FIRST CONIVEAU NOTCH OF THE DWORK FAMILY AND ITS MIRROR
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ABSTRACT. If $X_{\lambda}$ is a smooth member of the Dwork family over a perfect field $k$, and $Y_{\lambda}$ is its mirror variety, then the motives of $X_{\lambda}$ and $Y_{\lambda}$ are equal up to motives that are in coniveau $\geq 1$. If $k$ is a finite field, this provides a motivic explanation for Wan's congruence between the zeta functions of $X_{\lambda}$ and $Y_{\lambda}$.

INTRODUCTION

Let $k$ be a field. We consider the Dwork family of hypersurfaces $X_{\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by the equation
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} X_{i+1}^n + \lambda X_0 \cdots X_n = 0
\]
with the parameter $\lambda \in k$. The variety $X_{\lambda}$ is a Calabi-Yau manifold when $X_{\lambda}$ is smooth. On each member $X_{\lambda}$ there is a group action by the kernel $G$ of the character $\mu_{n+1}^n \to \mu_{n+1}^n$, $(\zeta_i) \mapsto \prod_i \zeta_i$, given by
\[
G \times X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}, \quad (\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_n) \cdot (x_0 : \cdots : x_n) = (\zeta_0 x_0, \ldots, \zeta_n x_n).
\]
The quotient $X_{\lambda}/G$ is a hypersurface with trivial canonical bundle in a toric Fano variety and a singular mirror of $X_{\lambda}$ [B]. If $Y_{\lambda}$ is a crepant resolution of $X_{\lambda}/G$ (which exists but in general is not unique) then $(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda})$ provides an example of a mirror pair. Since the birational geometry of $Y_{\lambda}$ is independent of the choice of the resolution a natural question arises: to compare the birational motives of $X_{\lambda}$ and $Y_{\lambda}$. For a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points modulo $q^m$ is a birational invariant and D. Wan asked to compare the number of rational points of a mirror pair [W]. In the case of the Dwork family he proved a mirror congruence formula [W, Theorem 1.1]:
\[
\#X_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) = \#Y_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \mod q^m
\]
for every positive integer $m$. Fu and Wan studied more general mirror pairs which come from quotient constructions and obtained under certain assumptions on the action of $G$ (see Theorem 3.7) a congruence formula [FW]:
\[
(0.0.1) \quad \#X(\mathbb{F}_q^m) = \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \mod q^m.
\]
The same formula is proved in [BBE, Corollary 6.12] with different assumptions.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. The first theorem compares the motives of $X_{\lambda}$ and $Y_{\lambda}$ when $X_{\lambda}$ is a member of the Dwork family, and provides Wan’s congruence formula as a consequence. We also explain what can be expected for general quotient constructions in §3. In the second theorem we prove a congruence formula for a quotient singularity $X/G$ and a resolution of singularities $Y \to X/G$:
\[
\#X/G(\mathbb{F}_q^m) = \#Y(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \mod q^m.
\]
Thus $1.1$ is sufficient in order to get $\# X(\mathbb{F}_q^n) = \# Y(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ modulo $q^m$.

We state now our theorems and several consequences. By a motive we understand a pair $(X, P)$ with $X$ a smooth projective variety and $P \in \text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ a projector. The morphisms are correspondences in rational coefficients; the Lefschetz motive is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(-1) := (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times p)$ with $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$. For $X_\lambda$ the cycle $F = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \Gamma(g)$, where $\Gamma$ denotes the graph, is a projector.

**Theorem.** Let $k$ be a perfect field, and $n \geq 2$. We assume that $\text{char}(k) \nmid n + 1$ if the characteristic of $k$ is positive. Let $X_\lambda$ be a smooth member of the Dwork family. Then there are motives $N, N'$ such that

$$(X_\lambda, \text{id}) \cong (X_\lambda, P) \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_\lambda, \text{id}) \cong (X_\lambda, P) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1).$$

For a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ the eigenvalues of the geometric Frobenius acting on $H^*_a(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = H^*_a(N) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$ lie in $q \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, and by using Grothendieck’s trace formula this implies Wan’s theorem \cite{W}. For $k = \mathbb{C}$ the theorem of Arapura-Kang on the functoriality of the coniveau filtration $N^*$ allows us to conclude that

$$\text{gr}_N^0(H^*(X_\lambda, \mathbb{Q})) \cong \text{gr}_N^0(H^*(Y_\lambda, \mathbb{Q}))$$

as Hodge structures (see Corollary \ref{corollary}.

