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Abstract

In this paper we will present monotonicity of subelliptic estimates involving Levi forms on rigid pseudoconvex domains. As an application of monotonicity, we will show that if a rigid domain is given by a sum of the squares of monomials and if the domain is of finite type, then the sharp subelliptic estimate of this domain equals the reciprocal of the D’Angelo’s 1-type.

1 Introduction

This paper mainly concerns the following class of domains. Let $\Omega$ be a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and let the origin be a boundary point of $\Omega$. Following the terminology in [BRT], we say that $\Omega$ is a rigid pseudoconvex domain near the origin if there exists a complex coordinate $(z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1})$ such that $\Omega$ is defined near the origin by

$$\Omega = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \Re z_{n+1} + u(z_1, \ldots, z_n) < 0\}, \quad (1)$$

where $u$ is a plurisubharmonic function depending only on the first $n$-variables with $u(0) = 0$. We say that $u$ is the mixed term of the boundary defining function of $\Omega$ near the origin.
Let $\Omega$ be a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and let $p_0$ be a point on the boundary of $\Omega$. We say that subelliptic estimate of order $\epsilon > 0$ holds on $(0,1)$-forms at $p_0$ if there exist a constant $C$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $p_0$ such that the estimate
\[
|||\varphi|||^2 \leq C(|||\partial \varphi|||^2 + |||\bar{\partial} \varphi|||^2 + |||\varphi|||^2)
\] (2)
is valid for all $\varphi \in D^{0,1}(U)$. Here $||| \cdot |||_\epsilon$ denotes the tangential Sobolev norm of order $\epsilon$ and $D^{0,1}(U)$ refers to the set of smooth $(0,1)$-forms $\varphi$ in $\text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$. The D’Angelo’s 1-type of the boundary of $\Omega$ at $p_0$ is defined by
\[
T(b\Omega, p_0) = \sup_z \frac{v(z^* r)}{v(z)}.
\] (3)
Here $z$ runs over the set of all germs of parameterized complex analytic curves at $p_0$ and $v(\cdot)$ denotes the vanishing order. Even though the type function, $p \to T(b\Omega, p)$, is neither upper nor lower semi-continuous, D’Angelo showed that if $T(b\Omega, p_0)$ is finite, then it is locally bounded near $p_0$ [DA82].

Catlin showed that if $\Omega$ is a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $p_0 \in b\Omega$, then $T(b\Omega, p_0)$ is finite if and only if there is a subelliptic estimate of some order $\epsilon > 0$ on $(0,1)$-forms at $p_0$. More precisely, for necessary condition of subellipticity he [Ca83] showed that if $T(b\Omega, p_0)$ is finite and a subelliptic estimate of order $\epsilon$ of the form (2) holds, then $\epsilon$ must satisfy $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{T(b\Omega, p_0)}$. For sufficiency he reduced the problem to construct a family of plurisubharmonic functions $\{\lambda_\delta\}$ with large Hessians near the boundary.

**Theorem** (Catlin [Ca87, Theorem 2.2]). Let $p_0$ be a point on the boundary of a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Let $\Omega_\delta = \{z \mid r(z) < \delta\}$ and let $S_\delta = \{z \mid -\delta < r(z) < \delta\}$. Suppose that there exist a neighborhood $\bar{U}$ of $p_0$, a parameter $\epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and a constant $c > 0$ such that for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ there exists a smooth plurisubharmonic function $\lambda_\delta$ in $\bar{U} \cap \Omega_\delta$ satisfying the following properties:

(i) \[ |\lambda_\delta| \leq 1 \text{ on } \bar{U} \cap \Omega_\delta \] (4)

(ii) \[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_\delta}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} s_i s_j \geq c\delta^{-2\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |s_i|^2, \quad s_i \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ on } \bar{U} \cap S_\delta. \] (5)

Then there exists a neighborhood $\bar{U}'$ of $p_0$ with $\bar{U}' \subset \subset \bar{U}$ such that a subelliptic estimate of order $\epsilon$ holds in $\bar{U}'$. 
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In this paper we are primarily interested in a construction of a family of plurisubharmonic functions, \( \{ \lambda_\delta \} \), satisfying (4) and (5), for a rigid pseudoconvex domain defined by (1). The main idea of this paper is to resolve \( \partial \bar{\partial} u \) at degenerated points by adding small cutoff functions in a uniform way. Since the mixed term \( u \) is independent of the last variable \( z_{n+1} \), there is a natural projection from the boundary of a rigid domain to a neighborhood of the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Under this projection one can interpret the Levi form of the boundary of \( \Omega \) in terms of \( \partial \bar{\partial} u \). This observation enables us to derive the following lemma, a modified version of [Ca87, Theorem 2.2] for rigid pseudoconvex domains.

