

A 3-manifold is called virtually fibred if it has a finite cover which is a surface bundle over the circle. A link in a connected 3-manifold is said to be virtually fibred if its exterior is a virtually fibred 3-manifold. Thurston conjectured that all closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds and all hyperbolic links in closed 3-manifolds are virtually fibred. This conjecture, which has been named as virtually fibred conjecture, is one of the most fundamental and difficult problems in 3-manifold topology.

Recall that a link $K$ in $S^3$ is called a generalized Montesinos link if the double branched cover $W_K$ of $(S^3, K)$ is a Seifert fibred 3-manifold. Such a link $K$ is further said to be of type $\widetilde{SL}_2$ if the canonical geometric structure on $W_K$ is from the $\widetilde{SL}_2$-geometry. When every component of the branched set in $W_K$ is not a fiber of the Seifert fibration of $W_K$, $K$ is called a classic Montesinos link. Recent work of Walsh [Wa] and work of Agol-Boyer-Zhang [ABZ] combined together solved the virtually fibred conjecture for all generalized Montesinos links in $S^3$ which are not classic Montesinos links of type $\widetilde{SL}_2$. Agol-Boyer-Zhang [ABZ] also gave an infinite family of virtually fibred classic Montesinos links of type $\widetilde{SL}_2$. In this paper, we extend the latter result of [ABZ] to a larger family of classic Montesinos links of type $\widetilde{SL}_2$. Note
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that every classic Montesinos link has a cyclic rational tangle decomposition of the form \((q_1/p_1, q_2/p_2, \ldots, q_n/p_n)\) with all \(p_i \geq 2\) as shown in Figure 1. We prove

**Theorem 1.1** If a classic Montesinos link \(K\) has a cyclic rational tangle decomposition of the form \((q_1/p, q_2/p, \ldots, q_n/p)\) with \(p \geq 3\) odd, then \(K\) is virtually fibred.

This theorem is proved in [ABZ] when \(n\) is a multiple of \(p\). Our approach follows closely to that of [ABZ]. At a number of places we need to deal with some new issues that arise. We shall describe our ways of dealing with these issues when we reach these places.

Let \(K\) be a link as given in Theorem 1.1. The base orbifold \(B_K\) of the Seifert fibred space \(W_K\) is a 2-sphere with \(n\) cone points each has order \(p\). Let \(f : W_K \to B_K\) be the Seifert fibration which is invariant under the covering involution \(\tau : W_K \to W_K\). The Euler number \(e(W_K)\) of \(W_K\) and the orbifold Euler characteristic \(\chi(B_K)\) of \(B_K\) are given by the following formulas:

\[
e(W_K) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i}{p}, \quad \chi(B_K) = 2 - n + \frac{n}{p}.
\]

Note that \(W_K\) has the \(SL_2\)-geometry precisely when \(e(W_K) \neq 0\) and \(\chi(B_K) < 0\). Thus \(K\) is of type \(SL_2\) precisely when

- **Case (1).** \(n = 3, p \geq 5, \) and \(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \neq 0,\) or
- **Case (2).** \(n > 3\) and \(q_1 + \ldots + q_n \neq 0.\)

We shall split our proof of Theorem 1.1 into these two cases, given in Section 2 and Section 3 respectively. All main ingredients of the proof will occur already in Case (1); the proof of Case (2) will be a quick generalization.
In the remainder of this section, we shall give a few additional notes and notations which will be used throughout this paper. From now on we assume that $K = K(q_1/p, ..., q_n/p)$ is a link satisfying the conditions of Case (1) or (2) listed above.

First note that $K$ is a single component knot if $q_1 + ... + q_n$ is odd, and a two component link otherwise. The double branched cover $W_K$ has the $SL_2$-geometry and its base orbifold $B_K$ is hyperbolic. Let $\widetilde{K}$ be the corresponding branched set in $W_K$ and let $K^* = f(\widetilde{K})$, where $f : W_K \to B_K$ is the Seifert quotient map. By the orbifold theorem we may assume that the covering involution $\tau$ on $W_K$ is an isometry and the branch set $\widetilde{K} = Fix(\tau)$ is a geodesic which is orthogonal to the Seifert fibres by Lemma 2.1 of [ABZ]. Also $K^*$ is a geodesic in $B_K$, and is an equator of $B_K$ containing all the $n$ cone points which we denote by $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$ (indexed so that they appear consecutively along $K^*$). The order of each cone point $c_i$ is $p$. Since $p$ is odd, the restriction map of $f$ to each component of $\widetilde{K}$ is a double covering onto $K^*$.

2 Proof of Theorem [1.1 in Case (1)].

In this section we prove Theorem [1.1] in the case $n = 3$, $p \geq 5$ and $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \neq 0$. Throughout this section, without further notice, $i, j, k$ as well as numbers expressed by them will be considered as non-negative integers mod $p$, and $i \neq k$ will also be assumed.

2.1 $K$ is a knot.

Here is an outline of the proof.

Step 1 Take a specific $p^2$-fold orbifold cover $F$ of $B_K = S^2(p, p, p)$ such that $F$ is a smooth surface. There is a corresponding free cover $\Psi : Y \to W_K$ of the same degree such that the base orbifold of the Seifert space $Y$ is $F$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & F \\
\downarrow{\Psi} & & \downarrow{\psi} \\
W_K & \xrightarrow{f} & B_K
\end{array}
$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Seifert quotient map. Note that $Y$ is a locally-trivial circle bundle over
Let $L = \Psi^{-1}(\tilde{K})$. Then $L$ has exactly $p^2$ components, which we denote by $\{L_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p\}$. Let $L^* = \hat{f}(L_{i,j})$. Then $\{L^*_j : 1 \leq j \leq p\}$ are $p$ mutually disjoint simple closed geodesics in $F$.

**Step 2** Construct a surface semi-bundle structure on $M = Y - \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{N}(L_{j,j})$, where $\tilde{N}(L_{j,j})$ is a small regular neighborhood of $L_{j,j}$ in $Y$, $1 \leq j \leq p$.

Note that $M$ is a graph manifold with non-empty boundary, with vertices $M_{i,j}^j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p$. Let $L^* \ni L_{i,j} = \hat{f}(L_{i,j})$. Then $\{L^*_{j,j} : 1 \leq j \leq p\}$ are $p$ mutually disjoint simple closed geodesics in $F$.

**Step 3** Isotope all $L_{i,k}$, $i \neq k$, such that they are transverse to the surface bundle in $M_{i,k}^j$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_j$. This is one of the key parts of the proof. Let $U_{i,k} = \hat{f}^{-1}(L_{i,k}^*)$, the vertical torus over $L_{i,k}^*$. By the construction in Step 2, there are exactly two singular points in the induced foliation by $\mathcal{F}_j$ on every component of $U_{i,k} \cap M_{i,k}^j$. We show that $L$ can be oriented such that the arcs $L_{i,k} \cap M_{i,k}^j$ whose images intersect in $F$, all travel from one of $\hat{f}^{-1}(L_{j,j})^* \times \{-\epsilon\}$ and $\hat{f}^{-1}(L_{j,j})^* \times \{\epsilon\}$ to the other. This property allows us to arrange these arcs in $U_{i,k} \cap M_{i,k}^j$ such that they always travel from the “−” side of the surface bundle in $M_{i,k}^j$ to the “+” side.

**Step 4** Construct a double cover of $M$, denoted by $\tilde{M}$, so that $\tilde{M}$ has a surface bundle structure. Denote the corresponding double cover of $Y$ as $\tilde{Y}$, the lift of $Y_1$ as $\tilde{Y}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{1,2}$, and the lift of $L_{i,k}$ as $\tilde{L}_{i,k,1} \cup \tilde{L}_{i,k,2}$. Perform certain Dehn twist operations on the surface bundles of $\tilde{Y}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{1,2}$ so that the $\tilde{L}_{i,k,1}$ are transverse to the new surface bundles, $s = 1, 2$.

This is another key part of the proof. We perform these Dehn twist operations along a union of vertical tori, $\Gamma \subset \tilde{Y}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{1,2}$. $\Gamma$ contains some boundary parallel tori of $\tilde{Y}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{1,2}$ as in [ABZ], different form [ABZ], these boundary parallel tori intersect some arcs of $\tilde{L}_{i,k,1} \cap \tilde{Y}_{1,1}, s = 1, 2$. We call such arcs of $\tilde{L}_{i,k,1} \cap \tilde{Y}_{1,1}$ “bad” arcs. We construct four specific extra tori as members of $\Gamma$ to deal with the “bad” arcs, $s = 1, 2$.

By Steps 1-4, the exterior of the inverse image of $\tilde{K}$ in $\tilde{Y}$ has a surface bundle structure. It is a free cover of the exterior of $\tilde{K}$ in $W_K$, which in turn is a free double cover of the exterior of $K$ in $S^3$. Thus $K$ is virtually fibred in $S^3$.

Now we fill in the details.
Step 1

Instead of taking the \( p \)-fold cyclic cover of \( \mathcal{B}_K \) as in [ABZ], we construct the \( p^2 \)-fold cover \( F \) of \( \mathcal{B}_K = S^2(p,p,p) \), by a composition of two \( p \)-fold cyclic covers.

Let \( \Gamma_1 = \pi_1(S^2(p,p,p)) \) be the orbifold fundamental group of \( S^2(p,p,p) \). It has a presentation
\[
\Gamma_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \mid x_1^p = x_2^p = x_3^p = x_1x_2x_3 = 1 \rangle.
\]
where \( x_r \) is represented by a small circular loop in \( S^2(p,p,p) \) centered at \( c_r, r = 1, 2, 3 \). Let \( \psi_1 : F' \to S^2(p,p,p) \) be the \( p \)-fold cyclic orbifold cover of \( S^2(p,p,p) \) corresponding to the homomorphism:
\[
h_1 : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{Z}/p
\]
where \( h_1(x_1) = 1, h_1(x_2) = -1, \) and \( h_1(x_3) = 0 \). \( F' \) is as shown in Figure 2 (while \( p = 5 \)).

The order of \( h_1(x_1) \) and \( h_1(x_2) \) are both \( p \), so \( \psi_1^{-1}(c_1) = \hat{c}_1 \) and \( \psi_1^{-1}(c_2) = \hat{c}_2 \) are two points in \( F' \) (not cone points). The order of \( h_1(x_3) \) is 0, so \( \psi_1^{-1}(c_3) = \{ c_{3,1}, c_{3,2}, \cdots, c_{3,p} \} \) are the only cone points in \( F' \), each has order \( p \). We have \( F' = S^2(p,p,\cdots,p) \). \( \psi_1^{-1}(K^*) \) is a set of \( p \) geodesics \( L_1^*, L_2^*, \cdots, L_p^* \), such that \( L_i^* \) goes through the cone point \( c_{3,i} \) (cf. Figure 2).

Let \( \tau_1^* \) be the deck transformation of \( \psi_1 \) corresponding to \( 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Fix(\( \tau_1^* \) =
\{\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2\}. We may assume that \(\tau_1^s(L_i^r) = L_{i+1}^s\). Orient \(L_1^s\) and give \(L_i^s\) the induced orientation, \(1 < i \leq p\). \(F'\) admits an orientation such that \(\tau_1^s\) is a counterclockwise rotation by \(2\pi/p\) near \(\hat{c}_1\) and a clockwise rotation by \(2\pi/p\) near \(\hat{c}_2\) on \(F'\), since \(h_1(x_1) = \overline{I}\) and \(h_1(x_2) = -\overline{I}\). Note that \(L_i^s\) intersects \(L_j^s\) at \(\hat{c}_1\) in an angle of \(2\pi(i-j)/p\), also intersects \(L_j^s\) at \(\hat{c}_2\) in an angle of \(2\pi(j-i)/p\). (We always suppose that the counterclockwise direction is the positive direction of angles.)

Let \(\Gamma_2\) be the orbifold fundamental group of \(F'\). It has the presentation

\[\Gamma_2 = \pi_1(S^2(p,p,\ldots,p) = \langle y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_p \mid y_1^p = y_2^p = \cdots = y_p^p = y_1y_2\cdots y_p = 1 \rangle,\]

where \(y_j\) is represented by a small circular loop in \(S^2(p,p,\ldots,p)\) centered at \(c_{3,j}\).

