REPRESENTATIONS OF UNRAMIFIED $U(2, 2)$ OVER A $p$-ADIC FIELD I: REPRESENTATIONS OF NON-INTEGRAL LEVEL
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Abstract. Let $F_0$ be a non-archimedean local field of odd residual characteristic and let $G$ be the unramified unitary group $U(2, 2)$ defined over $F_0$. In this paper, we give a classification of the irreducible smooth representations of $G$ of non-integral level using the Hecke algebraic method developed by Allen Moy for $GSp(4)$.

INTRODUCTION

Let $F_0$ be a non-archimedean local field of odd residual characteristic and let $G$ be the unramified unitary group $U(2, 2)$ defined over $F_0$. Although Konno [6] classified the non-supercuspidal representations of $G$, supercuspidal representations of $G$ has not been classified. The purpose of this paper is to classify the irreducible smooth representations of $G$ of non-integral level.

In [12] and [13], Moy gave a classification of the irreducible smooth representations of unramified $U(2, 1)$ and $GSp(4)$ over $F_0$, based on the concepts of nondegenerate representations and Hecke algebra isomorphisms. A nondegenerate representation of $GSp(4)$ is an irreducible representation $\sigma$ of an open compact subgroup $K$ which satisfies a certain cuspidality or semisimplicity condition. An important property of nondegenerate representations of $GSp(4)$ is that every irreducible smooth representation of $GSp(4)$ contains some nondegenerate representation.

For $\sigma$ a nondegenerate representation of $GSp(4)$, the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $GSp(4)$ which contain $\sigma$ can be identified with the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of a Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}$ associated to $\sigma$. Moy described $\mathcal{H}$ as a Hecke algebra of some smaller group and thus reduced the classification of the irreducible smooth representations of $GSp(4)$ which contain $\sigma$ to that of a smaller group.

In this paper, we attempt to classify the irreducible smooth representations of $G$ by this method. The keypoint of our classification is to construct an analog of nondegenerate representations of $G$.

In [14], Moy and Prasad developed the concept of nondegenerate representations into that of unrefined minimal $K$-types for reductive $p$-adic groups. For classical groups, Stevens [18] gave an explicit construction of unrefined minimal $K$-types as fundamental skew strata, based on the results of Bushnell and Kutzko [2] and Morris [9]. However, neither of these give a classification of the irreducible smooth representations of $p$-adic classical groups.

Throughout this paper, we use the notion of fundamental skew strata introduced by [18] for our nondegenerate representations of $G$. Let $F$ be the unramified quadratic extension over $F_0$. Then $G$ is realized as the group of isometries of an $F/F_0$-hermitian form on 4-dimensional $F$-vector space $V$. According to [18], a skew stratum is a 4-tuple $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$. A periodic lattice function $\Lambda$ with a certain duality induces a filtration $\{P_{\Lambda,k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ on a parahoric subgroup $P_{\Lambda,0}$ of $G$. Integers $n > r \geq 0$ and an element $\beta$ in the Lie algebra of $G$ determine a character $\psi$ of the group $P_{\Lambda,r+1}$ which is trivial on $P_{\Lambda,n+1}$. Writing $e(\Lambda)$ for the period of $\Lambda$, we refer to $n/e(\Lambda)$ as the level of the stratum.
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In Section 2, we prove Theorem 2.2, a rigid result on the existence of fundamental skew strata. This theorem, which is an analog of the result in [13], says that every irreducible representation of $G$ contains some fundamental skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ such that \{\text{singletons}\} is the standard filtration of $P_{\Lambda, n}$. So we can start our classification with 7 filtrations up to conjugacy.

In the latter part of this paper, we give a classification of the irreducible representations of $G$ which contain a skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ whose level is not an integer. As in [18], we may further assume that $\beta$ is a semisimple element in Lie($G$) by replacing $P_{\Lambda, n}$ with a nonstandard filtration subgroup of a parahoric subgroup.

In Section 3, we consider the case when the $G$-centralizer of $\beta$ forms a maximal compact torus in $G$. Stevens [16] and [17] gave a method to construct irreducible supercuspidal representations of $G'$ containing such a stratum. Applying his result, we can construct the irreducible representations of $G$ containing such a stratum. Those are irreducibly induced from open and compact subgroups.

In Section 4, we treat the case when the $G$-centralizer $G'$ of $\beta$ is not compact. We describe the Hecke algebra associated to such a stratum as a certain Hecke algebra of $G'$. This allows us to identify the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $G$ containing such a stratum with those of irreducible representations of $G'$. The construction of Hecke algebra isomorphisms here is along the lines of that in [12] and [13]. This method is valid only if $G'$ is tamely ramified over $F_0$. But there are no wildly ramified $G'$ for our group $G = U(2,2)$. This is the reason why Moy’s classification works well for $G$.

Moreover, we consider the condition when two fundamental skew strata occur in a common irreducible smooth representation in $G$. This problem relates to the “intertwining implies conjugacy” property. We prove that this property holds among the skew strata $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ with compact centralizers. In the other cases, we normalize skew strata to be appropriate to this problem. Then the “intertwining implies conjugacy” property of normalized skew strata is trivial except only one case (case (4d)).

The remaining problem that we need to consider is to classify the irreducible representations of $G$ of integral level. The level 0 representations have been classified by Moy-Prasad [15] and Morris [11] for more general $p$-adic reductive groups. In a second part of this article, we will complete our classification of the irreducible representations of $G$, by classifying those containing a fundamental skew stratum of positive integral level.

The Hecke algebra isomorphisms established for $G$ preserve the unitary structure of Hecke algebras, so we can calculate formal degrees of supercuspidal representations of $G$ via those isomorphisms. We hope to return to this in the future.

Part of this article is based on the author’s doctoral thesis. The author would like to thank his supervisors, Tetsuya Takahashi and Tadashi Yamazaki, for their useful comments and patient encouragement during this work. The author also thank Kazutoshi Kariyama and Shaun Stevens for corrections and helpful comments. The research for this paper was partially supported by EPSRC grant GR/T21714/01.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the notion of fundamental skew strata for unramified unitary groups over a non-archimedean local field. For details in more general settings, one should consult [1], [2] and [16].

1.1. Filtrations. Let $F_0$ be a non-archimedean local field of odd residual characteristic. Let $\mathfrak{o}_0$ denote the ring of integers in $F_0$, $\mathfrak{p}_0$ the prime ideal in $\mathfrak{o}_0$, $k_0 = \mathfrak{o}_0/\mathfrak{p}_0$ the residue field, and $q$ the number of elements in $k_0$.

Let $F = F_0[\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$, $\varepsilon$ a nonsquare element in $\mathfrak{o}_0^\times$, denote the unramified quadratic extension over $F_0$. We denote by $\mathfrak{o}_F$, $\mathfrak{p}_F$, $k_F$ the objects for $F$ analogous to those above for $F_0$. For $x$ in $F$ or
Let $V$ be an $N$-dimensional $F$-vector space equipped with a nondegenerate hermitian form $f$ with respect to $F/F_0$. We put $A = \text{End}_F(V)$ and $\widetilde{G} = A^\sigma$. Let $\sigma$ denote the involution on $A$ induced by $f$. We also put $G = \{g \in \widetilde{G} \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$, the corresponding unramified unitary group over $F_0$, and $g = \{X \in A \mid X + \sigma(X) = 0\} \simeq \text{Lie}(G)$.

Recall from [2] (2.1) that an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$ is a function $\Lambda$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to the set of $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattices in $V$ such that

(i) $\Lambda(i) \supset \Lambda(i+1), i \in \mathbb{Z}$;
(ii) there exists an integer $e(\Lambda)$ called the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-period of $\Lambda$ such that $\varpi \Lambda(i) = \Lambda(i + e(\Lambda)), i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We say that an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ is strict if $\Lambda$ is injective.

For $L$ an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice in $V$, we define its dual lattice $L^\#$ by $L^\# = \{v \in V \mid f(v, L) \subset \mathfrak{o}_F\}$. An $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$ is called self-dual if there exists an integer $d(\Lambda)$ such that $\Lambda(i)^\# = \Lambda(d(\Lambda) - i), i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$ induces a filtration $\{a_n(\Lambda)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $A$ by

$$a_n(\Lambda) = \{X \in A \mid X\Lambda(i) \subset \Lambda(i + n), \ i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

This filtration determines a sort of “valuation” map $\nu_\Lambda$ on $A$ by

$$\nu_\Lambda(x) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \in a_n(\Lambda), \ x \in A \setminus \{0\},$$

with the usual understanding that $\nu_\Lambda(0) = \infty$.

For $\Lambda$ an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define a translate $\Lambda + k$ of $\Lambda$ by $\Lambda + k(i) = \Lambda(i + k), i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $a_n(\Lambda) = a_n(\Lambda + k), n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $g \in G$ and $\Lambda$ a self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$, we define a self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $g\Lambda$ by $(g\Lambda)(i) = g\Lambda(i), i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $e(g\Lambda) = e(\Lambda)$ and $d(g\Lambda) = d(\Lambda)$.

Remark 1.1. Given an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$, we define its dual sequence $\Lambda^\#$ by $\Lambda^\#(i) = \Lambda(-i)^\#$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $a_n(\Lambda^\#) = \sigma(a_n(\Lambda)), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows from [2] (2.5) that $\Lambda$ is self-dual if and only if $\sigma(a_n(\Lambda)) = a_n(\Lambda), n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $\Gamma$ an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice in $A$, we define its dual by $\Gamma^* = \{X \in A \mid \text{tr}_{A/F_0}(XT) \subset \mathfrak{o}_F\}$, where $\text{tr}_{A/F_0}$ denotes the composition of traces $\text{tr}_{F/F_0} \circ \text{tr}_{A/F}$. By [2] (2.10), if $\Lambda$ is an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$, then we have $a_n(\Lambda)^* = a_{n-1}(\Lambda)$.

For $\Lambda$ a self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$, we will write $g_{\Lambda,n} = g \cap a_n(\Lambda), n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also set $P_{\Lambda,0} = G \cap a_0(\Lambda)$ and $P_{\Lambda,n} = G \cap (1 + a_n(\Lambda)), n \geq 1$. Then $\{P_{\Lambda,n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a filtration of the parahoric subgroup $P_{\Lambda,0}$ of $G$ by its open normal subgroups.

We fix an additive character $\Omega$ of $F_0$ with conductor $p_0$. Let $^\vee$ denote the Pontrjagin dual and for $x$ a real number, let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $\Lambda$ be a self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$ and let $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $n > r \geq [n/2] \geq 0$. Then the map $p \mapsto p - 1$ induces an isomorphism $P_{\Lambda,r+1}/P_{\Lambda,n+1} \simeq g_{\Lambda,r+1}/g_{\Lambda,n+1}$ and there exists an isomorphism

$$g_{\Lambda,-n}/g_{\Lambda,-r} \simeq (P_{\Lambda,r+1}/P_{\Lambda,n+1})^\wedge; b + g_{\Lambda,-r} \mapsto \psi_b,$$

where $\psi_b(p) = \Omega(\text{tr}_{A/F_0}(b(p - 1))), p \in P_{\Lambda,r+1}$.

1.2. Skew strata.

Definition 1.3 ([2] (3.1), [10] Definition 4.5). (i) A stratum in $A$ is a 4-tuple $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ consisting of an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$, integers $n, r$ verifying $n > r \geq 0$, and an element $\beta$ in $a_{-n}(\Lambda)$. We say that two strata $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta_i], i = 1, 2$, are equivalent if $\beta_1 - \beta_2 \in a_{-n}(\Lambda)$.

(ii) A stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ in $A$ is called skew if $\Lambda$ is self-dual and $\beta \in g_{\Lambda,-n}$.
The fraction $n/e(\Lambda)$ is called the level of the stratum. If $n > r \geq [n/2]$, then by Proposition 1.5, the equivalence classes of skew strata of the form $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ parametrize the dual of $P_{\Lambda, r+1}/P_{\Lambda, n+1}$.

For $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ a stratum in $A$, we set $y_\beta = \omega^{n/k}\beta^e(\Lambda)/k$, where $k = (e(\Lambda), n)$. Then $y_\beta$ belongs to $a_0(\Lambda)$ and its characteristic polynomial $\Phi_\beta(X)$ lies in $\mathfrak{o}_F[X]$. We define the characteristic polynomial $\phi_\beta(X)$ of this stratum to be the reduction modulo $p_F$ of $\Phi_\beta(X)$.

**Definition 1.4** ([1] (2.3)). A stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ in $A$ is called fundamental if $\phi_\beta(X) \neq X^N$.

The representations of $G$ we will consider are always assumed to be smooth and complex. Let $\pi$ be a smooth representation of $G$ and $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ a skew stratum with $n > r \geq [n/2]$. We say that $\pi$ contains $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ if the restriction of $\pi$ to $P_{\Lambda, r+1}$ contains the character $\psi_\beta$. A smooth representation $\pi$ of $G$ is called of positive level if $\pi$ has no non-zero $P_{\Lambda,1}$-fixed vector, for any self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$. By [13] Theorem 2.11, an irreducible smooth representation of $G$ of positive level contains a fundamental skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$.

For $g \in \tilde{G}$ and $x \in A$, we write $\text{Ad}(g)(x) = gxg^{-1}$.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', m, s, \gamma]$ be skew strata in $A$ contained in some irreducible smooth representation of $G$.

(i) There exists $g \in G$ such that $(\beta + g_{\Lambda, -r}) \cap \text{Ad}(g)(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) If $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is fundamental, then we have $n/e(\Lambda) = m/e(\Lambda')$.

(iii) If $n/e(\Lambda) = m/e(\Lambda')$, then $\phi_\beta(X) = \phi_\gamma(X)$.

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to those of [1] Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

By Proposition 1.5, we can define the level and the characteristic polynomial of an irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of $G$ of positive level to be those of the fundamental skew strata contained in $\pi$.

