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Abstract. Let $\Delta \subset V$ be a proper subset of the vertices $V$ of the defining graph of an irreducible and aperiodic shift of finite type $(\Sigma^+_A, T)$. Let $\Delta_n$ be the union of cylinders in $\Sigma^+_A$ corresponding to the points $x$ for which the first $n$-symbols of $x$ belong to $\Delta$ and let $\mu$ be an equilibrium state of a H"older potential $\varphi$ on $\Sigma^+_A$. We know that $\mu(\Delta_n)$ converges to zero as $n$ diverges. We study the asymptotic behaviour of $\mu(\Delta_n)$ and compare it with the pressure of the restriction of $\varphi$ to $\Sigma_\Delta$. The present paper extends some results in [2] to the case when $\Sigma_\Delta$ is irreducible and periodic. We show an explicit example where the asymptotic behaviour differs from the aperiodic case.

INTRODUCTION

The present work was triggered by our study of the convergence of certain hitting time processes to (marked) Poisson processes [2]. The general setup in the study of asymptotic time distributions for an ergodic dynamical system $(\Omega, \mu, T)$ is the following (see e.g. [1]). Usually one considers a sequence of measurable subsets $B_n$ with asymptotically vanishing measure and one tries to study the asymptotic behaviour of the random variables:

$$\tau_n(\omega) = \inf\{k \geq 1: T^k(\omega) \in B_n\}$$

when suitably rescaled. The rescaling is necessary since in general $\tau_n(\omega) \to \infty$ for $\mu$-almost every $\omega$. The most studied case is when $\Omega$ is equipped with a partition and $B_n = B_n(\omega)$ are cylinder sets about a generic point $\omega$ of $\mu$ (so that $\cap_n B_n = \omega$). It turns out that $\mu(B_n)$ is the right scaling factor to obtain, for “sufficiently” mixing systems, a convergence to a Poisson law for the point process associated with the random variables $\mu(B_n)\tau_n$. The reader can find a list of references in e.g. [2]. We were interested in the case where the intersection of the $B_n$’s is a non-trivial invariant set. In [2] we consider the case where...
that intersection is a subsystem of finite type of a shift of finite type. This is closely related with the so-called Pianigiani-Yorke measures [3].

More precisely, the setup is as follows. Let \( \Delta \subseteq V \) be a proper subset of the vertices \( V \) of the defining graph of an irreducible and aperiodic shift of finite type \( (\Sigma^+_A, T) \). This induces a subsystem of finite type which we denote by \( \Sigma_\Delta \). Let \( \Delta_n \) be the union of cylinders in \( \Sigma_A^+ \) corresponding to the points \( x \) for which the first \( n \)-symbols of \( x \) belong to \( \Delta \). Note that \( \Sigma_\Delta = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \Delta_n \). Now let \( \mu \) be an equilibrium state of a Hölder potential \( \varphi \) on \( \Sigma^+_A \). We know that \( \mu(\Delta_n) \) converges to zero as \( n \) diverges. In [2] we assume that \( \Sigma_\Delta \) is an irreducible and aperiodic subshift of finite type. Then we prove that the point process corresponding to the times of hitting \( \Delta_n \) scaled by \( \mu(\Delta_n) \) converges to a (marked) Poisson point process. In that paper, we study the asymptotic behaviour of \( \mu(\Delta_n) \) and compare it with the pressure of the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( \Sigma_\Delta \), call it \( P_\Delta \). We show that 
\[
e^n(P(\varphi) - P_\Delta) \mu(\Delta_n)
\]
has a limit (which can be identified) as \( n \to \infty \). In the present paper we extend some results in [2] to the case when \( \Sigma_\Delta \) is irreducible but periodic. This extension turns out to be non-trivial since, in general, 
\[
e^n(P(\varphi) - P_\Delta) \mu(\Delta_n)
\]
may not converge as \( n \to \infty \), contrarily to the aperiodic case. Indeed, we provide an explicit example where this phenomenon appears.

1. Preliminaries

Let \( V = \{1, \ldots, \ell\} \) be a finite set of symbols (i.e. the base alphabet). We will assume that \( A \) is an aperiodic 0-1 \( \ell \times \ell \) matrix which defines the allowable transitions in a directed graph \( G \) of labelled vertices \( V \). Define the space of one-sided allowable paths in the graph \( G \) by
\[
\Sigma^+_A = \{ x = (x_n) \in V^\mathbb{N} : A(x_{i-1}, x_i) = 1, \forall i \geq 1 \}.
\]
The space \( \Sigma^+_A \) is compact and metrisable when endowed with the Tychonov product topology (generated by the discrete topology on \( V \)).