Let \(\psi_2 : F \to F'\) be the \(p\)-fold cyclic orbifold cover of \(F'\) corresponding to the homomorphism:

\[h_2 : \Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{Z}/p\]

where \(h_2(y_j) = 1, 1 \leq j \leq p\).

The order of \(h_2(y_j)\) is \(p\), so \(\psi_2^{-1}(c_{3,j}) = \hat{c}_{3,j}\) is a point, \(1 \leq j \leq p\). Hence \(F\) is a smooth closed orientable surface without cone points. For each \(r = 1, 2\), \(\psi_2^{-1}(\hat{c}_r)\) is a set of \(p\) points, which we denote by \(\hat{c}_{r,1}, \hat{c}_{r,2}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{r,p}\). For each \(i = 1,\ldots, p\), \(\psi_2^{-1}(L_i^s)\) is a set of \(p\) simple closed geodesics, which we denote by \(L_i^s, L_{i,1}^s, L_{i,2}^s, \ldots, L_{i,p}^s\).

Denote the deck transformation of \(\psi_2\) corresponding to \(\overline{I} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\) by \(\tau_2^s\). Fix(\(\tau_2^s\)) = \(\{\hat{c}_{3,1}, \hat{c}_{3,2}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{3,p}\}\). We may assume that \(\tau_2^s(L_{i,j}^s) = L_{i,j+1}^s, \tau_2^s(\hat{c}_{1,j}) = \hat{c}_{1,j+1}\), and \(\tau_2^s(\hat{c}_{2,j}) = \hat{c}_{2,j+1}\). Then \(\psi_2^{-1}(L_i^s) = \{L_{i,j}^s : 1 \leq j \leq p\}\). Now we fix an orientation for each of \(L_{i,1}^s, L_{i,2}^s, \ldots, L_{i,p}^s\) such that \(L_{j}^s\) goes through \(\hat{c}_{3,j}\), \(\hat{c}_{2,j}\) and \(\hat{c}_{1,j}\) in order, and give \(L_{i,j}^s = (\tau_2^s)^{j-i}(L_{i,j}^s)\) the induced orientation. \(F\) admits an orientation such that \(\tau_2^s\) is a counterclockwise rotation by \(2\pi/p\) near the fixed points \(\hat{c}_{3,i}\), so \(L_{i,1}^s, L_{i,2}^s, \ldots, L_{i,p}^s\) intersect at \(\hat{c}_{3,i}\), and \(L_{i,j}^s\) intersects \(L_{i,i}^s\) at \(\hat{c}_{3,i}\) in an angle of \(2\pi(j-i)/p\), \(1 \leq i,j \leq p\).

Since \(\hat{c}_r = \psi_2(\hat{c}_{r,j})\) is not a cone point on \(F'\), a small regular neighborhood of \(\hat{c}_{r,j}\) on \(F\) is a copy of a small regular neighborhood of \(\hat{c}_r\) on \(F'\), \(r = 1, 2\). Recall that \(L_i^s\) intersects \(L_j^s\) at \(\hat{c}_1\) in an angle of \(2\pi(i-j)/p\), and at \(\hat{c}_2\) in an angle of \(2\pi(j-i)/p\). Then \(L_{i,j}^s\) intersects \(L_{j,j}^s\) at \(\hat{c}_{1,j}\) in an angle of \(2\pi(i-j)/p\), and at \(\hat{c}_{2,j}\) in an angle of \(2\pi(j-i)/p\). See the schematic picture, Figure 3, for example \(p = 5\). Here we didn’t depict the genus of the surface, so some curves meet in the picture actually do not meet. For convenience, we only draw a part of \(L_{j,j}^s\) in Figure 3, \(1 \leq j \leq p\).

Summarizing the above discussion, we have the following remark.
Figure 3: $L^*_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ with $p = 5$
Remark 2.1 \(\{L^*_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p\}\) only intersects at the points \(\{\hat{c}_{r,j}, r = 1, 2, 3, 1 \leq j \leq p\}\) on \(F\), and \(L^*_{i,j}\) goes through \(\hat{c}_{3,i}, \hat{c}_{2,j}\) and \(\hat{c}_{1,j}\) in order following the given orientation of \(L^*_{i,j}\), \(1 \leq i, j \leq p\). In particular \(L^*_{j,j}\) goes through \(\hat{c}_{3,j}, \hat{c}_{2,j}\), and \(\hat{c}_{1,j}\), so \(\{L^*_{j,j}, 1 \leq j \leq p\}\) are mutually disjoint, and

\[
L^*_{i,j} \text{ intersects } L^*_{j,j} \text{ at } \begin{cases} \hat{c}_{1,j} \text{ in an angle of } 2\pi(i - j)/p, \\ \hat{c}_{2,j} \text{ in an angle of } -2\pi(i - j)/p, \end{cases}
\]

\[
L^*_{j,k} \text{ intersects } L^*_{j,j} \text{ at } \hat{c}_{3,j} \text{ in an angle of } -2\pi(j - k)/p,
\]

Note that \(i, j, k, i - j, k - j\) are considered as integers mod \(p\).

Let \(\psi = \psi_1 \circ \psi_2 : F \xrightarrow{\psi_2} S^2(p, p, \ldots, p) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} S^2(p, p, p)\), which is a \(p^2\)-fold orbifold covering. We have \(\psi^{-1}(c_r) = \{\hat{c}_{r,1}, \hat{c}_{r,2}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{r,p}\}\), \(r = 1, 2, 3\), and \(L^* = \psi^{-1}(K^*) = \{L^*_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p\}\).

Let \(\Psi_1 : Y' \to W_K\) be the \(p\)-fold cover of \(W_K\) corresponding to \(\psi_1\), \(\Psi_2 : Y \to Y'\) the \(p\)-fold cover of \(Y'\) corresponding to \(\psi_2\), and \(\Psi = \Psi_1 \circ \Psi_2\). Then \(\Psi : Y \to W_K\) is a \(p^2\)-fold cover of \(W_K\) corresponding to \(\psi\). \(Y\) has locally-trivial circle bundle Seifert structure with base surface \(F\). Set \(L = \Psi^{-1}(\tilde{K})\), which is a geodesic link in \(Y\). We have the following commutative diagram:

![Diagram]

Note that \(L\) has exactly \(p^2\) components. Let \(L = \{L_{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p\}\), where \(L_{i,j} = \hat{f}^{-1}(L^*_{i,j})\), \(1 \leq i, j \leq p\). \(\hat{f} : L_{i,j} \to L^*_{i,j}\) is a 2-fold cover.

Step 2

By Remark 2.1 \(\{L^*_{j,j}, 1 \leq j \leq p\}\) are mutually disjoint. Let \(F_2^j = L^*_{j,j} \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]\), for some small positive number \(\epsilon\), be a neighborhood of \(L^*_{j,j}\) in \(F\), such that \(F_2^j\)'s are
\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Table 1: The induced orientation on } (L_{i,k}^{2l-1})^*, 1 \leq l \leq 3. & \text{Note: } i - k \text{ is considered as a nonnegative integer mod } p & \\
\hline
(L_{i,k}^1)^* & \text{tail} & \beta_1^i & \text{head} & (L_{i,k}^3)^* & \text{tail} & \beta_2^k & \text{head} & (L_{i,k}^5)^* & \text{tail} & \beta_1^i & \text{head} \\
\hline
i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2} & \beta_1^i & \beta_1^i & i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2} & \beta_2^k & \beta_2^k & i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2} & \beta_2^k & \beta_1^i & \beta_1^i \\
\hline
i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2} & \beta_2^k & \beta_2^k & i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2} & \beta_2^k & \beta_2^k & i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2} & \beta_1^i & \beta_1^i & \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

mutually disjoint. Let \( \beta_1^i = L_{j,j}^* \times \{-\epsilon\}, \beta_2^i = L_{j,j}^* \times \{\epsilon\}, \) and \( F_1 = F - \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} F_j^j \right) \). We may suppose that \( \beta_1^i \) is on the left side of \( L_{j,j}^* \). By Remark 2.1, \( L_{i,k}^* \) goes through \( \hat{c}_{1,k}, \hat{c}_{2,k} \) and \( \hat{c}_{3,i}, \) so \( L_{i,k}^* \) is separated into \( 2n = 6 \) arcs by \( F_1, F_2^k, \) and \( F_2^i \). We denote the six arcs of \( L_{i,k}^* \) by \( (L_{i,k}^1)^*, (L_{i,k}^2)^*, \ldots, (L_{i,k}^6)^* \), so that \( (L_{i,k}^2)^* \cup (L_{i,k}^4)^* \subset F_2, (L_{i,k}^6)^* \subset F_2, (L_{i,k}^1)^* \cup (L_{i,k}^2)^* \cup (L_{i,k}^5)^* \subset F_1, \) and \( \hat{c}_{1,k} \in (L_{i,k}^2)^*, \hat{c}_{2,k} \in (L_{i,k}^5)^*, \) and \( \hat{c}_{3,i} \in (L_{i,k}^6)^* \). We also require that as we travel along \( L_{i,k}^* \) in its orientation, we will pass \( (L_{i,k}^6)^*, (L_{i,k}^5)^*, \ldots, (L_{i,k}^1)^* \) consecutively. From the construction, we have Remark 2.2 and Table 1.

\[\text{Remark 2.2} \quad \begin{cases} (L_{i,k}^1)^* \text{ is the part of } L_{i,k}^* \text{ between } \hat{c}_{3,i} \text{ and } \hat{c}_{1,k} \text{ inside } F_1; \\ (L_{i,k}^3)^* \text{ is the part of } L_{i,k}^* \text{ between } \hat{c}_{1,k} \text{ and } \hat{c}_{2,k} \text{ inside } F_1; \\ (L_{i,k}^5)^* \text{ is the part of } L_{i,k}^* \text{ between } \hat{c}_{2,k} \text{ and } \hat{c}_{3,i} \text{ inside } F_1. \end{cases} \]

We need Table 1 in the following lemma and in Step 3.

**Lemma 2.3** \( F_1 \) is connected.

**Proof:** It suffices to prove that the boundary components of \( F_1 \), which is the set \( \{\beta_1^i, \beta_2^i, 1 \leq i, j \leq p\} \), can be mutually connected to each other by arcs in \( F_1 \).

From Table 1, the arc \( (L_{j+1,j}^1)^* \) connects \( \beta_2^{j+1} \) and \( \beta_1^j \), and the arc \( (L_{j+1,j}^1)^* \) connects \( \beta_1^j \) and \( \beta_1^{j+1} \), since \( j + 1 - j = 1 \leq \frac{p - 1}{2} \), where \( p \geq 3 \). So \( \beta_2^{j+1} \) and \( \beta_1^{j+1} \) can be connected in \( F_1 \), \( 1 \leq j + 1 \leq p \). Also \( \beta_2^{j+1} \) and \( \beta_1^{j+1} \) are connected by the arc \( (L_{j+1,j}^1)^* \). Hence \( \{\beta_1^i, \beta_2^i, 1 \leq i, j \leq p\} \) can be mutually connected to each other in \( F_1 \).

Let \( T_j = \hat{f}^{-1}(L_{j,j}^*) \subset Y \), which is a vertical torus over \( L_{j,j}^* \). Then \( L_{i,j} \) and \( L_{j,i} \) are transverse to \( T_j \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq p \) and \( i \neq j \). The situation near \( L_{j,j}^* \) in \( F \) is described in Figure 4 while \( p = 5 \).
Since $L^*_{j,j}$ is a geodesic, the torus $T^j_j$ is a totally geodesic torus which inherits a Euclidean structure from the $\widetilde{SL}_2$ structure on $Y$. For any two simple closed geodesics $\{a_j, b_j\} \subset T^j_j$ with $H_1(T^j_j) = \langle a_j, b_j, >$, $T^j_j$ can be identified to $S^1 \times S^1$ where each $S^1 \times \{\ast\}$ is a geodesic isotopic to $a_j$ and each $\{\ast\} \times S^1$ is a geodesic isotopic to $b_j, 1 \leq j \leq p$.

Similar to Proposition 6.1 in [ABZ], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4** The exterior of $\{L_{1,1} \cup L_{2,2} \cup \cdots \cup L_{p,p}\}$ in $Y$ is a surface semi-bundle.