Given a skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ in $A$, we define its formal intertwining

$I_G[\Lambda, n, r, \beta] = \{g \in G \mid (\beta + g_{\Lambda, -r}) \cap \text{Ad}(g)(\beta + g_{\Lambda, -r}) \neq \emptyset\}$.

If $n > r \geq [n/2]$, then it is nothing other than the intertwining of the character $\psi_\beta$ of $P_{\Lambda, r+1}$ in $G$.

### 1.3. Semisimple strata.

**Definition 1.6** ([1] (1.5), [2] (5.1)). A stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ in $A$ is called simple if

(i) the algebra $E = F[\beta]$ is a field, and $\Lambda$ is an $\mathfrak{o}_E$-lattice sequence;

(ii) $\nu_A(\beta) = -n$;

(iii) $\beta$ is minimal over $F$ in the sense of [1] (1.4.14).

**Remark 1.7.** The definition above is restricted for our use. With the notion of [1], our simple stratum is a simple stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ with $k_0(\beta, \Lambda) = -n$.

The following criterion of the simplicity of strata is well known.

**Proposition 1.8** ([2] Proposition 1.5). Let $\Lambda$ be a strict $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$ of period $N$ and $n$ an integer coprime to $N$. Suppose that $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ is a fundamental stratum in $A$. Then $F[\beta]$ is a totally ramified extension of degree $N$ over $F$ and $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is simple, for any $n > r \geq 0$.

Let $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ be a stratum in $A$. We assume that there is a non-trivial $F$-splitting $V = V^1 \oplus V^2$ such that

(i) $\Lambda(i) = \Lambda^1(i) \oplus \Lambda^2(i), \; i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\Lambda^j(i) = \Lambda(i) \cap V^j$, for $j = 1, 2$;

(ii) $\beta V^j \subset V^j, \; j = 1, 2$. 

For $j = 1, 2$, we write $\beta_j = \beta|_{V^j}$. By [2] (2.9), we get a stratum $[\Lambda^j, n, r, \beta_j]$ in $\text{End}_F(V^j)$. Recall from [2] (3.6) that a stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ in $A$ is called split if

(iii) $\nu_{\Lambda^1}(\beta_1) = -n$ and $X$ does not divide $\phi_{\beta_1}(X)$;

(iv) either $\nu_{\Lambda^2}(\beta_2) > -n$, or else all the following conditions hold:

(a) $\nu_{\Lambda^2}(\beta_2) = -n$ and $X$ does not divide $\phi_{\beta_2}(X)$,

(b) $(\phi_{\beta_1}(X), \phi_{\beta_2}(X)) = 1$.

**Definition 1.9** ([2] Definition 4.8, [18] Definition 2.10). (i) (Inductive definition on the dimension of $V$) A stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is called semisimple if it is simple, or else it is split as above and satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $[\Lambda^1, n, r, \beta_1]$ is simple; 

(b) $[\Lambda^2, n_2, r, \beta_2]$ is semisimple or $\beta_2 = 0$, where $n_2 = \max \{-\nu_{\Lambda^2}(\beta_2), r + 1\}$.

(ii) A skew stratum in $A$ is called split if it is split with respect to an orthogonal $F$-splitting $V = V^1 \perp V^2$.

If a skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, r, \beta]$ is split with respect to $V = V^1 \perp V^2$, then $\Lambda^j$ is a self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence in $(V^j, f|_{V^j})$ with $d(\Lambda^j) = d(\Lambda)$, for $j = 1, 2$.

### 1.4. Hecke algebras.

Let $G$ be a unimodular, locally compact, totally disconnected topological group. Let $J$ be an open compact subgroup of $G$ and let $(\sigma, W)$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $J$. For $g \in G$, we write $\sigma^g$ for the representation of $J^g = g^{-1}Jg$ defined by $\sigma^g(x) = \sigma(gxg^{-1})$, $x \in J^g$. We define the intertwining of $\sigma$ in $G$ by

$$I_G(\sigma) = \{ g \in G \mid \text{Hom}_{J \cap J^g}(\sigma, \sigma^g) \neq 0 \}.$$

Let $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{W})$ denote the contragradient representation of $(\sigma, W)$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$ is the set of compactly supported functions $f : G \to \text{End}_{C}(\tilde{W})$ such that

$$f(kgk') = \tilde{\sigma}(k)f(g)\tilde{\sigma}(k'), \ \ \ k, k' \in J, \ \ g \in G.$$ 

Let $dg$ denote the Haar measure on $G$ normalized so that the volume $\text{vol}(J)$ of $J$ is $1$. Then $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$ becomes an algebra under convolution relative to $dg$. Recall from [1] (4.1.1) that the support of $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$ is the intertwining of $\tilde{\sigma}$ in $G$, that is,

$$I_G(\tilde{\sigma}) = \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)} \text{supp}(f).$$

Since $J$ is compact, there exists a $J$-invariant, positive definite hermitian form on $\tilde{W}$. This form induces an involution $X \mapsto X^{\dagger}$ on $\text{End}_C(\tilde{W})$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$, we define $f^* \in \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$ by $f^*(g) = \overline{f(g^{-1})}$, $g \in G$. Then the map $* : \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma) \to \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$ is an involution on $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$.

Let $\text{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G$ and $\text{Irr}(G)^{(J, \sigma)}$ the subset of $\text{Irr}(G)$ consisting of the elements those $\sigma$-isotypic components are not zero. Let $\text{Irr} \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$. Then, by [1] (4.2.5), there is a bijection $\text{Irr}(G)^{(J, \sigma)} \simeq \text{Irr} \mathcal{H}(G//J, \sigma)$.

### 1.5. Unramified hermitian forms on a 2-dimensional space.

We shall consider hermitian forms on the space $V = F^2$. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be an $F$-basis of $V$. Up to isometries, there are two $F/F_0$-hermitian forms $f_0$ and $f_1$ on $V$, where

$$f_0(x, y) = \overline{x}y_1 + \overline{y}x_2, \ \ f_1(x, y) = \overline{x}y_2 + \overline{y}x_1,$$

for $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2, \ \ y = y_1e_1 + y_2e_2$ in $V$. 

The space \((V, f_0)\) is anisotropic and has the unique \(o_F\)-lattice \(L\) such that \(L^\# \supset L > \varpi L^\#\). In fact, we have \(L = o_F e_1 \oplus o_F e_2\). Therefore, every strict self-dual \(o_F\)-lattice sequence in \((V, f_0)\) is a translate of the following sequence \(\Lambda\):

\[
\Lambda(2i) = \varpi^i L, \quad \Lambda(2i + 1) = \varpi^{i+1} L^\#, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Hence every strict self-dual \(o_F\)-lattice sequence \(\Lambda'\) in \((V, f_0)\) satisfies \(e(\Lambda') = 2\) and \(d(\Lambda')\) is odd.

Next, we consider the space \((V, f_1)\). Its anisotropic part is trivial. Set

\[
N_0 = o_F e_1 \oplus o_F e_2, \quad N_1 = o_F e_1 \oplus p_F e_2.
\]

Let \(\Lambda\) be a strict self-dual \(o_F\)-lattice sequence in \((V, f_1)\) of \(o_F\)-period 2. Then there exist \(g \in G\) and \(k \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that

\[
(g\Lambda + k)(2i) = \varpi^i N_0, \quad (g\Lambda + k)(2i + 1) = \varpi^i N_1, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

In particular, the integer \(d(\Lambda)\) should be even.

As a consequence, we obtain the following:

**Lemma 1.10.** Let \(f\) be an unramified hermitian form on a 2-dimensional \(F\)-space \(V\) and let \(\Lambda\) be a strict self-dual \(o_F\)-lattice sequence \(\Lambda\) in \(V\) with \(e(\Lambda) = 2\). Then the parity of \(d(\Lambda)\) is independent of the choice of \(\Lambda\). Moreover, The space \((V, f)\) is anisotropic if and only if \(d(\Lambda)\) is odd.

2. Fundamental strata for \(U(2, 2)\)

In this section, we realize the unramified unitary group \(U(2, 2)\) and state a rigid version of the existence of fundamental skew strata for \(U(2, 2)\), which implies that every irreducible smooth representation of \(U(2, 2)\) of positive level contains a fundamental skew stratum \([\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]\) such that \(\Lambda\) is a strict \(o_F\)-lattice sequence.

2.1. Reduction to strict lattice sequences. From now on, we will denote by \(V\) the four dimensional space of column vectors \(F^4\). We write \(A = M_4(F)\) and \(\bar{G} = GL_4(F)\). Let \(e_i\) (1 \(\leq i \leq 4\)) be the standard basis vectors of \(V\), and let \(E_{ij}\) denote the element in \(A\) whose \((k, l)\) entry is \(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\). Set

\[
H = E_{14} + E_{23} + E_{32} + E_{41},
\]

and define a nondegenerate hermitian form \(f\) on \(V\) by \(f(x, y) = x^t H y, \ x, y \in V\). The form \(f\) induces an involution \(\sigma\) on \(A\) by \(\sigma(X) = H^{-1} \bar{X} H, \ X \in A\).

We define \(G = U(2, 2) = \{g \in \bar{G} \mid g\sigma(g) = 1\}\) and \(g = \{X \in A \mid X + \sigma(X) = 0\} \simeq \text{Lie}(G)\). Then \(g\) consists of matrices of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
Y & Z & C & a\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\
M & N & b\sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\overline{C} \\
D & c\sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\overline{N} & -\overline{Z} \\
d\sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\overline{D} & -\overline{M} & -\overline{Y}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad C, D, M, N, Y, Z \in F, \ a, b, c, d \in F_0.
\]

We recall the structure of strict self-dual \(o_F\)-lattice sequences in \((V, f)\) from [10] §1. We define \(o_F\)-lattices \(N_0, N_1, \) and \(N_2\) in \(V\) by

\[
N_0 = o_F e_1 \oplus o_F e_2 \oplus o_F e_3 \oplus o_F e_4, \\
N_1 = o_F e_1 \oplus o_F e_2 \oplus o_F e_3 \oplus p_F e_4, \\
N_2 = o_F e_1 \oplus o_F e_2 \oplus p_F e_3 \oplus p_F e_4.
\]

Then we obtain a sequence of lattices

\[
N_0^\# = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset N_2 = \varpi N_2^\# \supset \varpi N_1^\# \supset \varpi N_0.
\]
A self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$ is called standard if its image $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\Lambda(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is contained in the set $\{\varpi^m N_0, \varpi^m N_1, \varpi^m N_2, \varpi^m N_1^\# \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By [10] Proposition 1.10, every self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence is a $G$-conjugate of some standard sequence.

Up to translation, the standard strict self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequences correspond to the non-empty subsets $S$ of $\{N_0, N_1, N_2\}$. Therefore, there are just following 7 standard strict self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequences in $V$ up to translation:

1. $S = \{N_0, N_1, N_2\}$:
   $$\Lambda(4i) = \varpi^i N_0, \quad \Lambda(4i + 1) = \varpi^i N_1, \quad \Lambda(4i + 2) = \varpi^i N_2, \quad \Lambda(4i + 3) = \varpi^{i+1} N_1^\#, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.1)

2. $S = \{N_0, N_1\}$:
   $$\Lambda(3i) = \varpi^i N_0, \quad \Lambda(3i + 1) = \varpi^i N_1, \quad \Lambda(3i + 2) = \varpi^{i+1} N_1^\#, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.2)

3. $S = \{N_1, N_2\}$:
   $$\Lambda(3i) = \varpi^i N_1, \quad \Lambda(3i + 1) = \varpi^i N_2, \quad \Lambda(3i + 2) = \varpi^{i+1} N_1^\#, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.3)

4. $S = \{N_0, N_2\}$:
   $$\Lambda(2i) = \varpi^i N_0, \quad \Lambda(2i + 1) = \varpi^i N_2, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.4)

5. $S = \{N_1\}$:
   $$\Lambda(2i) = \varpi^i N_1, \quad \Lambda(2i + 1) = \varpi^{i+1} N_1^\#, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.5)

6. $S = \{N_0\}$:
   $$\Lambda(i) = \varpi^i N_0, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.6)

7. $S = \{N_2\}$:
   $$\Lambda(i) = \varpi^i N_2, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$
   (2.7)

**Remark 2.1.** By the argument above, if $\Lambda$ is a strict self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence in $V$ of period 2, then we have $|\Lambda(i) : \Lambda(i + 1)| = q^4$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $\pi$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $G$ of positive level. Then $\pi$ contains a fundamental skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ which satisfies one of the following conditions:

(i) $\Lambda$ is a standard strict self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence and $(e(\Lambda), n) = 1$,

(ii) $\Lambda$ is the strict self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence in (2.2) and $n$ is even.

**Proof.** Recall from [5] §1.4 that a $C$-sequence in $V$ is a self-dual $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$ which satisfies

$$C(i) \Lambda(2i + 1) \supseteq \Lambda(2i + 2), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$C(\pi) \text{ is even and } d(\pi) \text{ is odd.}$$

It follows from [5] Proposition 3.1.1 that an irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of $G$ of positive level contains a fundamental skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ such that $\Lambda$ is a $C$-sequence and $(e(\Lambda), n) = 2$. After conjugation by some element in $G$, we may assume $\Lambda$ is a standard $C$-sequence.

We claim that it suffices to find an $\sigma_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda'$ and an integer $n'$ verifying one of the conditions in the theorem, $n/e(\Lambda) = n'/e(\Lambda')$ and $a_{n+1}(\Lambda) \supset a_{n'+1}(\Lambda')$. If this is the case, then $\pi$ contains a $P_{N, n'+1}$-fixed vector and some skew stratum $[\Lambda', n', n' - 1, \beta']$. Proposition [L5] (iii) says that it is fundamental.

For $\mathcal{L}$ a set of $\sigma_F$-lattices, we write $\mathcal{L}^\# = \{L^\# \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Note that $\Lambda(2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Lambda(2\mathbb{Z} + 1)$ are closed under the multiplication by elements in $F^\times$, and $\Lambda(2\mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda(2\mathbb{Z} + 1)^\#$. 

