MORE ON COUNTING ACYCLIC DIGRAPHS

VALERY A. LISKOVETS

Abstract. In this note we derive enumerative formulas for several types of labelled acyclic directed graphs by slight modifications of the familiar recursive formula for simple acyclic digraphs. These considerations are motivated by, and based upon, recent combinatorial results in geometric topology obtained by S. Choi who established exact correspondences between acyclic digraphs and so-called small covers over hypercubes and related polytopes. In particular, we show that the number of equivalence classes of small covers over the cartesian product of \( n \) copies of an \( r \)-simplex is equal to the number of acyclic \((2^r-1)\)-multidigraphs of order \( n \). Asymptotics follows easily since the main formula is represented by a simple equation in terms of special generating functions.

1. Introduction. Simple acyclic digraphs

A digraph means a finite directed graph without loops and multiple arcs. Let \( a_n \) denote the number of acyclic digraphs (that is, digraphs without oriented cycles) with \( n \) labelled vertices, and \( a_{n,m} \) be the same for digraphs with \( m \) arcs. Introduce the generating function by

\[
A_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{(n)} a_{n,m} x^m,
\]

where \( x \) is a variable. So that, \( a_n = A_n(x)|_{x=1} = A_n(1) \). By definition, \( a_0 = A_0(x) = 1 \). The following result obtained by R. Robinson [4] and R. Stanley [5], and rederived later repeatedly, is well known and has diverse applications:

\[
a_n = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{t} (-1)^{n-t-1} 2^{t(n-t)} a_t, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{1}
\]

More generally,

\[
A_n(x) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{t} (-1)^{n-t-1} (1 + x)^t^{(n-t)} A_t(x), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{2}
\]

These formulas can be proved most easily by the inclusion–exclusion method (cf. [3]) with respect to the property of a given set of \( n - t \) vertices to consist of sources (that is, vertices with no incoming arcs), where \( t \) runs over \( 0,1,\ldots,n-1 \) since any nonempty acyclic digraph possesses at least one source (instead, we could reason dually in terms of “sinks”).

Introduce the following special generating functions by \( n \) (sometimes called graphic since \( 2^{(n)} \) and \( (1 + x)^{(n)} \) are, respectively, the number and the edge enumerator of labelled graphs of order \( n \)):

\[
A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n z^n}{n! 2^{(n)}} \quad \text{and} \quad A(x,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n(x) z^n}{n! (1 + x)^{(n)}}
\]
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and
\[ \Psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^n}{n! \binom{2n}{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^n}{n! (1 + x)^{\binom{n}{2}}} \]

Then equations (1) and (2) are expressed, respectively, as follows:
\[ A(z) = \frac{1}{\Psi(z)} \] (3)
and
\[ A(x, z) = \frac{1}{\Psi(x, z)}. \] (4)
Of course, (3) is a particular case of equation (4) since \( A(z) = A(1, z) \) and \( \Psi(z) = \Psi(1, z) \).

2. A BICOLORED VARIATION

Consider now digraphs with the vertices of two colors, red and blue, and let \( b_n \) denote the number of bicolored acyclic digraphs with \( n \) labelled vertices such that all red vertices are sources (there may be blue sources as well), where the number of vertices of any color is unspecified. Set \( b_0 := 1 \).

**Proposition 1.**

\[ b_n = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{n}{t} 2^{t(n-t)} a_t, \quad n \geq 1. \] (5)

Indeed, if \( t \) denotes the number of blue vertices then to any acyclic digraph on the blue vertices one can add \( n-t \) red sources together with arbitrary arcs from red to blue vertices. Relabelling the vertices arbitrarily and summing over all \( t \) we get the rhs of (5). And this sum gives rise to \( b_n \).

In view of (3), formula (5) is represented in generating functions as follows:
\[ B(z) = \Psi(-z)/\Psi(z), \] (6)
where
\[ B(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n z^n}{n! \binom{2n}{n}}. \]

The consideration of \( b_n \) is motivated by a curious application to geometric topology: according to Theorem 3.3 of S. Choi \[2\] proved with the help of Burnside's lemma, the number of \((\mathbb{Z}_2)^n\)-equivariant diffeomorphism classes of small covers over the \( n \)-dimensional cube \( I^n \) is
\[ \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{n}{t} 2^{t(n-t)} a_t \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( 2^n - 2^i \right) / (n! 2^n). \] See definitions and details in \[2\] and in papers cited therein. Now, by Proposition 1, this number is equal to
\[ \frac{b_n}{n! 2^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( 2^n - 2^i \right). \] (7)

**Remark 1.** Some promising quantitative interrelations between diffeomorphism classes of small covers over hypercubes and *unlabelled* acyclic digraphs established in \[2\] require further investigation.
3. ACYCLIC MULTIDIGRAPHS AND SMALL COVERS OVER POWERS OF A SIMPLEX

Let \( k \) be a natural number and set \( x := k \) in formula (2). Now \( A_n(k) \) can be interpreted as the number acyclic \( k \)-multidigraphs with \( n \) labelled vertices, where a multidigraph of multiplicity \( k \), or, for brevity, a \( k \)-multidigraph is a multidigraph that has no more than \( k \) parallel arcs from a vertex to another vertex (in particular, \( 1 \)-multidigraphs are merely simple digraphs; in terms of adjacency matrices, \( k \)-multidigraphs are matrices with entries \( 0, 1, \ldots, k-1 \)). Indeed, formula (2) with \( x = k \) and with \( A_n(k) \) interpreted as stated is provable in the same way. In particular, \((1 + k)^{t(n-t)}\) is the number of ways to connect the selected \( n-t \) sources with the remaining \( t \) vertices. We will use this simple generalization of acyclic digraphs in order to derive a closed formula for one more topological enumerator concerning a uniform generalization of hypercubes.