The shift \( T \) (of finite type) is the map \( T: \Sigma^+_A \to \Sigma^+_A \) defined by \( T(x)_n = x_{n+1} \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). This map is continuous and surjective. The cylinders, denoted by
\[
C[i_0, \ldots, i_m]_k = \{ x \in \Sigma^+_A : x_{j+k} = i_j, \forall j = 0, \ldots, m \},
\]
form a base of open (and closed) sets in \( \Sigma^+_A \). Let \( C(\Sigma^+_A) \) denote the space of complex valued continuous functions on \( \Sigma^+_A \). For \( \psi \in C(\Sigma^+_A) \), consider 
\[
\text{var}_n(\psi) = \sup \{ |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| : x_i = y_i, i \leq n \}.
\]
Given \( 0 < \theta < 1 \), define
\[
|\psi|_\theta = \sup \{ \text{var}_n(\psi)/\theta^n \}.
\]
The space \( F^+_\theta = \{ \psi \in C(\Sigma^+_A) : |\psi|_\theta < \infty \} \) is a Banach space when endowed with the norm
\[
\|\psi\|_\theta = \|\psi\|_\infty + |\psi|_\theta,
\]
where \( \| \cdot \|_\infty \) denotes the supremum norm. The
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union $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{\theta} \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$ is referred to as the space of Hölder continuous functions on $\Sigma_A^+$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta}^+$ be a real valued function. Define the transfer operator $L_\varphi$ acting on $\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^+$ by

$$\left( (L_\varphi \psi) (x) \right) = \sum_{T y = x} e^{\varphi(y)} \psi(y).$$

The operator $L_\varphi$ has a maximum positive eigenvalue $e^{P(\varphi)}$, which is simple and isolated. Furthermore, the rest of the spectrum is contained in a disc of radius strictly less than $e^{P(\varphi)}$ (cf. [5], [4]). The number $P = P(\varphi)$ is called the pressure of $\varphi$. There is a unique $T$-invariant probability measure $\mu = \mu_\varphi$ such that

$$P(\varphi) = h(\mu) + \int \varphi \, d\mu,$$

where $h(\mu)$ denotes the measure-theoretic entropy of $(T, \mu)$. The pressure $P(\varphi)$ can also be characterised as the maximum of $h(m) + \int \varphi \, dm$ over all $T$-invariant probabilities $m$. The measure $\mu$ is called the equilibrium state of $\varphi$. An eigenfunction $w$ of $L_\varphi$ corresponding to $e^{P(\varphi)}$ may be taken to be strictly positive, in fact one may take $w$ to be the function

$$w = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP(\varphi)} L^n_\varphi(1),$$

where $1$ denotes the constant function equal to 1. Replacing $\varphi$ by $\varphi' = \varphi - P(\varphi) + \log(w) - \log(w \circ T)$, we see that $L_{\varphi'} 1 = 1$ and $P(\varphi') = 0$. In this case we say that $\varphi'$ is normalised. It is easy to see that $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$ have the same equilibrium state $\mu$. In what follows we will assume that $\varphi$ is normalised. Note that in this case the transfer operator $L_\varphi$ satisfies

$$\int \psi \, d\mu = \int L_\varphi(\psi) \, d\mu,$$

$$\int \psi_1 \cdot (\psi_2 \circ T) \, d\mu = \int L_\varphi(\psi_1) \cdot \psi_2 \, d\mu,$$

for all $\psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C(\Sigma_A^+)$. Let $\Delta \subseteq V$ be a sub-alphabet such that $\Delta \neq V$. Consider the closed $T$-invariant subset $\Sigma_{\Delta} \subseteq \Sigma_A^+$ given by

$$\Sigma_{\Delta} = \{ x \in \Sigma_A^+ : x_i \in \Delta, \, \forall i \geq 0 \}.$$

In this paper we will consider only the case when $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ is an irreducible subshift of finite type in its alphabet $\Delta$. This means that the restriction of the matrix $A$ to the symbols of $\Delta$ defines a matrix $A_{\Delta}$ which is irreducible. In particular, the restriction of the shift transformation $T$ to $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ is topologically transitive in the induced topology from $\Sigma_A^+$. 
Let $\varphi_\Delta$ denote the restriction of $\varphi$ to the subsystem $\Sigma_\Delta$. Let $P_\Delta$ be the pressure of $\varphi_\Delta$ with respect to the subsystem $(\Sigma_\Delta, T)$. (Note that since $\varphi$ is assumed to be normalised we have $P(\varphi) = 0$, therefore $P_\Delta < 0$.) Let $\mu_\Delta$ denote the equilibrium state of $\varphi_\Delta$ with respect to the subsystem $(\Sigma_\Delta, T)$. Let $w_\Delta$ be the strictly positive Hölder continuous function defined on $\Sigma_\Delta$ by

\begin{equation}
(1) \quad w_\Delta = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_\Delta} L^n_{\varphi_\Delta}(1).
\end{equation}