(a) Suppose that $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z}) = \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z} + 1)$. Then we have $\Lambda(2i) = \Lambda(2i + 1)$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Define an $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda'$ by $\Lambda'(i) = \Lambda(2i)$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $\Lambda'$ is a strict standard self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence such that $e(\Lambda') = e(\Lambda)/2$ and $a_k(\Lambda') = a_{2k-1}(\Lambda) = a_{2k}(\Lambda)$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Putting $n' = n/2$, we get $a_{n'+1}(\Lambda') = a_{n+1}(\Lambda)$.

(b) Suppose that $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z}) \cap \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z} + 1) = \emptyset$. Then $\Lambda$ is strict and $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z}) \cap \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z})' = \emptyset$. Since $N_0' = N_0$ and $N_2' = \varpi^{-1}N_2$, the set $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z})$ is either $\{\varpi^mN_1 \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ or $\{\varpi^mN_1' \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, and hence $\Lambda(\mathbf{Z}) = \{\varpi^mN_1, \varpi^mN_1' \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Replacing $\Lambda$ with some translate, we may assume $\Lambda$ is the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in (2.5), and $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ satisfies the condition (ii).

(c) Suppose that $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z}) \neq \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z} + 1)$ and $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z}) \cap \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z} + 1) = \emptyset$. Then we see that $\Lambda(\mathbf{Z})$ contains both $N_1$ and $\varpi N_1^\#$, and $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z})$ contains $N_0$ or $N_2$.

Suppose that $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z})$ (and hence $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z} + 1)$) contains $N_0$. Replacing $\Lambda$ by some translate, we may assume $\Lambda(0) = \Lambda(1) = N_0$. Since $N_1$ and $\varpi N_1^\#$ belong to $\Lambda(\mathbf{Z})$, we have $\Lambda(2) = N_1$ and therefore $\Lambda(3)$ should be either $N_2$ or $\varpi N_1^\#$. If $\Lambda(3) = N_2$, then $\Lambda(3) = \Lambda(4) = N_2$ since $N_2 \in \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z} + 1)^\# = \Lambda(2\mathbf{Z})$. This contradicts to the condition $C(i)$. We therefore have 

$$\Lambda(0) = \Lambda(1) = N_0, \Lambda(2) = N_1, \Lambda(3) = \varpi N_1^\#$$

and $e(\Lambda) = 4$.

Similarly, if $\Lambda(2\mathbf{Z})$ contains $N_2$, then we may assume that $\Lambda$ satisfies $e(\Lambda) = 4$ and

$$\Lambda(1) = N_1, \Lambda(2) = \Lambda(3) = N_2, \Lambda(4) = \varpi N_1^\#.$$  

In both cases, we have $n = 4m + 2$, for $m \geq 0$. Let $\Lambda'$ be the sequence in (2.4) and let $n' = 2m + 1$. Then it is easy to check that $a_{n+1}(\Lambda) \supset a_{n'+1}(\Lambda')$. This complete the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. Replacing $\Lambda$ by some translate, an irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of $G$ of positive level contains a fundamental skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ such that $\Lambda$ and $n$ satisfy one of the conditions listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Lambda$</th>
<th>$e(\Lambda)$</th>
<th>$d(\Lambda)$</th>
<th>$(n, e(\Lambda))$</th>
<th>$e(\Lambda)/(n, e(\Lambda))$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2, 1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2, 8)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2.10)

2.2. Characteristic polynomials. Let $\Lambda$ be a strict $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence in $V$ and $n$ an integer coprime to $e(\Lambda)$. Let $[\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]$ be a stratum in $\Lambda$. We write $e = e(\Lambda)$. Note that $\phi_\beta(X)$ coincides with the characteristic polynomial of $y_\beta + a_1(\Lambda)$ in the $k_F$-algebra $a_0(\Lambda)/a_1(\Lambda)$.

As in \cite{1} §2, we can form a $\mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$-graded $k_F$-vector space $\mathbf{X} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{X}(i)$, where $\mathbf{X}(i) = \Lambda(i)/\Lambda(i + 1)$. There is a natural isomorphism $a_k(\Lambda)/a_{k+1}(\Lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}} \text{Hom}_{k_F}(\mathbf{X}(i), \mathbf{X}(i + k)); x \mapsto (x_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}}$, given by $x_i : v + \Lambda(i + 1) \mapsto xv + \Lambda(i + k + 1)$, $x \in a_k(\Lambda)$, $v \in \Lambda(i)$.

For $i \in \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$, let $\beta_i$ and $y_i$ denote the image of $\beta$ and $y_\beta$ in $\text{Hom}_{k_F}(\mathbf{X}(i), \mathbf{X}(i - n))$ and $\text{End}_{k_F}(\mathbf{X}(i))$, respectively. If we write $\phi_i(X)$ for the characteristic polynomial of $y_i$, then we have $\phi_\beta(X) = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}} \phi_i(X)$. Choose $j \in \mathbf{Z}/e\mathbf{Z}$ so that $n_j = \dim_{k_F}(\mathbf{X}(j))$ is minimal. Since $y_i = \beta_{i-(e-1)n} \cdots \beta_{i-n} \beta_i$, we have $\phi_\beta(X) = \phi_j(X)^{e(X)}\mathbf{X}^m$, where $m = \dim_F(V) - en_j$. 
Suppose that \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\) is skew. Then \(\phi_\beta((-1)^eX) = \overline{\phi_\beta(X)}\) since \(\sigma(y_\beta) = (-1)^ey_\beta\). We therefore have

\[
\phi_\beta(X) = \begin{cases}
(X - a)^4, & a \in k_0, \\
(X - a\sqrt{2})^3X, & a \in k_0, \\
(X^2 + aX + b)^3, & a, b \in k_0, \\
X^4 + a\sqrt{2}X^3 + bX^2 + c\sqrt{2}X + d, & a, b, c, d \in k_0,
\end{cases}
\]

if \(e = 4\), \(e = 3\), \(e = 2\), and \(e = 1\), respectively.

Every quadratic polynomial in \(k_0[X]\) is reducible in \(k_F[X]\). So, if \(e = 2\), then there are following three cases

(a) \(\phi_\beta(X) = (X - \lambda)^2(X - \overline{\lambda})^2\), \(\lambda \in k_F\), \(\lambda \neq \overline{\lambda}\);
(b) \(\phi_\beta(X) = (X - u)^2(X - v)^2\), \(u, v \in k_0\), \(u \neq v\);
(c) \(\phi_\beta(X) = (X - u)^4\), \(u \in k_0\).

3. Representations associated to maximal tori

The remaining part of this paper is devoted to a classification of the irreducible smooth representations of \(G\) of non-integral level. In this section, we classify irreducible representations of \(G\) which contain a semisimple skew stratum \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\) such that the \(G\)-centralizer \(G_\beta\) of \(\beta\) is compact. We also discuss the “intertwining implies conjugacy” property of such strata.


We first recall the construction of supercuspidal representations from [16] and [17].

Let \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\) be a semisimple skew stratum in \(A\). We write \(G_\beta\) for the \(G\)-centralizer of \(\beta\). By [16] Theorem 4.6, we get

\[
I_G[\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] = P_{\Lambda,[[n+1]/2]}G_\beta P_{\Lambda,[[n+1]/2]}.
\]

(3.1)

Suppose that \(G_\beta\) is compact. Then \(G_\beta\) lies in \(P_{\Lambda,0}\), and hence \(I_G[\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] = G_{\beta}P_{\Lambda,[[n+1]/2]}\). This implies that the intertwining of \((P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)\) coincides with the normalizer of \((P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)\). So we obtain the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\) be a semisimple skew stratum such that \(G_\beta\) is compact. Set \(J = G_{\beta}P_{\Lambda,[[n+1]/2]}\). Then the map \(\rho \mapsto \text{Ind}_J^G \rho\) gives a bijection between \(\text{Irr}(J)^{(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)}\) and \(\text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)}\).

**Proof.** For \(\rho \in \text{Irr}(J)^{(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)}\), the restriction of \(\rho\) to \(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}\) is a multiple of \(\psi_\beta\) since \(J\) normalizes \(\psi_\beta\). If \(g \in G\) intertwines \(\rho\), then \(g\) intertwines \(\psi_\beta\), and hence \(g\) lies in \(J\). Thus, \(\text{Ind}_J^G \rho\) is irreducible and supercuspidal.

The surjectivity of this map follows from Frobenius reciprocity. Let \(\rho_i \in \text{Irr}(J)^{(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)}\), \(i = 1, 2\). Suppose that \(\text{Ind}_J^G \rho_1\) is isomorphic to \(\text{Ind}_J^G \rho_2\). Then there is \(g \in G\) which intertwines \(\rho_1\) and \(\rho_2\). Restricting these to \(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}\), we get \(g \in J\), and \(\rho_1 \simeq \rho_2^g \simeq \rho_2\). This implies the injectivity.

**We shall give a description of \(\text{Irr}(J)^{(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)}\) when \(G_\beta\) is a maximal torus in \(G\). In this case, the group \(G_\beta\) is abelian.** Put \(H^1 = (G_\beta \cap P_{\Lambda,1})P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}\) and \(J^1 = (G_\beta \cap P_{\Lambda,1})P_{\Lambda,[[n+1]/2]}\). Let \(\sigma \in \text{Irr}(J)^{(P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}, \psi_\beta)}\). Since \(H^1/P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]} \simeq (G_\beta \cap P_{\Lambda,1})/(G_\beta \cap P_{\Lambda,[[n/2]+1]}), \sigma\) contains some extension \(\theta\) of \(\psi_\beta\) to \(H^1\). Applying the proof of [17] Proposition 4.1, we see that there exists a unique irreducible representation \(\eta_\theta\) of \(J^1\) containing \(\theta\). Moreover, the restriction of \(\eta_\theta\) to \(H^1\) is the \([J^1 : H^1]^{1/2}\)-multiple of \(\theta\). The group \(J^1/J^1 \simeq G_{\beta}/G_{\beta} \cap P_{\Lambda,1}\) is cyclic with order coprime to \([J^1 : H^1]^{1/2}\) since \([J^1 : H^1]^{1/2}\) is a power of \(q\). Hence \(\eta_\theta\) can extend to an irreducible representation \(\kappa_\theta\) of \(J\). It is easy to observe that \(\text{Irr}(J)^{(J^1, \eta_\theta)} = \{\chi \otimes \kappa_\theta \mid \chi \in (G_\beta/G_{\beta} \cap P_{\Lambda,1})^\wedge\}\), and \(\chi \otimes \kappa_\theta \simeq \chi' \otimes \kappa_\theta\) if and only if \(\chi = \chi'\), for \(\chi, \chi' \in (G_\beta/G_{\beta} \cap P_{\Lambda,1})^\wedge\). In particular, the restriction of every element in \(\text{Irr}(J)^{(J^1, \eta_\theta)}\) to \(H^1\) is a multiple of \(\theta\). We conclude the following:
**Proposition 3.2.** With the notation as above, the set \( \text{Irr}(J)^{(P_\Lambda, n/2)+1, \psi_\beta} \) is a disjoint union \( \bigcup_\theta \text{Irr}(J)^{(H^1, \theta)} \), where \( \theta \) runs over the extensions of \( \psi_\beta \) to \( H^1 \). We have \( \text{Irr}(J)^{(H^1, \theta)} = \{ \chi \otimes \kappa_\theta \mid \chi \in (G_\beta/G_\beta \cap P_\Lambda, 1)^{\Lambda} \} \).

3.2. Representations of level \( n/4 \). In this section, we fix a positive integer \( n \) coprime to \( 4 \) and the \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence \( \Lambda \) in (2.4). By Remark 2.3, an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \) of level \( n/4 \) contains a fundamental skew stratum \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \).

Let \( \Lambda' \) be a strict self-dual \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence in \( V \) of period \( 4 \) and \( [\Lambda', n, n-1, \delta] \) a fundamental skew stratum. Proposition 1.8 says that this is a skew simple stratum and \( E_\delta \) is a totally ramified extension of degree \( 4 \) over \( F \), where \( E_\delta = F[\beta] \). Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 give a classification of the irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) which contain \( [\Lambda', n, [n/2], \beta] \).

We give a necessary and sufficient condition when two such strata occur in a common irreducible representation of \( G \).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( \Lambda \) be the \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence in (2.4) and let \( n \) be a positive integer coprime to \( 4 \). Let \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) and \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma] \) be fundamental skew strata. Suppose that there is an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \) containing \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) and \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma] \). Then \( (P_\Lambda, n/2)+1, \psi_\gamma \) is a \( P_\Lambda, 0 \)-conjugate of \( (P_\Lambda, n/2)+1, \psi_\beta \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 1.5 (i), there is \( g \in G \) such that \( (\beta + g\Lambda, \gamma) = \Lambda \). We obtain fundamental skew strata \( [\Lambda, n, n-1, \delta] \) and \( [g\Lambda, n, n-1, \delta] \). We see that \( \Lambda \) and \( g\Lambda \) are strict \( \phi_{E_\delta} \)-lattices in the one dimension \( E_\delta \)-space \( V \), and hence \( \Lambda \) is a translate of \( g\Lambda \). Since \( d(g\Lambda) = d(\Lambda) \), we get \( \Lambda = g\Lambda \) and \( g \in P_\Lambda, 0 \). This completes the proof.

3.3. Representations of level \( n/3 \). We fix a positive integer \( n \) coprime to \( 3 \). By Remark 2.3, an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \) of level \( n/3 \) contains a fundamental skew stratum \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) such that \( \Lambda \) is a \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence in (2.2) or (2.4).