**Proof:** To prove this, we apply [WY] and compare [ABZ].

Let $Y^j_2$ be the submanifold of $Y$ lying over $F^j_2 = L^*_{j,j} \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, and $Y_1$ be the submanifold of $Y$ lying over $F_1$. Note that $Y_1$ is connected since $F_1$ is connected by Lemma 23. Define

$$T^j_1 = \hat{f}^{-1}(\beta^j_1), \ T^j_2 = \hat{f}^{-1}(\beta^j_2).$$

Let $Y_0$ be the 3-manifold obtained by cutting $Y$ open along $T^j_2$, and $F_0$ the surface obtained by cutting $F$ open along all $\beta^j_2, 1 \leq j \leq p$. The restriction of the Seifert
fiberation of $Y$ to each of $Y_0, Y_1, Y_2^j, j = 1, ..., p$, is a trivial circle bundle. We give the circle fibers of $Y_0$ a consistent orientation. Choose a horizontal section $B_0$ of the bundle $Y_0 \to F_0$ such that $T^j \cap B_0$ is a geodesic, $1 \leq j \leq p$. Let $B_1, B_2^j$ be the restriction of $B_0$ in $Y_1$ and $Y_2^j$ respectively. Fix an orientation of $B_0$ and let $B_1, B_2^j$ have the induced orientation. And let $\partial B_1$ and $\partial B_2^j$ have the induced orientation.

We denote the torus $T^i_r$ by $T^i_{r,1}$ and $T^i_{r,2}$ when we think of it as lying in $Y_1$ and $Y_2^j$ respectively, $r = 1, 2$. Let $\phi^j_{k,r}$ be a fixed circle fiber in the torus $T^j_{k,r}$ for each of $r = 1, 2; k = 1, 2; 1 \leq j \leq p$. Let $\alpha^j_{k,r} = T^j_{k,r} \cap B_0$. Then $\alpha^j_{k,1} = -\alpha^j_{k,2}, \phi^j_{k,1} = \phi^j_{k,2}$, and \{\alpha^j_{k,r}, \phi^j_{k,r}\} form a basis of $H_1(T^j_{k,r}), k = 1, 2; r = 1, 2; 1 \leq j \leq p$.

By choosing the proper horizontal section $B_0$, we can assume that the Seifert manifold $Y$ is obtained form $Y_1$ and $Y_2^j$’s by gluing $T^j_{1,1}$ to $T^j_{2,1}$ and $T^j_{1,2}$ to $T^j_{2,2}$ using maps $g_1^j : T^j_{2,2} \to T^j_{1,1}$ for $j = 1, 2$ determined by the conditions

$$(g_1^j)_*(\alpha^j_{2,1}) = -\alpha^j_{1,1}, \quad (g_1^j)_*(\phi^j_{2,1}) = \phi^j_{1,1}$$

$$(g_2^j)_*(\alpha^j_{2,2}) = -\alpha^j_{1,2} + e^j \phi^j_{1,2}, \quad (g_2^j)_*(\phi^j_{2,2}) = \phi^j_{1,2}$$

where $e = \sum_{j=1}^p e^j \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the Euler number of the oriented circle bundle $Y \to F$. $Y \to W_K$ is a $p^2$-fold cover so

$$e = p^2 e(W_K) = -p^2 \left(\frac{q_1}{p} + \frac{q_2}{p} + \frac{q_3}{p}\right) = -p(q_1 + q_2 + q_3).$$

Note that $q_1 + q_2 + q_3$ is an odd number since $K$ has only one component. For convenience, we may assume $e^1 = e^2 = \cdots = e^p = \frac{\tilde{e}}{p} = -(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \tilde{e}$, so $\tilde{e}$ is odd.

Each $Y_2^j, j = 1, ..., p$ also has a circle fibration with $L_{j,j}$ as a fiber. As in [ABZ], we call the circle fibers of this circle fibration new fibers and called the fibers of $Y$ original fibers. Give new fibers of $Y_2^j$ a fixed consistent orientation. We shall denote by $\overline{\phi}^j$ a general new fiber in $M_2^j$, and $\phi$ a general original fiber of $Y$.

Let $N^j$ be the regular neighborhood of $L_{j,j}$ in $Y_2^j$, which is disjoint from other components of $L$ and consists of new fibers of $Y_2^j$. Let $M_2^j = Y_2^j \setminus \overline{N}^j$. Then $M_2^j$ is a Seifert fibered space whose circle fibers are new fibers of $Y_2^j$. Set $T_3^j = \partial N^j$. The exterior of $\{L_{1,1}, L_{2,2}, \cdots, L_{p,p}\}$ in $Y$, $M$, is a graph manifold and has the following JSJ decomposition

$$M = Y_1 \cup M_2^1 \cup M_2^2 \cup \cdots \cup M_2^p$$

Let $\overline{B}_2^j$ be the image of one section of the circle fibration of $M_2^j$, such that $\overline{B}_2^j$ intersects $T^j$ in a geodesic. Fix an orientation for $\overline{B}_2^j$ and let $\partial \overline{B}_2^j$ have the induced
orientation. There is another basis of $H_1(T^j_{2,r})$, \{\overline{a}^j_{2,r}, \overline{\phi}^j_{2,r}\}, where $\overline{\phi}^j_{2,r}$ is a fixed new fiber on $T^j_{2,r}$ and $\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,r}$ is the component of $\partial \overline{B}^j_2$ on $T^j_{2,r}$, $r = 1, 2$, with their chosen orientations. Let $\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,3} = \overline{B}^j_2 \cap T^j_3$.

The relation between the old basis $\{\alpha^j_{2,1}, \phi^j_{2,1}\}$ of $H_1(T^j_{2,1})$ and the new one $\{\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,1}, \overline{\phi}^j_{2,1}\}$ is given by

$$\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,1} = a^j \alpha^j_{2,1} + b^j \phi^j_{2,1} \quad \overline{\phi}^j_{2,1} = c^j \alpha^j_{2,1} + d^j \phi^j_{2,1}$$

where $a^j, b^j, c^j, d^j$ are integers satisfying $a^j d^j - b^j c^j = \pm 1$. We may assume that $a^j d^j - b^j c^j = 1$ by reversing the orientation of the fibres $\phi$ if necessary. For convenience, we can suppose that $a^1 = a^2 = \cdots = a^p = a$, $b^1 = b^2 = \cdots = b^p = b$, $c^1 = c^2 = \cdots = c^p = c$, and $d^1 = d^2 = \cdots = d^p = d$.

In $M^j_2$, we have $\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,1} = -\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,2}$ and $\overline{\phi}^j_{2,1} = -\overline{\phi}^j_{2,2}$. Thus

$$\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,2} = a \alpha^j_{2,2} - b \phi^j_{2,2} \quad \overline{\phi}^j_{2,2} = -c \alpha^j_{2,2} + d \phi^j_{2,2}.$$

Hence, with respect to the basis $\{\alpha^j_{1,r}, \phi^j_{1,r}\}$ of $H_1(T^j_{1,r})$ and $\{\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,r}, \overline{\phi}^j_{2,r}\}$ of $H_1(T^j_{2,r})$ ($r = 1, 2$), the gluing maps $g^j_1 : T^j_{2,1} \to T^j_{1,1}$ and $g^j_2 : T^j_{2,2} \to T^j_{1,2}$ can be expressed as

$$(g^j_1)_*(\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,1}) = -a \overline{\alpha}^j_{1,1} + b \overline{\phi}^j_{1,1} \quad (g^j_1)_*(\overline{\phi}^j_{2,1}) = -c \overline{\alpha}^j_{1,1} + d \overline{\phi}^j_{1,1}$$

$$(g^j_2)_*(\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,2}) = -a \overline{\alpha}^j_{1,2} + (a \overline{\beta} - b) \overline{\phi}^j_{1,2} \quad (g^j_2)_*(\overline{\phi}^j_{2,2}) = c \overline{\alpha}^j_{1,2} + (d - a \overline{\beta}) \overline{\phi}^j_{1,2}$$

The associated matrices are

$$G^j_1 = (g^j_1)_* = \begin{pmatrix} -a & b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad G^j_2 = (g^j_2)_* = \begin{pmatrix} -a & a \overline{\beta} - b \\ c & d - a \overline{\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G^j_1)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -d & b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (G^j_2)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} c \overline{\beta} - d & a \overline{\beta} - b \\ d - c \overline{\beta} & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The graph of the JSJ-decomposition of $M$ consists of $p + 1$ vertices corresponding to $Y_1, M^1_2, M^2_2, \ldots, M^p_2$, and $2p$ edges corresponding to $T^j_1$'s and $T^j_2$'s. See Figure 5.

By [WY], we can get a horizontal surface of $M$ from every non-zero solution of the following equation.

$$(Y - Z) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \overline{\lambda}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\lambda}_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{pmatrix}, \lambda, \overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_p, * \in \mathbb{Z}$$

12
where $Y$ and $Z$ are $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ matrices defined on page 450 of [WY]. The entries of $Y$ and $Z$ are decided by the gluing matrix. From [WY], we have $y_{1,i} = y_{i,1} = \frac{2}{c}, 2 \leq i \leq p+1$, and other entries of $Y$ are all zeroes. $Z = \text{diag}(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{p+1})$ is a diagonal matrix with $z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left( \frac{d}{c} + \frac{c\bar{e} - d}{c} \right) = p\bar{e} = e, z_i = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{a}{c} = 0, 2 \leq i \leq p+1$. The matrix equation (1.6) of [WY] becomes:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-e & \frac{2}{c} & \frac{2}{c} & \cdots & \frac{2}{c} \\
\frac{2}{c} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{2}{c} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{2}{c} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda \\
\bar{\lambda}_1 \\
\bar{\lambda}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\bar{\lambda}_p \\
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\ast \\
\ast \\
\vdots \\
\ast \\
\end{pmatrix}
\Rightarrow
\begin{cases}
-e\lambda + \frac{2p}{c}\bar{\lambda} = 0 \\
\frac{2}{c}\lambda = \ast
\end{cases}
$$

We may assume $\bar{\lambda}_j = \bar{\lambda}, 1 \leq j \leq p$. Then

$$
\begin{cases}
-e\lambda + \frac{2p}{c}\bar{\lambda} = 0 \\
\frac{2}{c}\lambda = \ast
\end{cases}
$$

We can construct horizontal surfaces $H_1, H_2^j$ from the solution of (1) such that the projection of $H_1$ to $F_1$ has degree $|\lambda|$ and that of $H_2^j$ to the base of $M_2^j$ has degree $|\bar{\lambda}|$ ([WY]). Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$. Then

\[
\frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}} = \frac{2p}{ce} = \frac{2}{c\bar{e}}, \quad \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \frac{ce}{2p} = \frac{c\bar{e}}{2}.
\]
Suppose that \( \partial H_1 \) on \( T^j_i \) with respect to the basis \( \{ \alpha^j_{1,i}, \phi^j_{1,i} \} \) is \( u^j_i \alpha^j_{1,i} + t^j_i \phi^j_{1,i} \) \((i = 1, 2)\), and \( \partial H^j_2 \) on \( T^j_i \) with respect to the basis \( \{ \bar{\alpha}^j_{2,i}, \bar{\phi}^j_{2,i} \} \) is \( \bar{u}^j_i \bar{\alpha}^j_{2,i} + \bar{t}^j_i \bar{\phi}^j_{2,i} \) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\). Then there are \( \epsilon^j_1, \epsilon^j_2 \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), so that equation (1.2) of [WY] takes on the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t^j_1}{u^j_1} &= \frac{\epsilon^j_1 \bar{\lambda}}{-\lambda c} - \frac{d}{c} = -\epsilon^j_1 \bar{c} - 2d \frac{2c}{\lambda c}, & \frac{\bar{t}^j_1}{\bar{u}^j_1} &= \frac{\epsilon^j_1 \lambda}{-\lambda c} + \frac{a}{-c} = -2\epsilon^j_1 - ac\bar{\bar{c}} \frac{2c}{\bar{\bar{c}}^2}, \\
\frac{t^j_2}{u^j_2} &= \frac{\epsilon^j_2 \bar{\lambda}}{\lambda c} \frac{c - d}{c} = 2d - (2 - \epsilon^j_2)\bar{c} \bar{\bar{c}} \frac{2c}{\lambda c}, & \frac{\bar{t}^j_2}{\bar{u}^j_2} &= \frac{\epsilon^j_2 \lambda}{\lambda c} + \frac{a}{c} = 2\epsilon^j_2 + ac\bar{\bar{c}} \frac{2c}{\bar{\bar{c}}^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Without lose of generality, we can assume \( \epsilon^1_1 = \epsilon^1_2 = \cdots = \epsilon^p_1 = \epsilon_1, \epsilon^1_2 = \epsilon^2_2 = \cdots = \epsilon^p_2 = \epsilon_2 \).