Now define the restricted transfer operator $L_\Delta$ acting on the space of Hölder continuous functions $\mathcal{F}^{+\theta}_\theta$ by

$$
L_\Delta \psi = L_\varphi (\psi \cdot \chi_\Delta),
$$

and consider the subset of $\Sigma^+_A$ given by

\begin{equation}
(2) \quad Z_\Delta = \{ x \in \Sigma^+_A : \exists b \in \Delta, A(b, x_0) = 1 \}.
\end{equation}

Note that since $A$ is irreducible and aperiodic in the full alphabet $V$, $Z_\Delta$ is a non-empty finite union of cylinder sets of $\Sigma_\Delta$. In particular, since $\mu$ is fully supported on $\Sigma^+_A$ we have $\mu(Z_\Delta) > 0$.

An improvement to main result of [3], which is proved in [2], gives the following result.

**Proposition 1.** There exists a unique Hölder continuous function $h_\Delta$ defined on the whole space $\Sigma^+_A$ such that

$$
L_\Delta (h_\Delta) = e^{P_\Delta} h_\Delta,
$$

and $h_\Delta|_{\Sigma_\Delta} \equiv w_\Delta$, where $w_\Delta$ is given by (1). The function $h_\Delta$ is strictly positive on $Z_\Delta$ and it is zero on the complement $\complement Z_\Delta$. Moreover,

$$
\left\| e^{-nP_\Delta} L^n_{\varphi_\Delta}(\psi) - h_\Delta \int_{\Sigma_\Delta} \psi \, d\mu_\Delta \right\|_{\Sigma^+_A} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0,
$$

for all $\psi \in C(\Sigma^+_A)$.

The Borel measure $\mu_{py}$ defined by

$$
\mu_{py}(B) = \int_B h_\Delta \, d\mu
$$

for every Borel set $B \subseteq \Sigma^+_A$ is called the Pianigiani-Yorke measure of the subsystem $(\Sigma_\Delta, T)$. This measure is fully supported on $Z_\Delta$.

The following is a result from [2].

**Proposition 2.** Let $h_\Delta$ be the function in Proposition 1. We have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n) = \int h_\Delta \, d\mu = \mu_{py}(\Sigma^+_A).
$$
2. The Periodic Case

Let us consider the case when $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ is irreducible but periodic with period $m > 1$. In this case there exists a decomposition of $\Delta = \Delta_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{m-1}$ with the property that if $i \in \Delta_s$, $j \in \Delta_{s'}$ are given such that $A(i, j) = 1$ then necessarily $s' = s + 1 \pmod{m}$. This induces a disjoint partition of $\Sigma_{\Delta} = \Omega_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{m-1}$ such that $T(\Omega_s) = \Omega_{s+1 \pmod{m}}$, which is the so-called cyclically moving partition of $\Sigma_{\Delta}$. From the classical Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theory (cf. [5], [4]), we know that there exist non-negative H"older continuous functions $w_0, \ldots, w_{m-1}$ defined on $\Sigma_{\Delta}$, and mutually singular probability measures $\nu_0, \ldots, \nu_{m-1}$ with $\nu_j$ supported on $\Omega_j$ satisfying

$$L_{\Delta}(w_j) = e^{P_{\Delta}w_{j+1 \pmod{m}}},$$

and supp$(w_j) = \Omega_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. Moreover, $w_{\Delta} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} w_j$ is strictly positive on $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ and

$$\left\| e^{-nP_{\Delta}} L_{\Delta}^n(\psi) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} w_{j+n \pmod{m}} \int_{\Omega_j} \psi d\nu_j \right\|_{\Sigma_{\Delta}} \to 0,$$

for all $\psi \in C(\Sigma_{\Delta})$. Putting $\psi = 1$ and replacing $n$ by $nm$ in the above expression, we note that $w_{\Delta}$ could have been defined uniquely by

$$w_{\Delta} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nmP_{\Delta}} L_{\Delta}^{nm}(1),$$

and then $L_{\Delta}(w_{\Delta}) = e^{P_{\Delta}w_{\Delta}}$. Now we transfer these results from $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ to the whole space $\Sigma_{A}^+$. Let $Z_{\Delta}$ be defined by (2). Since again $Z_{\Delta}$ is a non-empty finite union of cylinders of $\Sigma_{A}^+$, we have $\mu(Z_{\Delta}) > 0$.

Define the constants $d_j$ by

$$d_j = \int_{\Omega_{j+1 \pmod{m}}} L_{\Delta}(1) d\nu_{j+1 \pmod{m}},$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, m - 1$, we see that $d_j > 0$ for all $j$. Define also the constants $\alpha_j(k)$ by $\alpha_j(0) = 1$ and for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$,

$$\alpha_j(k) = e^{-kP_{\Delta}} \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} d_{j+s \pmod{m}},$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. The next is our main result.