Let \( \Lambda \) be any strict self-dual \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence in \( V \) with \( e(\Lambda) = 3 \) and let \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) be a fundamental skew stratum. As seen in (2.2) we have \( \phi_\beta(X) = (X - a\sqrt{\zeta})^3X \), for \( a \in k^\times \). Using Hensel's Lemma, we can lift this to \( \Phi_\beta(X) = f_\alpha(X)f_0(X) \) where \( f_\alpha(X), f_0(X) \) are monic, \( f_\alpha(X) \mod p_F = (X - a\sqrt{\zeta})^3 \) and \( f_0(X) \mod p_F = X \). Applying the argument in the proof of [18], Theorem 4.4, if we put \( a = \ker f_\alpha(\beta) \) and \( b = \ker f_0(\beta) \), then \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) is split with respect to \( V = V^a \perp V^b \). As usual, for \( b \in \{ \alpha, 0 \} \), we write \( \beta_b = \beta|_{V^b} \) and \( \Lambda^b(i) = \Lambda(i) \cap V^b, i \in \mathbf{Z} \).

**Lemma 3.4.** The form \( (V^0, f|_{V^0}) \) represents 1 if and only if \( d(\Lambda) \) is even.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( d(\Lambda) = 2k, k \in \mathbf{Z} \). Then the dual lattice of \( \Lambda^0(k) \) in \( (V^0, f|_{V^0}) \) is itself. Since \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V^0 = 1 \), we see that the form \( f|_{V^0} \) represents 1.

If \( d(\Lambda) = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbf{Z} \), then the dual of \( \Lambda^0(k+2) \) in \( (V^0, f|_{V^0}) \) is \( \overline{a}^{-1}\Lambda^0(k+2) \). The form \( f|_{V^0} \) represents \( \overline{a} \), and does not represent 1 since \( F \) is unramified over \( F_0 \).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \) be the \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence defined by (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Let \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) and \( [\Lambda', n, [n/2], \gamma] \) be fundamental skew strata. Then, there are no irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) which contain \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) and \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma] \).

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \) containing \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) and \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma] \). By Proposition 1.5 (i), we can take \( \delta \in (\beta + g\Lambda, -[n/2]) \cap (\text{Ad}(g)(\gamma) + g\Lambda', -[n/2]), \) for some \( g \in G \).

Applying the above construction of a splitting to fundamental skew strata \( [\Lambda, n, n-1, \delta] \) and \( [g\Lambda', n, n-1, \delta] \), it follows from Lemma 3.3 that \( d(g\Lambda') = d(g\Lambda') \) (mod 2), which contradicts the choice of \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \). This completes the proof.
Due to Lemma 3.6, $\Lambda^a$ is a strict $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequence of period 3 in the 3-dimensional $F$-space $V^a$, and by Proposition 1.8 $[\sqcup, n, n-1, \beta_a]$ is simple. Let $E_0 = F[\beta_a]$. Since $\Lambda^a$ is a strict $\mathfrak{a}_{E_0}$-lattice sequence in the one-dimensional $E_0$-space $V^a$, the integer $d(\Lambda)$ determines $\Lambda^a$ uniquely. Similarly, $\Lambda^0$ is a $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequence in the one-dimensional $F$-space $V^0$ of period 3, so it is also determined by $d(\Lambda)$. We therefore see that given such a stratum $[\sqcup, n, n-1, \beta]$, we can reconstruct $\Lambda$ from $d(\Lambda)$.

We get the following theorem, imitating the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $\Lambda$ be the $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequence in (2.2) or (2.3), and let $n$ be a positive integer coprime to 3. Let $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ and $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \gamma]$ be fundamental skew strata. Suppose that there exists an irreducible smooth representation of $G$ which contains $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ and $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \gamma]$. Then $(P_{\Lambda, n/2+1}, \psi_\beta)$ is a $P_{\Lambda, 0}$-conjugate of $(P_{\Lambda, n/2+1}, \psi_\beta)$.

Let $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ be a skew stratum as above. The restriction $[\sqcup, n, n-1, \beta]$ is not semisimple only if $\beta_2 \neq 0$ since $\phi_{\beta_2}(X) = X$. Even in this case, $\beta_2$ is scalar and does not matter in computing the intertwining. Hence we can classify the irreducible smooth representations of $G$ which contain $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$, using Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

**3.4. Representations of half-integral level.** We fix a positive odd integer $n$. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $G$ of level $n/2$ and of characteristic polynomial

$$
(X - a)^2(X - b)^2, \text{ for } a \in k_0, b \in k_0, a \neq b.
$$

Then by Remark 2.3, $\pi$ contains a fundamental skew stratum $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ such that $\Lambda$ is the sequence in (2.4) or (2.5) and $\phi_\beta(X)$ has the form (3.2).

Let $\Lambda$ be any strict self-dual $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequence in $V$ with $e(\Lambda) = 2$ and let $[\sqcup, n, n-1, \beta]$ be a fundamental skew stratum such that $\phi_\beta(X)$ has the form (3.2). As in [6.3] by Hensel’s Lemma, we can lift $\phi_\beta(X)$ to $\Phi_\beta(X) = f_\alpha(X)f_b(X)$ where, for $c \in \{a, b\}$, $f_c(X)$ is monic and $f_c(X) \mod pF = (X - c)^2$. As in the proof of [8] Theorem 4.4, if we put $V^a = \ker f_b(\gamma_\beta)$ and $V^b = \ker f_b(\gamma_\beta)$, then $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ is split with respect to $V = V^a \perp V^b$.

For $c \in \{a, b\}$, we write $\beta_c = \gamma_{\sqcup c}$ and $\Lambda^c(i) = \Lambda^c(i) \cap V^c$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\Lambda^a$ is a strict $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequence in $V^a$ of period 2, and hence $[\Lambda^a(i): \Lambda^a(i+1)] = q^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Remark 2.1 $\Lambda^b$ is also strict.

**Lemma 3.7.** (i) If $d(\Lambda)$ is even, then $(V^a, f|_{V^a})$ and $(V^b, f|_{V^b})$ are isotropic.

(ii) If $d(\Lambda)$ is odd, then $(V^a, f|_{V^a})$ and $(V^b, f|_{V^b})$ are anisotropic.

**Proof.** The assertion follows from Lemma 1.10.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$ be the $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequences in (2.4) and (2.3), respectively. Let $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ and $[\Lambda', n, [n/2], \gamma]$ be fundamental skew strata. Suppose $\phi_\beta(X) = \phi_\gamma(X) = (X - a)^2(X - b)^2$, for $a, b \in k_0$ such that $a \neq b$. Then there are no irreducible smooth representations of $G$ which contain $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ and $[\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma]$.

**Proof.** The assertion follows from Lemma 3.7 as in the proof of Proposition 3.5.

For $c \in \{a, b\}$, we put $E_c = F[\beta_c]$. Proposition 1.8 says that $[\Lambda^c, n, n-1, \beta_c]$ is simple and $\Lambda^c$ is a strict $\mathfrak{a}_{E_c}$-lattice sequence in the one-dimensional $E_c$-space $V^c$. An analog holds for $[\Lambda^b, n, n-1, \beta_b]$ if $b \neq 0$. In this case, $d(\Lambda)$ determines $\Lambda$.

Suppose that $d(\Lambda)$ is odd. Lemma 3.7 implies the uniqueness of $\Lambda^c$ in the anisotropic space $(V^c, f|_{V^c})$ up to translation. Hence $d(\Lambda)$ determines $\Lambda$.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $\Lambda$ be the $\mathfrak{a}_E$-lattice sequence in (2.4) or (2.3), and let $n$ be a positive odd integer. Let $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ and $[\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma]$ be skew strata such that $\phi_\beta(X) = \phi_\gamma(X) = (X - a)^2(X - b)^2$, $a \neq b$. Assume that $ab \neq 0$ or $\Lambda$ is defined by (2.3). Suppose that there exists an irreducible smooth representation of $G$ containing $[\sqcup, n, [n/2], \beta]$ and $[\Lambda, n, [n/2], \gamma]$. Then $(P_{\Lambda, n/2+1}, \psi_\gamma)$ is a $P_{\Lambda, 0}$-conjugate of $(P_{\Lambda, n/2+1}, \psi_\beta)$.
Proof. This is exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. \qed

We shall describe the set \( \text{Irr}(G)^{P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1}, \psi, \beta} \) under the assumption of Theorem 3.9. If \( ab \neq 0 \), then we can apply Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Suppose that \( \Lambda \) is defined by (2.4) and \( b = 0 \). We write \( G_c = G \cap \text{End}_F(V^c) \), for \( c \in \{0,a\} \). Let \( G_{\beta_c} \) denote the \( G_c \)-centralizer of \( \beta_c \) and \( I_0 \) the formal intertwining of \( [\Lambda^0, n, \frac{n}{2}, \beta_0] \) in \( G_0 \).

Since \( G_0 \) coincides with \( P_{\Lambda^0, \psi, 0} \cdot I_0 \) is just the normalizer of \( (P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1} \cap G_0, \psi|_{P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1} \cap G_0}) \) in \( G_0 \). By [16] Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.14, we have

\[
I_{G_0}^G(\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta) = G'P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1},
\]

where \( G' = G_{\beta_a} \times I_0 \). Therefore, we get a natural isomorphism of Hecke algebras

\[
\mathcal{H}(G//P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1}, \psi \beta) \cong \mathcal{H}(G'///P', \psi'),
\]

where \( P' = G' \cap P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1} \) and \( \psi' = \psi|_{P'} \). Via this isomorphism, we can identify \( \text{Irr}(G)^{P_{\Lambda, [n/2]+1}, \psi, \beta} \) with \( \text{Irr}(G')^{P', \psi'} \). Moreover, every irreducible representation of \( G \) containing \( [\Lambda, n, [n/2], \beta] \) is supercuspidal since \( G' \) is compact.

4. HECKE ALGEBRA ISOMORPHISMS

In this section, we complete our classification of the irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) of non-integral level. The remaining representations we need to consider are those of half-integral level. We fix a positive integer \( m \) and put \( n = 2m - 1 \). By Proposition 3.1, the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) of level \( n/2 \) is roughly classified according to those characteristic polynomials.

Let \( \Lambda \) be a strict self-dual \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence of period 2. As claimed in \S 2.2, the characteristic polynomial of a fundamental skew stratum \( [\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta] \) has one of the following forms:

(1) \( (X - \lambda)^2(X - \overline{\lambda})^2, \lambda \in k_F, \lambda \neq \overline{\lambda}; \)

(2) \( (X - u)^2(X - v)^2, u \in k_F^\times, v \in k_F, u \neq v; \)

(3) \( (X - u)^4, u \in k_F^\times. \)

We have classified the representations of case (3) in (3.3) except when

(3) \( (X - u)^2X^2, u \in k_F^\times \) and \( \Lambda \) is defined by (2.4).

4.1. Normalization of \( \beta \). Let \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \) be the \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequences in (2.4) and (2.5), respectively. By Remark 2.3, an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \) of level \( n/2 \) contains a fundamental skew stratum of the form \( [\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta] \) or \( [\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta'] \).

The filtrations \( \{a_k(\Lambda)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \{a_k(\Lambda')\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) are given by the following:

\[
a_0(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
a_0(\Lambda') = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1(\Lambda') = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\ \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Up to equivalence classes of skew strata, we can take \( \beta \in g_{\Lambda, -n} \) and \( \beta' \in g_{\Lambda', -n} \) to be

\[
\beta = \omega^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C & a \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & b \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -C \\ \omega D & \omega c \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ \omega d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\omega D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C, D \in \sigma_F, \ a, b, c, d \in \sigma_0;
\]

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C & a \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & b \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -C \\ \omega D & \omega c \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ \omega d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\omega D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C & a \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & b \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -C \\ \omega D & \omega c \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ \omega d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\omega D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4.3)
\[ \beta' = \varpi^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z & C & 0 \\ \varpi M & 0 & 0 & -C \\ \varpi D & 0 & 0 & -Z \\ 0 & -\varpi D & -\varpi M & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ C, D, M, Z \in \mathfrak{o}_F. \] (4.4)

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \([\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta']\) be a fundamental skew stratum whose characteristic polynomial is of the form (4a) or (4c). Suppose that an irreducible smooth representation \(\pi\) of \(G\) contains \([\Lambda', n, n-1, \beta']\). Then there exists a fundamental skew stratum \([\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]\) which occurs in \(\pi\).

**Proof.** Take \(\beta'\) as in (4.4). By assumption, after conjugation by an element in \(P_{\Lambda',0}\), we may assume \(y_{\beta}\) is upper triangular. By replacing \(\beta\) with a \(P_{\Lambda',0}\)-conjugate again, we may assume that \(Z \in \mathfrak{p}_F\). Then we have \(\beta' + a_{1-n}(\Lambda') \subset a_{-n}(\Lambda)\). Thus the assertion follows from the standard argument. \(\square\)

By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to consider irreducible smooth representations of \(G\) containing a fundamental skew stratum \([\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]\) such that \(\Lambda\) is the \(\mathfrak{o}_F\)-lattice sequences in (2.4) and \(\phi_{\beta}(X)\) has the form (4a), (4c) or (4d). We shall normalize \(\beta\) as in [13] Case (5.1b). Set \(X = \begin{pmatrix} C & a\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ b\sqrt{\varepsilon} & -C \end{pmatrix}, \ Z = \begin{pmatrix} D & c\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ d\sqrt{\varepsilon} & -D \end{pmatrix}, \ S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\) and abbreviate \(\beta = \varpi^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ \varpi Z & 0 \end{pmatrix}\).

Then we have \(y_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} XZ & 0 \\ 0 & ZX \end{pmatrix}\).

For \(Y \in GL_2(\mathfrak{o}_F)\), we define an element \(g = g(Y)\) in \(P_{\Lambda,0}\) by \(g = \begin{pmatrix} Y & 0 \\ 0 & S^t Y^{-1} S \end{pmatrix}\). Without loss, we can replace \([\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]\) by \([\Lambda, n, n-1, Ad(g)(\beta)]\). Observe that \(Ad(g)(\beta) = \varpi^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & YXS^t Y S \\ \varpi S^t Y^{-1} S Z Y^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\), and \(Ad(g)(y_{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} Ad(Y)(XZ) & 0 \\ 0 & Ad(S^t Y^{-1} S)(ZX) \end{pmatrix}\).