We have \( \sum_{j=1}^p \left( \frac{t^j_1}{u^j_1} + \frac{t^j_2}{u^j_2} \right) = 0 \), since \( H_1 \) is a horizontal surface. So

\[
p[-\epsilon_1 \bar{c} - 2d + 2d - (2 - \epsilon_2)\bar{c}] = 0
\]

\[
-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = 2.
\]

From the above equation, \( \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_1 = 1 \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t^j_1}{u^j_1} &= \frac{\bar{c} \bar{c} - 2d}{2c}, & \frac{\bar{t}^j_1}{\bar{u}^j_1} &= \frac{2 - ac\bar{\bar{c}}}{\bar{\bar{c}}^2} ; \\
\frac{t^j_2}{u^j_2} &= \frac{2d - \bar{c} \bar{\bar{c}}}{2c}, & \frac{\bar{t}^j_2}{\bar{u}^j_2} &= \frac{2 + ac\bar{\bar{c}}}{\bar{\bar{c}}^2} .
\end{align*}
\]

Also \( \frac{\bar{t}^j_1}{\bar{u}^j_1} + \frac{\bar{t}^j_2}{\bar{u}^j_2} + \frac{\bar{t}^j_3}{\bar{u}^j_3} = 0 \), so

\[
\frac{\bar{t}^j_3}{\bar{u}^j_3} = -\left( \frac{\bar{t}^j_1}{\bar{u}^j_1} + \frac{\bar{t}^j_2}{\bar{u}^j_2} \right) = -\frac{2 - ac\bar{\bar{c}} + 2 + ac\bar{\bar{c}}}{\bar{\bar{c}}^2} = -\frac{4}{\bar{\bar{c}}^2} .
\]

In fact, \( L^j_{i,j} \) double covers \( L^j_{i,j} \), so \( c = 2 \). Then, we can take \( \lambda = 1, \bar{\lambda} = \bar{\bar{c}} \). As in [ABZ], we take \( b = 0, a = d = 1 \). Since \( \bar{\bar{c}} \) is odd, we can determine \( t^j_i, u^j_i, \bar{t}^j_i \) and \( \bar{u}^j_i \), as following.
\[ t^j_1 = \frac{\bar{e} - 1}{2}, \quad u^j_1 = 1; \quad t^j_2 = \frac{1 - \bar{e}}{2}, \quad u^j_2 = 1 \]
\[ \tilde{t}^j_1 = \frac{1 - \bar{e}}{2}, \quad \tilde{u}^j_1 = \bar{e}; \quad \tilde{t}^j_2 = \frac{1 + \bar{e}}{2}, \quad \tilde{u}^j_2 = \bar{e}; \quad \tilde{t}^j_3 = -1, \quad \tilde{u}^j_3 = \bar{e}. \] (4)

\[ \partial H_1 \cap T^j_{1,i} \text{ has } |\frac{\lambda}{u^j_i}| = 1 \text{ component, } i = 1, 2, \text{ and } \partial H^j_{i} \cap T^j_{2,i} \text{ has } |\frac{\lambda}{u^j_i}| = 1 \text{ component, } i = 1, 2, 3. \] Since \( c^j_1 = -\epsilon^j_2, H = H_1 \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^p H^j_2) \) is non-orientable, so \( M \) is a surface semi-bundle. □

Next, we give the constructions for \( H_1 \) and \( H^j_2 \) in detail. The construction of \( H^j_2 \) is the same as the construction of \( H_2 \) in [ABZ].

Set \( \overline{\alpha}^j_0 = (B^j_2 \cap T^j). \overline{\phi}^j_0 \) is a fixed new fibre in \( T^j. \overline{\alpha}^j_0 \) and \( \overline{\phi}^j_0 \) are both geodesics. Then \( T^j \) is identified with \( \overline{\sigma}^j_0 \times \overline{\phi}^j_0 \) and \( Y^j_2 \) with \( \overline{\sigma}^j_0 \times \overline{\phi}^j_0 \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \). Separate \( \overline{\sigma}^j_0 \) into two parts, \( \overline{\sigma}^j_0 = I^j \cup J^j, \) where \( I^j \) meets \( L_{j,j} \). Take \( 0 < \delta < 1, \) then \( M^j_2 \) can be expressed as following

\[ M^j_2 = (\overline{\sigma}^j_0 \times \overline{\phi}^j_0 \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]) \setminus (I^j \times \overline{\phi}^j_0 \times (-\delta, \delta)). \]

As in [ABZ], we have \( H^j_2 = \Theta^j_- \cup \Theta^j_0 \cup \Theta^j_+ \). \( \Theta^j_- = \gamma_1 \times [-\epsilon, -\delta], \) where \( \gamma_1 \) is a fixed connected simple closed geodesic in \( T^j \times \{-\epsilon\} \) of slope \( \frac{1}{2\bar{e}} - \frac{1}{2} \) with respect to the basis \( \{\overline{\sigma}^j_0 \times \{-\epsilon\}, \overline{\phi}^j_0 \times \{-\epsilon\}\} \). \( \Theta^j_+ = \gamma_2 \times [\delta, \epsilon], \) where \( \gamma_2 \) is a fixed connected simple closed geodesic in \( T^j \times \{\delta\} \) of slope \( \frac{1}{2\bar{e}} - \frac{1}{2} \) with respect to the basis \( \{\overline{\sigma}^j_0 \times \{\delta\}, \overline{\phi}^j_0 \times \{\delta\}\}, \) see (4). (Note that \( \overline{\sigma}^j_0 \setminus \{\delta\} = -\overline{\alpha}^j_{2,2}. \) \( \Theta^j_0 \) is a surface in \( J^j \times \overline{\phi}^j_0 \times [-\delta, \delta] \) such that for each \( t \in (-\delta, \delta), \Theta^j_0 \cap T^j \times \{t\} \) is a union of \( |\bar{e}| \) evenly spaced geodesic arcs of slope \( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{2|\bar{e}|}. \) \( H^j_2 \) is everywhere transverse to the new fibres. \( H^j_2 \) is also transverse to the original fibres except when \( t = 0. \) Thus we can construct a surface fibration \( F^j_2 \) in \( M^j_2 \) by isotoping \( H^j_2 \) around the new fibres. Similar as [ABZ], Figure 6 illustrates one fibre of \( F^j_2 \) in \( M^j_2 \), when \( K = (\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}), \bar{e} = -3 \) and \( \delta \) is small enough.

Next we construct \( H_1 \) similarly to [ABZ]. Recall that \( B_1 \) is a horizontal section of \( Y_1 \to F_1, \) and \( B_1 \cap (T^j_{1,1} \cup T^j_{1,2}) \) are geodesics. \( F_1 \) is connected by Lemma 2.3, so \( B_1 \) is connected. For each \( j, \) fix a properly embedded arc \( \sigma^j \) in \( B_1 \) connecting \( T^j_{1,1} \) and \( T^j_{1,2} \) such that all \( \sigma^j, j = 1, \ldots, p \) are mutually disjoint (such set of arcs obviously exists). Let \( \sigma^j \times [-1, 1] \) be a regular neighborhood of \( \sigma^j \) in \( B_1 \) such that \( (\sigma^j \cap T^j_{1,1}) \times [-1, 1] \) is a subarc of \( \alpha^j_{1,1} \) which follows the orientation of \( \alpha^j_{1,1} \) as we pass from -1 to 1. Then \( H_1 \)
is obtained by replacing every $\sigma^j \times [-1, 1]$ in $B_1$ by a reimbedding of it which wraps around the $\phi^j$-direction $(\frac{1 - \tilde{e}}{2})$ times as we pass from -1 to 1 in $\sigma^j \times [-1, 1] \times \phi^j$.

Let $F_1$ be the corresponding surface fibration of $Y_1$ with $H_1$ as a surface fiber.

As in [ABZ], we may suppose that $\partial H_1 = \partial H^1 \cup \partial H^2 \cup \cdots \cup \partial H^p$ and that $F_1 \cup F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_p$ forms a semi-surface bundle $F$ in $M$, as described in Proposition 2.4

Step 3

Recall that $T_j = \tilde{f}^{-1}(L^{*}_{j,j})$ is vertical in the Seifert structure of $Y$. Let $U_{i,k} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(L^{*}_{i,k})$, so $L^{*}_{i,k} \subset U_{i,k}$. By Remark 2.1, we have $U_{i,j} \cap U_{k,j} = \phi_{1,j} \cup \phi_{2,j}$, $i \neq k$, and $U_{i,j} \cap U_{i,k} = \phi_{3,j}$, $j \neq k$, where $\phi_{r,j} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\epsilon_{r,j})$, $r = 1, 2$, and $\phi_{3,j} = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\tilde{c}_{3,i})$.

$L^{*}_{j,j}$ is a 2-fold cover of $L^{*}_{j,j}$, so it intersects each of $\phi_{1,j}, \phi_{2,j}$ and $\phi_{3,j}$ twice. Then $U_{i,k}^0 = U_{i,k} \cap M$ is a 6-punctured torus.

Let $U_{i,k}^{0,2l} = \tilde{f}^{-1}((L^{*}_{i,k})^l) \cap M$, $1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, 1 \leq l \leq 6$. $U_{i,k}^{0,2l-1}$ is a vertical annulus, and $U_{i,k}^{0,2l}$ is a vertical annulus with two punctures, $l = 1, 2, 3$. By the way that we define $(L^{*}_{i,k})^l$ in Step 2, $(U_{i,k}^{0,1} \cup U_{i,k}^{0,3} \cup U_{i,k}^{0,5}) \subset Y_1$, $(U_{i,k}^{0,2} \cup U_{i,k}^{0,4}) \subset M^k_2$, $U_{i,k}^{0,6} \subset M^l_2$ and $U_{i,k}^{0,2l} \cap \phi_{l,k} \neq \emptyset$, $l = 1, 2$, and $U_{i,k}^{0,6} \cap \phi_{3,i} \neq \emptyset$. 

Figure 6: One fibre of $F^1_2$ in $M^1_2$. 

...
Similarly, \( L_{i,k} \) is separated into 12 parts, \( L_{i,k}^1, L_{i,k}^2, \ldots, L_{i,k}^{12} \), such that \((L_{i,k}^1 \cup L_{i,k}^{l+6}) \subset U_{i,k}^{0,l}, 1 \leq l \leq 6\). We have \( \hat{f}(L_{i,k}^1) = \hat{f}(L_{i,k}^{l+6}) = (L_{i,k}^1)^* \).

Note that \((L_{i,k}^2 \cup L_{i,k}^{2l+6}) \cap \phi_{l,k} \neq \emptyset, l = 1, 2, \) and \((L_{i,k}^6 \cup L_{i,k}^{12}) \cap \phi_{3,i} \neq \emptyset\).

By Remark 2.1.

The angle between \( L_{j,j}^* \) and \( L_{i,j}^* \), \( i \neq j \), at \( \hat{c}_{1,j} \in \): \( (0, \pi) \quad i - j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \) (mod \( p \)), \( (\pi, 2\pi) \) otherwise.

The angle between \( L_{j,j}^* \) and \( L_{i,j}^* \), \( i \neq j \), at \( \hat{c}_{2,j} \in \): \( (\pi, 2\pi) \quad i - j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \) (mod \( p \)), \( (0, \pi) \) otherwise.

The angle between \( L_{j,j}^* \) and \( L_{j,k}^*, j \neq k \) at \( \hat{c}_{3,j} \in \): \( (\pi, 2\pi) \quad j - k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \) (mod \( p \)), \( (0, \pi) \) otherwise.

Now, we reorient \( \{L_{i,j}^* : 1 \leq i, j \leq p\} \) by changing the orientations of \( \{L_{i,j}^* : 1 \leq i - j \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \) (mod \( p \)), \( 1 \leq i, j \leq p\} \). We also change the orientations of the corresponding \( L_{i,j}^* \)'s.