**Theorem 3.** There exist a unique choice of non-negative H"older continuous functions $h_0, \ldots, h_{m-1}$ defined on the whole space $\Sigma_{A}^+$ satisfying

$$L_{\Delta}(h_j) = e^{P_{\Delta}h_{j+1 \pmod{m}}},$$

\[\text{In fact, } \nu_j \text{ is the equilibrium state of the potential } S_m(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi \circ T^i \text{ restricted to } (\Omega_j, T^m) \text{ and } \nu_{j+1 \pmod{m}} = \nu_j \circ T^{-1}.\]
and $h_j|_{\Omega_j} \equiv w_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. The function $h_\Delta = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} h_j$ is strictly positive on $Z_\Delta$ and it is zero on the complement $Z_\Delta^c$. Moreover, \(\|e^{-nP_\Delta} L_\Delta^n(\psi) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j(n \mod m) \int_{\Omega_j} \psi J_{\Omega_j} \|_{\Sigma_+^\Delta} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0\), for all $\psi \in C(\Sigma_+^\Delta)$.

In particular taking $\psi = 1$ and integrating the above expression with respect to $\mu$ we obtain

**Corollary 4.** Let $\Sigma_\Delta$ be an irreducible and periodic subsystem of finite type with period $m$. The sets $\Delta_n$ have the following asymptotic behaviour:

\[
\left| e^{-nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j(n \mod m) \int h_{j+n(\mod m)} d\mu \right| \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0 .
\]

In particular, for each $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1$ we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-(k+nm)P_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_{k+nm}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j(k) \int h_{j+k(\mod m)} d\mu .
\]

In Section 4 we give an explicit example where the above numbers differ for different choices of $k$, which shows that $e^{-nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n)$ does not converge in general as $n \to \infty$ when $\Sigma_\Delta$ is periodic.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

We recall some results from [3]. Let $C^+_p(\Sigma_+^\Delta)$ be the set of strictly positive $p$-cylindrical functions (i.e. a function depending only on the first $p$ coordinates of the point). Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be the Hölder exponent of the potential $\varphi$. Let $Z_\Delta$ be defined as in (2). Let $C(Z_\Delta)$ denote the set of continuous functions defined on $Z_\Delta$. The proof of the following Lemma can be obtained from Appendix C in [2].

**Lemma 5.** For any $f \in \cup_{p \geq 1} C^+_p(\Sigma_+^\Delta)$, we have

(i) \(\{e^{-nP_\Delta} L_\Delta^n f\}_{n \geq 0}\) is a Cauchy sequence in $C(\Sigma_+^\Delta)$;

(ii) $h_\Delta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{e^{-nP_\Delta} L_\Delta^n f}{\int f \, d\mu_\Delta}$ does not depend on the function $f \in \cup_{p \geq 1} C^+_p(\Sigma_+^\Delta)$ and it satisfies

\[
L_\Delta(h_\Delta) = e^{P_\Delta} h_\Delta .
\]
Although not explicitly mentioned in [3], the function $h_{\Delta}$ is a Hölder continuous function with the same Hölder exponent of the potential $\varphi$. We also note that, since $\mu$ is fixed by the dual operator of $\mathcal{L}$ we have

$$
\int_{\Delta_n} f \cdot g \sigma^m \, d\mu = \int \mathcal{L}_\varphi^n(\chi_{\Delta_n} \cdot f \cdot g \sigma^m) \, d\mu = \int g \cdot L_\Delta^n(f) \, d\mu.
$$

Now consider the case when $\Sigma_\Delta$ is irreducible but periodic with period $m > 1$. Consider the decomposition of $\Delta = \Delta_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{m-1}$ with the property that if $i \in \Delta_s$, $j \in \Delta_s'$ are given such that $A(i, j) = 1$ then necessarily $s' = s + 1 \pmod{m}$. Consider also the corresponding cyclically moving partition $\Sigma_\Delta = \Omega_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{m-1}$ such that $T(\Omega_s) = \Omega_{s+1} \pmod{m}$, i.e. defining

$$
\Omega_j = \{ x \in \Sigma_\Delta : x_0 \in \Delta_j \},
$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. Let $V^{(m)}$ be the sub-alphabet of $V^m$ defined by

$$
V^{(m)} = \{ (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \in V^m : i_0 \to \cdots \to i_{m-1} \text{ in } G \},
$$

where $G$ is the defining graph of $\Sigma_\Delta^+$. Consider the transition matrix $A^{(m)}$ indexed by $V^{(m)} \times V^{(m)}$ given by

$$
A^{(m)}((i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}), (j_0, \ldots, j_{m-1})) = 1 \text{ if } i_{m-1} \to j_0 \text{ in } G.
$$

Using the identification

$$
(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}), (x_{m}, \ldots, x_{2m-1}, \ldots) \leftrightarrow (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots),
$$

the shift transformation $T_m$ on $\Sigma_\Delta^+(m)$ is naturally topologically conjugate to $T^m$ on $\Sigma_\Delta^+$. In what follows we will abuse the notation and freely identify these transformations and spaces.