We now describe our method. We use birational motives in order to reduce to a statement for zero cycles over $\mathbb{C}$: $\text{CH}_0(X_\lambda) = P \circ \text{CH}_0(X_\lambda)$, i.e. $P$ acts as identity. To prove this we consider, additionally to $G$, the action of the symmetric group $S_{n+1}$ acting via permutation of the homogeneous coordinates. The transpositions act as $-1$ on $H^0(X_\lambda, \omega_{X_\lambda})$ and the quotients $X_\lambda/H$ for suitable subgroups $H$ of $G \times S_{n+1}$ can be shown to be $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties. By the theorem of Zhang \cite{Z} these are rationally chain connected, which yields sufficiently many relations for the zero cycles on $X_\lambda$ to prove the claim.

**Theorem.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective $\mathbb{F}_q$-variety with an action of a finite group $G$. Let $\pi : X \to X/G$ be the quotient, and $f : Y \to X/G$ be a birational map, where $Y$ is a smooth projective variety. Then

$$\# Y(\mathbb{F}_q) = \# X/G(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad \text{mod} \ q.$$  

For the proof we use the action of the geometric Frobenius $F$ on étale cohomology. Suppose that $Z \subset X/G$ is the set where $f$ is not an isomorphism, then $F$ acts on the cohomology with support in $Z$ with eigenvalues in $q\mathbb{Z}$. This is proved by reduction to the case $\pi^{-1}(Z) \subset X$ via a trace map argument. Counting points with Grothendieck’s trace formula yields the result.
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\section{Zero cycles and the first notch of the coniveau}

\subsection{Notation.} Let $k$ be a field. By a motive we understand a pair $(X, P)$ with $X$ a smooth projective variety over $k$ and $P \in \text{Hom}(X, X)$ a projector in the algebra
of correspondences. The correspondences are defined to be
\[ \text{Hom}(X, Y) = \oplus_i \text{CH}^{\dim X_i}(X_i, Y), \]
where \( X_i \) are the connected components of \( X \). Here and in the following we use Chow groups with \( \mathbb{Q} \) coefficients. Note that we work with effective motives only.

We simply write \( X = (X, id_X) \) for the motive associated with \( X \). The motives form a category \( \mathcal{M}_k \) with morphism groups
\[ \text{Hom}((X, P), (Y, Q)) = Q \circ \text{Hom}(X, Y) \circ P \subset \text{Hom}(X, Y). \]

The sum and the product in \( \mathcal{M} \) are defined by disjoint union and product:
\[ (X, P) \oplus (Y, Q) = (X \cup Y, P + Q) \]
\[ (X, P) \otimes (Y, Q) = (X \times Y, P \times Q) \]

We denote by \( \mathbb{Q}(-1) \) the Lefschetz motive, i.e. \( \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{Q}(0) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1) \). We set \( \mathbb{Q}(a) := \mathbb{Q}(-1)^{\otimes -a} \) for \( a < 0 \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(0) := \text{Spec}(k) \). If \( X \) is connected then
\[ \text{Hom}((X, P) \otimes (a), (Y, Q) \otimes (b)) = P \circ \text{CH}^{\dim X - a + b}(X \times Y) \circ Q. \]

If \( M \) is a motive, we define
\[ \text{CH}^i(M) := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}(-i), M), \quad \text{CH}_i(M) := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}(-i)) \]
for \( i \geq 0 \) and \( \text{CH}^i(M) = 0 = \text{CH}_i(M) \) for \( i < 0 \). We have
\[ \text{CH}^i(M \otimes (a)) = \text{CH}^{i+a}(M), \quad \text{CH}_i(M \otimes (a)) = \text{CH}_{i+a}(M) \]
for all \( i \geq 0 \) and \( a \leq 0 \). Note that for a motive \( M = (X, P) \) with \( X \) connected of dimension \( n \) the equality \( \text{CH}_i(M) = \text{CH}^{n-i}(M) \) in general doesn’t hold.

If \( k \subset L \) is an extension of fields then \((X, P) \mapsto (X \times_k L, P \times_k L)\) defines a functor
\[ \times_k L : \mathcal{M}_k \to \mathcal{M}_L. \]

The following Proposition is a consequence of the theory of birational motives [KS] due to B. Kahn and R. Sujatha. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let \( k \) be a perfect field and \( X \) be connected.

(i) A motive \( M = (X, P) \) can be written as \( M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \) with some motive \( N \) if and only if \( \text{CH}_0(M \times_k L) = 0 \) for some field extension \( L \) of the function field \( k(X) \) of \( X \).

(ii) There exists an isomorphism \( M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(a) \) with some motive \( N \) and \( a < 0 \) if and only if \( \text{CH}_i(M \times_k L) = 0 \) for all \( i < -a \) and all field extensions \( k \subset L \).

**Proof.** (i) If \( M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \) then \( M \times_k L \cong (N \times_k L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \) and therefore \( \text{CH}_0(M \times_k L) = 0 \) by [1.1.1].