Let \( \Delta^r \) denote an \( r \)-dimensional simplex and \( K_n^r = \Delta^r \times \Delta^r \times \cdots \times \Delta^r = (\Delta^r)^n \) be the \( n \)-fold cartesian power of \( \Delta^r \); so that \( K_1^1 \) is a usual \( n \)-cube. This is a convex polytope of dimension \( r n \). According to Theorem 2.2 \([2]\), \( a_n \) is the number of classes of small covers over the \( n \)-cube up to Davis–Januszkiewicz equivalence.

**Theorem 1.** The number of classes of small covers over \( K_n^r \) up to Davis–Januszkiewicz equivalence \( h_n^r \) is equal to \( A_n(x)|_{x=2^r-1} = A_n(2^r - 1) \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.4 \([2]\) applied to this particular uniform case of the product,

\[
h_n^r = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} \prod_{v \in V} (2^r - 1)^{d^r_+(v)},
\]

where \(|V| = n\), \( \mathcal{A}_n \) is the set of acyclic digraphs on \( V \) and \( d^r_+(v) \) is the outdegree of the vertex \( v \) in \( \Gamma \). Therefore

\[
h_n^r = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} (2^r - 1)^{\sum_{v \in V} d^r_+(v)} = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} (2^r - 1)^{m(\Gamma)}
\]

\[
= \sum_m \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_{n,m}} (2^r - 1)^m = \sum_m a_{n,m}(2^r - 1)^m = A_n(2^r - 1),
\]

where \( m(\Gamma) \) is the number of arcs in \( \Gamma \) and \( \mathcal{A}_{n,m} \) is the set of acyclic digraphs on \( V \) with \( m \) arcs. \( \square \)

Table 1 contains initial numerical values.

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( n = 1 )</th>
<th>( 2 )</th>
<th>( 3 )</th>
<th>( 4 )</th>
<th>( 5 )</th>
<th>( 6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( a_n = A_n(1) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>29281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ( h_n^{(2)} = A_n(3) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>63487</td>
<td>69711361</td>
<td>367404658687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 ( h_n^{(3)} = A_n(7) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2689</td>
<td>5140479</td>
<td>98267258881</td>
<td>18033699790913535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 ( h_n^{(4)} = A_n(15) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23041</td>
<td>365330431</td>
<td>115851037900801</td>
<td>70536713901865906951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_n )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>90722</td>
<td>11756288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remark 2.** In a similar way, one can obtain closed enumerative formulas for wider classes (including the general class) of cartesian products of simplices, what requires first to count (say, by the multi-parametric inclusion–exclusion method) acyclic digraphs with multicolored vertices of the corresponding specification and with no restrictions on arcs between vertices of the same or different colors.

\( ^1 \)Replacing \( 1 + k \) by \( 1 + x + \cdots + x^k \) we would get the corresponding enumerator by the number of arcs.
4. Asymptotics

It is well known and easily provable due to equation (3) (see, e.g., [4, 5, 3, 1]) that
\[ a_n \sim \lambda n! 2^{(\omega - 1)_n} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \tag{8} \]
where
\[ \omega = \omega_1 = 1.4880785455997102947 \ldots \]
is the least root of the equation
\[ \Phi(z) = 0, \]
and \[ \lambda = \lambda_1 = -1/(\omega \Phi'(\omega)) = 1.7410611252932298403 \ldots \] (all calculations were done with the help of Maple). All roots of this equation are real positive and distinct. Moreover, as pointed out in [1], this is valid in general for the equation
\[ \Phi(k, z) = 0 \tag{9} \]
for any fixed \( k \) such that \( |1 + k| > 1 \). Let \( \omega_k \) denote the least root of (9) and
\[ \lambda_k := -1/(z \Phi'(k, z)) \big|_{z=\omega_k}. \]
Note incidentally that \( \Phi'(k, z) = -\Phi(k, 1+k) \). Here we need all of this only for \( k = 2^r - 1, \ r = 1, 2, \ldots \) Thus, as an immediate corollary of formulas (6) and (4) and Theorem 1 we obtain (just as in the above-mentioned papers) the following result.

**Proposition 2.** The following asymptotic estimates are valid:
\[ b_n \sim \Phi(-\omega) a_n \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \tag{10} \]
where \( \Phi(-\omega) = 3.1135745244678549301 \ldots \), and for all \( r \geq 1 \),
\[ h_n^{(r)} = A_n (2^r - 1) \sim \lambda_{2^r-1} n! 2^{(\omega - 1)_n} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{11} \]

Clearly, \( 1 < \omega_{2^r-1} < 1.5 \) for all \( r \) and \( \omega_{2^r-1} \) decreases to 1 monotonically. Here are numerical values for \( r = 2, 3, 4, 5 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_3 &= 1.1657706116147275128 \ldots, & \lambda_3 &= 1.1928652399365987835 \ldots, \\
\omega_7 &= 1.071334833900423361 \ldots, & \lambda_7 &= 1.0763509327694490247 \ldots, \\
\omega_{15} &= 1.0333224614072573348 \ldots, & \lambda_{15} &= 1.0344230890647444796 \ldots, \\
\omega_{31} &= 1.016127719032587378 \ldots, & \lambda_{31} &= 1.0163865733813064088 \ldots.
\end{align*}
\]
These constants, as well as \( \Phi(-\omega) \), seem to have not appeared in the literature formerly.
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