The normalised potential $\varphi$ on $\Sigma_\Delta^+(m)$ naturally defines a potential $\varphi^{(m)}$ on $\Sigma_\Delta^+(m)$ by $\varphi^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \varphi \sigma^j T^j$. Note that $\varphi^{(m)}$ is a normalised potential for $T_m$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\varphi^{(m)}}(1)(x) = \sum_{y \in T_m^{-1}(x)} e^{\varphi^{(m)}(y)} = 1,
$$

for all $x \in \Sigma_\Delta^+(m)$. Now the sub-alphabet $\Delta$ of $V$ defines a sub-alphabet $\Delta^{(m)}$ of $V^{(m)}$ by

$$
\Delta^{(m)} = \{ (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \in V^{(m)} : i_s \in \Delta, \text{ for } s = 0, \ldots, m - 1 \},
$$

and this sub-alphabet can be further decomposed into

$$
\Delta_j^{(m)} = \{ (i_0, \ldots, i_{m-1}) \in \Delta^{(m)} : i_s \in \Delta_{s+j \pmod{m}}, \text{ for } s = 0, \ldots, m - 1 \},
$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. The important fact is that for fixed $j$, $\Sigma_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}$ the subsystem of $\Sigma_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}$ obtained by taking transitions through $\Delta_j^{(m)}$ is
irreducible and aperiodic in its alphabet $\Delta_j^{(m)}$. Hence the main result of [3] applies and we define a Hölder continuous function $h_j$ by

$$h_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP(\Delta_j^{(m)})} L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n(1),$$

where $P(\Delta_j^{(m)})$ is the pressure of the restriction of $\varphi^{(m)}$ to the subsystem $\Sigma_j^{(m)}$ (hence $P(\Delta_j^{(m)}) = mP_{\Delta}$ for all $j$), and $L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}(\psi) = L_{\varphi^{(m)}}(\psi \cdot \chi_{\Delta_j^{(m)}})$ with respect to the shift $T_m$. From Lemma 5 (ii) extended to continuous functions we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_{\Delta}} L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n(\psi) = h_j \int_{\Sigma_j^{(m)}} \psi d\nu_j,$$

for every $\psi \in C(\Sigma_A^{+})$ (with the limit being uniform), where $\nu_j$ is the unique equilibrium state of $\varphi^{(m)}$ restricted to the subsystem $\Sigma_j^{(m)}$ with respect to the shift $T_m$.

In view of the identification (5) the function $h_j$ defines a function on $\Sigma_A^{+}$ in a natural way and $\nu_j$ becomes a probability measure on $\Sigma_A^{+}$ fully supported on $\Omega_j$. Note that $h_j$ is then strictly positive on

$$Z_{\Delta_j} = \{ x \in \Sigma_A^{+} : \exists b \in \Delta_{j-1} \mod m, A(b, x_0) = 1 \},$$

and it is zero on the complement $Z_{\Delta_j}^c$. Note also that for each $j$, $Z_{\Delta_j}$ is a non-empty finite union of cylinders of $\Sigma_A$, therefore in particular, $\mu(Z_{\Delta_j}) > 0$. Applying $L_{\Delta}$ as

$$L_{\Delta}(\psi) \big((x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}), (x_m, \ldots, x_{2m-1}), \ldots\big)$$

$$= \sum_{\{i \in \Delta : A(i, x_0) = 1\}} e^{\varphi^{(m)}}((i, x_0, \ldots, x_{m-2}, (x_{m-1} \ldots, x_{2m-2}), \ldots)) \times$$

$$\psi((i, x_0, \ldots, x_{m-2}), (x_{m-1} \ldots, x_{2m-2}), \ldots),$$

we conclude that $L_{\Delta} \circ L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n = L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}} \circ L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n \circ L_{\Delta}$. This implies that for all $k \geq 1$ we have $L_{\Delta}^k \circ L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n = L_{\Delta} \circ L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n \circ L_{\Delta}^k$. Putting $\psi = 1$ in (8) we obtain, for fixed $k \geq 1$ and fixed $0 \leq j < m$,

$$L_{\Delta}^k(h_j) = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_{\Delta}} L_{\Delta}^k(L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^n(1)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_{\Delta}} L_{\Delta_j^{(m)}}^{n+k \mod m}(L_{\Delta}^k(1))$$

