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1 Introduction

It is well-known that a continuous monotone function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{xf(x)}{|x|} = \infty,$$

is surjective, i.e., the equation $f(x) = y$ is solvable for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, the monotonicity of $f$ implies

$$[f(x) - f(s)](x - s) \geq 0, \quad \forall x, s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, taking $y = 0$ without loss of generality, one concludes from (1) that there is a point $x_0$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$. If $y \neq 0$ is an arbitrary real number, then the function $F(x) = f(x) - y$ satisfies inequality (2) with $F$ in place of $f$, provided that (2) holds for $f$. Condition (1) is also satisfied for $F$ if it holds for $f$:

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{xF(x)}{|x|} = \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \left( \frac{xf(x)}{|x|} - \frac{xy}{|x|} \right) = \infty.$$

Conditions (1) and (2) are generalized for nonlinear mappings $F$ in a real Hilbert space $H$ as follows:

$$\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} \frac{(u, F(u))}{\|u\|} = \infty, \quad (3)$$

and

$$(F(u) - F(v), u - v) \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in H. \quad (4)$$
here \((u, v)\) stands for the inner product in \(H\).

We want to prove that if \(F\) is twice Fréchet differentiable and conditions (3)-(4) hold, then \(F\) is surjective, i.e., the equation

\[ F(u) = h \]  

is solvable for every \(h \in H\). This is a basic result in the theory of monotone operators (see, e.g., [1], [3]). Our aim is to give a simple and short proof of this result based on the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM) developed in [2].

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \(F : H \to H\) is Fréchet differentiable mapping satisfying conditions (3), (4). Then equation (5) is solvable for any \(h\).

**Remark 1.** If in (4) one has a strict inequality for \(u \neq v\), then the solution to (5) is unique.

**Remark 2.** Condition (4) and Fréchet differentiability imply that \(A := F'(u) \geq 0\) \(\forall u \in H\).

**Remark 3.** The Fréchet differentiability assumption can be weakened to semi-continuity (see, e.g., [1]), but then the proof loses its elementary character.

Our proof is elementary and is suitable for undergraduate students: it requires a very limited background.

## 2 Proof

Let us formulate the steps of our proof.

**Step 1.** For any \(a = \text{const} > 0\) the equation

\[ F(u_a) + au_a = h \]  

has a unique solution \(u_a\).

**Step 2.**

\[ \sup_{0 < a < 1} \|u_a\| < c, \quad c = \text{const} > 0. \]  

By \(c\) we denote various constants independent of \(a\).

**Step 3.** Using (7), select a sequence \(u_n = u_{a_n}, \ a_n \to 0\), weakly convergent in \(H\) to an element \(u\):

\[ u_n \rightharpoonup u, \quad n \to \infty. \]
From (6) and (8) it follows that

\[ F(u_n) \to h, \quad n \to \infty. \]  

(9)

From (8), (9) and (4) one concludes that \( u \) solves (5).

Let us give a detailed proof.

Step 1. Consider the problem:

\[ \dot{v} = -A_a^{-1}[F(v) + av - h], \quad v(0) = 0. \]  

(10)

Here \( \dot{v} := \frac{dv}{dt} \), \( A_a := A + aI \), \( A := F'(v) \). Problem (10) is a version of a DSM. We claim that:

a) problem (10) has a unique global solution, i.e., the solution defined for all \( t \in [0, \infty) \),

b) there exists \( v(\infty) := \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) \), and

c) \( F(v(\infty)) + av(\infty) = h \).

Claim a) follows from local solvability of problem (10) and a uniform with respect to \( t \) bound on the norm \( \|v(t)\| \). This bound is obtained below (see (13)).

Denote \( \|F(v(t)) + av(t) - h\| := g(t) \), \( \dot{g} := \frac{dg}{dt} \). Using (10), one gets

\[ \dot{g} = ((F'(v) + aI)\dot{v}, F(v(t)) + av(t) - h) = -g^2. \]

Thus

\[ g(t) = g(0)e^{-t}. \]  

(11)

From (11) and (10) one gets

\[ \|\dot{v}\| \leq \frac{g(0)}{a}e^{-t}, \]  

(12)

where the estimate \( \|A_a^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{a} \) was used. This estimate holds because \( A = F'(v(t)) \geq 0 \) by the monotonicity of \( F \). Integrating (12) from \( t \) to infinity yields

\[ \|v(t) - v(\infty)\| \leq \frac{g(0)}{a}e^{-t}. \]  

(13)
Note that if \( \| \dot{v} \| \leq g(t) \) and \( g(t) \in L^1(0, \infty) \), then \( v(\infty) \) exists by the Cauchy criterion for the existence of a limit:

\[
\| v(t) - v(s) \| \leq \int_s^t g(\tau) d\tau \to 0, \quad t, s \to \infty, \quad t > s.
\]

It follows from (12) that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \| \dot{v} \| = 0. \tag{14}
\]

Therefore, passing to the limit \( t \to \infty \) in (10), one gets

\[
0 = -A_a^{-1}(v(\infty))[F(v(\infty)) + a(v(\infty) - h)]. \tag{15}
\]

Applying the operator \( A_a(v(\infty)) \) to equation (15), one sees that \( v(\infty) \) solves equation (6). Uniqueness of the solution to (6) is easy to prove: if \( v \) and \( w \) solve (6), then

\[
F(v) - F(w) + a(v - w) = 0, \quad a > 0.
\]

Multiply this equation by \( v - w \), use the monotonicity of \( F \) (see (4)), and conclude that \( v = w \). Step 1 is completed.

**Step 2.** Let us prove (7). Multiply (6) by \( \frac{u}{\|u\|} \) and get

\[
\frac{(F(u_a), u_a)}{\|u_a\|} + a\|u_a\| = \frac{(f, u_a)}{\|u_a\|}. \tag{16}
\]

Since \( a > 0 \) and \( \frac{f}{\|u\|} \leq \|f\| \), one gets

\[
\frac{(F(u_a), u_a)}{\|u_a\|} \leq \|f\|. \tag{17}
\]

From (17) and (3) the desired estimate (7) follows. Step 2 is completed.

**Step 3.** Let us prove that (4), (8) and (9) imply (5). Let \( \eta \in H \) be arbitrary, and \( s > 0 \) be a small number. Note that \( u_n \to u \) and \( g_n \to g \) imply \( (u_n, g_n) \to (u, g) \). Using (4), one gets:

\[
(F(u_n) - F(u - s\eta), u_n - u + s\eta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in H, \quad s > 0. \tag{18}
\]

Let \( n \to \infty \) in (18). Then, using (8) and (9), one concludes that

\[
(h - F(u - s\eta), s\eta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in H, \quad s > 0,
\]

or

\[
(h - F(u - s\eta), \eta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in H, \quad s > 0. \tag{19}
\]
Let $s \to 0$ and use the continuity of $F$. Then (19) implies

$$(h - F(u), \eta) \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Taking $\eta = h - F(u)$ in (20), one concludes that $F(u) = h$. Step 3 is completed. Theorem 1 is proved. \Box
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