After this reorientation, the angle between \( L_{j,j}^* \) and \( L_{i,j}^* \) at \( \hat{c}_{1,j} \in (\pi, 2\pi) \); the angle between \( L_{j,j}^* \) and \( L_{i,j}^* \) at \( \hat{c}_{2,j} \in (0, \pi) \); the angle between \( L_{j,j}^* \) and \( L_{j,k}^*, j \neq k \) at \( \hat{c}_{3,j} \in (0, \pi) \), \( i \neq j, j \neq k \) (here \( i \) may equal \( k \)).

If we equip \( \{L_{i,k}^*: 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, 1 \leq l \leq 12\} \) with the new induced orientation, then

\[
\begin{align*}
L_{i,k}^2 \text{ and } L_{i,k}^8 & \text{ lie in } T_1^k, \\
L_{i,k}^4 \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{10} & \text{ lie in } T_2^k, \\
L_{i,k}^6 \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{12} & \text{ lie in } T_2^i.
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
L_{i,k}^2 \text{ and } L_{i,k}^8 & \text{ lie in } T_1^k, \\
L_{i,k}^4 \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{10} & \text{ lie in } T_2^k, \\
L_{i,k}^6 \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{12} & \text{ lie in } T_1^i.
\end{align*}
\]

The induced orientation on other segments of \( L_{i,k}^* \)'s are described in Table 2, where \( r = 0 \) or 6. We get Table 2 from Table 1 by changing the orientation of \( \{L_{i,k}^*: 1 \leq i, k \leq p, 1 \leq i - k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\} \).

Fix a transverse orientation on the surface bundle \( \mathcal{F}_2^j \) in \( M_2^1 \). By the construction of \( H \) in Step 2, there are two singular points in the foliation of \( U_{i,k}^{0,2l} \) given by \( U_{i,k}^{0,2l} \cap \mathcal{F}_2 \) if \( \delta \) is small enough, \( l = 1, 2, 3 \) (cf. Figure 7). We can isotope \( L_{i,k}^{2l+r} \) in \( U_{i,k}^{0,2l} \) such that \( L_{i,k}^{2l} \) is transverse to \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \mathcal{F}_2^j \), and travels from the “—” side of \( \mathcal{F}_2^j \) to the “+” side (\( 1 \leq l \leq 3, r = 0, 6 \)). We only discuss the isotopy in \( M_2^1 \), and others are similar.
Table 2: The induced orientation on $L_{i,k}^{2l-1}$, $1 \leq l \leq 6$.

Note: $i - k$ is considered as a nonnegative integer mod $p$, $r = 0$ or 6.

Figure 7: $U_{i,1}^{0.2}$ and $U_{i,1}^{0.4}$. 
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By the definition of $U_{i,k}^0(1 \leq l \leq 6)$, $(U_{i,k}^{0,2} \cup U_{i,k}^{0,4} \cup U_{i,k}^{0,6}) \subset M_2^1$, $2 \leq i \leq p$. Suppose that $\delta$ is small enough so that the singular foliation on $U_{i,1}^{0,2}$, $U_{i,1}^{0,4}$ or $U_{i,1}^{0,6}$ induced by $\mathcal{F}_2^1$ has two singular points. The singular points on $U_{i,1}^{0,2l} \in \phi_{1,l}$ $(l = 1, 2)$, and the singular points on $U_{i,1}^{0,6} \in \phi_{3,1}$, $2 \leq i \leq p$. Recall that $L_{i,k}^1 \cup L_{i,k}^{1+6} \subset U_{i,k}^{0,l}$, $1 \leq l \leq 6$, so 

$$\{L_{i,k}^{2+} : 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, (k, l) = (1, 1), (1, 2), \text{ or } (i, l) = (1, 3), r = 0, 6) = L \cap M_2^1.$$

Let $S = \{(i, k, l, r) : 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, (k, l) = (1, 1), (1, 2), \text{ or } (i, l) = (1, 3), r = 0, 6\}$. Fix $(i, k, l, r) \in S$. By Lemma 2.1 in [ABZ], $L_{i,k}^{2+}$ is always perpendicular to the original fibers in $U_{i,k}^{0,2l}$. If $L_{i,k}^{2+}$ passes from the “+” to the “−” side of $\mathcal{F}_2^1$’s leaves along its orientation, we isotope $L_{i,k}^{2+}$ passing the singular point smoothly along the original fibers in a small neighborhood of $U_{i,k} \cap M_2^1$, and place them as in Figure 7.

By (5), $L_{i,1}^{2+} \subset U_{i,1}^{0,2}$ travels from $T_{2,1}^1$ to $T_{2,2}^1$; $L_{i,1}^{4+} \subset U_{i,1}^{0,4}$ travel from $T_{2,2}^1$ to $T_{2,1}^1$. Then $L_{i,1}^2$ and $L_{i,1}^4$ are in the different sides of their own singular points, so are $L_{i,1}^6$ and $L_{i,1}^{10}$. Also by (5), $L_{i,1}^{2+} \subset U_{i,1}^{0,4}$ and $L_{i,1}^{4+} \subset U_{i,1}^{0,6}$ are both travel from $T_{2,2}^1$ to $T_{2,1}^1$, so $U_{i,1}^{0,6} \cap \mathcal{F}_2^1$ is similar to $U_{i,1}^{0,4} \cap \mathcal{F}_2^1$. $U_{i,1}^{0,4} \cap \mathcal{F}_2^1$ is as shown in Figure 7-1, and $U_{i,1}^{0,4} \cap \mathcal{F}_2^1$ is as shown in Figure 7-2, in other words, they alternate with the singular points in the original circle fiber direction.

The isotopies are similar to that in [ABZ]. Next, we want to show that the above isotopies of $L_{i,k}^{2+}$ won’t block each other. Since $U_{i,1}^{0,2} \cap U_{k,1}^{0,2} = \phi_{1,1}$, $U_{i,1}^{0,4} \cap U_{k,1}^{0,4} = \phi_{2,1}$, and $U_{i,1}^{0,6} \cap U_{k,1}^{0,6} = \phi_{3,1}$, $2 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k$, potential obstructions happen in the set 

$$\{L_{i,1}^{2+} : 2 \leq i \leq p, r = 0, 6\}, \{L_{i,1}^{4+} : 2 \leq i \leq p, r = 0, 6\}, \text{ or } \{L_{i,1}^{6+} : 2 \leq i \leq p, r = 0, 6\}.$$ 

$L_{i,1}^2$ and $L_{i,1}^{2+}$ are in the different halves of $U_{i,1}^{0,2l}$ (cf. Figure 7), so they won’t block each other since the smooth $\phi$-vertical isotopy can not cross the punctures on $U_{i,1}^{0,2l}$. Next we check $\{L_{i,1}^2 : 2 \leq i \leq p\}$, and the discussions for $\{L_{i,1}^4 : 2 \leq i \leq p\}$ and $\{L_{i,1}^6 : 2 \leq i \leq p\}$ are similar. By (5), $L_{i,1}^2$ travels from $T_{2,1}^1$ to $T_{2,2}^1$ for any $2 \leq i \leq p$. We may assume that we need isolate $L_{i,1}^2$ smoothly along $\phi$ above its singular point, as shown in Figure 8-1, $2 \leq i \leq p$. (There is only half of $U_{i,1}^{0,2} \cap (T^1 \times [-\delta, \delta])$ in Figure 8-1). Although the singular points of $U_{i,1}^{0,2}$’s in $\phi_{1,1}$ are at different positions, and the distribution of the intersection points of $\phi_{1,1}$ and $\{L_{i,1}^2 : 2 \leq i \leq p\}$ may be different for different $(q_1, q_2, q_3)$, we can isotope $L_{i,1}^2$ in the positive direction of $\phi_{1,1}$ above all singular points, so they won’t block each other. Figure 8-2 illustrates the situation near $U_{i,1}^{0,4} \cap T^1 \times [-\delta, \delta]$. In Figure 8, $s_{i,1}^{2l}$ denotes the singular point of $L_{i,1}^{2l}$, for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $l = 1, 2$.

By generalizing the above discussion to $M_n^1$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, we have the following proposition which is analogous to Proposition 6.2 in [ABZ].
Proposition 2.5 Suppose $1 \leq j \leq p$. Fix a transverse orientation on $F_j^2$ in $M_j^2$. There is a smooth $\phi$-vertical isotope of $L_{i,j}$ and $L_{j,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$, $i \neq j$) in $\bigcup_{i=1,i\neq j}^p (U_{0,i,j}^0 \cup U_{j,i}^0)$, fixed outside a small neighborhood of $(\bigcup_{i=1,i\neq j}^p (U_{0,i,j}^0 \cup U_{j,i}^0)) \cap M_j^2$, which reposition $L_{i,j}^l$ and $L_{j,i}^k$ to be transverse to $F_j^2$ and to pass from the negative to the positive side of $F_j^2$’s leaves while traveling along the orientation on $L_{i,j}^l$ and $L_{1,j}^k$, where $1 \leq i \leq p$, $i \neq j; l = 2, 8, 4, 10; k = 6, 12$.

Proposition 2.5 finishes Step 3.

Step 4

At first we construct a double cover of $M$ similarly to [ABZ]. Set $M_0$ to be the 3-manifold obtained by cutting $M$ open along $\{T_j^2, 1 \leq j \leq p\}$. Take two copies of $M_0$, denoted $\tilde{M}_{0,1}$ and $\tilde{M}_{0,2}$. We have $\tilde{M}_{0,s} = Y_{1,s} \cup \tilde{M}_{1,s}^1 \cup \tilde{M}_{2,s}^2 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{M}_{p,s}^p$, where $Y_{1,s}$ is a copy of $Y_1$, and $\tilde{M}_{j,s}^j$ is a copy of $M_{j,s}^j$, $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $s = 1, 2$. $\tilde{M}$ is a 3-manifold obtained by gluing $\tilde{M}_{2,1}^1$ to $\tilde{Y}_{1,2}$ along $\tilde{T}_{2,1}^1$, and $\tilde{M}_{2,2}^2$ to $\tilde{Y}_{1,1}$ along $\tilde{T}_{2,2}^1$, where $\tilde{T}_{2,s}^j \subset \tilde{M}_{0,s}$ is a copy of $T_{2,s}^j$, $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $s = 1, 2$. The gluing map is the same as the one used to glue $M_{j,s}^j$ back to $Y_1$ to get $M$ for every $1 \leq j \leq p$. This construction is shown in Figure 9. It’s clear that $\tilde{M}$ is a free double cover of $M$. We denote $p_2$ to be the covering map. Then

$$p_2^{-1}(Y_1) = \tilde{Y}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{1,2}$$


\[ p_2^{-1}(M_{j}^{1}) = \tilde{M}_{2,1}^{j} \cup M_{2,2}^{j} \]

\[ p_2^{-1}(T_{r}^{j}) = \tilde{T}_{r,1}^{j} \cup \tilde{T}_{r,2}^{j} \quad (r = 1, 2) \]

\( \tilde{M} \) is also a graph manifold and Figure 9 illustrates the graph of the JSJ-decomposition of \( \tilde{M} \).

By construction, \( \tilde{M} \) is fibred. In Step 2, we construct \( H = H_1 \cup ( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} H_j^{2} ) \) as a leaf of the semi-bundle structure of \( M \). Let \( \tilde{H} = p_2^{-1}(H) \). It’s not hard to see that \( \tilde{H} \) is a connected orientable surface in \( \tilde{M} \).