$$= h_{j+k \mod m} \int_{\Sigma_j^{(m)}} L_{\Delta}^k(1) d\nu_{j+k \mod m}.$$

Therefore defining the constants $d_j$ by

$$d_j = \int_{\Omega_{j+1} \mod m} L_{\Delta}(1) d\nu_{j+1 \mod m},$$

we have

$$L_{\Delta}^k(h_j) = h_{j+k \mod m} \int_{\Sigma_j^{(m)}} L_{\Delta}^k(1) d\nu_{j+k \mod m}.$$
for \( j = 0, \ldots, m - 1 \), we see that \( d_j > 0 \) for all \( j \) and by (9) we have

\[
\mathcal{L}_\Delta(h_j) = d_j h_{j+1} \mod m ,
\]

for all \( j \). Since \( \mathcal{L}_\Delta^m(h_j) = e^{mP_\Delta} h_j \) for each \( j \), by (9) we also see that

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} d_j = e^{mP_\Delta} .
\]

At the end of this appendix we give an example where in general one has \( d_j \) not necessarily equal to \( e^{P_\Delta} \).

The function \( h_\Delta = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} h_j \) is strictly positive on \( \mathcal{Z}_\Delta \) and it is zero on the complement \( \mathcal{Z}_\Delta^c \). (Note that \( \mathcal{Z}_\Delta = \cup_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{Z}_j \) and this union is not in general a disjoint union, see example below.) The function \( h_\Delta \) also satisfies

\[
\mathcal{L}_\Delta^m(h_\Delta) = e^{mP_\Delta} h_\Delta .
\]

Now, from the fact that

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Delta(k)}(\psi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_j(k)}(\psi) ,
\]

and \( \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_j(k)} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_j(k')} = 0 \) if \( j \neq j' \), we see that

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Delta(k)}(\psi) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_j(k)}(\psi) .
\]

Using (9) we have, for fixed \( 1 \leq k < m \),

\[
e^{-(nm+k)P_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_\Delta^{nm+k}(\psi) = e^{-(nm+k)P_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_\Delta^k \left( e^{-nP_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta(k)}^{n}(\psi) \right) \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{-kP_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_\Delta^k \left( e^{-nP_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_j(k)}^{n}(\psi) \right) \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{-kP_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_\Delta^k(h_j) \int_{\Omega_j} \psi \, d\nu_j + o(1) \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( e^{-kP_\Delta} \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} d_{j+s} \mod m \right) h_{j+k} \mod m \int_{\Omega_j} \psi \, d\nu_j + o(1) ,
\]

where we have used Lemma 5 (ii) for continuous functions and \( o(1) \) is with respect to \( n \). Define the constants \( \alpha_j(k) \) by \( \alpha_j(0) = 1 \) and for \( 1 \leq k \leq m - 1 \),

\[
\alpha_j(k) = e^{-kP_\Delta} \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} d_{j+s} \mod m ,
\]
for \( j = 0, \ldots, m - 1 \). Therefore from (10) we finally obtain

\[
\left\| e^{-nP_{\Sigma}} L_{\Delta}^n(\psi) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j(n \mod m) h_{j+n(n \mod m)} \int_{\Omega_j} \psi \, d\nu_j \right\|_{\Sigma_A^+} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0,
\]

for all \( \psi \in C(\Sigma_A^+) \), which concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

4. Illustrative Example

In this section we give an example to illustrate the computations made in the previous section.

**Example.** Let \( V = \{1, 2, 3\} \) and consider the matrix \( A \) given by

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( \varphi \) be any normalised Hölder continuous potential on \( \Sigma_A^+ \), i.e. assume that

\[
L_\varphi(1)(x) = \sum_{\{i \in V : A(i, x_0) = 1\}} e^{\varphi(i x)} = 1,
\]

for all \( x \in \Sigma_A^+ \). Take \( \Delta = \{1, 2\} \). Then \( \Sigma_\Delta \) is the periodic orbit \( \{(1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots), (2, 1, 2, 1, \ldots)\} \). Put \( \varphi_\Delta(1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots) = p \) and \( \varphi_\Delta(2, 1, 2, 1, \ldots) = q \), and assume \( p \neq q \). There is only one invariant measure for the restriction of the shift \( T \) on \( \Sigma_\Delta \), namely

\[
\mu_\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_1 + \delta_2),
\]

where \( \delta_1 \) is Dirac measure at the point \( (1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots) \) and \( \delta_2 \) is Dirac measure at the point \( (2, 1, 2, 1, \ldots) \). Since the shift entropy of \( \mu_\Delta \) is zero, the restricted pressure \( P_\Delta \) is then given by

\[
P_\Delta = \int \varphi_\Delta \, d\mu_\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(p + q).
\]