Suppose now that \( \text{CH}_0(M \times_k L) = 0 \). By the same arguments as in [BS Proposition 1] we have
\[ (1.2.1) \quad P \in \text{image} \left( \text{CH}^{\dim D}(X \times D) \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_k)^*} \text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X) \right) \]
for some effective (not necessarily irreducible) Divisor \( \iota : D \to X \). For the convenience of the reader we recall the proof. It is well-known that
\[ \text{CH}_0(X \times_k k(X)) \to \text{CH}_0(X \times_k L) \]
is injective, and therefore $\text{CH}_0(M \times_k L) = 0$ implies $\text{CH}_0(M \times_k k(X)) = 0$. Let $\tau$ be the composite

$$\tau : \text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times X) \to \lim_{U \subset X} \text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times U) = \text{CH}^{\dim X}(X \times k(X)),$$

where the limit is over all open subsets $U \subset X$. It is easy to see that the equality $0 = (P \times_k k(X)) \circ \tau(\Delta_X) = \tau(P)$ holds, which shows $\text{I2.1}$

Let $Y \to D$ be an alteration such that $Y$ is regular (and thus smooth), and denote by $f : Y \to D \to X$ the composite. We have $P = (id_X \times f)_*(Z)$ for a suitable cycle $Z \in \text{CH}^{\dim Y}(X \times Y)$. Define $Q \in \text{End}(Y)$ by $Q = Z \circ P \circ \Gamma(f)^t$ where $\Gamma(f)^t \in \text{CH}^{\dim X}(Y \times X)$ is the graph of $f$. The equality $\Gamma(f)^t \circ Z = P$ implies $Q^2 = Q$. It is easy to check that

$$(Y, Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \xrightarrow{P \circ \Gamma(f)^t} (X, P) \xrightarrow{Z \circ P} (Y, Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$

are inverse to each other, so that $(Y, Q) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1) \cong (X, P)$ as claimed.

(ii) By induction on $a$ and using (i).

$\square$

1.3. Motives associated with morphism. Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a finite surjective morphism of degree $d$, where $X$ is connected, smooth and projective, but $Z$ may be singular. The cycle $X \times_Z X \subset X \times X$ gives a projector $P = 1/d \cdot [X \times_Z X] \in \text{End}(X)$ and we write $(X, \pi) := (X, P)$ for the corresponding motive.

If $\pi : X \to Y$ is a surjective morphism between connected, smooth and projective varieties of the same dimension, then the graph $\Gamma(\pi)$ of $\pi$ gives morphisms $\Gamma(\pi)(\pi) \in \text{Hom}(Y, X)$ and $\Gamma(\pi)^t \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$. Let $d$ be the degree of $\pi$, and $Q$ be a projector; since $\Gamma(\pi)^t \circ \Gamma(\pi) = d \cdot \text{id}_Y$ the correspondence $P = 1/d \cdot \Gamma(\pi) \circ Q \circ \Gamma(\pi)^t$ is a projector and $(X, P) \cong (Y, Q)$.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let $k$ be a perfect field. In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
Y & & 
\end{array}$$

we assume that $X, Y$ are smooth, connected and projective varieties of the same dimension, the morphism $\pi$ is finite and surjective, and $f$ is birational. The following holds:

(i) The motive $(X, \pi)$ is a direct summand in $Y$.

(ii) If $X = (X, \pi) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ for some motive $N'$, then

$$Y \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$

for some motive $N$.

**Proof.** (i) We write $S$ for the unique irreducible component of $X \times_Z Y$ of dimension $\dim X$. Choose an alteration $g : W \to S$ with $W$ regular, $W$ is smooth since $k$ is perfect.

Via $g_1 := pr_1 \circ g$ (resp. $g_2 := pr_2 \circ g$) the motives $X, (X, \pi)$ (resp. $Y$) are direct summands of $W$, we write $P_X, P_{(X, \pi)}, P_Y$ for the corresponding projectors. The
inclusion \((X, \pi)\) factors through \(Y\) if and only if \(P_{(X, \pi)} \circ P_Y = P_Y \circ P_{(X, \pi)} = P_{(X, \pi)}\) in \(\text{End}(W)\). We have

\[
\deg(g)^2 \deg(\pi)^2 \cdot P_Y \circ P_{(X, \pi)} = \Gamma(g_2) \circ \Gamma(g_2)^t \circ \Gamma(g_1) \circ \Gamma(g_1)^t
\]
\[
= \deg(g) \cdot \Gamma(g_2) \circ [S] \circ [X \times Z X] \circ \Gamma(g_1)^t
\]
\[
= \deg(g) \cdot [W \times Z X] \circ [X \times Z X] \circ \Gamma(g_1)^t
\]
\[
= \deg(g) \cdot \deg(\pi) \cdot [X \times Z X] \circ [X \times Z X] \circ \Gamma(g_1)^t
\]
\[
= \deg(g)^2 \deg(\pi)^2 \cdot P_{(X, \pi)}
\]