Let

\[ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,2} \cup ( \bigcup_{s=1}^{2} \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,j}^{2} ) , \]

where \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,s} = p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \cap \tilde{Y}_{1,s}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2,s} = p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_2) \cap \tilde{M}_{2,s}, s = 1, 2. \) Then \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \) is a surface bundle in \( \tilde{M} \), and \( \tilde{H} \) is one leaf of \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \). Fix a transverse orientation for \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \) and let \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,s} \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2,s} \) have the induced transverse orientation, \( s = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq p. \)

\( p_2 \) can be extended to a double cover of \( Y \). Denote the covering space by \( \tilde{Y} \). \( \tilde{Y} \) inherits the Seifert fibred structure from \( Y \) with fibre \( \tilde{\phi} \). Let \( \tilde{\phi} \) have the inherited orientation from \( \phi \).

\[ \tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}_{1,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{1,2} \cup ( \bigcup_{s=1}^{2} \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{Y}_{s,j}^{2} ) \]

where \( \tilde{Y}_{2,1} \cup \tilde{Y}_{2,2} = p_2^{-1}(Y_2^{1}) \) and \( \tilde{M}_{2,s} \subset \tilde{Y}_{2,s}, s = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq p. \) By construction, \( p_2^{-1}(L_{j,j}) \) are two copies of \( L_{j,j} \), denoted \( \tilde{L}_{j,j,1} \) and \( \tilde{L}_{j,j,2}. \) \( \tilde{L}_{j,j,s} \subset \tilde{Y}_{2,s}, s = 1, 2. \) Then

\[ \tilde{M} = \tilde{Y} \setminus ( \bigcup_{s=1}^{2} \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(\tilde{L}_{j,j,s}) ) . \]

Equip \( \tilde{L}_{j,j,s} \) with the inherited orientation from \( L_{j,j}, 1 \leq j \leq p, s = 1, 2. \)
By the construction of $\tilde{M}$, $p_{2}^{-1}(U_{i,k})$ is a $\tilde{\phi}$-vertical torus, $1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k$. Let $\tilde{U}_{i,k} = p_{2}^{-1}(U_{i,k})$, and $\tilde{U}_{i,k}^0 = \tilde{U}_{i,k} \cap \tilde{M}$. Denote $p_{2}^{-1}(U_{i,k}^l) = \tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,l} \cup \tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,l+1}, 1 \leq l \leq 6$, where $(\tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,1} \cup \tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,3} \cup \tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,5}) \subset \tilde{Y}_{1,s}, (\tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,2} \cup \tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,4}) \subset \tilde{M}_{2,s},$ and $\tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,6} \subset \tilde{M}_{2,s}, s = 1, 2$. Denote $\tilde{L} = p_{2}^{-1}(L)$. $p_{2}^{-1}(L_{i,k})$ has two components, and two-fold trivially covers its Seifert quotient image, since $L_{i,k}$ is a two-fold cyclic cover of $L_{i,k}^1$. Then $\tilde{L}$ has $2p^2$ components. Suppose $(\tilde{L}_{i,k}^1 \cup \tilde{L}_{i,k}^{1+6}) \subset \tilde{U}_{i,k}^{0,1}$ to be the lift of $(L_{i,k}^1 \cup L_{i,k}^{1+6}) \subset U_{i,k}^0, 1 \leq l \leq 6$. Equip $\tilde{L}_{i,k}$ with the inherited orientation, $1 \leq l \leq 12, s = 1, 2$.

Now we fix $s = 1, 2$ in the following discussion.

By construction, proposition 2.5 holds for every $\tilde{M}_{2,s}, 1 \leq j \leq p$. In particular, we can isotope $\{ \tilde{L}_{i,j,s} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p, i \neq j, s = 1, 2 \}$ smoothly along $\tilde{\phi}$ such that $\{ \tilde{L}_{i,j,s}^{2+r} \cup \tilde{L}_{i,j,s}^{4+r} \cup \tilde{L}_{i,j,s}^{6+r} : 1 \leq i, j \leq p, i \neq j, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2 \}$ pass from the negative side to the positive side of $F_{i,s}$'s leaves when traveling along their orientation in $\tilde{M}_{2,s}$. This isotopy is fixed outside a small neighborhood of $\bigcup_{s=1}^{2} \bigcup_{i \neq j} (U_{i,j,s}^0 \cup U_{j,i,s}^0) \cap \tilde{M}_{2,s}$. Then $\{ \tilde{L}_{i,k,s} : 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, s = 1, 2 \}$ are transverse to $\{ F_{i,s} : 1 \leq k \leq p, s = 1, 2 \}$. Next, we perform Dehn twist operations a sufficiently large number of times on $\{ F_{1,s} : s = 1, 2 \}$ along a set of tori $\Gamma$, such that the new surface fiber is transverse to $\{ L_{i,k,s} : 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, s = 1, 2 \}$ everywhere. Unlike in [ABZ], here we need more vertical tori. $\Gamma$ consists $2p + 4 \tilde{\phi}$-vertical tori, $\{ \tilde{V}_{i,s}^j : 1 \leq j \leq p + 2, s = 1, 2 \}$. $\{ \tilde{V}_{i,s}^j : 1 \leq j \leq p, s = 1, 2 \}$ are $2p$ vertical tori, where $\tilde{V}_{i,s}^j$ parallel to $T_{1,s}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p, s = 1, 2$. In addition to these boundary parallel tori, we need 4 extra tori, which we will give the construction later.

$\tilde{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1}$ is a $\tilde{\phi}$-vertical annulus, $1 \leq l \leq 6$. $\tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \cup \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l+5} \subset \tilde{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1}$, and transverse to $\tilde{\phi}$ by Lemma 2.1 in [ABZ], $1 \leq l \leq 3$. By the construction of $\mathcal{F}$ in Step 2, we may assume that the oriented arcs $\tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \cup \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l+5}$ are always transverse from the negative to the positive side of $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ near the boundary of $\tilde{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1}, 1 \leq l \leq 3$. By the construction of $H_1$ in Step 2, $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is transverse to the original fibre $\tilde{\phi}$. $\mathcal{F}_{1,s} \cap \tilde{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1}$ gives another foliation of $\tilde{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1}, 1 \leq l \leq 3$. One leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{1,s} \cap \tilde{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1}$ is shown in Figure 10.

Let $\tilde{V}_{i,s}^j$ be a $\tilde{\phi}$-vertical torus in a small neighborhood of $T_{1,s}^j$ in $int(\tilde{Y}_{1,s})$ and parallel to $T_{1,s}^j, 1 \leq j \leq p, s = 1, 2$. By the above discussion we may assume that $\tilde{V}_{i,s}^j$ intersect $\tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \cup \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l+5}$ transversely, $1 \leq l \leq 3$.

Now we introduce a sign for every intersection point of an arc $\eta$ and a $\tilde{\phi}$-vertical torus $T$ in $\tilde{M}$. Suppose $\eta^*$ and $\nu^*$ are the images of $\eta$ and $T$ under the Seifert quotient map respectively. We say $\eta$ intersects $T$ positively if the algebraic intersection number $i(\eta^*, \nu^*) = +1$ (cf. Figure 11), otherwise we say $\eta$ intersects $T$ negatively. Equip the
Figure 10: Dehn twist of $\tilde{F}_{1,s}$. 
image of $\check{V}_s^j$ under the Seifert quotient map the same orientation as $\check{L}_{j,j,s}$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. It’s easy to see that if the tail of $\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{l+1+r}$ is in $\check{T}_{1,s}^j$, $\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{l+1+r}$ intersects $\check{V}_s^j$ positively, (cf. Figure 10-1), $1 \leq l \leq 3$, $r = 0, 6$.

The following remark is obtained from Table 2

**Remark 2.6** Any of $\{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{l+1+r}, i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2}, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\} \cup \{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{3+r}, i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2}, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\}$, intersects $\bigcup_{s=1}^{p} \bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \check{V}_s^j$ twice and in different signs, and any of $\{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{5+r}, 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\}$ intersects $\bigcup_{s=1}^{p} \bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \check{V}_s^j$ once and positively.

Note that $\bigcup_{s=1}^{p} \bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \check{V}_s^j$ only intersects the above arcs.

By the above remark, when we perform Dehn twist along $\{\check{V}_s^j : 1 \leq j \leq p, s = 1, 2\}$, the relation between $\{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{l+1+r}, i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2}, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\} \cup \{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{3+r}, i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2}, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\}$ and the new fibres are the same as the relation of those with the old fibres. To make $\{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{l+1+r}, i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2}, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\} \cup \{\check{L}_{i,k,s}^{3+r}, i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2}, r = 0, 6, s = 1, 2\}$ transverse to the new fibres, we need extra Dehn twists. We now construct $\check{V}_s^{p+1} \cup \check{V}_s^{p+2} \subset \check{Y}_{1,s}, s = 1, 2$. $\check{V}_s^{p+r}$ is the lift of $\phi$-vertical torus $V^{p+r} \subset Y_1$, where $V^{p+r} = \check{f}^{-1}(l_r), r = 1, 2$. $l_1$ and $l_2$ are two simple closed curves in $F_1$ and constructed as follows.

Recall that $\beta^j_1 = L_{i,j}^* \times \{-\epsilon\}$, $\beta^j_2 = L_{i,j}^* \times \{\epsilon\}$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. Let $a^j_r$ be the intersection of $\beta^j_1$ and a small disk centered at $\hat{c}_{1,j}$ with radius bigger than $\epsilon$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. Push $a^j_r$ into $F_1$ a little bit, as shown in Figure 12. By Remark 2.1, $\{L_{i,j}^*, 1 \leq i, j \leq p, i \neq j\}$ intersect $L_{j,j}^*$ at $\hat{c}_{1,j}$. Then $a^j_r \cap L_{i,j}^* \neq \emptyset$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p, i \neq j, r = 1, 2$. Since $\{L_{i,k}^*: 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k\}$ intersects $L_{j,j}^*$ only at $\hat{c}_{1,j}$, $\hat{c}_{2,j}$ and $\hat{c}_{3,j}$, we can take an
arc $b^j_i$ in $F_1$ which is parallel to $\beta^j_i$ and between $\hat{c}_{2,j}$ and $\hat{c}_{3,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq p$, $r = 1, 2$ (cf. Figure 12).

By Remark 2.1 and Remark 2.2 we can connect

$$
\begin{cases}
    a^j_1 \text{ and } b^j_1, & \text{by the arc parallel to } (L^3_{j+i+1,j})^*, \\
    b^j_1 \text{ and } a^{j+1}_{1+\frac{r}{2}}, & \text{by the arc parallel to } (L^1_{j,j+i+1})^*; \\
    a^j_2 \text{ and } b^j_2, & \text{by the arc parallel to } (L^3_{j+i-j-1,j})^*, \\
    b^j_2 \text{ and } a^{j+1}_{2+\frac{r}{2}}, & \text{by the arc parallel to } (L^1_{j,j+i-j-1})^*.
\end{cases}
\tag{6}
$$

$1 \leq j \leq p$. Note that the indices are in $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Then we get two simple closed curve $l_1$, and $l_2$ in $F_1$, (cf. Figure 12), $l_1$ and $l_2$ can be oriented as in Figure 12, such that the orientations on $a^j_1$ and $a^j_2$ are the same as the orientation on $L^*_i,j$.

By the construction of $l_1$ and $l_2$, they only intersect $L^*_i,k$ at the arcs $a^j_1$ and $a^j_2$, $1 \leq j \leq p$. $\{a^j_1 \cup a^j_2 : 1 \leq j \leq p\}$ only intersects the arcs of $L^*_i,k$ between $\hat{c}_{3,i}$ and $\hat{c}_{1,k}$, and between $\hat{c}_{1,k}$ and $\hat{c}_{2,k}$, i.e. $(L^*_i,k)^*$ by Remark 2.2, $l_1$ and $l_2$ intersects $(L^*_i,k)^*$ or $(L^3_{i,k})^*$ exactly once and does not intersect $(L^5_{i,k})^*$, $1 \leq i, k \leq p$, $i \neq k$. Moreover $i((L^*_i,k)^*, l_1) = i((L^3_{i,k})^*, l_1) = -1, r = 1, 2$, since the angle between $L^*_i,j$ and $L^*_{i,j}$ at $\hat{c}_{1,j} \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ after the reorientation in Step 3(cf. Figure 12). Since $\tilde{V}^{p+m}_s$ and $\tilde{L}^{2m-1+r}_{i,k,s}$ are the lifts of $V^{p+m}$ and $L^{2m-1+r}_{i,k}$ respectively, and their images under the Seifert quotient map are $l_m$ and $(L^{2m-1}_i)^*$, respectively, $m = 1, 2, r = 0, 6$, we have the following remark.

**Remark 2.7** $\bigcup_{s=1}^2 (\tilde{V}^{p+1}_s \cup \tilde{V}^{p+2}_s)$ intersects $\tilde{L}^{1+r}_{i,k,s}$ and $\tilde{L}^{3+r}_{i,k,s}$ exactly once and negatively, and $\bigcup_{s=1}^2 (\tilde{V}^{p+1}_s \cup \tilde{V}^{p+2}_s) \cap \tilde{L}^{5+r}_{i,k,s} = \emptyset$, $1 \leq i, k \leq p$, $i \neq k$, $r = 0, 6$.