Notice now that \( \varphi^{(2)} = \varphi + \varphi \circ T \) when restricted to \( \Sigma_\Delta \) is constant with value \( p + q \). The pressure of the restriction of \( \varphi^{(2)} \) to \( \Sigma_\Delta \) with respect to \( T^2 \) is then given by \( p + q = 2P_\Delta \). The set \( \Delta \) is further decomposed into \( \Delta_{i-1} = \{i\} \), for \( i = 1, 2 \), giving the cyclically moving partition \( \Sigma_\Delta = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \), where \( \Omega_0 = \{(1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots)\} \) and \( \Omega_1 = \{(2, 1, 2, 1, \ldots)\} \). Note then that \( T^2 \) restricted to \( \Sigma_\Delta \) consists of two fixed points. This implies that \( \nu_0 = \delta_1 \) and \( \nu_1 = \delta_2 \), where \( \nu_i \) is the equilibrium state of \( \varphi^{(2)} \) restricted to \( \Omega_i \) with respect to \( T^2 \). Applying [3] in the case of an
aperiodic subsystem consisting of a fixed point for \( T^2 \) we have from (7), where \( \Delta_j^{(m)} \) is defined by (6) and \( m = 2 \),

\[
h_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-2n P_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_j^{(n)}(1),
\]

for \( j = 0, 1 \). Interpreting this we conclude that for \( x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta_0} = C[1]_0 \cup C[3]_0 \) we have

\[
h_0(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp \left\{ S_{2n}(\varphi) \left( \frac{1,2,1,2,\ldots,1,2,\ldots,1,2,x_0,x_1,\ldots} {2n} \right) - n(p+q) \right\}
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp \left\{ S_{2n}(\varphi) \left( \frac{1,2,1,2,\ldots,1,2,x_0,x_1,\ldots} {2n} \right) - S_{2n}(\varphi) \left( \frac{1,2,1,2,\ldots} {2n} \right) \right\},
\]

where \( S_k(\varphi) \) denotes \( \varphi + \varphi^2 T + \ldots + \varphi^k T^{k-1} \), and \( h_0 \) is zero on the complement \( \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta_0} = C[2]_0 \). Also if \( x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta_1} = C[2]_0 \cup C[3]_0 \) then

\[
h_1(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp \left\{ S_{2n}(\varphi) \left( \frac{2,1,2,1,\ldots,2,1,x_0,x_1,\ldots} {2n} \right) - n(p+q) \right\}
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \exp \left\{ S_{2n}(\varphi) \left( \frac{2,1,2,1,\ldots,2,1,x_0,x_1,\ldots} {2n} \right) - S_{2n}(\varphi) \left( \frac{2,1,2,1,\ldots} {2n} \right) \right\},
\]

and \( h_1 \) is zero on the complement \( \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta_1} = C[1]_0 \). (Note that \( h_0 \) and \( h_1 \) are both strictly positive on the cylinder \( C[3]_0 \).) Now we compute the constants \( d_j \), for \( j = 0, 1 \). We have

\[
d_0 = \int_{\Omega_1} \mathcal{L}_\Delta(1) \, d\nu_1 = e^{\varphi(1,2,1,2,\ldots)} = e^p, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
d_1 = \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{L}_\Delta(1) \, d\nu_0 = e^{\varphi(2,1,2,1,\ldots)} = e^q.
\]

This provides an example where \( d_j \neq e^{P_\Delta} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(p+q)} \), since we are assuming \( p \neq q \). One can see directly that \( h_0 \) and \( h_1 \) satisfy

\[
\mathcal{L}_\Delta(h_0) = d_0 h_1 = e^p h_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\Delta(h_1) = d_1 h_0 = e^q h_0.
\]

The function \( h_\Delta = h_0 + h_1 \) satisfies \( \mathcal{L}_\Delta^2(h_\Delta) = e^{2P_\Delta} h_\Delta \), and in the case of this example it is fully supported on \( \Sigma^+_{\Delta} \). Now we compute the constants \( \alpha_j(k) \) for \( j, k = 0, 1 \). We have \( \alpha_j(0) = 1 \) for \( j = 0, 1 \),

\[
\alpha_0(1) = e^{-P_\Delta} d_0 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(p+q)} e^p = e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)}, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\alpha_1(1) = e^{-P_\Delta} d_1 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(p+q)} e^q = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)}.
\]

From (3) we conclude that

\[
\left\| e^{-nP_\Delta} \mathcal{L}_\Delta^n(\psi) - \left( \alpha_0(n \text{ (mod } m)) h_n \text{ (mod } m) \psi(1,2,1,2,\ldots) + \alpha_1(n \text{ (mod } m)) h_{n+1} \text{ (mod } m) \psi(2,1,2,1,\ldots) \right) \right\|_{\Sigma^+_{\Delta}} \to 0.
\]
for all \( \psi \in C(\Sigma^+_A) \). An interesting fact is that, putting \( f = g = 1 \) in (4) and putting \( \psi = 1 \) in the above expression we have

\[
\mu(\Delta_n) = \int_{\Delta_n} d\mu = \int C^A_{\Delta_n}(1) d\mu = e^{nP_\Delta} \left( \alpha_0(n (\text{mod } m)) \int h_n(\text{mod } m) d\mu + \alpha_1(n (\text{mod } m)) \int h_{n+1}(\text{mod } m) d\mu \right) + o(e^{nP_\Delta}).
\]