**Main Lemma.** Let \( u(z) \) be a smooth plurisubharmonic function in a neighborhood \( V \) of the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) with \( u(0) = 0 \) and let \( \Omega \) be a rigid pseudoconvex domain defined by (1) near the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \). Suppose that there exist a neighborhood \( U \subset V \) of the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), a constant \( C > 0 \), and a parameter \( \epsilon \) with \( 0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \) so that for each sufficiently small \( \delta > 0 \) there exists a smooth function \( \rho_\delta(z) \) on \( U \) with the following properties:

(i) \( 0 \leq \rho_\delta(z) \leq 1 \) for all \( z \in U \),

(ii) For all \( z \in U \) and for all \( L = s_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \cdots + s_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \ s_i \in \mathbb{C} \)

\[
\partial \bar{\partial} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} + \rho_\delta \right) (L, \bar{L})(z) \geq C\delta^{-2\epsilon} |L|^2. \tag{6}
\]

Then a subelliptic estimate of order \( \epsilon \) holds at the origin for \( \Omega \).

We will give the proof of Main Lemma in Section 2. As an immediate consequence of Main Lemma, we obtain the following monotone property of subelliptic estimates on two rigid domains.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) be plurisubharmonic functions defined on a neighborhood \( V \) of the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) with \( u_1(0) = u_2(0) = 0 \). Let \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) be rigid pseudoconvex domains with mixed terms, \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \), respectively, near the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \). Suppose that there exist a neighborhood \( U \subset V \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), a constant \( C > 0 \), and a parameter \( \epsilon \) with \( 0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \) such that for each sufficiently small \( \delta > 0 \) there exists a smooth function \( \rho_\delta(z) \) satisfying (i) and (ii) for \( u_2 \) in Main Lemma. If for all \( z \in V \),

\[
\partial \bar{\partial} u_1(L, \bar{L})(z) \geq \partial \bar{\partial} u_2(L, \bar{L})(z), \quad L = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \tag{7}
\]

then a subelliptic estimate of order \( \epsilon \) holds at the origin for both \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \).
In Section 3, as an application of the monotone property, we will consider the largest subelliptic gain of a rigid pseudoconvex domain whose Levi form is greater than or equal to the one of a diagonal domain (Theorem 2). As a corollary of Theorem 2, we will provide an answer to the D’Angelo’s conjecture when the mixed term of a rigid pseudoconvex domain is a sum of the squares of monomials (Corollary 2).

Conjecture (D’Angelo [DA93]). Let $O_n$ be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at the origin $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $I$ be an ideal generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_l \in O_n$ with $f_j(0) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l$. Let $m(I)$ denote $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} O_n/I$. Let $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a rigid domain whose boundary near the origin is defined by $r(z') = 2 \text{Re} z_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^l |f_j(z)|^2$, where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n+1})$. If $m(I)$ is finite, then a subelliptic estimate holds for

$$\frac{1}{2m(I)} \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{T(b\Omega, 0)}. \quad (8)$$
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2 Proof of Main Lemma

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a rigid domain whose boundary is defined near the origin by

$$r(z') = 2 \text{Re} z_{n+1} + u(z), \quad (9)$$

where $u(z)$ is a smooth plurisubharmonic function, depending only on $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, with $u(0) = 0$. Let $\bar{U}$ be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ defined by $\bar{U} = U \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and let

$$\Omega_\delta = \{ z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid r(z') < \delta \} \quad (10)$$

$$S_\delta = \{ z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid -\delta < r(z') \leq 0 \}. \quad (11)$$
For simplicity, we set
\[ \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n + 1. \] (12)