Note that $l_1 \cup l_2 \cap \{L^*_i,j : 1 \leq j \leq p\} = \emptyset$, so we may suppose that the tori in $\Gamma$ are mutually disjoint.

Let $N(\tilde{V}^{j}_s)$ be a small regular neighborhood of $\tilde{V}^{j}_s$ in $\text{int}(\tilde{Y}_1,s)$ consisting of $\tilde{c}$-circle fibres, $1 \leq j \leq p+2$. We may suppose that $N(\tilde{V}^{j}_s) \cap N(\tilde{V}^{j}_s) = \emptyset$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq p+2; i \neq j)$ if we take the regular neighborhoods small enough. Define $\partial N(\tilde{V}^{j}_s) = \tilde{\partial}^{j}_i,s \cup \tilde{\partial}^{j}_2,s, 1 \leq j \leq p + 2$. $\tilde{\partial}^{j}_1,s$ and $\tilde{\partial}^{j}_2,s$ are two $\tilde{c}$-vertical tori, $1 \leq j \leq p + 2$.

By Remark 2.6 $\bigcup_{j=1}^p N(\tilde{V}^{j}_s)$ intersects each of $\tilde{L}^{5}_{i,k,s}$ and $\tilde{L}^{11}_{i,k,s}$ in a single arc, $1 \leq i, k \leq p$, $i \neq k$, $s = 1, 2$. We may assume that the tail of this arc (with the induced orientation) is contained in $\tilde{\partial}^{j}_i,s$, since $\{\tilde{L}^{5}_{i,k,s} \cup \tilde{L}^{11}_{i,k,s} : 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, s =$
Figure 12: $l_1$ and $l_2$
an annulus leaf

Figure 13: Dehn twist in \( N(\tilde{V}_j) \) in the direction opposite to the transverse orientation of \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \).

\[ \{1, 2\} \text{ intersects } \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(\tilde{V}_j) \text{ in the same sign. On the other hand, } N(\tilde{V}_s^{p+1}) \cup N(\tilde{V}_s^{p+2}) \text{ intersects each of } \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^1, \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^7, \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^3, \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^9 \text{ in a single arc, by Remark 2.7. We also assume that the tail of this arc (with the induced orientation) contained in } \tilde{\partial}_{1,s}^{p+r}, r = 1, 2. \]

Since \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \) is transverse to the old fibres, \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \cap N(\tilde{V}_j) \) is a foliation of \( N(\tilde{V}_j) \) by annuli, \( 1 \leq j \leq p+2, s = 1, 2 \). The Dehn twist operation on \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \), denoted by \( D_j^s \), wraps these annuli sufficiently large number of times around the \( \tilde{\varphi} \)-fibres in the direction opposite to the transverse orientation of \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \) as we pass from \( \tilde{\partial}_{1,s}^j \) to \( \tilde{\partial}_{2,s}^j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq p+2 \). Figure 13 shows one Dehn twist.

We perform Dehn twist operations on \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \), by two steps. At first, perform \( \{D_j^s, 1 \leq j \leq p\} \), then perform \( D_{s}^{p+1} \) and \( D_{s}^{p+2} \). Let \( \tilde{F}'_{1,s} \) be the new surface bundle obtained by Dehn twist operations \( \{D_j^s, 1 \leq j \leq p\} \) on \( \tilde{F}_{1,s} \). By Remark 2.6, there are two cases for the foliation of \( \tilde{U}_{0,1}^{i,k} \cap \tilde{F}_{1,s}^{i,k,s} \), \( s = 1, 2 \).

Case A. \( l = 1 \) and \( i - k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} \), or \( l = 2 \) and \( i - k > \frac{p-1}{2} \), \( 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k \)

In this case \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(\tilde{V}_j) \cap \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) and \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(\tilde{V}_j) \cap \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l+5} \) are both two arcs. In particular \( \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^1 \) and \( \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^7 \) intersect \( \tilde{V}_i \) and \( \tilde{V}_k \), and \( \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^3 \) and \( \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^9 \) intersect \( \tilde{V}_s \) twice by Table 2. Then \( \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) intersect \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(\tilde{V}_j) \) two times, and in different signs by Remark 2.6. If the tail of one arc of \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(\tilde{V}_j) \cap \tilde{\partial}_{1,s}^{j} \) lies in \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{\partial}_{1,s}^{j} \), the tail of the other one lies in \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{\partial}_{2,s}^{j} \). The same thing happens for \( \tilde{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l+5} \).
$U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is obtained from $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \hat{F}_{1,s}$ by $D_{i,k}^j$'s (1 ≤ j ≤ p, s = 1, 2) in a regular neighborhood of two $\hat{\phi}$-circle fibres in $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}$ in the direction opposite to the transverse orientation of $\hat{F}_{1,s}$ as we pass from $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \partial \hat{F}_{1,s}) \cap \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}$ to $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \partial \hat{F}_{1,s}) \cap \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}$, 1 ≤ l ≤ 3. Figure 10-2 shows $U_{i,k,s}^{0.3} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ and $U_{i,k,s}^{0.1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is similar to $U_{i,k,s}^{0.3} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$.

Now we perform an isotope of $\hat{F}_{1,s}$ in a small regular neighborhood of $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}$ in $\hat{Y}_{1,s}$, say $N(U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1})$, such that $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ changes back to $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ as shown in Figure 10-1, and $\partial N(U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}) \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ always keeps to be the same. The isotope is described as following. Push the whole arc $AB$ (like a finger move) along $\hat{\phi}$ in $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}$ in the direction opposite to the Dehn twist and the same times as the Dehn twist, meanwhile keep $\partial N(U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s})$ all the time (cf. Figure 10-2). We still call the surface bundle $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ after this isotope.

In case A, $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is same as $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$.

Case B. $l = 3, 1 ≤ i, k ≤ p, i ≠ k$.

In this case, $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(V_{i,k,s}^j) \cap Y_{i,k,s}^{2l-1}$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} N(V_{i,k,s}^j) \cap Y_{i,k,s}^{2l+5}$ are both one arc. The foliation of $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5}$ determined by $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is obtained from the foliation $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ by $D_{i,k}^j$'s (1 ≤ j ≤ p) in a regular neighborhood of one $\hat{\phi}$-circle fibre in $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5}$ in the direction opposite to the transverse orientation of $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ as we pass from $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \partial \hat{F}_{1,s}) \cap U_{i,k,s}^{0.5}$ to $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \partial \hat{F}_{1,s}) \cap U_{i,k,s}^{0.5}$ (cf. Figure 10-3).

Now we perform the Dehn twist operations $D_{i,k}^{p+1}$, $D_{i,k}^{p+2}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$.

Suppose $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is the new surface bundle of $\hat{Y}_{1,s}$ obtained by $D_{i,k}^{p+1}$ and $D_{i,k}^{p+2}$. By Remark 2.7, $(N(V_{i,k,s}^{p+1}) \cup N(V_{i,k,s}^{p+2})) \cap \hat{F}_{1,s}^{2l-1}$ and $(N(V_{i,k,s}^{p+1}) \cup N(V_{i,k,s}^{p+2})) \cap \hat{F}_{1,s}^{2l+5}$ are both one arc, $l = 1, 2$. Then the situation of $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is the same as case B in the first step (cf. Figure 10-3), $l = 1, 2$. The foliation of $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5}$ determined by $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ is the same as $U_{i,k,s}^{0.5} \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ because $(N(V_{i,k,s}^{p+1}) \cup N(V_{i,k,s}^{p+2})) \cap (U_{i,k,s}^{0.5}) = \emptyset$.

Finally like [ABZ], we adjust $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}$ by isotope which is the identity in a small regular neighborhood of $\partial \hat{Y}_{1,s}$ and outside a small regular neighborhood of $\bigcup_{l=1}^{3} (\bigcup_{1 \leq i,k \leq p} U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1})$ such that the interval foliation in each $U_{i,k,s}^{0.2l-1}$ becomes transverse to $\hat{F}_{1,s}^{2l-1} \cup \hat{F}_{1,s}^{2l+5}$, 1 ≤ l ≤ 3 (cf. Figure 10-4). We denote the resulting surface bundle $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}''$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}'' \cap \partial \hat{Y}_{1,s}$ is same as $\mathcal{F}_{1,s} \cap \partial \hat{Y}_{1,s}$, the resulting surface bundle $\mathcal{F}_{1,s}''$ in $\hat{M}$ is transverse to the link $\bigcup_{s=1}^{2} (\bigcup_{1 \leq i,k \leq p} \hat{L}_{i,k,s})$ every where. In other words, the exterior of $\bigcup_{s=1}^{2} (\bigcup_{1 \leq i,k \leq p} \hat{L}_{i,k,s})$ in $\hat{M}$ has a surface bundle structure, i.e., $\bigcup_{s=1}^{2} (\bigcup_{1 \leq i,k \leq p} \hat{L}_{i,k,s})$ is
a fibred link, i.e. \( K \) is a virtually fibred Montesinos knot. Now we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 when \( K \) is a classic Montesinos knot, and \( n = 3 \).

### 2.2 \( K \) is a link of two components.

The proof in this case is mostly same as in section 2.1, except for the following changes.

In this case, \( f : \tilde{K} \to K^* \) is a trivial 2-fold cover. Then \( L = \Psi^{-1}(\tilde{K}) \) is a geodesic link with \( 2p^2 \) components. Let \( L = \{ L_{i,j}^r : 1 \leq i, j \leq p, r = 1, 2 \} \). \( \hat{f}(L_{i,j}^r) = L_{i,j}^r \), \( r = 1, 2 \). Now we take \( M \) to be the complement of \( \{ L_{1,j}^j : 1 \leq j \leq p \} \) in \( Y \). As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we have \( M = Y_1 \cup M_2 \cup \cdots \cup M_p \), where \( M_j^2 = \hat{f}^{-1}(L_{j,j}^1 \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]) \). Note that \( L_{j,j}^1 \) is a fibre of the Seifert fibre structure on \( M_j^2 \), \( 1 \leq j \leq p \).

Since \( K \) has two components, and \( p \) is odd, we have \( q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \) is even. \( e = p^2 e(W_K) = -p(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \), \( \tilde{e} = \frac{e}{p} = -(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \) so \( |\tilde{e}| \) is even and non-zero. We use the definitions of \( a, b, c, d \) in the proof of Proposition 2.4, and in this case \( c = 1 \). We can take \( a = c = d = 1 \) and \( b = 0 \). i.e.

\[
\overline{\alpha}^j = \alpha^j, \quad \overline{\phi}^j = \alpha^j + \phi^j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.
\]

In the following discussion, we will always assume that \( 1 \leq j \leq p \) except for special indication.

By a similar analysis in Proposition 2.4 we can see that there are orientable horizontal surfaces \( H_1 \) in \( Y_1 \), and \( H_2^j \) in \( M_j^2 \) which piece together to form the semifibre \( H \) where the projection of \( H_1 \) to \( F_1 \) has degree \( |\lambda| = 1 \) and that of \( H_2^j \) to the base of \( M_j^2 \) has degree \( |\overline{\lambda}| = |\tilde{e}|^2 \).