We will confuse elements in \(k_F\) with those in \(\mathfrak{o}_F\).

Case (4a): By Hensel’s lemma, we may assume that the characteristic polynomial of \(XZ\) has the form \((X - \lambda)(X - \overline{\lambda})\), for \(\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}_F\) such that \(\lambda \not\equiv \overline{\lambda} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_F}\). Replacing \(\beta\) by a \(P_{\Lambda,0}\)-conjugate, we may assume \(XZ = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}\).

The spaces \(Fe_1 \oplus Fe_3\) and \(Fe_2 \oplus Fe_4\) are the kernels of \(y_{\beta} - \lambda\) and \(y_{\beta} - \overline{\lambda}\), respectively, so that these spaces are stable under the action of \(\beta\). Thus \(X\) and \(Z\) are diagonal. After conjugation by a diagonal matrix in \(P_{\Lambda,0}\), we may assume \(X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ Z = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\overline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}\). (4.5)
Case (III): After $P_{\lambda,0}$-conjugation, we may assume $XZ$ is upper triangular modulo $p_F$. After $g(Y)$-conjugation by some upper triangular $Y \in GL_N(\mathfrak{o}_F)$, we may also assume $X$ is antidiagonal or upper triangular modulo $p_F$.

If $X$ is antidiagonal, then $Z$ is also antidiagonal hence $XZ$ is scalar. We can take $X$ to be upper triangular by replacing $\beta$ with a $P_{\lambda,0}$-conjugate. So we can always assume $X$ is upper triangular. Then $Z$ must be upper triangular as well. Replacing $\beta$ by some $P_{\lambda,0}$-conjugate, we can assume

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} u & c\sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & -u \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathfrak{o}_0^\times, c \in \mathfrak{o}_F. \quad (4.6)$$

Case (III): As in the case (III), we may assume

$$XZ = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \mathfrak{o}_0^\times, v \in p_0,$$

so that $X$ and $Z$ are both anti-diagonal. Replacing $\beta$ by a $P_{\lambda,0}$-conjugate, we may assume that $\varpi^m \beta$ is one of the following elements:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ \varpi d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varpi d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varpi \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ \varpi d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varpi d \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $d = u\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathfrak{o}_0^\times$.

**Remark 4.2.** In case (III), we can swap $\lambda$ for $\overline{\lambda}$.

4.2. **Case (4c).** Let $\Lambda'$ be the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence defined by (2.2). We fix a positive integer $m$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_0^\times$. Put $n' = 2m - 1$. For $c \in \mathfrak{o}_0$, set

$$\beta(c) = \varpi^m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \varpi \alpha & \varpi \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varpi \alpha & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{n',-n'} \quad (4.7)$$

Define a self-dual $\mathfrak{o}_F$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ in $V$ with $\epsilon(\Lambda) = 8$ and $d(\Lambda) = 2$ by

$$\Lambda(0) = \Lambda(1) = \Lambda(2) = N_0, \quad \Lambda(3) = N_1, \quad \Lambda(4) = \Lambda(5) = \Lambda(6) = N_2, \quad \Lambda(7) = \varpi N_1^\#,$$

$$\Lambda(i + 8k) = \varpi^k \Lambda(i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 7, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.8)$$

Then the induced filtration $\{a_n(\Lambda)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is given by

$$a_0(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \end{pmatrix},$$

$$a_2(\Lambda) = a_3(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_4(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F & \mathfrak{o}_F \end{pmatrix},$$

$$a_5(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_6(\Lambda) = a_7(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.9)$$

Put $n = 8m - 4$ and $\beta = \beta(0)$.
Lemma 4.3. Suppose that an irreducible smooth representation \( \pi \) of \( G \) contains a skew stratum \([\Lambda', n', n' - 1, \beta(c)]\), for some \( c \in \mathfrak{o}_1 \). Then \( \pi \) contains \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\).

Proof. The assertion follows from the inclusion \( \beta(c) + a_{1-n'}(\Lambda') \subset \beta + a_{1-n}(\Lambda) \). \( \square \)

Remark 4.4. Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \). By Lemma 4.3 and the argument in §4.1, \( \pi \) is of level \( n/2 \) and of characteristic polynomial \((X - \alpha)^4 \pmod{p_F}\) if and only if \( \pi \) contains \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\).

We are going to classify the irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) of level \( n/2 \) and with characteristic polynomial \((X - \alpha)^4 \pmod{p_F}\). Let \( E = F[\beta] \) and let \( B \) denote the \( A \)-centralizer of \( \beta \). Then \( E \) and \( B \) are \( \sigma \)-stable, and the algebra \( E \) is a totally ramified extension of degree 2 over \( F \) with uniformizer \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon^n \beta \sqrt{\varepsilon} \). Put \( E_0 = \{ x \in E \mid \sigma(x) = x \} \) and \( G' = G \cap B \). Then \( E \) is the quadratic unramified extension over \( E_0 \) and \( G' \) is the unramified unitary group over \( E_0 \) corresponding to the involutive algebra \((B, \sigma)\). Note that \( \varepsilon_E \in E_0 \).

Observe that \( \beta \Lambda(i) = \Lambda(i - n) \), \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then \( \Lambda \) is an \( \mathfrak{o}_E \)-lattice sequence in \( V \) of \( \mathfrak{o}_E \)-period 4 since \( \varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_F[\varepsilon_E] \). Since \( \beta^2 = F^\times \), the map \( s : A \to B \) defined by

\[
s(X) = (X + \beta X \beta^{-1})/2, \quad X \in A \tag{4.10}
\]

is a \((B, B)\)-bimodule projection. Let \( B \perp \) denote the orthogonal complement of \( B \) in \( A \) with respect to the pairing induced by \( \text{tr}_{A/F} \). We have \( B \perp = \ker s \) and \( A = B \oplus B \perp \). Note that the set \( B \perp \) is also \( \sigma \)-stable.

For \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we abbreviate \( a_k = a_k(\Lambda) \), \( a'_k = a_k \cap B \) and \( a_k^+ = a_k(\Lambda) \cap B^\perp \).

Proposition 4.5. For all \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have \( a_k = a'_k \oplus a_k^+ \).

Proof. Since \( \beta \) normalizes \( a_k \), we have \( s(a_k) = a'_k \). The proposition follows from the equation \( X = s(X) + (X - s(X)) \), \( X \in A \). \( \square \)

As in [13] (4.16), we define a \( \sigma \)-stable \( \mathfrak{o}_F \)-lattice in \( A \) by

\[
\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{a}'_n^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{[n/2]+1}^+ \tag{4.11}
\]

and an open compact subgroup \( J = (1 + \mathfrak{J}) \cap G \) of \( G \). Then we get \( P_{\Lambda,n} \subset J \subset P_{\Lambda,[n/2]+1} \) and hence the quotient \( J/P_{\Lambda,n+1} \) is abelian. As usual, there is an isomorphism

\[
\mathfrak{g}_{\Lambda,n}^+ / \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{J}^+ \simeq (J/P_{\Lambda,n+1})^\wedge; \quad b + \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{J}^+ \mapsto \Psi_b,
\]

given by

\[
\Psi_b(x) = \Omega(\text{tr}_{A/F_0}(b(x - 1))), \quad x \in J. \tag{4.12}
\]

By Proposition 4.5 and [2] (2.10), we have

\[
\mathfrak{J}^+ = \mathfrak{a}'_{-n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{[n/2]}^+. \tag{4.13}
\]

Remark 4.6. In general, we need to consider the lattice \( \mathfrak{a}'_n^+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{[(n+1)/2]}^+ \). But in this case, it follows from (4.9) that \( \mathfrak{a}_{[n/2]+1}^+ = \mathfrak{a}_{[(n+1)/2]}^+ \).

We also abbreviate \( \mathfrak{g}_k = a_k \cap \mathfrak{g} \), \( \mathfrak{g}'_k = a'_k \cap \mathfrak{g} \) and \( \mathfrak{g}_k^+ = a_k^+ \cap \mathfrak{g} \), for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). For \( X \in A \), we write \( \text{ad}(\beta)(X) = \beta X - X \beta \). Since \( \beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{-n}^+ \), the map \( \text{ad}(\beta) \) induces a quotient map

\[
\text{ad}(\beta) : \mathfrak{g}_k^+ / \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^+ \to \mathfrak{g}_{k-n}^+ / \mathfrak{g}_{k-n+1}^+, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Lemma 4.7. For \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), the map \( \text{ad}(\beta) : \mathfrak{g}_k^+ / \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^+ \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{k-n}^+ / \mathfrak{g}_{k-n+1}^+ \) is an isomorphism.

Proof. By the periodicity of \( \{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \), it suffices to prove that \( \text{ad}(\beta) \) induces an injection, for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( X \in \mathfrak{g}_k^+ \) satisfy \( \text{ad}(\beta)(X) \in \mathfrak{g}_{k-n+1}^+ \). Since \( \beta^{-1} \in a_n \), we have \( X = X - s(X) = -\text{ad}(\beta)(X)\beta^{-1}/2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^+ \), as required. \( \square \)
Proposition 4.8 ([13] Lemma 4.4). Suppose that an element \( \gamma \in \beta + g_{\Lambda,1-n} \) lies in \( g \cap B \) modulo \( g_{\Lambda,k-n} \) for some integer \( k \geq 1 \). Then, there exists \( p \in P_{\Lambda,k} \) such that \( \text{Ad}(p)(\gamma) \in g \cap B \).

Proof. Exactly the same as the proof of [13] Lemma 4.4.

As an immediate corollary of the proof we have

Corollary 4.9 ([13] Corollary 4.5). \( \text{Ad}(J)(\beta + g'_{1-n}) = \beta + g \cap J^* \).

Proposition 4.10 ([13] Theorem 4.1). Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \). Then \( \pi \) contains \([\Lambda,n,n-1,\beta]\) if and only if the restriction of \( \pi \) to \( J \) contains \( \Psi_{\beta} \).

Proof. Since \( \Psi_{\beta} \) is an extension of \( \psi_{\beta} \) to \( J \), \( \pi \) contains \([\Lambda,n,n-1,\beta]\) if \( \pi \) contains \( \Psi_{\beta} \).

Suppose that \( \pi \) contains \([\Lambda,n,n-1,\beta]\). Then we can find \( \gamma \in \beta + g_{\Lambda,1-n} \) so that a skew stratum \([\Lambda,n,[n/2],\gamma]\) occurs in \( \pi \). By Proposition [13] after conjugation by some element in \( P_{\Lambda,1} \), we may assume \( \gamma \) lies in \( \beta + g'_{1-n} \). This implies that the restriction of \( \psi_{\gamma} \) to \( J \) is \( \Psi_{\beta} \). This completes the proof.

We write \( \Psi = \Psi_{\beta}, J' = J \cap G' \) and \( \Psi' = \Psi|_{J'} \).

Theorem 4.11. With the notation as above, there is a \( * \)-isomorphism \( \eta : \mathcal{H}(G'/\!/J',\Psi') \cong \mathcal{H}(G/\!/J,\Psi) \) which preserves support, that is, \( \eta \) satisfies \( \text{supp}(\eta(f)) = J \text{supp}(f) J \), for \( f \in \mathcal{H}(G'/\!/J',\Psi') \).

Remark 4.12. The isomorphism \( \eta \) allows us to identify \( \text{Irr}(G'(J',\Psi')) \) with \( \text{Irr}(G(\Psi)) \). Since \( \Psi' \) can extend to a character of \( G' \), we obtain a bijection from \( \text{Irr}(G'(J',1)) \) to \( \text{Irr}(G(\Psi)) \), where \( 1 \) denotes the trivial representation of \( J' \). Since the centers of \( G \) and \( G' \) are compact, the support preservation of \( \eta \) implies that this map preserves the supercuspidality of representations.

We commence the proof of Theorem 4.11.

Proposition 4.13. \( I_G(\Psi) = JG'J \).

Proof. Note that an element \( g \) in \( G \) lies in \( I_G(\Psi) \) if and only if \( \text{Ad}(g)(\beta + g \cap J^*) \cap (\beta + g \cap J^*) \neq \emptyset \).

Clearly, we have \( JI_G(\Psi)J = I_G(\Psi), G' \subset I_G(\Psi) \) and hence \( JG' \subset I_G(\Psi) \).

Let \( g \in I_G(\Psi) \). Then Corollary 4.9 says that there exists an element \( k \) in \( JgJ \) such that \( \text{Ad}(k)(\beta + g'_{1-n}) \cap (\beta + g'_{1-n}) \neq \emptyset \). Then there are \( x,y \) in \( g'_{1-n} \) such that \( \text{ad}(\beta)(k) = kx - yk \).

Projecting this equation on \( B' \), we have \( \text{ad}(\beta)(k^\perp) = k^\perp x - yk^\perp \), where \( k^\perp \) denote the \( B' \)-component of \( k \). Suppose \( k^\perp \in a_0 \), for some \( l \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then we have \( \text{ad}(\beta)(k^\perp) \in a_{l-n+1} \), and by applying the proof of Lemma 4.7 \( k^\perp \in a_{l+1} \). So we conclude \( k^\perp = 0 \) and hence \( k \in G' \). This completes the proof.

For \( x \) in \( A \), we denote by \( x' \) its \( B \)-component and by \( x^\perp \) its \( B^\perp \)-component.

Lemma 4.14. For \( g \in JG'J \), we have \( \nu_A(g^\perp) \geq \nu_A(g' + [n/2] + 1) \).

Proof. Put \( k = \nu_A(g) \). Then, for any element \( y \) in \( JgJ \), we have \( y \equiv g \) (mod \( a_{k+[n/2]+1} \)), so that \( y \equiv g \) (mod \( B + a_{k+[n/2]+1} \)). Therefore if \( g \in JG'J \), then \( g^\perp \in a^\perp_{k+[n/2]+1} \). Now the lemma follows immediately.