Let denote a constant vector field in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) by
\[ L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \partial_i \quad s_i \in \mathbb{C}. \] (13)

Consider \((1, 0)\)-vector fields \( L_1, \ldots, L_{n+1} \) on \( \tilde{U} \), defined by
\[ L_i = \partial_i - \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_z} \partial_{n+1} = \partial_i - u_z \partial_{n+1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \] (14)
\[ L_{n+1} = \partial_{n+1}. \]

Note that \( L_i r = 0 \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) for all \( z' \in \tilde{U} \). Let us denote
\[ L' = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i L_i, \quad \tilde{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i \partial_i, \quad s_i \in \mathbb{C}. \]

Since \( u_z \) is bounded on \( U \subset\subset V \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), it follows that there exist constants \( C_1, C_2 > 0 \), depending only on \( U \), so that
\[ C_1 |L'|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |s_i|^2 \leq C_2 |L'|^2. \] (15)

**Step 1.** Let \( \tilde{\lambda}_\delta \) be a function defined on \( V \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \) by
\[ \tilde{\lambda}_\delta = e^{\frac{z}{\delta}} + e^{-3} \rho_\delta. \] (16)

If \( z' \in U \times \mathbb{C} \) with \( r(z') \geq -3\delta \), then for all \( L' \) at \( z' \),
\[ \partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\lambda}_\delta(L', L')(z') \geq \delta^{-2} |L'|^2. \] (17)

**Proof of Step 1.** Note that
\[ \partial\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\lambda}_\delta) = e^{\frac{z}{\delta}} \left[ \frac{\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r}{\delta^2} + \frac{\partial\bar{\partial}u}{\delta} \right] + \frac{\partial\bar{\partial}\rho_\delta}{e^3}. \] (18)

Since
\[ \partial\bar{\partial}u(L', \tilde{L}')(z') = \partial\bar{\partial}u(L, \tilde{L})(z) \]
\[ \partial\bar{\partial}\rho_\delta(L', \tilde{L}')(z') = \partial\bar{\partial}\rho_\delta(L, \tilde{L})(z), \] (19)
it follows that for any \( z' = (z, z_{n+1}) \in \tilde{U} \) with \( r(z') \geq -3\delta \),

\[
\partial \bar{\partial} \tilde{\lambda}_\delta(L', \bar{L}')(z') = e^{\frac{\bar{r}}{\delta}} \left[ \frac{(\partial r \land \bar{\partial} r)}{\delta^2}(L', \bar{L}')(z') \right] + e^{\frac{\bar{r}}{\delta}} \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{\partial} u}{\delta}(L, \bar{L})(z) + \frac{\partial \bar{\partial} \rho_\delta}{e^3}(L, \bar{L})(z) \right] \\
= e^{\frac{\bar{r}}{\delta}} \left[ \frac{|s_{n+1}|^2}{\delta^2} \right] + e^{\frac{\bar{r}}{\delta}} \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{\partial} u}{\delta}(L, \bar{L})(z) + \frac{\partial \bar{\partial} \rho_\delta}{e^3}(L, \bar{L})(z) \right] \\
\geq e^{-3} \left[ \frac{|s_{n+1}|^2}{\delta^2} + \partial \bar{\partial} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} + \rho_\delta \right) (L, \bar{L})(z) \right] \\
\geq e^{-3} \left[ \frac{|s_{n+1}|^2}{\delta^2} + C\delta^{-2}\epsilon |L|^2 \right].
\]

(20)

In fact, the first equality follows from (18) and (19). The second equality results from the fact that \( L_i r = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and the third inequality is obtained by the fact that \( e^{\frac{\bar{r}}{\delta}} \geq e^{-3} \) for \( r(z') \geq -3\delta \). The fourth inequality follows from (6). Since \( 0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \delta \) is small, it follows from (15) and (20) that (17) holds.

**Step 2.** Let \( p(t) \) be an increasing smooth convex function with \( p(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq e^{-2} \), and \( p(t) > 0, \ p'(t) > 0, \) for \( t > e^{-2} \). Let

\[
\lambda_\delta(z') = p(\tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z')).
\]

(21)

Then \( \lambda_\delta \) is a plurisubharmonic function on \( \tilde{U} \).