That \(P_{(X, \pi)} \circ P_Y = P_{(X, \pi)}\) can be proved in the same way. Note that

\[(X, \pi) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(g_1)} W \xrightarrow{\Gamma(g_2)^t} Y\]

do not depend on the choice of \(W\), i.e. \((X, \pi)\) is in a natural way a direct summand in \(Y\). Indeed, if \(h : W' \to W\) then

\[\Gamma(g_2 \circ h)^t \circ \Gamma(g_1 \circ h) = \Gamma(g_2)^t \circ \Gamma(h) \circ \Gamma(g_1) = \Gamma(g_2)^t \circ \Gamma(g_1),\]

and for another choice \(W''\) we may find \(W'\) dominating \(W\) and \(W''\).

(ii) Write \(Y \cong (X, \pi) \oplus M\). Let \(L \supset k\) be a field extension, we have \((X \times_k L, \pi \times_k L) \oplus M \times_k L\). The map \(S \times_k L \to X \times_k L\) is birational and \(X\) is smooth, thus

\[\text{CH}_0(S \times_k L) \cong \text{CH}_0(X \times_k L) \cong \text{CH}_0(X \times_k L, \pi \times_k L)\]

The pushforward \(\text{CH}_0(S \times_k L) \to \text{CH}_0(Y \times_k L)\) is surjective, and therefore

\[\text{CH}_0(Y \times_k L) = \text{CH}_0(X \times_k L, \pi \times_k L)\]

and \(\text{CH}_0(M \times_k L) = 0\). According to Proposition 1.2 this shows \(M \cong N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)\).

1.5. Coniveau filtration. Let \(k = \mathbb{C}\), we work with the singular cohomology in rational coefficients \(H^i(X) := H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})\) for \(i \geq 0\). The coniveau filtration \(N^p H^i(X)\) is defined to be

\[N^p H^i(X) := \bigcup_S \ker(H^i(X) \to H^i(X - S)),\]

where \(S\) runs through all algebraic subsets (maybe reducible) of codimension \(\geq p\).

The coniveau filtration is a filtration of Hodge structures and therefore the graduated pieces \(\text{Gr}_N^p := N^p H^i(X)/N^{p+1} H^i(X)\) inherit a Hodge structure.

By the work of Arapura and Kang [AK Theorem 1.1] the coniveau filtration is preserved (up to shift) by pushforwards, exterior products and pullbacks. Using resolution of singularities it follows that

\[(1.5.1) \quad \text{Gr}_N^p : (X, P) \mapsto \text{image}(P : \oplus_i \text{Gr}_N^p H^i(X) \to \oplus_i \text{Gr}_N^p H^i(X))\]

is a functor from motives to Hodge structures (for all \(p \geq 0\)). Note, however, that there is no Künneth formula for \(\text{Gr}_N^p\); even for \(p = 0\) the surjection

\[\bigoplus_{s+t=i} \text{Gr}_N^0 H^s(X) \otimes \text{Gr}_N^0 H^t(Y) \to \text{Gr}_N^0 H^i(X \times Y)\]

is not injective in general. For the fiber product with \(\mathbb{P}^1\) we have

\[N^p H^i(X \times \mathbb{P}^1) = N^p H^i(X) \oplus N^{p-1} H^{i-2}(X)(-1)\]
and therefore
\begin{align}
\text{Gr}_N^p(M \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = \text{Gr}_N^{p-1}(M)(-1) & \quad \text{if } p > 0 \\
\text{Gr}_N^0(M \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = 0
\end{align}

for all motives $M$.

2. Application: The Dwork family and its mirror

2.1. Let $k$ be a field. We consider the hypersurfaces $X_\lambda$ in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ defined by the equation

$$
\sum_{i=0}^n X_i^{n+1} + \lambda \cdot X_0 \cdots X_n = 0
$$

with $\lambda \in k$, and we assume that $n + 1$ is prime to the characteristic of $k$.

