# D ilepton production as a m easure of Q G P therm alization tim e

M auricio M artinez<sup>1</sup> and M ichael Strickland<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Helm holtz Research School, Johann W olfgang G oethe Universitat Frankfurt, Ruth-M oufang-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am M ain, G erm any
 <sup>2</sup> Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Johann W olfgang G oethe Universitat, M ax von Laue-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am M ain, G erm any

A bstract. We discuss dilepton production from a quark-gluon plasma which has a time-dependent anisotropy in momentum space. A phenomenological model for the hard momentum scale,  $p_{hard}$  () and the plasma anisotropy parameter, (), is presented. The model interpolates between early-time 1 + 1 free stream ing behavior ( $_{iso}$ ) and late-time ideal 1 + 1 hydrodynamical behavior ( $_{iso}$ ). Using this model, we not that the dilepton rate arising from electrom agnetic annihilations of quarks in the kinem atic range 3 <  $p_T$  < 8 GeV is sensitive to the assumed isotropization time of the system,  $_{iso}$ . Therefore high-energy dilepton production can be used to probe the degree of momentum -space isotropy of a quark-gluon plasma produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions and the time of onset of hydrodynam ic expansion of the QGP.

PACS num bers: 25.75 N q,25.75 D w,12.38 M h,11.10 W x.

### 1. Introduction

O ne of the most interesting problems facing the community in relativistic heavy ion collisions is to determ ine at what time the matter created can be described using hydrodynam ics. In this context, at RHIC energies it has been found that for  $p_T$ . 2 GeV, the elliptic ow of the matter created is described well by models which assume ideal hydrodynam ic behavior starting at very early times . 1 fm/c [1,2,3,4]. This is not completely understood due to the fact that the estimates from perturbative QCD for the therm alization time of a QGP at RHIC energies range from 2 3 fm/c , 6,7]. In the hydrodynam ical description of the matter generated during heavy ion collistions, there is a strong dependence on the equation of state, initial conditions, late time evolution (& 2-3 fm/c), etc. It would be nice to have inform ation about the therm alization time di erent than elliptic ow . In this work, we exam ine the possibility to determ ine experimentally the therm alization time of the matter created using high energy dilepton yields as a function of both, mass and transverse momentum. We compute the expected e<sup>+</sup> e



F igure 1. M odelenergy density (left), hard m om entum scale (m iddle), and an isotropy parameter (right) for four di erent isotropization times  $_{\rm iso}$  2 f0:1;0:4;0:8;1:6g fm /c assuming  $_0 = 0.1$  fm /c. The transition width is taken to be = 2.

yields resulting from a Pb-Pb collision at LHC fullbeam energy, p = 5.5 TeV in a preequilibrium scenario of a quark-gluon plasm a with a time-dependent anisotropy caused by the rapid longitudinal expansion.

## 2. D ilepton production

From relativistic kinetic theory, the dilepton production rate at leading order is given by:

where f is the distribution function in phase space for the quark (antiquark),  $v_{qq}$  is the relative velocity between the quark and antiquark and  $\prod_{qq}^{i+1}$  is the total cross section at leading order in em for the reaction qq!  $l^+l$ . We will assume that the anisotropic phase distribution can be obtained from an arbitrary isotropic phase space distribution changing its argument, i.e.,  $f_i(p; ;p_{hard}) = f_{iso}^i \left( \begin{array}{c} p^2 + (p - a)^2 \\ p^2 + (p - a)^2 \\ p_{hard} \end{array} \right)$ , here i = q;q,  $p_{hard}$  is the hard momentum scale,  $e_z$  is the direction of the anisotropy and > 0 is a parameter that rejects the strength and type of anisotropy. In equilibrium, = 0 and  $p_{hard}$  can be identified with the plasm a temperature T.

## 2.1. Interpolating M odel

For any anisotropic phase space distribution  $f_i(p; ; p_{hard})$ , the medium parton energy density can be factorized as:

$$E(p_{hard};) = \frac{Z}{2^{3}} pf(p;) = E_0(p_{hard}) R(); \qquad (2)$$

where R () =  $1=(+1) + \arctan^{p_p_p_1} = 2$  and  $E_0(p_{hard})$  is the energy density resulting from integration of the isotropic quark and anti-quark distribution functions. In order to construct a model which interpolates between free streaming and hydrodynamic expansion we introduce a smeared step function (; 0; iso; ) (tanh [ (  $_{iso})=_0$ ]+1)=2. This allows us to model the time-dependence of  $p_{hard}$  and as [8]:



Figure 2. (Color online) Invariant mass (left) and momentum (right) distribution of m idrapidity dileptons in central Pb+Pb collisions at LHC.