**Proof of Step 2** Since \( \tilde{\lambda}_\delta = e^{\frac{\bar{r}}{\delta}} + e^{-3}\rho_\delta \) and \( 0 \leq \rho_\delta \leq 1 \), it follows that

\[
\tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z') \leq e^{-3} + e^{-3} < e^{-2}, \quad \text{for } z' \in \tilde{U} \text{ with } r(z') \leq -3\delta.
\]

(22)

Since \( p(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq e^{-2} \), we have

\[
\lambda_\delta(z') = p(\tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z')) = 0, \quad \text{for } z' \in \tilde{U} \text{ with } r(z') \leq -3\delta.
\]

(23)

If \( z' \in \tilde{U} \) with \( r(z') \geq -3\delta \), then since \( \tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z') \) is plurisubharmonic from Step 1 and since \( p(t) \) is a convex increasing function, it follows that \( \lambda_\delta \) is plurisubharmonic on \( z' \in \tilde{U} \) with \( r(z') \geq -3\delta \). Therefore, combining with (23), we conclude that \( \lambda_\delta \) is plurisubharmonic on \( \tilde{U} \).
Continue the proof of Main Lemma \[1\]. Since \( \tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z') = \lambda(z) = e + e^{-3} \) for \( z' \in \tilde{U} \cap \Omega_\delta \) and since \( \lambda(z') = p \circ \tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z') = 0 \) for \( z' \in \tilde{U} \) with \( r(z') < -3\delta \) by (23), it follows that there exists a constant \( C' > 0 \) independent of \( \delta \) such that

\[
|\lambda_\delta(z')| \leq C', \quad \text{for all} \quad z' \in \tilde{U} \cap \Omega_\delta. \tag{24}
\]

Since \( p \) is a smooth convex increasing function with \( p'(t) > 0 \) for \( t > e^{-2} \), there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) so that

\[
p'(t) \geq c, \quad \text{for} \quad e^{-1} \leq t \leq e + e^{-3}. \tag{25}
\]

Since

\[
e^{-1} \leq \tilde{\lambda}_\delta(z') \leq e + e^{-3} \quad \text{for} \quad z' \in \tilde{U} \cap S_\delta, \tag{26}
\]

it follows from (17) and (25) that there exists a constant \( C'' > 0 \) independent of \( \delta \) such that for all \( z' \in S_\delta \cap \tilde{U} \) and all \( L' \) at \( z' \),

\[
\partial \bar{\partial} \lambda_\delta(L', \bar{L}')(z') \geq C'' \delta^{-2c} |L'|^2. \tag{27}
\]

3 Sharp Subelliptic Estimates on Rigid Monomial Domains

In this section we will consider subellipticity of a rigid domain whose Levi form is greater than or equal to the one of a diagonal domain. As an application of monotonicity, we will show that if the mixed term of the boundary of a rigid domain is given by sum of the squares of monomials and it is of finite type at the origin, then the sharp subelliptic estimate of this domain is the reciprocal of the 1-type.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \Omega \) be a rigid pseudoconvex domain near the origin and let \( u \) be the mixed term of the boundary of \( \Omega \) near the origin. Let \( m_1, \ldots, m_n \) be positive integer. Suppose that there exists a neighborhood \( V \) of the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) so that for all \( z \in V \)

\[
\partial \bar{\partial} u(L, \bar{L})(z) \geq \partial \bar{\partial} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_i|^{2m_i} \right) (L, \bar{L}) \quad \text{for} \quad L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \partial_i. \tag{27}
\]

Then a subelliptic estimate at the origin for \( \Omega \) holds of order

\[
\epsilon = \frac{1}{2 \max\{m_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}}. \tag{28}
\]
Proof. By Theorem 1 it is enough to show that there exist a neighborhood $U$ of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a constant $C > 0$, and a parameter $\epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that for each sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ there exists a smooth function $\rho_\delta$ satisfying (i) and (ii) for $\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^{2m_i}$ in Main Lemma.