Therefore

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-2nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_{2n}) = \alpha_0(0) \int h_0 d\mu + \alpha_1(0) \int h_1 d\mu = \int (h_0 + h_1) d\mu = \int h_\Delta d\mu,
\]

but

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-(2n+1)P_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_{2n+1}) = \alpha_0(1) \int h_1 d\mu + \alpha_1(1) \int h_0 d\mu = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} \int h_0 d\mu + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} \int h_1 d\mu.
\]

The latter is not in general equal to \( \int h_\Delta d\mu \) if \( p \neq q \) (see explicit example below). Therefore \( \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n) \) may not exist in general. However, if \( p = q \) then \( \alpha_j(k) = 1 \) for all \( j, k \) and then the limit is given by

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n) = \int h_\Delta d\mu.
\]

Note also that even when \( p \neq q \) there are choices of normalised potential \( \varphi \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nP_\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} \int h_0 d\mu + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} \int h_1 d\mu = \int h_\Delta d\mu.
\]

For explicit examples of the above remarks, take for instance \( \varphi \) defined by \( \varphi|_{C[1]_0} \equiv p \) and \( \varphi|_{C[2]_0} \equiv q \). Then necessarily \( h_0 \) is equal to 1 on the cylinders \( C[1]_0 \) and \( C[3]_0 \), and it is equal to 0 on \( C[2]_0 \). Similarly, \( h_1 \) is equal to 1 on \( C[2]_0 \) and \( C[3]_0 \), and it is equal to 0 on \( C[1]_0 \). Therefore

\[
\int h_\Delta d\mu = (\mu(C[1]_0) + \mu(C[3]_0)) + (\mu(C[2]_0) + \mu(C[3]_0)) = (1 - \mu(C[2]_0)) + (1 - \mu(C[1]_0)).
\]

Now the condition of \( \varphi \) being normalised implies that the values of \( \varphi \) on the cylinder \( C[3]_0 \) is uniquely determined. In fact on this cylinder \( \varphi \) is the 2-step cylindrical function given by

\[
\varphi|_{C[3]_{10}} \equiv \log(1 - e^q), \quad \varphi|_{C[3]_{20}} \equiv \log(1 - e^p), \quad \varphi|_{C[3]_{30}} \equiv \log(1 - e^p - e^q).
\]
Hence $\mu$ is the Markov measure defined by the stochastic matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^q & 1 - e^q \\ e^p & 0 & 1 - e^p \\ e^q & e^p & 1 - e^p - e^q \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

This matrix has the stationary strictly positive left eigenvector $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ given by

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3) = \left( \frac{e^p}{1 + e^p}, \frac{e^q}{1 + e^q}, 1 - \frac{e^p}{1 + e^p} - \frac{e^q}{1 + e^q} \right).$$

Therefore, $\mu(C[i]_0) = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, which implies that

$$\int h_\Delta d\mu = \left( 1 - \frac{e^p}{1 + e^p} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{e^q}{1 + e^q} \right) = \frac{2 + e^p + e^q}{(1 + e^p)(1 + e^q)}.$$

Now we compare the above expression with

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} \int h_0 d\mu + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} \int h_1 d\mu = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} (1 - p_2) + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} (1 - p_1) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} (1 + e^p) + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} (1 + e^q)}{(1 + e^p)(1 + e^q)}.$$

The two expressions coincide if and only if

$$2 + e^p + e^q = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} (1 + e^p) + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} (1 + e^q).$$

Introducing $a = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)}$ we see that

$$2 + e^p + a^2 e^p = a (1 + e^p) + a^{-1} (1 + a^2 e^p),$$

which is equivalent to

$$a (a^2 - 2a + 1) e^p = a^2 - 2a + 1.$$

Since $a e^p = e^{\frac{1}{2}(p+q)} = e^{P\Delta} < 1$, the above equality holds if and only if $a = 1$ (i.e. if $p = q$). Therefore, whenever $p \neq q$, we have

$$\int h_\Delta d\mu \neq e^{\frac{1}{2}(q-p)} \int h_0 d\mu + e^{\frac{1}{2}(p-q)} \int h_1 d\mu.$$

Hence, $e^{-nP\Delta} \mu(\Delta_n)$ does not converge as $n \to \infty$ when $p \neq q$.
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