Proposition 4.15. For \( g \in G' \), we have \( JgJ \cap G' = J'g'J' \).

Proof. Since \( \beta \sigma(\beta) = -\beta^2 \in F^x \), the map \( \text{Ad}(\beta) \) gives an automorphism on \( G \) of order 2 and \( J \) is a pro-p subgroup of \( G \) closed under \( \text{Ad}(\beta) \). The assertion follows from [14] Lemma 2.1.

We can identify \( M_2(E) \) with \( B \) as follows: For \( a,b,c,d,x,y,z,w \in F \),

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix}
  a + \omega_EX & b + \omega_Ey \\
  c + \omega_Ez & d + \omega_Ew
\end{pmatrix}
\mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
  a & \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -b \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -1 \\
  -c \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -d & b \sqrt{\varepsilon} & 0 \\
  \omega a \sqrt{\varepsilon} & -\omega a y & \omega a z & 0 \\
  \omega a \varepsilon z & -\omega a w \sqrt{\varepsilon} & \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon} & d
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
The involution $\sigma$ on $M_2(E)$ induced by this identification maps $X$ to

$$\sigma(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma + \omega E \sigma \nu & \sigma \nu \tau + \omega E \sigma \tau \\ \sigma \nu \tau + \omega E \sigma \tau & \sigma + \omega E \sigma \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

So the group $G'$ is isomorphic to the unramified $U(1,1)$ over $E_0$.

We write $B' = G' \cap P_{3,0}$. Since the $\epsilon_E$-lattice sequence $\Lambda$ satisfies $\Lambda(0) \supseteq \Lambda(3) \supseteq \omega_E \Lambda(0)$, the group $B'$ is the Iwahori subgroup of $G'$ and $J' = G' \cap (1 + \omega_E 2^{m-1} a_0(\Lambda))$ is its $(4m-2)$-th standard congruence subgroup. Set

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega E^{-1} \\ \omega E & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in G',$$

$S = \{s_1, s_2\}$, and $W' = \langle S \rangle$. Then we have a Bruhat decomposition $G' = B'W'B'$.

**Lemma 4.16.** Let $t$ be a non negative integer. Then we have

(i) $[J'(s_1s_2)^{t}J' : J'] = [J'(s_2s_1)^{t}J' : J'] = q^{2t}$;

(ii) $[J(s_1s_2)^{t}J : J] = [J(s_2s_1)^{t}J : J] = q^{4t}$.

**Proof.** For $x \in G'$, we have $[J'xJ' : J'] = [J' \cap gxJg^{-1}] = [g]_{\in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{n}}] \in G$ and $[JgJ : J] = [J \cap gJg^{-1}] = [g]_{\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g}} \in G$.

These can be directly calculated for $w \in W'$ by [4,3].

For $x \in G'$, let $e_g$ denote the element in $\mathcal{H}(G'//J', \Psi')$ such that $e_g(1) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(e_g) = J'gJ'$.

**Theorem 4.17.** The algebra $\mathcal{H}(G'//J', \Psi')$ is generated by the elements $e_g$, $g \in B' \cup S$. These elements satisfy the following relations:

(i) $e_k = \Psi(k)e_1$, $k \in J'$,

(ii) $e_k \ast e_k' = e_{kk'}$, $k, k' \in B'$,

(iii) $e_s \ast e_k = e_{sk} \ast e_s$, $s \in S$, $k \in sB's \cap B'$,

(iv) $e_{s_1} \ast e_{s_1} = [J's_1J' : J'] \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{E_0}/\mathfrak{p}_{E_0}} e_{u(\mathfrak{g}_{E_0}^{2m-1} x \sqrt{e})}$,

$e_{s_2} \ast e_{s_2} = [J's_2J' : J'] \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{E_0}/\mathfrak{p}_{E_0}} e_{u(\mathfrak{g}_{E_0}^{2m-1} x \sqrt{e})}$,

(v) For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{E_0}/\mathfrak{p}_{E_0}$, $e_{s_1} \ast e_{u(\mu)} \ast e_{s_1} = [J's_1J' : J'] e_{u(\mu^{-1})} \ast e_{s} \ast e_{h(\mu)} \ast e_{u(\mu^{-1})}$,

$e_{s_2} \ast e_{u(\mathfrak{g}_{E_0} \mu)} \ast e_{s_2} = [J's_2J' : J'] e_{u(\mathfrak{g}_{E_0} \mu^{-1})} \ast e_{s_2} \ast e_{h(-\mu^{-1})} \ast e_{u(\mathfrak{g}_{E_0} \mu^{-1})}$.

The above relations are a defining set for this algebra.

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to the proof of [3] Chapter 3 Theorem 2.1.

For $x \in G'$, let $f_g$ denote the element in $\mathcal{H}(G'/J, \Psi)$ such that $f_g(1) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(f_g) = JgJ$.  

**Theorem 4.18.** The algebra $\mathcal{H}(G'/J, \Psi)$ is generated by $f_g$, $g \in S \cup B'$ and satisfies the following relations:

(i) $f_k = \Psi(k)f_1$, $k \in J'$,

(ii) $f_k \ast f_k' = f_{kk'}$, $k, k' \in B'$,

(iii) $f_s \ast f_k = f_{sk} \ast f_s$, $s \in S$, $k \in sB's \cap B'$,

(iv) $f_{s_1} \ast f_{s_1} = [J's_1J' : J'] \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{E_0}/\mathfrak{p}_{E_0}} f_{u(\mathfrak{g}_{E_0}^{2m-1} x \sqrt{e})}$,
\[ f_{s_2} * f_{s_2} = [J s_2 J : J] \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}_0} \mathcal{F}_0 f_u(z_{2}^{m-2} z_{2}^{2\epsilon}) \]

(v) For \( \mu \in \omega_{0}^{\pm} \sqrt{\epsilon} \),

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_{s_1} * f_u(\mu) * f_{s_1} &= [J s_1 J : J] f_u(\mu_{1}) * f_{s_1} * f_h(\mu) * f_u(\mu_{1}), \\
  f_{s_2} * f_u(z_{2}^{\epsilon}) * f_{s_2} &= q^2 f_u(z_{2}^{\epsilon}) * f_{s_2} * f_h(-\mu_{1}) * f_u(z_{2}^{\epsilon}).
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Recall that if \( x, y \in G' \) satisfy \([J x J : J][J y J : J] = [J x y J : J]\), then \( J x y J = J x J y J \) and \( f_x * f_y = f_{x y} \).

By Proposition 4.13, \( \mathcal{H}(G' / J, \Psi) \) is linearly spanned by \( f_{g}, \ g \in G' \). For \( g \in G' \), we write \( g = b_1 w b_2 \) where \( b_1, b_2 \in B' \) and \( w \in W' \). Then we have \( f_g = f_{b_1} * f_w * f_{b_2} \) because \( B' \) normalizes \( J \). Let \( w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_t} \) be a minimal expression for \( w \) with \( s_{i_j} \in S \). It follows from Lemma 4.16 that \( f_w = f_{s_{i_1}} * f_{s_{i_2}} \cdots * f_{s_{i_t}} \). Therefore \( \mathcal{H}(G' / J, \Psi) \) is generated by \( f_{g}, \ g \in B' \cup S \).

Relations (i), (ii), and (iii) are obvious. Since \([J s_1 J : J] = [J' s_1 J' : J']\), we can take a common system of representatives for \( J / J \cap s J s \) and \( J' / J' \cap s J' \). Then the proof of relations on \( s_{1} \) is also obvious.

We shall give the proof of relations on \( s_{2} \) only in the case when \( m = 2 k + 1, \ k \geq 0 \), and omit the easy modification when \( m \) is even.

We will abbreviate \( s = s_2 \). By the identification \( M_2(E) = B \), we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & -\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & -\epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
\omega \alpha \epsilon & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.14)

We can take a common system of representatives for \( J / J \cap s J s \) and \( J \cap s J s \) as

\[
x(a, b, c) =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\omega^{k+1} \alpha - \omega^m \epsilon & 1 & \omega^k \alpha^{-1} b & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\omega^{k+1} b & 0 & \omega^{k+1} \alpha + \omega^m \epsilon & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4.15)

where \( a, b, c \in \omega_{0}^{\pm} \sqrt{\epsilon} / \omega_{0}^{\pm} \sqrt{\epsilon} \). Note that \( x(a, b, c) \) lies in the kernel of \( \Psi \).

(iv) For \( g \in G \), let \( \delta_g \) denote the unit point mass at \( g \). Then we have

\[
f_s = \sum_{x \in J \cap s J s \setminus J} \Psi^{-1}(x) f_1 * \delta_{sx} = \sum_{y \in J \cap s J s} \Psi^{-1}(y) \delta_{ys} * f_1,
\]

so that

\[
f_s * f_s = \sum_{x \in J \cap s J s \setminus J, y \in J \cap s J s} \Psi^{-1}(xy) f_1 * \delta_{sxsy} * f_1
\]

and

\[
= [J s J : J] \sum_{x \in J \cap s J s \setminus J} \Psi^{-1}(x) f_1 * \delta_{sxs} * f_1.
\]

We remark that for \( g \in G \),

\[
f_1 * \delta_g * f_1 = \begin{cases}
[J g J : J]^{-1} f_g, & \text{if } g \in I_G(\Psi), \\
0, & \text{if } g \not\in I_G(\Psi).
\end{cases}
\]

The \( B \)-component of \( s x(a, b, c) s \) is \( s x(0, 0, c) s \) and lies in \( a_0 \). Therefore, if \( s x(a, b, c) s \in I_G(\Psi) = J G' J \), then by Lemma 4.14 \( s x(a, b, 0) s \in a_{[m/2]+1} \) and hence \( a \equiv b \equiv 0 \).
So we obtain
\[
fs * fs = [JsJ : J] \sum_{c \in o_0/p_0} f_1 * \delta_{sx(0,0,c)s} * f_1
\]
\[
= [JsJ : J] \sum_{c \in o_0/p_0} f_1 * \delta_{u(E^-2 \cdot c \sqrt{r})} * f_1
\]
\[
= [JsJ : J] \sum_{x \in o_E/\nu E_0} f_{u(E^-2 \cdot x \sqrt{r})}.
\]

(v) Let \(\mu \in o_0^x \sqrt{r}\). Put \(u = w(E\mu) \in B'\). Then \(fu = f_1 * \delta_u = \delta_u * f_1\). Since \(u\) normalizes the pair \((J, \Psi)\), we have
\[
fs * fu * fs = [JsJ : J] \sum_{x \in J \cap Js J, y \in J / J \cap Js J} \Psi^{-1}(xy) f_1 * \delta_{sxys} * f_1
\]
\[
= [JsJ : J] \sum_{x \in J \cap Js J} \Psi^{-1}(x) f_1 * \delta_{sxu} * f_1.
\]

The \(B\)-component of \(sx(a,b,c)us\), which is identified with \(u(E^{-1}) + \omega^m \cdot E^{-2} \cdot c \sqrt{r}\), lies in \(a_{-3}\). Suppose \(sx(a,b,c)us \in I\langle \Psi \rangle = JG'J\). Then by Lemma 4.14 we have \(sx(a,b,0)s \in 1 + a_{sk}\) and hence \(b \equiv 0\). So we obtain
\[
fs * fu * fs = [JsJ : J] \sum_{a \in o_0 \sqrt{r}/p_0 \sqrt{r}, \ c \in o_0/p_0} f_1 * \delta_{sx(a,0,c)us} * f_1.
\]

For the moment, we fix \(a\) and \(c\). We put \(\nu = \mu + \omega^m \cdot E^{-1} \cdot c \sqrt{r}, v = w(E\nu^{-1}), h = h(-\nu^{-1})\), and \(x = x(a,0,0)\). Then \(sx(a,0,c)us = sxssw(E\nu)v = sxsvxhv = [sxsvxhv]f_1 (xhv)^{-1} xhv\). Since \(hv \in B'\), we have \((hxv)^{-1} xhv \in J\) and \(\Psi((hxv)^{-1} xhv) = \Psi(x) = 1\). Since \(sxsvxhv \in P_{\Delta,sk+1}\) and \(v \in \Delta_{3}\), we obtain \([sxsvxhv] \in \mathcal{P}_{\Delta,n/2}+1\). If we write \(sxsvxhv = 1 + y + v = 1 + z\), then we have \([sxsvxhv] = (1 + y)(1 + z)(1 - y)(1 - z) \equiv 1 + yz + yzv \mod B^{+}\). So the \(B\)-component of \([sxsvxhv]\) lies in \(1 + a_{sk+5} = 1 + a_{n+1}\). This implies that \([sxsvxhv] \in J\) and \(\Psi([sxsvxhv]) = 1\).

We therefore have
\[
f_1 * \delta_{sx(a,0,c)us} * f_1 = f_1 * \delta_{sxus} \cdot f_1
\]
\[
= [JsJ : J]^{-1} f_v * fs * fh * f_v
\]
\[
= [JsJ : J]^{-1} f_{\mu(E^{-1})} * fs * fh(-1) * f_{\mu(E^{-1})},
\]
for any \(a \in o_0 \sqrt{r}/p_0 \sqrt{r}\) and \(c \in o_0/p_0\). So we conclude that
\[
fs * fu * fs = q^2 f_{\mu(E^{-1})} * fs * fh(-1) * f_{\mu(E^{-1})},
\]
as required. \(\square\)

We return to the proof of Theorem 4.14. By Theorems 4.17 and 4.18, there is an algebra homomorphism \(\eta : \mathcal{H}(G'/J', \Psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G/J, \Psi)\) induced by
\[
\eta(e_{x_1}) = f_1, \ \eta(e_{x_2}) = q^{-1} f_{x_2}, \ \eta(e_b) = f_b, \ b \in B'.
\]
Note that, for \(g \in G'\), \(\eta\) maps \(e_g\) to \((\text{vol}(J'gJ')/\text{vol}(JgJ))^{1/2} f_g\). Then, it follows from Proposition 4.13 that \(\eta\) is surjective. Proposition 4.13 implies that \(\eta\) is injective. The \(\ast\)-preservation of \(\eta\) is obvious since \(e_{g} = e_{g^{-1}}\) and \(f_{g} = f_{g^{-1}}\), for \(g \in G'\).
4.3. Case (4d). Let \( \Lambda' \) denote the \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence in (2.1). We fix an element \( d \in \sigma_0^\times \) and a positive odd integer \( n' = 2m - 1 \). For \( b, c \in \sigma_0 \), we set

\[
\beta(b, c) = \varpi^{-m} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\varpi} \\
0 & 0 & b\sqrt{\varpi} & 0 \\
0 & \varpi c\sqrt{\varpi} & 0 & 0 \\
\varpi d\sqrt{\varpi} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\Lambda',-n'}.
\] (4.16)

In this section, we consider the irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) which contain a skew stratum \([\Lambda', n', n' - 1, \beta] \), where \( \beta \) equals to \( \beta(0,0) \), \( \beta(0,1) \), or \( \beta(1,0) \).