Let $G \subset (\mu_{n+1})^{n+1}/\Delta(\mu_{n+1})$ $(\Delta(\mu_n) \cong \mu_{n+1}$ diagonally embedded) be the kernel of the character $(\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}) \mapsto \zeta_0 \cdot \cdots \cdot \zeta_{n+1}$, then $G$ acts on $X_\lambda$ in the obvious way. We denote by $\pi : X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda/G$ the quotient map.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $k$ be a field. We assume that $\text{char}(k) \nmid n + 1$ if $\text{char}(k) > 0$. If $n \geq 2$ and $X_\lambda$ is smooth, then the map

$$
\text{CH}_0(X_\lambda) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X_\lambda, \pi)
$$

from section 1.3 is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** The projector for $(X_\lambda, \pi) \subset X_\lambda$ is $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \Gamma(g)$. Therefore the statement is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{g \in G} g_*(a) = |G| \cdot a
$$

for every $a \in \text{CH}_0(X_\lambda)$.

1. case: $k = \mathbb{C}$. For $n = 2$ the quotient map $\pi : X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda/G$ is an isogeny of elliptic curves, and therefore the statement is true.

Consider $\mu_{n+1} \cong H \subset G$ with $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta, \zeta^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1)$, and $\tau \in \text{Aut}(X_\lambda)$ defined by $\tau^*(X_0) = X_1, \tau^*(X_1) = X_0$, and $\tau^*(\lambda) = \lambda$ otherwise. We have $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \tau \subset \text{Aut}(X_\lambda)$ and claim that $X_\lambda/(H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \tau)$ is rational. Indeed, for the open set $U_\lambda = \{X_n \neq 0\} \subset X_\lambda$ we compute

$$
U_\lambda/(H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\tau) \cong \text{Spec}(k[\sigma_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, v]/I) \cong \text{Spec}(k[x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, v]),
$$

with $I = (\sigma_1 + x_2^{n+1} + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{n+1} + \lambda \cdot v \cdot x_2 \cdots x_{n-1})$. Here, the coordinates are defined to be $x_i := X_i/X_n$, $v = x_0 \cdot x_1$, and $\sigma_1 = x_0^{n+1} + x_1^{n+1}$. Since rational varieties are rationally chain connected we conclude that

$$
\sum_{g \in H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\tau} \Gamma(g) \cdot a = 2(n + 1) \cdot \deg(a) \cdot [p]
$$

for every $a \in \text{CH}_0(X_\lambda)$ and some closed point $p \in X_\lambda \cap \{X_0 = X_1 = 0\}$ ($p$ exists since $n > 2$).

Next, if $\zeta \in \mu_{n+1} \cong H$ then $(\zeta, \tau) \in H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdot \tau$ has order 2, and we consider the quotient $q : X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)$ by the action of $(\zeta, \tau)$. We claim that $X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)$ is rationally chain connected.
The fixpoint set $F$ is
\[ F = \{X_0 - \zeta X_1 = 0\} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \]
\[ F = \{X_0 - \zeta X_1 = 0\} \cup \{[1 : -\zeta^{-1} : 0 : \cdots : 0]\} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}. \]

Let $H = \{X_0 - \zeta X_1 = 0\} \subset F$ be the hyperplane section. One verifies that $H$ is smooth if and only if $X_\lambda$ is smooth, and for every point $x \in H$ there are coordinates $y, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}$ such that $y$ is a local equation for $H$ with $(\zeta, \tau)^*y = -y$ and the $x_i$ are invariant. Thus $y^2, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}$ are local coordinates for the quotient which is therefore smooth in the points $q(H)$. So that $X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)$ is smooth if $n$ is odd, and $X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)$ has an isolated quotient singularity in $q([1 : -\zeta^{-1} : 0 : \cdots : 0])$ if $n$ is even.

In both cases, $2K_{X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)}$ is Cartier and $2K_{X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)} \cong \mathcal{O}(-q(H))$ (the isomorphism comes from an invariant form in $H^0(X_\lambda, \omega_{X_\lambda}^{\otimes 2}) = H^0(X_\lambda, \omega_{X_\lambda}^{\otimes 2}(\zeta, \tau))$). We have $q^*(\mathcal{O}(q(H))) = \mathcal{O}(2H)$ and therefore $\mathcal{O}(q(H))$ is ample. If $n$ is odd then the Theorem of Campana, Kollár, Miyaoka, Mori ([C], [KMM]) implies that $X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)$ is rationally chain connected. If $n$ is even, then $X_\lambda/(\zeta, \tau)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety with log terminal singularities and we may use the Theorem of Zhang [Z] to prove the claim.