Further, if we suppose that the slope of \( H_1 \) on \( T_1^j \) is given by \( u_1^j \alpha_{1,i}^j + t_1^j \phi_{1,i}^j \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)), and that of \( H_2^j \) on \( T_1^j \) is \( u_2^j \alpha_{2,i}^j + t_2^j \phi_{2,i}^j \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)). Then we get the following result from (2) and (3)

\[
\frac{t_1^j}{u_1^j} = \frac{\tilde{e} - 2}{2}, \quad \frac{t_2^j}{u_2^j} = \frac{2 - \tilde{e}}{2}, \quad \frac{t_1^j}{\overline{u}_1^j} = \frac{2 - \tilde{e}}{\tilde{e}}, \quad \frac{t_2^j}{\overline{u}_2^j} = \frac{2 + \tilde{e}}{\tilde{e}}, \quad \frac{t_3^j}{\overline{u}_3^j} = -\frac{4}{\tilde{e}}.
\]
Since $\tilde{e}$ is even, the values of the coefficients $u^j_i, t^j_i, \overline{u}^j_i, \overline{t}^j_i$ are given as following:

$$t^j_1 = \frac{\tilde{e}}{2} - 1, \quad u^j_1 = 1; \quad t^j_2 = 1 - \frac{\tilde{e}}{2}, \quad u^j_2 = 1;$$

$$\overline{t}^j_1 = 1 - \frac{\tilde{e}}{2}, \quad \overline{u}^j_1 = \frac{\tilde{e}}{2}; \quad \overline{t}^j_2 = 1 + \frac{\tilde{e}}{2}, \quad \overline{u}^j_2 = \frac{\tilde{e}}{2};$$

$$(\overline{t}^j_3, \overline{u}^j_3) = \begin{cases} (-2, \frac{\tilde{e}}{2}) \text{ if } \tilde{e} = 4k + 2, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \\
(-1, \frac{\tilde{e}}{4}) \text{ if } \tilde{e} = 4k, \end{cases}$$

As in 2.1 $H^j_2$ is a surface which interpolates between the slope $\frac{2 - \tilde{e}}{\tilde{e}}$ on $T^j_1$ and $\frac{2 + \tilde{e}}{-\tilde{e}}$ on $T^j_2$. $\partial H_1 \cap T^j_{1,r}$ has $|\lambda_1| = |\frac{1}{1}| = 1$ component $r = 1, 2$, and $\partial H_2 \cap T^j_{2,r}$ has $|\lambda_2| = |\frac{\tilde{e}/2}{\tilde{e}/2}| = 1$ component $r = 1, 2, 3$. Still $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2$ implies that $M$ is a surface semi-bundle. Denote the associated fibring in $M^j_2$ by $F^j_2$. $F^j_2$ is transverse to all the new fibres, and in particular to $L^2_{j,j}$.

Now $L^1_{j,j}$ only intersects the original fibre once, so $U^{0,2l}_{i,j}$ is a once-punctured annulus, and there is only one singular point on $U^{0,2l}_{i,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq p, i \neq j, l = 1, 2, 3$.

The intersection of $U^{0,2}_{i,1}$ with $F^2_2$ is depicted in Figure 14-1, $U^{0,4}_{i,1} \cap \mathcal{F}^1_2$ is depicted in Figure 14-2, and $U^{0,6}_{i,1} \cap \mathcal{F}^1_2$ is similar to $U^{0,4}_{i,1} \cap \mathcal{F}^1_2, 2 \leq i \leq p$. The previous argument can be proceed without significant change to produce the desired surface bundle.
3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 in Case (2).

In this section, we consider classic Montesinos link \( K(q_1/p, q_2/p, \ldots, q_n/p) \), where \( n > 3 \), \( p \) odd and \( p \geq 3 \). We do not consider the case \( e(W_K) = 0 \), otherwise \( K \) is virtually fibred by Theorem 1.2 \([ABZ]\).

We still consider \( K \) is a knot first, and prove Theorem 1.1 by the same four steps as in Sec 2.1.

The Seifert structure is \( f : W_K \to S^2(p,p,\ldots,p) \), and \( \Gamma_1 = \pi_1(S^2(p,p,\ldots,p)) \) has a presentation

\[
\Gamma_1 = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \mid x_1^p = \cdots = x_n^p = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = 1 \rangle.
\]

Define \( h_1 \) similarly as in Sec. 2.1.

\[
h_1 : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{Z}/p
\]

where \( h_1(x_1) = \bar{1}, h_1(x_2) = -\bar{1}, \) and \( h_1(x_i) = 0 \), for \( 3 \leq i \leq n \). Let \( \psi_1 : F' \to S^2(p,p,\ldots,p) \) be the \( p \)-fold cyclic orbifold cover of \( S^2(p,p,\ldots,p) \) corresponding to \( h_1 \). Then \( F' = S^2(p,p,\ldots,p) \) and

\[
\Gamma_2 = \pi_1(F') = \langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{(n-2)p} \mid y_1^p = y_2^p = \cdots = y_{(n-2)p}^p = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{(n-2)p} = 1 \rangle.
\]

Define

\[
h_2 : \Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{Z}/p
\]

where \( h_2(y_i) = \bar{1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq (n-2)p \). Suppose that \( \psi_2 : F \to F' \) is the \( p \)-fold cyclic orbifold cover of \( F' \) corresponding to \( h_2 \), and \( \psi = \psi_1 \circ \psi_2 \). Now let \( \Psi : Y \to W_K \) be the associated \( p^2 \)-fold cover. \( L = \Psi^{-1}(\bar{K}) \) is still a geodesic link in \( Y \) with exactly \( p^2 \) components. We use the same notations as in section 2. \( L = \{L_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq p \} \), \( \hat{f}(L_{i,j}) = L_{i,j}^* \), \( 1 \leq i, j \leq p \), and \( \psi^{-1}(c_i) = \hat{c}_{i,j} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p \).
Let \( M = Y \setminus ( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \hat{N}(L_{j,j})) \). By the same process from Step 2 to Step 4 as described in section 2.1, we can find a double cover of \( M \), say \( \tilde{M} \) and a surface bundle \( \tilde{F}'' \) in \( \tilde{M} \) such that it is transverse to the lift of \( L_{i,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq p, i \neq j \).

The only thing different here is the construction of \( \Gamma \). In Step 4, we perform Dehn twist operations on \( \tilde{F}_{l,s} \) along a set of \( \hat{\phi} \)-vertical tori \( \Gamma \) such that the new surface fibre is transverse to \( \tilde{L}_{i,j} \) everywhere, \( 1 \leq l \leq 2n, s = 1, 2, 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k \). \( \Gamma = \{ \hat{V}_{1}^{s}, \hat{V}_{2}^{s} : s = 1, 2 \} \) contains four tori not \( p+4 \) as in Case (1). They are the lifts of two \( \hat{\phi} \)-vertical tori, \( \hat{V}_{r} = \hat{f}^{-1}(l_{r}), r = 1, 2 \). \( l_{1} \) and \( l_{2} \) are two simple closed curves on \( F \), which intersect all \( (\tilde{L}_{i,j})^{*} \)'s once or twice when \( n \geq 5 \) in the same signs, \( 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k \), and \( 1 \leq l \leq n \).

Note that we always suppose that \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq p, i \neq k \).

Recall that \( F_{2}^{l} = \tilde{L}_{j,j}^{*} \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \), and \( F_{1} = \tilde{F} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{F}_{j}^{2} \). As before, \( \tilde{L}_{i,k}^{*} \) is separated into \( 2n \) parts by \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{F}_{j}^{2} \) and \( F_{1} \). Table 1 is still true in this case. In addition, if \( 4 \leq l \leq n \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{the tail of } (\tilde{L}_{i,k})^{*} & \in \begin{cases} 
\beta_{2}^{i} & i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2}, \\
\beta_{1}^{i} & i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2},
\end{cases} \\
\text{the head of } (\tilde{L}_{i,k})^{*} & \in \begin{cases} 
\beta_{1}^{i} & i - k \leq \frac{p - 1}{2}, \\
\beta_{2}^{i} & i - k > \frac{p - 1}{2}.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

As in Step 3 in Section 2, we can reorient \( \{ L_{i,k}, 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k \} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{the tail of } & \begin{cases} 
L_{i,k}^{2} \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{2+2n} \text{ lie in } T_{1}^{k}, \\
L_{i,k}^{4} \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{4+2n} \text{ lie in } T_{2}^{k}, \\
L_{i,k}^{2l} \text{ and } L_{i,k}^{2l+2n} \text{ lie in } T_{2}^{i}, 3 \leq l \leq n.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Table 2 is also true in this case and the tail of \( L_{i,k}^{2l-1+r} \) is in \( T_{1}^{i}, 4 \leq l \leq n, r = 0, 2n \).

The construction of \( l_{1} \) and \( l_{2} \) is similar as before.

\( a_{j}^{l} \) is still the intersection of \( \beta_{j}^{l} \) and a small disk centered at \( \hat{c}_{i,j} \) with radius bigger than \( \epsilon \), \( 1 \leq j \leq p, r = 1, 2 \). Push \( a_{j}^{l} \) into \( F_{1} \) a little bit. \( b_{j}^{l} \) is different. We take \( b_{j}^{l} \)
in \( F_1 \) which is parallel to \( \beta_i^j \) and between \( \hat{c}_{2,j} \) and \( \hat{c}_{n,j}, 1 \leq j \leq p, r = 1, 2 \). Then 
\[
\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} (a_i^j \cup a_i^j) \text{ intersects } (L_i^{2l-1})^* \text{ once, where } l = 1, 2, \text{ and } \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} (b_i^j \cup b_i^j), \text{ intersects } (L_i^{5})^* \text{ and } (L_i^{2n-1})^* \text{ both once and intersect } (L_i^{2l-1})^* \text{ twice where } 3 < l < n, 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k.
\]

We still can connect \( a_i^j \)'s and \( b_i^j \)'s by the same arcs as in (6), \( 1 \leq j \leq p, r = 1, 2 \). See Figure 15 in the case \( n = 4 \), and Figure 16 in the case \( n = 5 \). When \( n > 5 \), \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are similar to the ones in the case \( n = 5 \).

\[
\hat{V}_s^1 = p_2^{-1}(V^1) \cap \hat{Y}_{1,s}, \hat{V}_s^2 = p_2^{-1}(V^2) \cap \hat{Y}_{1,s}, s = 1, 2. \text{ Recall that } p_2 : \hat{M} \to M \text{ is the double cover of } M. \text{ We have Remark 3.1 by the construction of } l_1 \text{ and } l_2.
\]

**Remark 3.1** \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1+r} \) 's intersect \( \{ \hat{V}_s^1, \hat{V}_s^2 : s = 1, 2 \} \) negatively. In addition, \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1+r} \) intersects \( \{ \hat{V}_s^1, \hat{V}_s^2 : s = 1, 2 \} \) exactly once when \( l = 1, 2, 3, n \), and twice when \( 3 < l < n \), where \( 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, r = 0, 2n \).

By Remark 3.1, we can define \( \partial(N(\hat{V}_s^r)) = \hat{\partial}_1^r \cup \hat{\partial}_2^r \) such that the tail of \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) and \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1+2n} \) on \( \partial_1^{r}, r = 1, 2, s = 1, 2, 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, 1 \leq l \leq n \).

Now we perform a Dehn twist operation \( D_i^r \) which wraps these annuli \( m \) times around the \( \hat{\partial} \)-fibres in the direction opposite to the transverse orientation of \( \hat{F}_{1,s} \) as we pass from \( \hat{\partial}_1^{r} \) to \( \hat{\partial}_2^{r} \), \( r = 1, 2, s = 1, 2 \). By Remark 3.1 \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) intersects \( \hat{V}_s^1 \cup \hat{V}_s^2 \) once, \( \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1} \cap \hat{F}_{1,s} \) is similar as in Figure 10, \( l = 1, 2, 3, n \). When \( 3 < l < n \), \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) intersects \( \hat{V}_s^1 \cup \hat{V}_s^2 \) twice and in the same sign. \( \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1} \cap \hat{F}_{1,s} \) is shown in Figure 17-1. In this case, \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) goes from \( \hat{T}_{1,s}^i \) to \( \hat{T}_{2,s}^i \). Now \( \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1} \cap \hat{F}_{1,s} \) is wrapped \( 2m \) times around the \( \hat{\partial} \)-fibres in the direction opposite to the transverse orientation of \( \hat{F}_{1,s} \) as we pass from \( \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1} \cap \hat{T}_{1,s}^i \) to \( \hat{U}_{i,k,s}^{0,2l-1} \cap \hat{T}_{2,s}^i \), \( 1 \leq i, k \leq p, i \neq k, 3 < l < n \). We adjust \( \hat{F}_{1,s} \) by isotope, and denote the resulting surface bundle \( \hat{F}'' \) in \( \hat{M} \) such that it is transverse to \( \hat{L}_{i,k,s}^{2l-1} \) as shown in Figure 17-2.

Now we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Case (2) when \( K \) is a knot. If \( K \) has two components, Theorem 1.1 can be proved by combining Sec. 2.2 with the argument in this section.
Figure 15: $l_1$ and $l_2$ when $n = 4$. 
Figure 16: $l_1$ and $l_2$ when $n = 5$. 
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Figure 17: Dehn twist of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,s}$. 
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