We define a self-dual \( \sigma_F \)-lattice sequence \( \Lambda \) in \( V \) with \( e(\Lambda) = 8 \) and \( d(\Lambda) = 3 \) by

\[
\Lambda(0) = \Lambda(1) = \Lambda(2) = \Lambda(3) = N_0, \quad \Lambda(4) = N_1, \quad \Lambda(5) = \Lambda(6) = N_2, \quad \Lambda(7) = \varpi N_1^#,
\] (4.17)

The filtration \( \{a_k(\Lambda)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is given by the following:

\[
a_0(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F & \sigma_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
a_2(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix}, \quad a_3(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
a_4(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix}, \quad a_5(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
a_6(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix}, \quad a_7(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F \\
\varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F & \varphi_F
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (4.18)

If we write \( n = 8m - 4 \) and \( \beta = \beta(0,0) \), then we obtain the analogue of Lemma 4.3 that is,

\[
\text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda', n', \psi_{\beta(0,0)}})} \cup \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda', n', \psi_{\beta}})} \subset \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})}.
\] (4.19)

Take \( \lambda \in \sigma_F \) such that \( \lambda \varpi = d \) and put

\[
t = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\varpi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\varpi \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( t \) is a similitude on \((V, f)\) and hence \( t \) acts on the set of skew strata as well. Note that \( t \Lambda' \) is a translate of \( \Lambda' \). As a conjugate of \((4.19)\) by \( t \), we get

\[
\text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda', n', \psi_{\beta(1,0)}})} \cup \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda', n', \psi_{\beta}})} \subset \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{t \Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})}.
\] (4.20)

Since \( \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})} \) is the \( t \)-conjugate of \( \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})} \), there is a bijection \( \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})} \cong \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{t \Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})} \); \( \pi \mapsto \pi^t \). We shall concentrate on classifying the irreducible smooth representations of \( G \) containing \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta] \).

**Remark 4.19.** We note that \( \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})} \cap \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda, n, \psi_{\beta}})} \supset \text{Irr}(G)^{(P_{\Lambda', n', \psi_{\beta}})} \).
Define an orthogonal $F$-splitting $V = V^1 \perp V^2$ by $V^1 = Fe_2 \oplus Fe_3$ and $V^2 = Fe_1 \oplus Fe_4$. Then the skew stratum $[\Lambda, n, n-1, \beta]$ is split with respect to $V = V^1 \perp V^2$. Put $\beta_j = \beta_{|V^j}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Then $\beta_1 = 0$ and $E_2 = F[\beta_2]$ is a totally ramified extension of degree 2 over $F$. We denote by $B$ the $A$-centralizer of $\beta$ and by $B^\perp$ its orthogonal complement with respect to the pairing induced by $tr_{A/F}$. Then $B$ and $B^\perp$ are $\sigma$-stable. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, we write $A^{ij} = \text{Hom}_F(V^j, V^i)$. Since $\beta_2^* \in F^* \cdot 1_{V^2}$, the map

$$s_2 : A^{22} \to E_2; X \mapsto (X + \beta_2X\beta_2^{-1})/2, \ X \in A^{22}$$

is an $(E_2, E_2)$-bimodule projection. So we get

$$B = A^{11} \oplus E_2, \ B^\perp = A^{12} \oplus A^{21} \oplus \ker s_2, \ A = B \oplus B^\perp.$$ (4.21)

For brevity, we write $a_k = a_k(\Lambda), a_i^* = a_k \cap B, a_i^\perp = a_k \cap B^\perp, g_k^* = g_{\Lambda,k} \cap B$ and $g_k^\perp = g_{\Lambda,k} \cap B^\perp$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proposition 4.20.** For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $a_k = a_k^* \oplus a_k^\perp$.

**Proof.** By [2] (2.9), we have $a_k = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} a_k \cap A^{ij}$ and $a_k \cap A^{ii} = a_k(\Lambda^i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\beta_2$ normalizes $a_k(\Lambda^2)$, we have $a_k(\Lambda^2) = (a_k \cap E_2) \oplus (a_k \cap \ker s_2)$. Now the proposition follows from (4.21). \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.21.** Since $[(n+1)/2] \equiv 2$ or 6 (mod 8), it follows from (4.18) that $a_k^{[(n+1)/2]} = a_k^{[(n/2)+1]}$.

As in 4.22, we define a $\sigma$-stable $a_F$-lattice $\mathfrak{J}$ in $A$ by (4.11) and an open compact subgroup $J$ of $G$ by $J = G \cap (1 + \mathfrak{J})$. For $b \in g \cap \mathfrak{J}^*$, we denote by $\Psi b$ the character of $J$ defined by (4.12).

**Lemma 4.22.** For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\text{ad}(\beta)$ induces an isomorphism $g_k^*/g_{k+1}^1 \simeq g_{k-n}^1/g_{k-n+1}^1$.

**Proof.** Since $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is periodic, it is sufficient to prove that the induced map is injective, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $X$ in $A$, we use the notation

$$X = \left( \begin{array}{cc} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{array} \right), \ X_{ij} \in A^{ij}.$$ 

Let $X \in g_k^1$ satisfy $\text{ad}(\beta)(X) \in g_{k-n+1}^1$. Then we obtain

$$\text{ad}(\beta)(X) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -X_{12}\beta_2 \\ \beta_2X_{21} & \text{ad}(\beta_2)(X_{22}) \end{array} \right) \in g_{k-n+1}^1$$

and hence $X_{12}\beta_2, \beta_2X_{21}, \text{ad}(\beta_2)(X_{22}) \in a_k \cap -a_{k-1}$. Since $\beta_2^{-1} \in a_n$, we have $X_{12}, X_{21} \in a_{k+1}$ and $X_{22} = X_{22} - s_2(X_{22}) = -\text{ad}(\beta_2)(X_{22})\beta_2^{-1}/2 \in a_{k+1}$. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

We write $G' = G \cap B$, $J' = G' \cap J$, $\Psi = \Psi \beta$ and $\Psi' = \Psi |J$. Then, by Lemma 4.22, we get the analogues of Propositions 4.23, 4.24, 4.28 and 4.25 and Corollary 4.29.

**Theorem 4.23.** With the notation as above, there exists a support-preserving, $*$-isomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{H}(G'/J', \Psi') \simeq \mathcal{H}(G/J, \Psi)$.

**Remark 4.24.** Since the centers of $G$ and $G'$ are compact, the same remark as in the case (H) holds, that is, $\eta$ induces a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G')^{(J', 1)}$ to $\text{Irr}(G)^{(J, 1)}$, which preserves supercuspidality of representations.

**Proposition 4.25.** For $g \in G'$, we have $JgJ \cap G' = J'gJ'$.

**Proof.** Put $M = (A^{11} \oplus A^{22})^\times$ and $x = 1_{V^1} - 1_{V^2} \in M$. Since $1 + \mathfrak{J}$ is a pro-$p$ subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ and stable under the adjoint action of $x$, it follows from [10] Lemma 2.1 that $(1 + \mathfrak{J})g(1 + \mathfrak{J}) \cap M = ((1 + \mathfrak{J}) \cap M)g((1 + \mathfrak{J}) \cap M)$. 
Consider the adjoint action of
\[ h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \pi d & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B^\times \]
on $M$. Then Ad($h$) induces an automorphism of $M$ of order 2 and $B^\times$ is the set of fixed points of this automorphism. Note that $(1 + 3) \cap M = Ad(h)$-stable. Then, by \[16\] Lemma 2.1, we get $(1 + 3)g(1 + 3) \cap B = ((1 + 3) \cap B)g((1 + 3) \cap B)$.

We note that $B^\times$ is $\sigma$-stable and $(1 + 3) \cap B$ is a $\sigma$-stable pro-$p$ subgroup of $B^\times$. Since

$G' = B \cap G$, it follows from \[16\] Lemma 2.1 again that $(1 + 3)g(1 + 3) \cap G' = J'gJ'$. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

We put $B' = P_{\Lambda, 0} \cap G'$. Then $B'$ is the Iwahori subgroup of $G'$ and normalizes $J' = P_{\Lambda, n} \cap G'$. We define elements $s_1$ and $s_2$ in $G'$ by

\[ s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Put $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ and $W' = \langle S \rangle$. Then we have a Bruhat decomposition $G' = B'W'B'$.

**Lemma 4.26.** Let $t$ be a non negative integer. Then

\[ (i) \quad [J'(s_1s_2)t : J'] = [J'(s_1s_2)s_1J' : J'] = [J'(s_2s_1)tJ' : J'] = q^{2t}, \quad [J'(s_2s_1)s_2J' : J'] = q^{2t+1}, \]

\[ (ii) \quad [J(s_1s_2)tJ' : J] = [J(s_1s_2)s_1J' : J] = q^{2t}, \quad [J(s_2s_1)s_1J : J] = q^{6t+2}, \quad [J(s_2s_1)tJ : J] = q^{6t+4}. \]

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma \[16\] we can directly calculate these indices using \[18\]. \hfill \Box

For $\mu \in F$ and $\nu \in F^\times$, we set

\[ u(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

For $g \in G'$, let $e_g$ denote the element in $H(G'//J', \Psi')$ such that $e_g(g) = 1$ and supp$(e_g) = J'gJ'$.

**Theorem 4.27.** Suppose $m \geq 2$. Then the algebra $H(G'//J, \Psi')$ is generated by the elements $e_g$, $g \in B' \cup S$. These elements are subject to the following relations:

\[ (i) \quad e_k = \Psi(k)e_1, \quad k \in J', \]

\[ (ii) \quad e_k \ast e_k = \pi \ast k, \quad k, k' \in B', \]

\[ (iii) \quad e_k \ast e_s = e_s \ast e_{ks}, \quad s \in S, \quad k \in B' \cap s B' \cap S, \]

\[ (iv) \quad e_s \ast e_{s_1} = e_1, \]

\[ e_{s_2} \ast e_{s_2} = [J's_2J' : J'] \sum_{\nu \in \pi^{1/2}} e_{\nu}(\pi^{m-2}x \sqrt{\pi}); \]

\[ (v) \quad \text{For } \mu \in \pi^{x} \sqrt{\pi}, \]

\[ e_{s_1} \ast e_{u(\mu)} \ast e_{s_1} = e_{u(\mu^{-1})} \ast e_{s_1} \ast e_{h(\mu)} \ast e_{u(\mu^{-1})}; \]

\[ e_{s_2} \ast e_{u(\mu)} \ast e_{s_2} = [J's_2J' : J'] e_{u(\pi^{m-1})} \ast e_{s_2} \ast e_{h(\pi^{-1})} \ast e_{u(\pi^{m-1})}. \]

**Proof.** Note that $s_1$ normalizes $J'$. Then the proof is very similar to that of \[3\] Chapter 3 Theorem 2.1. \hfill \Box

For $g \in G'$, we denote by $f_g$ the element in $H(G//J, \Psi)$ such that $f_g(g) = 1$ and supp$(f_g) = JgJ$. 

Theorem 4.28. Suppose \( m \geq 2 \). Then the algebra \( \mathcal{H}(G//J, \Psi) \) is generated by \( f_g, g \in B' \cup S \) and satisfies the following relations:

(i) \( f_k = \Psi(k)f_1 \), \( k \in J' \),
(ii) \( f_k \ast f_{k'} = f_{kk'} \), \( k, k' \in B' \),
(iii) \( f_k \ast f_s = f_s \ast f_{sk} \), \( s \in S \), \( k \in B' \cap sB's \),
(iv) \( f_s \ast f_{s1} = [Js1J : J]f_1 \),
(v) \( f_{s2} \ast f_{s2} = [Js2J : J] \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{o}_0} f_{u(x^2)} \).

For \( \mu \in \mathfrak{o}_0^\times \sqrt{c} \),

\( f_{s1} \ast f_{u(\mu)} \ast f_{s1} = -qf_{u(\mu^{-1})} \ast f_{s1} \ast f_{h(\mu)} \ast f_{u(\mu^{-1})}, \)

\( f_{s2} \ast f_{u(\mu)} \ast f_{s2} = -q^2f_{u(\mu^{-1})} \ast f_{s2} \ast f_{h(\mu^{-1})} \ast f_{u(\mu^{-1})} \).

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1.18, it follows from Lemma 4.26 that \( \mathcal{H}(G//J, \Psi) \) is generated by \( f_g, g \in S \cup B'. \) Relations (i), (ii), (iii) are obvious. We shall prove relations (iv) and (v) on \( s_2 \) in the case when \( m = 2k + 1 \), \( k \geq 1 \). The other cases can be treated in a similar fashion.

We will abbreviate \( s = s_2 \). We can choose a common system of representatives for \( J/J \cup sJs \) and \( J \cap sJs \), \( J \) to be

\[ x(a, A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega^{k+1}A & \omega^m a \sqrt{c} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega^{k+1}A & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \in \mathfrak{o}_F/p_F, \quad a \in \mathfrak{o}_0/p_0^2. \]

We note that \( x(a, A) \) lies in the kernel of \( \Psi \).