We conclude that
\[ a + \Gamma((\zeta, \tau))(a) = 2 \deg(a)[p] \]
for every $a \in \text{CH}_0(X_\lambda)$ and $p \in X_\lambda \cap \{X_0 = X_1 = 0\}$. Using (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) we see
\[ \sum_{g \in H} \Gamma(g)(a) = \sum_{g \in H \times \mathbb{Z}/2\tau} \Gamma(g)(a) - \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{n+1}} \Gamma((\zeta, \tau))(a) \]
\[ = 2(n + 1) \deg(a)[p] - \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{n+1}} (2 \deg(a)[p] - a) = (n + 1)a. \]

Of course, for the subgroups $\mu_{n+1} \cong H \subset G$ defined by $\zeta \mapsto (1, \ldots, 1, \zeta, \zeta^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1)$ where $\zeta$ is put in the $i$-th position, the same conclusion (2.2.3) holds. Now, the equality
\[ \sum_{g \in G} \Gamma(g) = \left( \sum_{g \in H_0} \Gamma(g) \right) \circ \cdots \circ \left( \sum_{g \in H_{n-2}} \Gamma(g) \right) \]
proves the claim.

2. case: $\text{char}(k) = 0$. It is a well-known fact that if $k_0 \subset k$ is a subfield and $X = X_0 \times_{k_0} k$ then the pullback map
\[ \text{CH}_0(X_0) \to \text{CH}_0(X) \]
is injective (without the assumption on $\text{char}(k)$). The variety $X_\lambda$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \subset k$, and every zero cycle can be defined over a subfield $k_0 \subset k$ which is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$. By fixing an embedding $\sigma : k_0 \to \mathbb{C}$, we reduce to the case $k = \mathbb{C}$.

3. case: $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 0$. Again, since (2.2.4) is injective, we may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Let $W$ be the Witt vectors of $k$; $W$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $k$ and quotient field $K$ with $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Choose a lift $\lambda \in W$ of $\lambda$, and let $X_{\lambda, W} \subset P^n_W$ be the variety $\sum_{i=0}^n X_0^{i+1} + \lambda X_0 \cdots X_n = 0$. The specialization map
\[ sp : \text{CH}_0(X_{\lambda, W} \otimes_W K) \to \text{CH}_0(X_\lambda) \]
from [F, §20.3] is surjective, because $W$ is complete (and therefore $X_{\lambda,W}(W) \to X_{\lambda}(k)$ is surjective). Since $\text{char}(k) \nmid n+1$ we have
\[
\mu_{n+1}(k) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrow} \mu_{n+1}(W) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu_{n+1}(K),
\]
and the same statement holds for $G$. Now the compatibility of $\sp$ with pushforwards [F, Proposition 20.3] proves the claim. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $k$ be a perfect field. We assume $\text{char}(k) \nmid n+1$ if $\text{char}(k) > 0$. Let $X_{\lambda}$ be a smooth member of the Dwork family for $n \geq 2$. If $\pi : X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/G$ is the quotient of the $G$-action (see 2.1) and $Y_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}/G$ is a resolution of singularities, then
\[
X_{\lambda} \cong (X_{\lambda}, \pi) \oplus N'_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y_{\lambda} \cong (X_{\lambda}, \pi) \oplus N_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)
\]
for some motives $N'_{\lambda}$ and $N_{\lambda}$.

**Proof.** By construction of $(X_{\lambda}, \pi)$ in 1.3 we have $X_{\lambda} \cong (X_{\lambda}, \pi) \oplus M_{\lambda}$ with some motive $M_{\lambda}$. In view of Lemma 2.2 we know that
\[
\text{CH}_0(X_{\lambda} \times_k L) = \text{CH}_0((X_{\lambda} \times_k L, \pi \times_k L)) = \text{CH}_0((X_{\lambda}, \pi) \times_k L)
\]
for all field extensions $k \subset L$, and thus $\text{CH}_0(M_{\lambda} \times_k L) = 0$. Proposition 1.2 implies that $M_{\lambda} \cong N'_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ for some $N'_{\lambda}$, and Proposition 1.4 proves the claim. \hfill $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3,

(i) If $k = \mathbb{C}$ then there is an isomorphism of Hodge structures
\[
\text{Gr}^0_N H^*(X_{\lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gr}^0_N H^*(Y_{\lambda}, \mathbb{Q}).
\]

(ii) If $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, the finite field with $q$ elements, then for all $m \geq 1$:
\[
\#X_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \#Y_{\lambda}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \mod q^m.
\]

**Proof.** (i) By 1.5.2.

(ii) If $N$ is a motive (over $\mathbb{F}_q$) then the eigenvalues of the Frobenius acting on $H^*_\et(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = H^*_\et(N) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l(-1)$ lie in $q \cdot \mathbb{Z}$. Now the claim follows from Grothendieck’s trace formula. \hfill $\square$

3. **Conjectures**

3.1. For $k = \mathbb{C}$ the Hodge structure of a variety $X$ can be recovered from the associated motive. For an effective motive $N$ we know $h^{i,0}(N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)) = 0$ for all $i$, so that if
\[
X \cong X' \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y \cong X' \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1),
\]
then $h^{i,0}(X) = h^{i,0}(Y)$.