Let $\chi$ be a smooth function such that $0 \leq \chi(t) \leq 1$ for $t \geq 0$, $\chi(t) = t$ for $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$. Let us denote $m = \max\{m_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. For each small $\delta > 0$ define $\tau_i(\delta) = \delta^{\frac{1}{m_i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and

$$\rho_\delta(z) = c \sum_{i=1}^n \chi \left( \frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2} \right),$$

(29)

where $c > 0$ is to be determined. Let $U \subset \subset V$ be neighborhood of the origin. For $L = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \partial_i$ and $z \in U$,

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^{2m_i} \rho_\delta \right) (L, \bar{L})(z)$$

$$= \partial \bar{\partial} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|z_i|^{2m_i}}{\delta} + c \sum_{i=1}^n \chi \left( \frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2} \right) \right) (L, \bar{L})(z)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i |s_i|^2,$$

(30)

where for $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$a_i = \frac{|z_i|^{2m_i-2}}{\delta} + c\chi' \left( \frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2} \right) \frac{1}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2} + c\chi'' \left( \frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2} \right) \frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^4}.$$  

(31)

Since $a_i$ depends only on the $i$-th variable $z_i$, it is enough to estimate the constant $a_i$ for each variable $z_i$. If $|z_i|^2 \geq (\tau_i(\delta))^2$, then since the last two terms in (31) vanish, it follows that

$$a_i \geq \frac{(\tau_i(\delta))^{2m_i-2}}{\delta} = \delta^{\frac{1}{m_i}} - \frac{1}{m_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$  

(32)

If $|z_i|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\tau_i(\delta))^2$, then since $\chi'(\frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2}) = 1$ and $\chi''(\frac{|z_i|^2}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2}) = 0$, we have

$$a_i \geq c \frac{1}{(\tau_i(\delta))^2} = c \delta^{\frac{1}{m_i}} - \frac{1}{m_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$  

(33)
Let us denote
\[ M = \sup \{|\chi'(t)| + |\chi''(t)| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}. \] (34)

If \( \frac{1}{2}(\tau_i(\delta))^2 \leq |z_i|^2 \leq (\tau_i(\delta))^2 \), then
\[ a_i \geq (2^{1-m_i} - cM)\delta^{-\frac{1}{m_i}}. \] (35)

Since \( m_i \) and \( M \) are independent of \( \delta \), we can choose a small number \( c > 0 \), independent of \( \delta \), to make \( 2^{1-m_i} - cM \) to be positive for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Letting \( d = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{2^{1-m_i} - cM\} \), we have
\[ a_i \geq d\delta^{-\frac{1}{m_i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \] (36)

Combining (32), (33), and (36), we conclude that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \), independent of \( \delta \), so that \( a_i \geq C\delta^{-\frac{1}{m_i}} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), for each small \( \delta \). Hence, we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.** Let \( v(z) \) be a plurisubharmonic function near the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and let \( u(z) = v(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_i|^{2m_i} \), where \( m_i \)'s are positive integers. If \( \Omega \) be a rigid domain with the mixed term \( u(z) \) near origin in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \), then a subelliptic estimate holds at the origin of order \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2m} \), where \( m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} m_i \).

**Corollary 2.** Let \( f_1, \ldots, f_l \) be monomials in \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) and let \( \Omega \) be a pseudoconvex domain in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \) whose boundary defining function near the origin is
\[ 2 \Re(z_{n+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} |f_j(z)|^2. \] (37)

If \( T(b\Omega, 0) < \infty \), then the best subelliptic estimate at the origin for \( \Omega \) is
\[ \epsilon = \frac{1}{T(b\Omega, 0)}. \] (38)

**Proof.** Since \( T(b\Omega, 0) < \infty \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_l \) are monomials, it follows that some positive power of each coordinate \( z_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), equals one of \( f_1, \ldots, f_l \) up to constant. Let \( m_i \) be the smallest one among such powers of \( z_i \). Then, the mixed term of the boundary defining function near the origin satisfies (27). Furthermore, one can show that \( T(b\Omega, 0) = \max\{m_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\} \). Therefore, Theorem 2 and [Ca83, Theorem 3] implies the corollary. \( \square \)
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