(iv) As in the proof of Theorem 1.18, we obtain

\[ f_s \ast f_s = [JsJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0^2} f_1 \ast \delta_{sx(a, A)s} \ast f_1. \]

Since the \( B \)-component \( sx(a, 0)s \) of \( sx(a, A)s \) lies in \( \mathfrak{o}_0 \), it follows from Lemma 4.14 that if \( sx(a, A)s \in I_G(\Psi) = JG'J \), then \( sx(0, A)s \in 1+\mathfrak{o}_{[n/2]+1} \) and hence \( A \equiv 0 \). So \( f_1 \ast \delta_{sx(a, A)s} \ast f_1 = 0 \) unless \( A \equiv 0 \). Hence we have

\[ f_s \ast f_s = [JsJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0^2} f_1 \ast \delta_{sx(a, 0)s} \ast f_1 \]

\[ = [JsJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0^2} f_1 \ast \delta_{u(\omega^m-a \sqrt{c})} \ast f_1 \]

\[ = [JsJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0^2} f_{u(\omega^m-a \sqrt{c})}. \]

(v) Let \( \mu \in \mathfrak{o}_0^\times \sqrt{c} \). Put \( u = u(\omega \mu) \in B' \). As in the proof of Theorem 1.18, we have

\[ f_s \ast f_u \ast f_s = [JsJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}_0, A \in \mathfrak{o}_F/p_F} f_1 \ast \delta_{sx(a, A)us} \ast f_1. \]

Put \( x = x(0, A), \nu = \mu + \omega^m-a, v = u(\omega \nu^{-1}), \) and \( h(\nu^{-1}) \). Then

\[ sx(a, A)us = sxssu(\omega \nu)s = sxsvshv = [sx, s, v]svh^{-1}x(hv). \]

Since \( hv \in B' \), we see that \( (hv)^{-1}x(hv) \in J \) lies in the kernel of \( \Psi \). If we write \( sx = 1+y \) and \( v = 1+z \), then \( y \in \mathfrak{a}_{sk-1} \) and \( z \in \mathfrak{a}_0 \). So we have \( [sx, s, v] \in P_{s, sk+5} \) and \( P_{s, [n/2]+1} \) and \( [sx, s, v] \equiv 1+yz \) (mod \( B' + \mathfrak{a}_{n+1} \)). These observations imply that \( \{sx, s, v\} \in J \) and
Remark 4.30. In this case, these elements are subject to the following relations:

\[
\Psi([xs, v]) = \Omega(\text{tr}_{\Lambda/F}(\beta y z y)) = \Omega(2d\sqrt{\nu^{-1}A\bar{A}}).
\]

We therefore have

\[
f_s * f_u * f_s = [JSJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}/p_0^s, A \in \mathfrak{o}F/pF} \Omega^{-1}(2\sqrt{\nu^{-1}A\bar{A}}) f_1 * \delta_{vshv} * f_1
\]

\[
= -q[JSJ : J] \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}/p_0^s} f_1 * \delta_{vshv} * f_1
\]

\[
= -q \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{o}/p_0^s} f_v * f_s * f_h * f_v
\]

\[
= -q^3 f_{\mu(\omega \mu^{-1})} * f_s \mu(-\mu^{-1}) * f_{\mu(\omega \mu^{-1})}.
\]

□

Remark 4.29. If \(m = 1\), the algebra \(\mathcal{H}(G'//J', \Psi)\) is generated by the elements \(e_g, g \in B' \cup S\). In this case, these elements are subject to the following relations:

(i) \(e_k = \Psi(k)e_k, k \in J'\),

(ii) \(e_k * e_{k'} = e_{kk'}, k, k' \in B'\),

(iii) \(e_k * e_s = e_s * e_{sk}, s \in S, k \in B' \cap sB'S,\)

(iv) \(e_s * e_s = e_1, e_{s_2} * e_{s_2} = (\sum_{y \in \mathfrak{o}V} 2 f_{s2} * e_{h(\gamma - y^{-1})} + q^2 e_1) (\sum_{x \in \mathfrak{o}/p_0} e_{u(xV)}),\)

(v) \(e_{s_1} * e_{s_1} = e_{e_{s_1}} = e_{e_{s_1} * e_{s_1}} * e_{s_1} e_{h(\mu)} * e_{s_1} e_{h(\mu)}\).

We can easily see that the analogue of Theorem 4.23 holds as well. We omit the details.

Combining Proposition 4.25, the analog of Proposition 4.13 and Theorems 4.27, 4.28, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.23. Indeed, the map

\[
\eta(e_{s_1}) = -q^{-1} f_{s_1}, \eta(e_{s_2}) = -q^{-1} f_{s_2}, \eta(e_b) = f_b, b \in B'
\]

induces the required algebra isomorphism.

4.4. Case (4a). Let \(\Lambda\) denote the \(\mathfrak{o}_F\)-lattice sequence in (2.4) and \(n = 2m - 1\) a positive odd integer. Let \(\lambda \in \mathfrak{o}_F\) satisfy \(\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda} \pmod{p_F}\). We consider the irreducible smooth representations of \(G\) which contain a skew stratum \([\Lambda, n, n - 1, \beta]\), where

\[
\beta = \omega^{-m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \omega \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega \bar{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\Lambda,-n}.
\]

(4.22)

The assertions and proofs are very similar to those in [4.22] and [4.3] So we shall be brief in this section.

Remark 4.30. By the argument in [4.1], \(\text{Irr}(G)^{P_{\Lambda,n}}(\psi_{\beta})\) is precisely the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of \(G\) of level \(n/2\) and of characteristic polynomial \((X - \lambda)^2(X - \bar{\lambda})^2 \pmod{p_F}\).

Set \(V^{-1} = Fe_1 \oplus Fe_3\) and \(V^1 = Fe_2 \oplus Fe_4\). Then this stratum is split with respect to \(V = V^{-1} \oplus V^1\). For \(j = \pm 1\), we write \(\beta_j = \beta_{|V^i}, E_j = F[\beta_j]\) and \(A^j(i) = \Lambda(i) \cap V^j, i \in \mathbb{Z}\). Then Proposition 1.8 implies that \([\Lambda^j, n, n - 1, \beta_j], j = \pm 1\), are simple strata. We set \(A^j = \text{Hom}_F(V^j, V^j), i, j = \pm 1\). Since \(\beta_j^2 \in F^\times \cdot 1_{V^j}\), we obtain an \((E_j, E_j)\)-bimodule projection

\[
s_j : A^{ij} \to E_j
\]

\[
s_j(X) = (X + \beta_j X \beta_j^{-1})/2, X \in A^{ij}.
\]
Writing $B$ for the $A$-centralizer of $\beta$ and $B^\perp$ for its orthogonal component with respect to the pairing induced by $\text{tr}_{A/F}$, we have

\[ B = E_{-1} \oplus E_1, \quad B^\perp = \ker s_{-1} \oplus \ker s_1 \oplus A^{1,1} \oplus A^{1,-1}, \]

and $A = B \oplus B^\perp$. For $j = \pm 1$, the map $s_j$ satisfies $s_j(\alpha_k(A^j)) = \alpha_k(A^j) \cap E_j$. Combining this with [2] (2.9), we obtain

\[ \alpha_k(\Lambda) = \alpha_k(\Lambda) \cap B \oplus \alpha_k(\Lambda) \cap B^\perp, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

So we can define a $\sigma$-stable $\mathfrak{a}_F$-lattice $\mathfrak{J}$ in $A$ by (1.11) and an open compact subgroup $J = \mathfrak{J} \cap G$ of $G$. For $b \in \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{J}^*$, we denote by $\Psi_b$ the character of $J$ defined by (4.12).

As in [4.2], we abbreviate $\alpha_k = \alpha_k(\Lambda)$, $\alpha_k' = \alpha_k \cap B$, $\alpha_k^\perp = \alpha_k \cap B^\perp$, etc.

**Lemma 4.31.** For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{ad}(\beta)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_k^\perp / \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^\perp \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{k-n}^\perp / \mathfrak{g}_{k-n+1}^\perp$.

**Proof.** It follows from (2.3) Lemma 1 that $\text{ad}(\beta)$ maps $\alpha_k \cap A^{1,1}$ onto $\alpha_{k-n} \cap A^{1,1}$ and $\alpha_k \cap A^{1,-1}$ onto $\alpha_{k-n} \cap A^{1,-1}$.

Let $j \in \{\pm 1\}$. For $x \in \alpha_{k-n} \cap \ker s_j$, we have $-x\beta_j^{-1}/2 \in \alpha_k \cap \ker s_j$ and $\text{ad}(\beta_j)(-x\beta_j^{-1}/2) = (x - \beta_jx\beta_j^{-1}/2) = x - s_j(x) = x$. This implies that $\text{ad}(\beta_j)$ maps $\alpha_k \cap \ker s_j$ onto $\alpha_{k-n} \cap \ker s_j$, so that $\text{ad}(\beta)$ maps $\alpha_k^\perp$ onto $\alpha_{k-n}^\perp$. By the periodicity of $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we conclude that $\text{ad}(\beta)$ induces an isomorphism $\alpha_k^\perp / \alpha_{k+1}^\perp \simeq \alpha_{k-n}^\perp / \alpha_{k-n+1}^\perp$. The lemma follows immediately from this. $\square$

We also set $G' = G \cap B$, $J' = G' \cap J$, $\Psi = \Psi_\beta$, and $\Psi' = \Psi|_J$. Then, by Lemma 4.31 we get the analogues of Propositions 1.10 and 1.13, that is, $\text{Irr}(G(P_{\lambda,n,\psi})) = \text{Irr}(G(\lambda,\psi))$ and $\text{Irr}(\Psi) = JG'J$. Applying the proof of Proposition 4.22, we have $JgJ \cap G' = J'gJ'$, for $g \in G'$.

We shall establish a Hecke algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{G}'/\mathbb{J}', \Psi') \simeq \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{J}, \Psi)$. Since $G'$ is abelian, the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{G}'/\mathbb{J}', \Psi')$ is obvious.

We have $G' = \langle \zeta \rangle B'$, where $B' = G' \cap P_{\lambda,0}$ and

\[
\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & w^{-1}\lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (4.23)

The group $B'$ normalizes the pair $(J, \Psi)$ and $\zeta$ satisfies the following:

**Lemma 4.32.** For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $[J \zeta^t J : J] = q^{4t}i$.

**Proof.** It follows from direct computation. $\square$

For $g \in G'$, let $e_g$ denote the element in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{G}'/\mathbb{J}', \Psi')$ such that $e_g(g) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(e_g) = J'gJ'$, and let $f_g$ denote the element in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{J}, \Psi)$ such that $f_g(g) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(f_g) = JgJ$.

**Lemma 4.33.** $f_{\zeta^{-1}} * f_{\zeta} = f_{\zeta} * f_{\zeta^{-1}} = q^4 f_1$.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 4.18 we have

\[ f_{\zeta^{-1}} * f_{\zeta} = [J \zeta J : J] \sum_{y \in J \cap \zeta J \zeta^{-1}} \Psi^{-1}(y)f_1 * \delta_{\zeta^{-1}y\zeta} * f_1. \]

Suppose that $m = 2k$. Then we can choose a system of representative for $J/J \cap \zeta J \zeta^{-1}$ to be

\[
x(a, b, A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w^k A & 1 & \sqrt{w^k} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ w^{k+1}b \sqrt{w} & 0 & -w^k A & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{o}_0 / \mathfrak{p}_0, \quad A \in \mathfrak{o}_F / \mathfrak{p}_F.
\]
The $B$-component of $\zeta^{-1}x(a,b,A)\zeta$ lies in $a_0$, so that, if $\zeta^{-1}x(a,b,A)\zeta \in JG'J$, then by Lemma 4.14, the $B^\perp$-part of $\zeta^{-1}x(a,b,A)\zeta$ belongs to $a[n/2]+1$. We conclude that $f_1 \ast \delta_{\zeta^{-1}x(a,b,A)\zeta}$ $f_1 = 0$ unless $a \equiv b \equiv A \equiv 0$. So we have $f_{\zeta^{-1}} \ast f_{\zeta} = q^4 f_1$, as required.

The case of $m = 2k + 1$, $k \geq 0$ and the relation $f_{\zeta} \ast f_{\zeta^{-1}} = q^4 f_1$ are quite similar to this. □

**Theorem 4.34.** With the notation as above, there is a $*$-isomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{H}(G'//J', \Psi') \simeq \mathcal{H}(G//J, \Psi)$ with support preservation.

**Proof.** Since $I_G(\Psi) = JG'J$, the algebra $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \Psi)$ is spanned by $f_g$, $g \in G'$. Since $G' = \langle \zeta \rangle B'$, we can write $g = \zeta^t b$ where $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in B'$. By Lemma 4.32 we have $f_g = f^t_{\zeta} \ast f_b$ if $t \geq 0$ and $f_g = f^{[t]}_{\zeta} \ast f_b$ otherwise.

Since $B'$ normalizes the pair $(J, \Psi)$, we have $f_b \ast f_g = f_{bg} = f_{gb} = f_g \ast f_b$, for $b \in B'$ and $g \in G'$. In particular, $f_b$ lies in the center of $\mathcal{H}(G//J, \Psi)$, for $b \in B'$. Therefore the map $\eta(e_{\zeta}) = q^{-2} f_{\zeta}$, $\eta(e_{\zeta^{-1}}) = q^{-2} f_{\zeta^{-1}}$, $\eta(e_b) = f_b$, $b \in B'$, induced the required isomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{H}(G'//J', \Psi') \simeq \mathcal{H}(G//J, \Psi)$ by Lemma 4.33. □

**Remark 4.35.** As in Remark 4.12, the map $\eta$ induces a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G'(J',1))$ to $\text{Irr}(G(J,\Psi))$. Since $G'$ is abelian and not compact, every irreducible smooth representation of $G$ which contains $(J, \Psi)$ is not supercuspidal.
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