3.2. Now consider the setting
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X/G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y & & \\
\end{array}
\]
where $X$ is a smooth projective variety with an action of a finite group $G$, and $Y$ is a resolution of singularities of the quotient $X/G$. Since $X/G$ has only quotient singularities we know that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = H^i(X/G, \mathcal{O}_X/G)$ for all $i$. The map $\mathcal{O}_{X/G} \to \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is split by $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^*$ and we obtain

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^G = H^i(X/G, \mathcal{O}_{X/G}) = H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), \quad \text{for all } i.$$ 

Therefore Conjecture 3.4 can only be expected if the following holds:

$$(3.2.1) \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^G.$$ 

3.3. On the other hand, the Bloch conjectures on a filtration of the Chow group of zero cycles which is controlled by the Hodge structure (see [V] §23.2) predict

$$\pi : \text{CH}_0(X) \to \text{CH}_0(X/G) = \text{CH}_0(X, \pi)$$

whenever $3.2.1$ holds, and thus $X \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ (in the notation of [L3]). Now, Proposition [L4] yields $3.1.1$ with $X' = (X, \pi)$. So that the Bloch conjectures imply the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.4.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over a field $k$ of $\text{char}(k) = 0$, and let $G$ be a finite group acting on $X$ with $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^G$ for all $i$. If $Y$ is a resolution of singularities of the quotient $\pi : X \to X/G$, then there are (effective) motives $N, N'$ such that

$$X \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y \cong (X, \pi) \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1).$$

Unfortunately little is known concerning the Bloch conjecture.

3.5. Let us consider monomial deformations of the degree $d$ Fermat hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and $G \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}_\mathbb{F}_q$. The condition $3.2.1$ holds only for $d \leq n + 1$ (or $G = \{1\}$). Therefore there is no generalisation of Theorem 2.3 to degree $d > n + 1$. In the case $d < n + 1$, $X_\lambda$ and $Y_\lambda$ are rationally connected, and thus $\text{CH}_0(X_\lambda) = \mathbb{Q} = \text{CH}_0(Y_\lambda)$. We obtain

$$X_\lambda \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus N' \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1), \quad Y_\lambda \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus N \otimes \mathbb{Q}(-1).$$

from Proposition 1.2.

3.6. For a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ we don’t know the correct assumptions for Conjecture 3.4. However, the assertion implies a congruence formula for the number of rational points:

$$(3.6.1) \quad \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$ 

The work of Fu and Wan provides a congruence formula for the number of rational points of $X$ and $X/G$.

**Theorem 3.7 ([FW]).** Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose $X$ has a smooth projective lifting $X$ over the Witt ring $W = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that the $W$-modules $H^i(X, \Omega^i_X/W)$ are free. Let $G$ be a finite group of $W$-automorphisms acting on $X$. Suppose $G$ acts trivially on $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for all $i$. Then for any natural number $k$, we have the congruence

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q^k) \equiv \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q^k) \mod q^k.$$ 

By extending the theory of Witt vector cohomology to singular varieties, Berthelot, Bloch and Esnault were able to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3.8 ([BBP Corollary 6.12]). Let $X$ be a proper scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $G$ a finite group acting on $X$ so that each orbit is contained in an affine open subset of $X$. If $|G|$ is prime to $p$, and if the action of $G$ on $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is trivial for all $i$, then

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$  

In the next section we prove that

$$\#Y(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv \#(X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q$$

if $X$ is a smooth projective variety and $Y$ is a resolution of singularities of $X/G$. So that with the assumptions of [BBP] or [Lex] we obtain the congruence formula 3.3.1

4. Congruence formula

4.1. In this section we fix a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $p$, and an algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of $k$. For a separated scheme $X$ of finite type over $k$ we work with the étale cohomology groups $H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ (resp. étale cohomology groups with support $H^i_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$) for $\ell \equiv q$, $p$ a prime number $\neq p$, and $X_k = X \times_k k$. Then we obtain a decomposition $X = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell} V_{\lambda}$ for every $\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$.

4.2. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space, and $F : V \to V$ a linear map. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. The vector space $\overline{V} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ decomposes into the generalised eigenspaces of $F$:

$$\overline{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \overline{V}_{\lambda},$$

i.e. $\overline{V}_{\lambda}$ is the maximal subspace such that $F$ acts with eigenvalue $\lambda$. For every $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ we get $g(\overline{V}_{\lambda}) = \overline{V}_{g(\lambda)}$, and we obtain a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cdot \lambda} \overline{V}_{\lambda},$$

where $\lambda$ runs through all orbits of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, and $\lambda'$ through all conjugates of $\lambda$. We write

$$V_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cdot \lambda} \overline{V}_{\lambda}, \quad V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} V_{\lambda}.$$ 

Let $W$ be another finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space with a linear operation $F : W \to W$. If $\phi : V \to W$ is a linear map which commutes with the action of $F$ then

$$\phi(V_{\lambda}) \subset W_{\lambda}$$

for every $\lambda \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$.