$$E() = E_{FS}() [U()=U(_{0})]^{4=3};$$

$$p_{hard}() = T_{0} [U()=U(_{0})]^{1=3};$$
(3)
() =  $a^{2(1 ())} 1;$ 

where U()  $\mathbb{R}(a_{iso}^2 \ 1)^3$ <sup>()=4</sup>  $(a_{iso}=a)^{()}$ ,  $a =_0$  and  $a_{iso} \ _{iso}=_0$ . When  $_{iso}$  we have ! 0 and the system is free stream ing. When  $_{iso}$  then ! 1 and the system is expanding hydrodynam ically. In the lim it ! 1, ! ( $_{iso}$ ). In Fig.1 we plot the time-dependence of E,  $p_{hard}$ , and assuming = 2 for four diment plasm a isotropization times corresponding to  $a_{iso} 2 \ f1;4;8;16g$ .

To obtain the nalexpected dilepton yields we integrate the production rate over 2 f<sub>0</sub>; fg and 2 f 2:5;2:5g with parameters specified by Eq. (4) and f set by  $p_{hard}$  (f) = T<sub>c</sub>:

$$\frac{dN}{dM^{2}dy} = R_{T}^{2} d^{2}P_{T} \frac{d^{2}P_{T}}{d^{4}P} dd; \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{dN}{d^{2}P_{T}dy} = R_{T}^{2} dM^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dR_{ann}}{d^{4}P} dd :$$
(5)

where  $R_T = 12A^{1-3}$  fm is the radius of the nucleus in the transverse plane.

# 3. Results

In Fig. 2 we show our nalpredicted  $e^+e^-$  yields as a function of invariant mass and transverse momentum along with predicted yields from other sources. For comparison with previous works we take  $_0 = 0.088 \text{ fm}/c$ ,  $T_0 = 845 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $T_c = 160 \text{ MeV}$ , and  $R_T = 7.1 \text{ fm}$  [9]. Here we assume that when the system reaches  $T_c$  all medium emission stops. The addition of mixed and hadronic phase emission is not included in the present work since those e ects are not in portant in the studied kinematic region [8]. As a

function of the invariant m ass (left side of F ig. 2), the in-m edium production is sensitive when varying the assumed plasma isotropization time from 0.088 fm/c to 2 fm/c. When  $_{iso}$  2 fm/c, we see that medium dileptons become as important as D rell-Y an and jet conversion. However, all three contributions are down by an order of magnitude from the expected background coming from semileptonic heavy quark decay. As a function of  $p_T$  (right side of F ig. 2), the medium contribution dom inates the expected D rell-Y an and jet conversion sources for all  $p_T$ . 6 G eV. If  $_{iso}$  2 fm/c then the in-medium dileptons dom inate out to  $p_T$  9 G eV. In the same gure, at  $p_T$  = 5 G eV the expected medium dilepton a isotropization time.

## 4. Conclusion

Based on the results from Fig. 2, dilepton production as a function of the transverse momentum is a good observable to measure  $_{iso}$  at LHC energies in the kinematic range  $3 < p_T < 8$  GeV. Additionally, it may be possible to estimate the maximum amount of momentum space anisotropy achieved during the lifetime of the QGP using the phenomenological model presented here. The elect of varying  $_{iso}$  is also large in the dilepton spectra vs invariant mass but D rell-Y an and jet conversion production can be up to 10 times larger than medium production making it dicult to measure a clean medium dilepton signal. Future work in dilepton production incorporating anisotropies will study the elect of including collisional broadening of the parton distributions, the possibility of late-time persistent anisotropies ( nite viscosity), NLO order corrections and nite chemical potentials. In addition, models such as (4) can be used to assess the impact of momentum -space anisotropies on other observables such as jet conversion.

### A cknow ledgm ents

We thank A.Dum itru, B.Schenke, S.Turbide and A. Ipp. M.M. was supported by the Helm holtz Research School. M.S. was supported by DFG project GR 1536/6-1.

#### References

- [1] D. Teaney, J. Lauret and E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 4783.
- [2] P. Huovinen, P. F. Kolb, U. W. Heinz, P. V. Ruuskanen and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. B 503 (2001) 58.
- [3] T.Hirano and K.Tsuda, Phys. Rev. C 66 (2002) 054905.
- [4] M.J.Tannenbaum, Rept. Prog. Phys. 69 (2006) 2005.
- [5] R.Baier, A.H.Mueller, D.Schi and D.T.Son, Phys.Lett. B 502 (2001) 51.
- [6] Z.Xu and C.Greiner, Phys.Rev.C 71 (2005) 064901.
- [7] M. Strickland, J. Phys. G 34 (2007) S429 [arX iv:hep-ph/0701238].
- [8] M. Martinez and M. Strickland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 102301.
- [9] S.Turbide, C.Gale, D.K. Srivastava and R.J. Fries, Phys. Rev. C 74, 014903 (2006).