Now, we fix an integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we assume that all eigenvalues of $F$ are algebraic integers, i.e. $\overline{V}_{\lambda} = 0$ if $\lambda \not\in G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ where $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ is the integral closure of...
not difficult to extend this lemma to the case when $X$ is a smooth projective variety. Then $q\bar{Z} \subset \bar{Z} \subset \bar{Q}_\ell$ has an induced action by $G_{\bar{Q}_\ell}$, and we define the slope $< 1$ resp. slope $\geq 1$ part of $V$ to be

$$V^{<1} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G_{\bar{Q}_\ell} \setminus \bar{G}} V_\lambda, \quad V^{\geq1} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G_{\bar{Q}_\ell} \setminus \bar{G}} V_\lambda.$$ 

We obtain a decomposition

$$V = V^{<1} \oplus V^{\geq1}$$

with $F$ action on $V^{<1}$ and $V^{\geq1}$, and the decomposition is functorial for linear maps that commute with the $F$-operation.

For étale cohomology and $q = |k|$ we thus get for all $i$ a functorial decomposition

$$H^i(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = H^i(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{<1} \oplus H^i(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\geq1},$$

resp. $H^i_{Z_k}(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = H^i_{Z_k}(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{<1} \oplus H^i_{Z_k}(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\geq1}$.

**Lemma 4.3.** If $X$ is smooth and $Z \subset X$ is a closed subset of codimension $\geq 1$ then

$$H^i_{Z_k}(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{<1} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i.$$

**Proof.** [E1] Lemma 2.1, [E2] §2.1. \qed

In other words all eigenvalues of the Frobenius on $H^i_{Z_k}(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$ lie in $q \cdot \bar{Z}$. It is not difficult to extend this lemma to the case when $X$ has quotient singularities.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $X$ be a smooth and quasi-projective variety, and let $G$ be a finite group acting on $X$. If $\pi : X \to X/G$ is the quotient and $Z \subset X/G$ is a closed subset of codimension $\geq 1$ then

$$H^i_{Z_k}(X/G)_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{<1} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i.$$

**Proof.** We write $Y = \pi^{-1}(Z)$ which is a closed subset of $X$ of codimension $\geq 1$. Note that $(X/G)_k = X_k/G$, i.e. $\pi_k : X_k \to (X/G)_k$ is the quotient for the $G$ action on $X_k$.

The composite of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \subset \pi_k^* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ with

$$\sum_{g \in G} g^* : \pi_k^* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$$

is multiplication by $|G|$. Since

$$H^i_{Z_k}((X/G)_k, \pi_k^* \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) = H^i_Y(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})$$

we get

$$H^i_{Z_k}((X/G)_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \cong H^i_Y(X_k, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^G,$$

and this map is compatible with the Frobenius action. Now, Lemma 4.3 implies the statement. \qed

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective $\mathbb{F}_q$-variety with an action of a finite group $G$. Let $\pi : X \to X/G$ be the quotient, and $f : Y \to X/G$ be a birational map, where $Y$ is a smooth projective variety. Then

$$\# Y(\mathbb{F}_q) = \# X/G(\mathbb{F}_q) \mod q.$$
Proof. Let $U$ be an open (dense) subset of $X/G$ such that $f^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ is an isomorphism. Write $Z = (X/G) \setminus U$ and $Z' = Y \setminus f^{-1}(U)$. We consider the map of long exact sequences

$$
\xymatrix{ H^i_\mathbb{Z}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \ar[r] & H^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \ar[r] & H^i(f^{-1}(U), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \ar[r] & H^i(Z, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \ar[r] & \cdots }
$$

Here all maps commute with the action of the Frobenius. By using Lemma 4.4 we get

$$
H^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(f^{-1}(U), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \quad \text{for all } i.
$$

This implies

$$
H^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i((X/G), \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \quad \text{for all } i.
$$

With Grothendieck’s trace formula we obtain

$$
\# Y(\mathbb{F}_q) - \# X/G(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i \left( \text{Tr}(F, H^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) - \text{Tr}(F, H^i((X/G), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \right).
$$

The right-hand side is a number in $\mathbb{Z} \cap q^n \mathbb{Z} = q^n \mathbb{Z}$, which proves the congruence.

Remark 4.6. It seems that the fibre $f^{-1}(x)$ of a point $x \in (X/G)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ satisfies the congruence

$$
\# f^{-1}(x)(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1 \mod q.
$$

Of course this would imply the statement of Theorem 4.5.
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